NASA Technical Memorandum 100619

2 -

X-Ray Production in Low Energy Proton Stopping

J. W. Wilson

•

٠.

1.

- -----

G. S. Khandelwal

N. T. Fogarty

N88-23582

T-RAY	PRODUCT ION	IN LOW DCSCL 20H		N00 200
(NASA-TH-100619) ENERGY PROTON STOPPING	(NASA) 12		G3/72	finclas 0145972

April 1988



Langley Research Center Hampton, Virginia 23665-5225

X-RAY PRODUCTION IN LOW ENERGY PROTON STOPPING

•

-

J. W. Wilson, G. S. Khandelwal and T. N. Fogarty

Abstract

The X-ray yields of stopping protons in an iron-nickel-cobalt alloy are calculated for use in predicting radiation damage in encased electronic devices.

INTRODUCTION

It is observed in some electronic devices that radiation effects result from low energy protons stopping in the external casing materials without crossing the device sensitive region (refs. 1 and 2). In order to explain this phenomenon, secondary radiations produced in the casing material are suspect. The most likely radiations to penetrate the casing material are the X-rays produced by proton impact. In the present note, the X-ray yields from stopping protons in an iron-nickel-cobalt alloy are calculated. The methods are easily extended to other materials.

X-RAY PRODUCTION CROSS SECTIONS

The K-shell and L-shell ionization cross sections for iron, cobalt, and nickel have been evaluated with the results given in Tables 1 and 2, using the work of Khandelwal, Choi, and Merzbacher (ref. 3). The X-ray fluorescence yield is in competition with Auger and Coster-Kronig transitions, and the fractional fluorescence yield is given in refs. 4, 5, and 6. The K-shell and L-shell fractional yields are shown in Table 3.

LOW ENERGY PROTON TRANSPORT

If nuclear processes and straggling are neglected, the proton transport equation is given as

$$\left[\frac{\partial}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial}{\partial E} S(E)\right] \psi (x, E) = 0$$
(1)

where $\psi(x,E)$ is proton flux at x of energy E. The solution is given as

$$\psi(x,E) = \frac{S[\varepsilon(x + R(E))]}{S(E)} \psi [0,\varepsilon (x + R(E))]$$
(2)

where $r(E) = \int_0^E dE'/S(E')$ and $\varepsilon(x)$ is inverse of R(E). The result (equation 2) can now be used to calculate the X-ray yields $Y_X(x)$ as

$$Y_{\chi}(x) = \overline{w}_{\chi} \int_{0}^{\infty} \sigma_{\chi}(E) \psi(x,E) dE$$
(3)

where $\sigma_{\chi}(E)$ is the macroscopic ionization cross section and \overline{w}_{χ} is the shield's

fractional fluorescence yield. The yield for a monoenergetic beam of protons is

$$Y_{\chi}(x) = \overline{w}_{\chi} \int_{0}^{\infty} \sigma_{\chi}(E) \phi_{p} \frac{S[\varepsilon(x + R(E))]}{S(E)} \delta(\varepsilon[x + R(E)] - E_{0}) dE$$

$$= \overline{w}_{\chi} \int_{0}^{\infty} \sigma_{\chi} [\varepsilon(r)] \phi_{p} \delta(x + r - r_{0}) dr$$
(4)

where $r_0 = R(E_0)$ and r = R(E). The result is

$$Y_{\chi}(x) = \overline{w}_{\chi} \sigma_{\chi} [\varepsilon (r_0 - x)] \phi_{p}$$
(5)

as one would presume. The total yield \overline{Y}_x in stopping is then

$$\overline{Y}_{\chi} = \overline{w}_{\chi} \phi_{p} \int_{0}^{r_{0}} \sigma_{\chi} [\varepsilon (r_{0} - x)] dx$$
(6)

The required functions will now be approximated to evaluate the integral (equation 6).

STOPPING POWER AND CROSS SECTION PARAMETERS

The low energy portion of the stopping power curve was shown by Fermi to be

$$S(E) \approx a \sqrt{E}$$
 (7)

The stopping power to several MeV is adequately approximated by

$$S(E) = \frac{a\sqrt{E}}{(1+bE)}$$
(8)

and is known to have a maximum at approximately $\rm E_{m}$ \approx 100 keV so that

$$\frac{\mathrm{dS}}{\mathrm{dE}} \left| \begin{array}{c} \mathbf{E}_{\mathrm{m}} = 0 \\ \mathbf{E}_{\mathrm{m}} \end{array} \right|$$
(9)

and

$$S_{m} = S(E_{m})$$
(10)

are sufficient to determine the coefficients a and b to find

$$S(E) = Z S_{m} \frac{\sqrt{E}}{E_{m}} / (1 + \frac{E}{E_{m}})$$
 (11)

The range is then found to be

$$R(E) = \sqrt{\frac{E_{m}E}{S_{m}}} \left(1 + \frac{E}{3E_{m}}\right)$$
(12)

Assuming the density to be 8.4 g/cm³ and taking $S_m \approx 246 \text{ keV/}\mu$, we find the ionization cross sections can be reasonably approximated by

$$\sigma_{\chi} [E(r)] \simeq \sigma_{\chi} (E_0) \left(\frac{r}{r_0}\right)^{2.2}$$
(13)

which holds below 1 MeV. This gives a total yield of

$$Y_{\chi} = \frac{\overline{w}_{\chi}}{3.2} r_0 \sigma_{\chi}(E_0) \phi_{P}$$
(14)

We have taken the composition of the alloy to be 54 percent Fe, 29 percent Ni, and 17 percent Co to find the K shell fluorescence yield for 1 MeV protons to be

$$\overline{Y}_{K} = 9 \times 10^{-4} \text{ X-rays/proton}$$
 (15)

To assess the effects of these X-rays on device performance requires additional transport calculations of the X-rays through the device itself.

CONCLUDING REMARKS

The present formalism provides a means of estimating the X-ray production in proton exposures. The fluorescence yield may be used as source terms in a photon/electron transport code to further evaluate their effects on device performance.

REFERENCES

- 1. K. K. Diogu, T. N. Fogarty, A. Kumar, F. C. Wang, and C. F. Herman; Charge An omaly at MOS Interfaces Submitted to One MeV Proton Radiation. Proceedings of NASA/Prairie View A & M Conference on Natural Space Radiation and VLSI Technology, to be published.
- T. N. Fogarty, C. Herman, K. Diogu, and F. Wang; Simulation of Natural Space Radiation Effects on VLSI Technology; Electrochemical Society, vol. 87, no. 2, pp. 880-1, 1987.
- 3. G. S. Khandelwal, B. H. Choi, and E. Merzbacher, Atomic Data and Nuclear Data, Tables 1, 103 (1969).
- 4. W. Bambynek et al., X-Ray Fluorescence Yields, Auger, and Coster-Kronig Transition Probabilities. Rev. Mod. Phys. 44, p. 716, 1972.
- 5. M. O. Krause, Atomic Radiative and Radiationless Yields of K- and L-Shells, J. Phys. Chem. Ref. Data, vol. 8, no. 2, p. 307, 1979.
- E. J. McGuire, Atomic L-Shell Coster-Kronig, Auger, and Radiative Rates and Fluorescence Yields for Na - Tl., Phys. Rev. vol. A3, no. 2, p. 587, 1971.

 E	Fe	Со	Ni
0.1	0.054	.035	.021
0.5	11.0	8.49	5.86
1.0	70.8	50.3	35.5

,

Table 1 -	K-shell	Ionization	Cross	Sections	(b)	as	a	Function
		of Proton	Energ	y (MeV)				

,

E	Fe	Co	Ni	
 0.1	4.27E4	2.71E4	1.62E4	
0.5	3.68E5	2.80E5	2.06E5	
1.0	5.28E5	4.14E5	3.25E5	

Table 2 - L-shell Ionization Cross Sections (b) as a Function of Proton Energy (MeV)

Element	¯κ	ΨL
Fe	0.34	6.4E - 3
Со	~0.37	7.7E - 3
Ni	0.41	9.1E - 3

.

Table 3 - Fractional Fluorescence Yield

National Aeronautics and Space Aoministration	Report Documentation Pa	age
. Report No.	2. Government Accession No.	3. Recipient's Catalog No.
NASA TM-100619		
4. Title and Subtitle	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	5. Report Date
X-Ray Production	in Low Energy Proton Stopping	April 1988
·		6. Performing Organization Code
7. Author(s)		8. Performing Organization Report No.
J. W. Wilson		
G. S. Khandelwal		10. Work Unit No.
N. T. Fogarty		199-22-76-01
9. Performing Organization Nar NASA Langley Res Hampton, VA 23	ne and Address earch Center 665-5225	11. Contract or Grant No.
		13. Type of Report and Period Covered
2. Sponsoring Agency Name an	nd Address	Technical Memorandum
National Aeronau	tics and Space Administration	
Washington, DC	20546-0001	14. Sponsoring Agency Code
 5. Supplementary Notes J. W. Wilson, Lar G. S. Khandelwal N. T. Fogarty, Hat 6. Abstract 	ngley Research Center, Hampton, VA , Old Dominion University, Norfolk, ampton University, Hampton, VA	VA
 5. Supplementary Notes J. W. Wilson, Lar G. S. Khandelwal N. T. Fogarty, Ha 16. Abstract The X-ray yields calculated for u devices. 	ngley Research Center, Hampton, VA , Old Dominion University, Norfolk, ampton University, Hampton, VA of stopping protons in an iron-nic se in predicting radiation damage i	VA ckel-cobalt alloy are in encased electronic
 5. Supplementary Notes J. W. Wilson, Lar G. S. Khandelwal N. T. Fogarty, Hat 6. Abstract The X-ray yields calculated for u devices. 	ngley Research Center, Hampton, VA , Old Dominion University, Norfolk, ampton University, Hampton, VA of stopping protons in an iron-nic se in predicting radiation damage i	VA ckel-cobalt alloy are in encased electronic
 5. Supplementary Notes J. W. Wilson, Lar G. S. Khandelwal N. T. Fogarty, Hat 6. Abstract The X-ray yields calculated for u devices. 17. Key Words (Suggested by Antipage) 	ngley Research Center, Hampton, VA , Old Dominion University, Norfolk, ampton University, Hampton, VA of stopping protons in an iron-nic se in predicting radiation damage i set in predicting radiation damage i [18. Distribution state]	VA ckel-cobalt alloy are in encased electronic
 5. Supplementary Notes J. W. Wilson, Lar G. S. Khandelwal N. T. Fogarty, Hat 6. Abstract The X-ray yields calculated for u devices. 17. Key Words (Suggested by Annotation of the state) of the state of the	ngley Research Center, Hampton, VA , Old Dominion University, Norfolk, ampton University, Hampton, VA of stopping protons in an iron-nic se in predicting radiation damage i se in predicting radiation damage i Unclassif	VA ckel-cobalt alloy are in encased electronic Statement fied - Unlimited
 5. Supplementary Notes J. W. Wilson, Lar G. S. Khandelwal N. T. Fogarty, Hat 6. Abstract The X-ray yields calculated for u devices. 7. Key Words (Suggested by A X-ray production Protons radiation damage 	ngley Research Center, Hampton, VA , Old Dominion University, Norfolk, ampton University, Hampton, VA of stopping protons in an iron-nic se in predicting radiation damage i set in predicting radiation damage i Unclassif Subject C	VA ckel-cobalt alloy are in encased electronic Statement fied - Unlimited Category 54
 5. Supplementary Notes J. W. Wilson, Lar G. S. Khandelwal N. T. Fogarty, Hat 6. Abstract The X-ray yields calculated for u devices. 7. Key Words (Suggested by A X-ray production Protons radiation damage 9. Security Classif. (of this reported to the security classif. (of the security classif.) 	ngley Research Center, Hampton, VA , Old Dominion University, Norfolk, ampton University, Hampton, VA of stopping protons in an iron-nic se in predicting radiation damage i uthor(s)) 18. Distribution S Unclassif Subject C	VA ckel-cobalt alloy are in encased electronic Statement Fied - Unlimited Category 54 21. No. of pages 22. Price

æ

¢

•

1