$\Lambda_c(2593)^+$

$I(J^P) = 0(\frac{1}{2}^-)$ Status: ***

Seen in $\Lambda_c^+\pi^+\pi^-$ but not in $\Lambda_c^+\pi^0$, so this is indeed an excited Λ_c^+ rather than a Σ_c^+. The $\Lambda_c^+\pi^+\pi^-$ mode is largely, and perhaps entirely, $\Sigma_c\pi$, which is just at threshold; thus (assuming, as has not yet been proven, that the Σ_c has $J^P = 1/2^+$) the J^P here is almost certainly $1/2^-$. This result is in accord with the theoretical expectation that this is the charm counterpart of the strange $\Lambda(1405)$.

$\Lambda_c(2593)^+$ MASS

The mass is obtained from the $m_{\Lambda_c(2593)^+} - m_{\Lambda_c^+}$ mass-difference measurements below.

VALUE (MeV)	*DOCUMENT ID*
2593.9±0.8 OUR FIT	

$m_{\Lambda_c(2593)^+} - m_{\Lambda_c^+}$

VALUE (MeV)	*EVTS*	*DOCUMENT ID*		*TECN*	*COMMENT*
308.9±0.6 OUR FIT Error includes scale factor of 1.1.					
308.9±0.6 OUR AVERAGE Error includes scale factor of 1.1.					
309.7±0.9±0.4	19	ALBRECHT	97	ARG	$e^+e^- \approx$ 10 GeV
309.2±0.7±0.3	14	[1] FRABETTI	96	E687	γBe, $\overline{E}_\gamma \approx$ 220 GeV
307.5±0.4±1.0	112	[2] EDWARDS	95	CLE2	$e^+e^- \approx$ 10.5 GeV

[1] FRABETTI 96 claims a signal of 13.9 ± 4.5 events.

[2] EDWARDS 95 claims a signal of 112.5 ± 16.5 events in $\Lambda_c^+\pi^+\pi^-$.

$\Lambda_c(2593)^+$ WIDTH

VALUE (MeV)	*EVTS*	*DOCUMENT ID*		*TECN*	*COMMENT*
$3.6^{+2.0}_{-1.3}$ OUR AVERAGE					
$2.9^{+2.9}_{-2.1}{}^{+1.8}_{-1.4}$	19	ALBRECHT	97	ARG	$e^+e^- \approx$ 10 GeV
$3.9^{+1.4}_{-1.2}{}^{+2.0}_{-1.0}$	112	EDWARDS	95	CLE2	$e^+e^- \approx$ 10.5 GeV

$\Lambda_c(2593)^+$ DECAY MODES

$\Lambda_c^+\pi\pi$ and its submode $\Sigma_c(2455)\pi$ — the latter just barely — are the only strong decays allowed to an excited Λ_c^+ having this mass; and the $\Lambda_c^+\pi^+\pi^-$ mode seems to be largely via $\Sigma_c^{++}\pi^-$ or $\Sigma_c^0\pi^+$.

	Mode	Fraction (Γ_i/Γ)
Γ_1	$\Lambda_c^+\pi^+\pi^-$	[a] $\approx$ 67 %
Γ_2	$\Sigma_c(2455)^{++}\pi^-$	24 $\pm$ 7 %
Γ_3	$\Sigma_c(2455)^0\pi^+$	24 $\pm$ 7 %
Γ_4	$\Lambda_c^+\pi^+\pi^-$ 3-body	18 $\pm$ 10 %
Γ_5	$\Lambda_c^+\pi^0$	not seen
Γ_6	$\Lambda_c^+\gamma$	not seen

[a] Assuming isospin conservation, so that the other third is $\Lambda_c^+\pi^0\pi^0$.

$\Lambda_c(2593)^+$ BRANCHING RATIOS

$\Gamma(\Sigma_c(2455)^{++}\pi^-)/\Gamma(\Lambda_c^+\pi^+\pi^-)$ Γ_2/Γ_1

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
0.36±0.10 OUR AVERAGE				
0.37±0.12±0.13	ALBRECHT	97	ARG	$e^+e^-\approx$ 10 GeV
0.36±0.09±0.09	EDWARDS	95	CLE2	$e^+e^-\approx$ 10.5 GeV

$\Gamma(\Sigma_c(2455)^0\pi^+)/\Gamma(\Lambda_c^+\pi^+\pi^-)$ Γ_3/Γ_1

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
0.37±0.10 OUR AVERAGE				
0.29±0.10±0.11	ALBRECHT	97	ARG	$e^+e^-\approx$ 10 GeV
0.42±0.09±0.09	EDWARDS	95	CLE2	$e^+e^-\approx$ 10.5 GeV

$[\Gamma(\Sigma_c(2455)^{++}\pi^-)+\Gamma(\Sigma_c(2455)^0\pi^+)]/\Gamma(\Lambda_c^+\pi^+\pi^-)$ $(\Gamma_2+\Gamma_3)/\Gamma_1$

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
$0.66^{+0.13}_{-0.16}\pm0.07$		ALBRECHT	97	ARG	$e^+e^-\approx$ 10 GeV
>0.51	90	[3] FRABETTI	96	E687	γBe, $\overline{E}_\gamma\approx$ 220 GeV

[3] The results of FRABETTI 96 are consistent with this ratio being 100%.

$\Gamma(\Lambda_c^+\pi^0)/\Gamma(\Lambda_c^+\pi^+\pi^-)$ Γ_5/Γ_1

$\Lambda_c^+\pi^0$ decay is forbidden by isospin conservation if this state is in fact a Λ_c.

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
<3.53	90	EDWARDS	95	CLE2	$e^+e^-\approx$ 10.5 GeV

$\Gamma(\Lambda_c^+\gamma)/\Gamma(\Lambda_c^+\pi^+\pi^-)$ **Γ_6/Γ_1**

VALUE	*CL%*	*DOCUMENT ID*		*TECN*	*COMMENT*
<0.98	90	EDWARDS	95	CLE2	e^+e^- ≈ 10.5 GeV

$\Lambda_c(2593)^+$ REFERENCES

ALBRECHT	97	PL B402 207	+Hamacher, Hofmann+	(ARGUS Collab.)
FRABETTI	96	PL B365 461	+Cheung, Cumalat+	(FNAL E687 Collab.)
EDWARDS	95	PRL 74 3331	+Ogg, Bellerive, Britton+	(CLEO Collab.)