*excessively drained,* which means that water drains readily to very rapidly. The soil has a topsoil layer typically less than 15 centimeters (6 inches) thick and, in some instances, a subsoil layer 5 to 30 centimeters (2 to 12 inches) thick. Soil textures range from gravelly to cobbly, loamy sands to sandy loams. Soils are calcareous (high in calcium carbonate), with lime coatings on the undersides of rocks in the subsoil layer. The soils are moderately to strongly alkaline, with a pH ranging from 8.0 to 8.6. Rock fragments ranging in size from gravel to cobbles dominate 45 to 65 percent of the ground surface.

Soils on fan piedmonts and in steep, narrow canyons are relatively deep and are *well drained* (water is drained readily, but not rapidly). These soils developed from residues of volcanic parent material, with a component of calcareous eolian sand. Soils formed from the volcanic parent material generally range from *moderately shallow* [50 to 75 centimeters (20 to 30 inches)] to *moderately deep* [75 to 100 centimeters (30 to 40 inches)] over a thin hardpan on top of bedrock. The topsoil layers are generally less than 25 centimeters (10 inches) thick, with a subsoil layer thickness of 25 to 50 centimeters (10 to 20 inches). The mixed soils, containing residues from volcanic parent material and calcareous eolian sand, are often *deep* [100 to 150 centimeters (40 to 60 inches)] or moderately deep, having a well-cemented hardpan. The topsoil layers are less than 15 centimeters (6 inches) thick, with the layer of soil parent material as deep as 150 centimeters (60 inches). Soil textures are gravelly, sandy loams with 35 to 70 percent rock fragments. Soils are generally calcareous and moderately to strongly alkaline.

Soils on alluvial fans and in stream channels are *very deep* [greater than 150 centimeters (60 inches)] and range from well drained to excessively drained. The topsoil layers are generally less than 20 centimeters (8 inches) thick, with the layer of soil parent material as deep as 150 centimeters. Soil textures are very gravelly, with fine sands to sandy loams and abundant rock fragments. The soils are calcareous and moderately alkaline.

The Yucca Mountain site characterization project has sampled and analyzed surface soils for radiological constituents. In addition, records of spills or releases of nonradioactive materials have been maintained to meet regulatory requirements and to provide a baseline for the Proposed Action. A recent summary of existing radiological conditions in soils is based on 98 surface samples collected within 16 kilometers (10 miles) of the Exploratory Studies Facility. The results of that analysis, when compared to other parts of the world, indicate average levels of the naturally occurring radionuclide uranium-238 series decay products and above-average levels of the naturally occurring radionuclides potassium-40 and thorium-232 series decay products. The higher-than-average radionuclide values might be due to the origin of the soil at the site from tuffaceous igneous rocks. The studies also detected concentrations of the manmade radionuclides strontium-90, cesium-137, and plutonium-239 from worldwide nuclear weapons testing.

# 3.1.6 CULTURAL RESOURCES

Cultural resources include any prehistoric or historic district, site, building, structure, or object resulting from or modified by human activity. Cultural resources could also include potential *traditional cultural properties*. Under Federal regulation, cultural resources designated as historic properties warrant consideration with regard to potential adverse impacts resulting from proposed Federal actions. A cultural resource is an historic property if its attributes make it eligible for listing or it is formally listed on the *National Register of Historic Places*. For this analysis, DOE has

#### CULTURAL RESOURCES

**Archaeological site:** The location of a past event, a prehistoric or historic occupation or activity, or a building or structure, whether standing, ruined, or vanished, where the location itself maintains archaeological value.

**Traditional cultural property:** A property associated with the cultural practices or beliefs of a living community that are (1) rooted in that community's history, and (2) important in maintaining the cultural identity of the community.

evaluated the importance of historic and archaeological resources according to National Register eligibility criteria.

Cultural resources at Yucca Mountain include archaeological resources that are prehistoric or historic, and other resources important to Native American tribes and organizations, such as potential traditional cultural properties. The region of influence for cultural resources includes the land areas that would be disturbed by the proposed repository activities (as described in Chapter 2) and areas in the analyzed land withdrawal area where impacts could occur. DOE has collected information on the various types of archaeological sites, detailing their purposes and the kinds of artifacts typically present. DOE also has focused on Native American interests in the region's cultural resources. Section 3.1.6.2 summarizes these issues in discussions of Native American views of the affected environment.

Unless otherwise indicated, the information in this section is derived from either the summary of past archaeological projects at Yucca Mountain (DIRS 104997-CRWMS M&O 1999, all) or from American Indian Perspectives on the Yucca Mountain Site Characterization Project and the Repository Environmental Impact Statement (DIRS 102043-AIWS 1998, all).

### 3.1.6.1 Archaeological and Historic Resources

Site characterization efforts have led to a number of archaeological investigations at Yucca Mountain over the past two decades, including, as an early action, an archaeological field survey of a 44-squarekilometer (about 11,000-acre) parcel that proposed repository activities probably would affect. The field survey was followed by limited test excavations at 29 sites to determine their scientific importance and to develop management strategies for the protection of archaeological resources. Additional archaeological surveys have been conducted along nearby Midway Valley and Yucca Wash, in lower Fortymile Canyon just east of the Yucca Mountain site, and around Dune Wash east of southern Yucca Mountain.

Concurrent with these investigations, DOE directed archaeological surveys and data-recovery projects before beginning planned ground-disturbing activities specific to the Yucca Mountain Project. Limited data-recovery efforts at 18 archaeological sites support a model for a local cultural sequence that includes a pattern of linear-shaped sites along major drainages dating as far back as 7,000 years, and a shift to a more dispersed pattern of sites about 1,500 years ago. A site monitoring program designed to examine human and natural impacts to cultural resources through time began in 1991 and is continuing at Yucca Mountain.

Decades of cultural resource investigations at Yucca Mountain and at the Nevada Test Site have revealed archaeological features and artifacts. Based on archaeological site file searches at the Desert Research Institute in Las Vegas and Reno and at the Harry Reid Center at the University of Nevada, Las Vegas, approximately 830 archaeological sites have been discovered in the analyzed land withdrawal area. Most of the known archaeological sites are small scatters of lithic (stone) artifacts, usually comprised of fewer than 50 artifacts with few formal tools and no temporally or culturally diagnostic artifacts in the inventory. None of the sites has been listed on the National Register of Historic Places, but 150 are considered by DOE to be eligible for nomination as historic properties (see Table 3-21) based on National Register eligibility criteria. Several reports describe the specific procedures used to study and protect these cultural sites (DIRS 104807-CRWMS M&O 1995, all; DIRS 104810-CRWMS M&O 1995, all; DIRS 104813-CRWMS M&O 1995, all; DIRS 104814-CRWMS M&O 1995, all; DIRS 104818-CRWMS M&O 1995, all; DIRS 104819-CRWMS M&O 1995, all; DIRS 104822-CRWMS M&O 1995, all; DIRS 104824-CRWMS M&O 1995, all; DIRS 103198-YMP 1992, all). DIRS 104558-DOE (1988, all) describes how the Department meets its responsibilities under Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act and the American Indian Religious Freedom Act, and interactions with the Advisory Council on Historic Preservation and the Nevada State Historic Preservation Officer.

**Table 3-21.** Sites in the analyzed landwithdrawal area potentially eligible for theNational Register of Historic Places.

Туре	Number
Temporary camps	43
Extractive localities	14
Processing localities	9
Localities	77
Caches	2
Stations	1
Historic sites	4
Total	150

This EIS separates archaeological sites into two broad groups, prehistoric and historic, separated by the first contact between American Indians and Euroamericans; in the Great Basin, this contact occurred in the early 1800s. The oldest prehistoric sites in southern Nevada are about 11,000 years old. These sites include one or more of the following features: temporary campsites, rock art, scattered lithic artifacts, quarries, plant-processing remains, hunting blinds, and rock alignments. The sites are categorized as temporary camps, extractive localities, processing localities, localities, caches, and stations. Historic sites include mining sites, ranching sites, transportation and communication sites, and some Cold War facilities.

The following paragraphs define eligible types of sites at Yucca Mountain in each group (Table 3-21).

*Temporary Camps.* When occupied by a group of people, a temporary camp was a hub of activity for raw materials processing, implement manufacturing, and maintenance and general living activities. Camp artifacts typically include debris and discards from the making of stone tools, projectile points, bifacial stone tools, cores, milling stones, pottery, specialized tools, hearths, shelters, structures, and art. The nature and diversity of artifacts and features are the basis for designating a site as a temporary camp.

*Extractive Localities.* These were sites for specific extractive or resource-procurement tasks. They probably were occupied for short periods and for such limited activities as toolstone quarrying, hunting, and seed gathering. A single locality can contain isolated artifacts or large quantities of artifacts that reflect specific activities. In comparison to temporary camps, extractive localities have a low diversity of artifacts. Extractive locality artifacts include isolated projectile points or bifacial stone tools where hunting occurred, toolstone quarries with thousands of flakes, diffuse scatters of lithic flakes where plant materials were gathered, hunting blinds, and *tinajas* or water-catchment basins.

*Processing Localities.* Specific resource-processing tasks occurred at processing localities. These localities probably were occupied only for short periods and for limited activities such as butchering, milling, and roasting. A single site can contain an isolated artifact or large quantities of artifacts that reflect specific activities. Like extractive localities, processing localities have a low diversity of artifacts. Examples of processing localities include stone tool manufacturing stations, milling stations for processing food, diffuse scatters containing stone tools for processing meat and hides, hearths, and roasting pits.

*Localities.* This category includes sites that might have been either extractive or processing localities but for which there is not enough information to determine if such activities occurred.

*Caches.* Caches are temporary places for storing resources or artifacts. They include sealed rock shelters, rock piles, rock rings without evidence of habitation, rock alignments, brush piles held in place by rocks, and storage pits. A cache can also be an association of similar artifacts such as heat-treated bifacial stone tools, projectile points, and snares, or such resources as toolstone blanks and firewood in or on a natural feature such as at the base of a tree, in a rock shelter, or in a mountain saddle. Caches are distinguished from localities as places for storing resources, rather than as places of procurement or processing.

*Stations.* Stations are sites where groups gathered to exchange information about such things as game movement, routes of travel, and ritual activities. Examples of stations are rock cairns marking routes of travel, isolated petroglyphs and pictographs, geoglyphs, and observation points and overlooks.

*Historic Sites.* Historic sites are contemporaneous with or postdate the introduction of European influences in the region. Historic archaeological sites are few in number in the project area, usually represented by a small scatter of artifacts (cans and bottles). These short-term activities were related to mining, ranching, and transportation.

## 3.1.6.2 Native American Interests

#### 3.1.6.2.1 Yucca Mountain Project Native American Interaction Program

In 1987, DOE initiated the Native American Interaction Program to consult and interact with tribes and organizations on the characterization of the Yucca Mountain site and the possible construction and operation of a repository. These tribes and organizations—Southern Paiute, Western Shoshone, and Owens Valley Paiute and Shoshone people from Arizona, California, Nevada, and Utah—have cultural and historic ties to the Yucca Mountain area.

The Native American Interaction Program concentrates on the protection of cultural resources at Yucca Mountain and promotes a government-to-government relationship with the tribes and organizations. Its purpose is to help DOE comply with various Federal laws and regulations, including the American Indian Religious Freedom Act, the Archaeological Resources Protection Act, the National Historic Preservation Act, the Native American Graves Protection and Repatriation Act, DOE Order 1230.2 (*American Indian and Tribal Government Policy*), and Executive Orders 13007 (*Indian Sacred Sites*) and 13084 (*Consultation and Coordination with Indian Tribal Governments*). These regulations mandate the protection of archaeological sites and cultural items and require agencies to include Native Americans and Federally recognized tribes in discussions and interactions on major Federal actions.

Initial studies identified three tribal groups—Southern Paiute, Western Shoshone, and Owens Valley Paiute and Shoshone—whose cultural heritage includes the Yucca Mountain region (DIRS 104927-Stoffle 1987, p. 5-13). Additional ethnographic efforts eventually identified 17 tribes and organizations involved in the Yucca Mountain Project Native American and cultural resource studies. Figure 3-22 shows the traditional boundaries and locations of the 17 tribes and organizations.

Of the 17 tribal groups, 15 are Federally recognized tribes. The Pahrump Paiute Indian Tribe, which consists of a group of Southern Paiutes living in Pahrump, Nevada, has applied for Federal tribal recognition but to date has not received it. In addition, the Las Vegas Indian Center is not a Federally recognized tribe, but DOE included it in the Native American Interaction Program because it represents the urban Native American population of Las Vegas and Clark County, Nevada (DIRS 103465-Stoffle et al. 1990, p. 7).

The 17 tribes and organizations have formed the Consolidated Group of Tribes and Organizations, which consists of officially appointed tribal representatives who are responsible for presenting their respective tribal concerns and perspectives to DOE. The primary focus of this group has been the protection of cultural resources and environmental restoration at Yucca Mountain. Members of the group have participated in many ethnographic interviews and have provided DOE valuable insights into Native American cultural and religious values and beliefs. These interactions have produced several reports that record the regional history of Native American people and the interpretation of Native American cultural resources in the Yucca Mountain region (DIRS 104958-DOE 1989, pp. 30 to 74; DIRS 103465-Stoffle et al. 1990, pp. 11 to 25; DIRS 104959-DOE 1990, pp. 23 to 49). In addition, tribal representatives have identified and discussed traditional and current uses of plants in the area (DIRS 103464-DOE 1989, pp. 22 to 139).



Figure 3-22. Traditional boundaries and locations of tribes in the Yucca Mountain region.

# 3.1.6.2.2 Native American Views of Affected Environment

During the EIS scoping process, DOE visited many tribes to encourage their participation. Members of the Consolidated Group of Tribes and Organizations designated individuals who represented the three tribal entities (Southern Paiute, Western Shoshone, and Owens Valley Paiute and Shoshone) to document their viewpoints on the Yucca Mountain area. This group, the American Indian Writers Subgroup, prepared a resource document that provides Native American perspectives on the repository (DIRS 102043-AIWS 1998, all). This report also describes the relationship between Native American people and DOE and discusses impacts of the Proposed Action while recommending impact mitigation approaches for reducing potential impacts to Native American resources and other heritage values in the Yucca Mountain region. In addition to the general and specific cultural resources issues, which are summarized in the following paragraphs, the report covers other critical topics, including concerns for occupational and public health and safety, environmental justice and equity issues, and social and economic issues. The report also provides recommendations for the conduct of appropriate consultation procedures for the repository and associated activities, and requests Native American participation in development of project resource management approaches to enable the incorporation of accumulated centuries of ethnic knowledge in long-term cultural resource protection strategies.

Native Americans believe that they have inhabited their traditional homelands since the beginning of time. Archaeological surveys have found evidence that Native Americans used the immediate vicinity of Yucca Mountain on a temporary or seasonal basis (DIRS 103465-Stoffle et al. 1990, p. 29). Native Americans emphasize that a lack of abundant artifacts and archaeological remains does not mean that their people did not use a site or that the land is not an integral part of their cultural ecosystem. Native Americans assign meanings to places involved with their creation as a people, religious stories, burials, and important secular events. The traditional stories of the Southern Paiute, Western Shoshone, and Owens Valley Paiute and Shoshone peoples identify such places, including the Yucca Mountain area.

Native Americans believe that cultural resources are not limited to the remains of native ancestors but include all natural resources and geologic formations in the region, such as plants and animals and natural landforms that mark important locations for keeping their historic memory alive and for teaching their children about their culture. Equally important are the water resources and minerals in the Yucca Mountain region. Native Americans used traditional quarry sites to make tools, stone artifacts, and ceremonial objects; many of these sites are *power places* associated with traditional healing ceremonies. Despite the current physical separation of tribes from Yucca Mountain and neighboring lands, Native Americans continue to value and recognize the meaningful role of these lands in their culture and continued survival. Many areas in the Yucca Mountain region are important to them. Fortymile Canyon was an important crossroad where a number of traditional trails from such distant places as Owens Valley, Death Valley, and the Avawtz Mountain came together. Oasis Valley was an important area for trade and ceremonies. Native Americans believe that Prow Pass was an important ceremonial site and, because of this religious importance, have recommended that DOE conduct no studies in this area. Other areas are important based on the abundance of artifacts, traditional-use plants and animals, rock art, and possible burial sites.

According to Native Americans, the Yucca Mountain area is part of the holy lands of the Western Shoshone, Southern Paiute, and Owens Valley Paiute and Shoshone peoples. Native Americans generally do not concur with the conclusions of archaeological investigators that their ancestors were highly mobile groups of aboriginal hunter-gatherers who occupied the Yucca Mountain area before Euroamericans began using the area for prospecting, surveying, and ranching. They believe that these conclusions overlook traditional accounts of farming that occurred before European contact. Yucca Mountain and nearby lands were central in the lives of the Western Shoshone, Southern Paiute, and Owens Valley Paiute and Shoshone peoples, who shared them for religious ceremonies, resource uses, and social events. Native Americans value the cultural resources in these areas, viewing them in a holistic manner. They believe that the water, animals, plants, air, geology, and artifacts are interrelated and dependent on each other for existence.

# 3.1.7 SOCIOECONOMICS

To define the existing conditions for the socioeconomic environment in the Yucca Mountain region, DOE determined the current economic and demographic status in a well-defined region (called the *region of influence*) near the site of the proposed repository. DOE based its definition of the socioeconomic region of influence on the distribution of the residences of current employees of the Department and its contractors who work on the Yucca Mountain Project or at the Nevada Test Site. The region of influence, therefore, consists of the three Nevada counties (Clark, Lincoln, and Nye Counties) where about 98 percent of the DOE 2001 workforce lives. The region of influence includes Lincoln County because of the possibility that DOE could build and operate an intermodal transfer station there. The Department used the residential distribution, which reflects existing commuting patterns, to estimate the future distribution of direct workers associated with the Proposed Action and the No-Action Alternative.

The socioeconomic region of influence for the Proposed Action, consisting of Clark, Lincoln, and Nye Counties in Southern Nevada, is shown in Figure 3-23. Clark County contains the City of Las Vegas and its suburbs. Based on a count of workers in a 1994 data report, 79 percent of the Yucca Mountain Project

and Nevada Test Site onsite employees live in Clark County and approximately 19 percent live in Nye County (Table 3-22).

DOE received numerous reports from affected units of local government providing socioeconomic baseline environmental information. In addition, DOE regularly requests and receives economic and demographic data from local and State of Nevada agencies. The data and reports contain information that characterizes the existing community environment, provides assessments of economic development, or **Table 3-22.** Distribution of Yucca Mountain Projectand Nevada Test Site employees by place of residence.<sup>a</sup>

Place of residence	Onsite workers	Percent of total
Clark County	1,268	79
Lincoln County	5	<1
Nye County	308	19
Total region of influence <sup>b</sup>	1,581	98
Outside region of influence	31	2
Total respondents <sup>b</sup>	1,612	100.0
a. Source: DIRS 104957-DOE (1994, Table 2-7).		
b. Subtotals may not add to totals because of rounding.		

includes basic economic and demographic trends. DOE reviewed these reports and incorporated pertinent information in this EIS.

DOE used the REMI Economic-Demographic Forecasting System model to estimate the baseline for population, employment, and three other economic measures: Gross Regional Product, real disposable income, and State and local government spending. The baseline was projected from 2000 to 2035 for the three counties in the Region of Influence, for the Rest of Nevada, and for all of Nevada. This baseline information is provided in Table 3-23. The REMI model was used to estimate changes to the socioeconomic measures from the baseline based on different cases for repository construction and operation and for different transportation options. These changes from the baseline are discussed in Chapters 4 and 6.

The version of the REMI model used for the Final EIS is based on historical data through 1997. This model was updated to include State of Nevada employment data for 1998. Additional local information was incorporated in the baseline projections. These included expected near-term changes and long-term stability in the mining industry in Nye County; changes in employment by DOE during 1999 and 2000; and expected increases in hotel-casino employment as a result of openings of new hotels and casinos through 2001. Finally, the baselines were adjusted to account for population estimates and projections made for Clark and Nye Counties and by the Nevada State Demographer's Office.