Approximate Green's Function Methods for HZE Transport in Multilayered Materials

John W. Wilson Langley Research Center Hampton, Virginia

Francis F. Badavi Christopher Newport University Newport News, Virginia

Judy L. Shinn and Robert C. Costen Langley Research Center Hampton, Virginia

National Aeronautics and

Space Administration

Office of Management

Scientific and Technical Information Program

1993

(VASA-TM-4517) APPROXIMATE GREEN'S FUNCTION METHOUS FOR HZE TRANSPORT IN MULTILAYERED MATERIALS (NASA) 15 o

H1/93

Unclas

Abstract

A nonperturbative analytic solution of the high charge and energy (HZE) Green's function is used to implement a computer code for laboratory ion beam transport in multilayered materials. The code is established to operate on the Langley nuclear fragmentation model used in engineering applications. Computational procedures are established to generate linear energy transfer (LET) distributions for a specified ion beam and target for comparison with experimental measurements. The code was found to be highly efficient and compared well with the perturbation approximation.

Introduction

Green's function was identified as the likely means of generating efficient high charge and energy (HZE) shielding codes for space engineering that are capable of being validated in laboratory experiments (ref. 1). A derivation of Green's function as a perturbation series gave promise for the development of a laboratory-validated engineering code (ref. 2), but computational inefficiency provided a major obstacle to the code development (ref. 3). More recently, nonperturbative approximations to the HZE Green's function have shown promise in providing an efficient validated engineering code (refs. 4 and 5). Described in the present report is a laboratory code using a nonperturbative Green's function to derive linear energy transfer (LET) spectra behind multilayered targets for ion beams with Z (charges) \leq 28 corresponding to the major components of the galactic cosmic ray spectrum.

Green's Function for a Single Medium

We restrict our attention to the multicharged ions (atomic number Z_j and atomic mass A_j) for which the Boltzmann equation may be reduced (ref. 6) to

$$\left[\frac{\partial}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial}{\partial E}\widetilde{S}_{j}(E) + \sigma_{j}\right]\phi_{j}(x, E) = \sum_{k}\sigma_{jk}\phi_{k}(x, E)$$
(1)

where $\phi_j(x, E)$ is the ion flux at x with energy E (in MeV/amu), $\tilde{S}_j(E)$ is the change in E per unit distance, σ_j is the total macroscopic reaction cross section, and σ_{jk} is the macroscopic cross section for the collision of an ion of type k to produce an ion of type j. The solution to equation (1) is to be found subject to the boundary condition

$$\phi_j\left(0,E\right) = f_j\left(E\right) \tag{2}$$

which, for laboratory beams, has only one value of j for which $f_j(E)$ is not zero and where $f_j(E)$ is described by a mean energy E_o and energy spread σ such that

$$f_j(E) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma}} \exp\left[\frac{-(E-E_o)^2}{2\sigma^2}\right]$$
(3)

The usual method of solution is to proceed toward solving equation (1) as a perturbation series (refs. 1 and 6). In practice, the computational requirements limit the usefulness of the technique for deep penetration (ref. 3).

The Green's function (G_{jm}) is introduced as a solution of

$$\left[\frac{\partial}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial}{\partial E}\widetilde{S}_{j}(E) + \sigma_{j}\right]G_{jm}(x, E, E_{o}) = \sum_{k}\sigma_{jk} G_{km}(x, E, E_{o})$$
(4)

subject to the boundary condition

$$G_{jm}(0, E, E_o) = \delta_{jm} \,\delta\left(E - E_o\right) \tag{5}$$

where δ_{jm} is Kronecker's δ and $\delta(E - E_o)$ is Dirac's δ function. The solution to equation (1) is given by superposition as

$$\phi_j(x,E) = \sum_k \int G_{jk}(x,E,E') f_k(E') dE'$$
(6)

If $G_{jk}(x, E, E')$ is known as an algebraic quantity, the evaluation of equation (6) may be accomplished by simple integration techniques, and then the associated errors in numerically solving equation (1) are avoided (ref. 7).

The above equations can be simplified by transforming the energy into the residual range (r_j) as

$$r_j = \int_0^E dE' / \tilde{S}_j \left(E' \right) \tag{7}$$

and defining new field functions as

$$\psi_j\left(x,r_j\right) = \widetilde{S}_j\left(E\right) \,\phi_j\left(x,E\right) \tag{8}$$

$$\mathcal{G}_{jm}\left(x,r_{j},r_{m}'\right) = \widetilde{S}_{j}\left(E\right) \, G_{jm}\left(x,E,E'\right) \tag{9}$$

$$\hat{f}_j(r_j) = \tilde{S}_j(E) f_j(E)$$
(10)

Thus, equation (4) becomes

$$\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial}{\partial r_j} + \sigma_j\right) \mathcal{G}_{jm}\left(x, r_j, r'_m\right) = \sum_k \frac{\nu_j}{\nu_k} \sigma_{jk} \mathcal{G}_{km}\left(x, r_k, r'_m\right) \tag{11}$$

with the boundary condition

$$\mathcal{G}_{jm}\left(0,r_{j},r_{m}'\right)=\delta_{jm}\delta\left(r_{j}-r_{m}'\right)$$
(12)

and with the solution to the ion fields given by

$$\psi_j\left(x,r_j\right) = \sum_m \int_0^\infty \mathcal{G}_{jm}\left(x,r_j,r'_m\right) \,\hat{f}_m\left(r'_m\right) \, dr'_m \tag{13}$$

Note that ν_j , which is the range scale factor as $\nu_j r_j = \nu_m r_m$, is taken as $\nu_j = Z_j^2/A_j$. The solution to equation (11) is written as a perturbation series

$$\mathcal{G}_{jm}\left(x,r_{j},r_{m}'\right) = \sum_{i} \mathcal{G}_{jm}^{(i)}\left(x,r_{j},r_{m}'\right) \tag{14}$$

in which

$$\mathcal{G}_{jm}^{(0)}\left(x,r_{j},r_{m}'\right) = g\left(j\right)\,\delta_{jm}\,\delta\left(x+r_{j}-r_{m}'\right)\tag{15}$$

and

$$\mathcal{G}_{jm}^{(1)}\left(x,r_{j},r_{m}'\right) \approx \frac{\nu_{j}\sigma_{jm} g\left(j,m\right)}{x\left(\nu_{m}-\nu_{j}\right)} \tag{16}$$

where $\mathcal{G}_{jm}^{(1)}(x,r_j,r_m')$ is zero unless

$$\frac{\nu_j}{\nu_m} \left(r_j + x \right) \le r'_m \le \frac{\nu_j}{\nu_m} r_j + x \tag{17}$$

for $\nu_m > \nu_j$. If $\nu_j > \nu_m$, as can happen in neutron removal, the negative of equation (16) is used and the upper and lower limits of equation (17) are switched. The higher terms are approximated as

$$\mathcal{G}_{jm}^{(i)}\left(x,r_{j},r_{m}'\right) \approx \sum_{k_{1},k_{2},\dots,k_{i-1}} \frac{\nu_{j}\sigma_{jk_{1}}\sigma_{k_{1}k_{2}},\dots,\sigma_{k_{i-1}m} g\left(j,k_{1},k_{2},\dots,k_{i-1},m\right)}{x\left(\nu_{m}-\nu_{j}\right)}$$
(18)

In the above equations,

$$g\left(j\right) = e^{-\sigma_j x} \tag{19}$$

and

$$g(j_1, j_2, \dots, j_n, j_{n+1}) = \frac{g(j_1, j_2, \dots, j_{n-1}, j_n) - g(j_1, j_2, \dots, j_{n-1}, j_{n+1})}{\sigma_{j_{n+1}} - \sigma_{j_n}}$$
(20)

Note that $\mathcal{G}_{jm}^{(i)}(x, r_j, r'_m)$ is purely dependent on x for i > 0, which we represent as $\mathcal{G}_{jm}^{(i)}(x)$. (See ref. 3.) In terms of the above, the solution to equation (1) becomes (from ref. 3)

$$\psi_j \left(x, r_j \right) = e^{-\sigma_j x} \hat{f}_j \left(r_j + x \right) + \sum_{m,i} \mathcal{G}_{jm}^{(i)} \left(x \right) \left[\widehat{F}_m \left(r'_{m\ell} \right) - \widehat{F}_m \left(r'_{mu} \right) \right]$$
(21)

In equation (21), r'_{mu} and $r'_{m\ell}$ are given by the upper and lower limits of the inequality of equation (17). The symbol $\hat{F}_m(r'_m)$ refers to the integral spectrum

$$\widehat{F}_m\left(r'_m\right) = \int\limits_{r'_m}^{\infty} \widehat{f}_m\left(r\right) \, dr \tag{22}$$

We note that

$$\widehat{F}_m\left(r'_m\right) \equiv F_m\left(E'\right) \tag{23}$$

with

$$F_m\left(E'\right) = \int_{E'}^{\infty} f_m\left(E\right) \, dE \tag{24}$$

and

$$r'_{m} = \int_{0}^{E'} dE / \widetilde{S}_{m} \left(E \right) \tag{25}$$

We now introduce nonperturbative terms for the summation in equation (21).

First, we recall that the g function of n arguments was generated by the perturbation solution of the transport equation neglecting ionization energy loss (ref. 1) given by

$$\left[\frac{\partial}{\partial x} + \sigma_j\right] g_{jm}\left(x\right) = \sum_k \sigma_{jk} \ g_{km}\left(x\right) \tag{26}$$

subject to the boundary condition

$$g_{jm}\left(0\right) = \delta_{jm} \tag{27}$$

(aa)

for which the solution is

$$g_{jm}(x) = \delta_{jm} g(m) + \sigma_{jm} g(j,m) + \cdots$$
⁽²⁸⁾

It is also true that

$$g_{jm}(x) = \sum_{k} g_{jk}(x-y) \ g_{km}(y)$$
⁽²⁹⁾

for any positive values of x and y. Equation (29) may be used to propagate the function $g_{jm}(x)$ over the solution space after which

$$\mathcal{G}_{jm}\left(x,r_{j},r_{m}'\right)\approx e^{-\sigma_{j}x}\delta_{jm}\,\delta\left(x+r_{j}-r_{m}'\right)+\frac{\nu_{j}\left[g_{jm}\left(x\right)-e^{-\sigma_{j}x}\delta_{jm}\right]}{x\left(\nu_{m}-\nu_{j}\right)}\tag{30}$$

The approximate solution of equation (1) is then given by

$$\psi_{j}(x,r_{j}) \approx e^{-\sigma_{j}x} \hat{f}(r_{j}+x) + \sum_{m} \frac{\nu_{j} \left[g_{jm}(x) - e^{-\sigma_{j}x}\delta_{jm}\right]}{x \left(\nu_{m}-\nu_{j}\right)} \left[\widehat{F}_{m}(r'_{mu}) - \widehat{F}_{m}(r'_{m\ell})\right]$$
(31)

which is a relatively simple quantity (ref. 4).

Green's Function in a Shielded Medium

The major simplification in the Green's function method results from the fact that the scaled spectral distribution of secondary ions to a first approximation depends only on the depth of penetration as seen in equations (16), (18), and (30). Our first approach to a multilayered Green's function will rely on this observation and assume its validity for multilayered shields.

If we consider a domain labeled as "1" that is shielded by a second domain labeled as "2," the number of type j ions at depth x in 1 due to type m ions incident on domain 2 of thickness y is

$$g_{12jm}(x,y) = \sum_{k} g_{1jk}(x) \ g_{2km}(y)$$
(32)

The leading term in equation (32) is the penetrating primaries as

$$g_{12jm}(x,y) = e^{-\sigma_{1j}x - \sigma_{2j}y} \delta_{jm} + \left[g_{12jm}(x,y) - e^{-\sigma_{1j}x - \sigma_{2j}y} \delta_{jm} \right]$$
(33)

in which all higher order terms are within the brackets of equation (33).

The first term of the scaled Green's function is then

$$\mathcal{G}_{12jm}^{(0)}\left(x, y, r_j, r'_m\right) = e^{-\sigma_{1j}x - \sigma_{2j}y} \delta_{jm} \,\delta\left[x + r_j - \left(r'_m - \rho y\right)\right] \tag{34}$$

in which ρ is the range scale factor for the two media

$$\rho = \frac{R_{1j}\left(E\right)}{R_{2j}\left(E\right)} \tag{35}$$

The ratio of range in water to range in aluminum for proton beams (eq. (35)) is shown in sketch A. We take a single value for ρ corresponding to 600 MeV/amu. The secondary contribution is similarly found by noting that equation (17) becomes

$$\frac{\nu_j}{\nu_m} \left(r_j + x + \rho y \right) \le r'_m \le \frac{\nu_j}{\nu_m} r_j + x + \rho y \tag{36}$$

from which the average spectrum is evaluated. The full approximate Green's function is then

$$\mathcal{G}_{12jm}\left(x, y, r_j, r'_m\right) \approx e^{-\sigma_{1j}x - \sigma_{2j}y} \delta_{jm} \,\delta\left(x + \rho y + r_j - r'_m\right) \\ + \frac{\nu_j \left[g_{12jm}\left(x, y\right) - e^{-\sigma_{1j}x - \sigma_{2j}y} \delta_{jm}\right]}{\left(x + \rho y\right)\left(\nu_m - \nu_j\right)} \tag{37}$$

Equation (37) is our first approximation to the Green's function in a shielded medium (two layers) and is easily modified to multilayers. We now consider the first spectral modification.



Sketch A

Showing that the first collision term has the properties

$$\mathcal{G}_{12jm}^{(1)}\left(x,y,r_{j},r_{m}'\right) = \begin{cases} \frac{\nu_{j}\sigma_{1jm}e^{-\sigma_{1m}x-\sigma_{2m}y}}{|\nu_{m}-\nu_{j}|} & (r_{m}'=r_{mu}')\\ \frac{\nu_{j}\sigma_{2jm}e^{-\sigma_{1j}x-\sigma_{2j}y}}{|\nu_{m}-\nu_{j}|} & (r_{m}'=r_{m\ell}') \end{cases}$$
(38)

is easy; we use these properties to derive a simple correction for the average spectrum as

$$\mathcal{G}_{12jm}^{(1)}\left(x, y, r_j, r_m'\right) = \frac{\nu_j \ g_{12jm}^{(1)}\left(x, y\right)}{\left(x + \rho y\right)\left(\nu_m - \nu_j\right)} + b_{jm}\left(x, y\right)\left(r_m' - \bar{r}_m\right) \tag{39}$$

where $g_{12jm}^{(1)}(x,y)$ is the first collision term of equation (39) and

$$\bar{r}'_m = \frac{r'_{mu} + r'_{m\ell}}{2} \tag{40}$$

is the midpoint of \bar{r}'_m between its limits given by equation (36). The b_{jm} term of equation (39) has the property that

$$\int_{r'_{m\ell}}^{r'_{mu}} b_{jm}(x,y) \left(r' - \bar{r}'_{m}\right) dr' = 0$$
(41)

thus ensuring that the first term of equation (39) is, indeed, the average spectrum as required. The spectral slope parameter is found to be

$$b_{jm}(x,y) = \frac{\nu_{j}\nu_{m}\left(\sigma_{1jm}e^{-\sigma_{1m}x-\sigma_{2m}y} - \sigma_{2jm}e^{-\sigma_{1j}x-\sigma_{2j}y}\right)}{(x+\rho y)\left(\nu_{m}-\nu_{j}\right)\left|\nu_{m}-\nu_{j}\right|}$$
(42)

A similarly simple spectral correction can be made to the higher order terms. The spectral correction given in equation (42) will be included in the present Green's function code.

LET Spectra for Laboratory Beams

We use the boundary condition appropriate for laboratory beams given by equation (3). The cumulative spectrum is given by

$$F_{j}(E) = \frac{1}{2} \left[1 - \operatorname{erf}\left(\frac{E - E_{o}}{\sqrt{2}\sigma}\right) \right]$$
(43)

The cumulative energy moment needed to evaluate the spectral correction is

$$\overline{E}_{j}(E) = \frac{1}{2}E_{o}\left[1 - \operatorname{erf}\left(\frac{E - E_{o}}{\sqrt{2}\sigma}\right)\right] + \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\exp\left[-\frac{\left(E - E_{o}\right)^{2}}{2\sigma^{2}}\right]$$
(44)

The average energy on any subinterval (E_1, E_2) is then

$$\overline{E} = \frac{\overline{E}_j \left(E_1 \right) - \overline{E}_j \left(E_2 \right)}{F_j \left(E_1 \right) - F_j \left(E_2 \right)} \tag{45}$$

The beam-generated flux is

$$\psi_{j}(x, y, r_{j}) = e^{-\sigma_{1j}x - \sigma_{2j}y} \hat{f}_{j}(r_{j} + x + \rho y) + \sum_{m,i} \mathcal{G}_{jm}^{(i)}(x, y) \left[\hat{F}_{m}(r'_{mu}) - \hat{F}_{m}(r'_{m\ell}) \right] + \sum_{m} b_{jm}^{(1)}(x, y) \left[r'_{m}(\overline{E}) - \bar{r}'_{m} \right] \left[\hat{F}_{m}(r'_{mu}) - \hat{F}_{m}(r'_{m\ell}) \right]$$
(46)

where \overline{E} is evaluated using equation (45) with E_1 and E_2 as the lower and upper limits associated with $r'_{m\ell}$ and r'_{mu} , respectively.

The differential fluence spectra for a 600-MeV/amu 56 Fe beam with a 2.5-MeV/amu standard deviation incident on a water slab are shown in figure 1. A single layer of 5 cm of water is shown in figure 1(a) and a double layer of 2.5 cm of water followed by 2.5 cm of water is shown in figure 1(b). A consistency check is performed on the multilayered code by comparing it with the single-layered computation when the two layers are of the same size and composition. The ratio of multilayered results to single-layered results differs by less than ± 1 percent. The LET distribution is found by using the methods of reference 8. The corresponding LET spectra are shown in figure 2. The highest LET peak is due to the primary beam and the iron fragments. The successive peaks below iron are due to lower atomic weight fragments. The lowest LET peak consists of relativistic charge fragments of p, d, and t particles that are produced in abundance in HZE collisions (ref. 9). The peak near 10 MeV/cm consists of he- and α -particles that are also produced abundantly. Many of the HZE fragments are produced with a charge near the projectile charge, as Shinn, Townsend, and Wilson found earlier for hydrogenic targets (ref. 10). Note that no distinguishable difference exists between the LET spectra of the single-layered code (fig. 2(a)) and the multilayered code (fig. 2(b)) at the same penetration depth in water, which further demonstrates code consistency.

A series of evaluations for a (2.24-g/cm^2) lead-scattering foil is shown in figure 3. The lead-scattering foil is usually part of the accelerator beam line (at the Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory Bevalac accelerator) with the result that the fragments from the lead target are seen as contamination. Clearly, these fragments must be modeled to properly interpret the attenuation of the beam in the water target in actual experiments. The corresponding LET spectra at various depths of a water target are shown in figure 4. The importance of the fragmentation in the scattering foil is seen in comparing figure 4(a) with figure 4(b). Note that fewer of the fragmentations result in fragments near the beam charge for the lead foil in comparison with the water target, as seen by comparing the relative magnitudes of the three highest LET peaks in figures 2(a) and 4(a). These differences are part of the reason why hydrogenic targets are important to space radiation protection. At greater depths the LET distributions begin to overlap, and distinguishing the different charge groups becomes more difficult. Such LET spectra will be compared with experimental measurements in the near future.

Concluding Remarks

A formalism for the evaluation of Green's function in multilayered target configurations has been derived and a computer code generated. The code satisfies a consistency check for multilayered-material calculations when the layers are of uniform composition by comparing the results with the single-layered code. The importance of the multilayered code in the analysis of experimental ion beams has been shown by demonstrating the effects of a lead-scattering foil in the Bevalac beam line. An analysis of such experiments is in progress.

NASA Langley Research Center Hampton, VA 23681-0001 September 10, 1993

References

- Wilson, J. W.; Lamkin, Stanley L.; Farhat, Hamidullah; Ganapol, Barry D.; and Townsend, Lawrence W.: A Hierarchy of Transport Approximations for High Energy Heavy (HZE) Ions. NASA TM-4118, 1989.
- Wilson, John W.; Townsend, L. W.; Lamkin, S. L.; and Ganapol, B. D.: A Closed-Form Solution to HZE Propagation. *Radiat. Res.*, vol. 122, 1990, pp. 223–228.
- Wilson, J. W.; and Badavi, F. F.: New Directions in Heavy Ion Shielding. Proceedings of the Topical Meeting on New Horizons in Radiation Protection and Shielding, American Nucl. Soc., Inc., 1992, pp. 205–211.
- Wilson, John W.; Costen, Robert C.; Shinn, Judy L.; and Badavi, Francis F.: Green's Function Methods in Heavy Ion Shielding. NASA TP-3311, 1993.
- Wilson, John W.; Badavi, Francis F.; Costen, Robert C.; and Shinn, Judy L.: Nonperturbative Methods for HZE Transport. NASA TP-3363, 1993.
- 6. Wilson, John W.: Analysis of the Theory of High-Energy Ion Transport. NASA TN D-8381, 1977.
- Wilson, John W.; Townsend, Lawrence W.; Schimmerling, Walter; Khandelwal, Govind S.; Khan, Ferdous; Nealy, John E.; Cucinotta, Francis A.; Simonsen, Lisa C.; Shinn, Judy L.; and Norbury, John W.: Transport Methods and Interactions for Space Radiations. NASA RP-1257, 1991.
- 8. Wilson, John W.; and Badavi, Francis F.: A Study of the Generation of Linear Energy Transfer Spectra for Space Radiations. NASA TM-4410, 1992.
- 9. Wilson, J. W.; Chun, S. Y.; Badavi, F. F.; and John, S.: Coulomb Effects in Low-Energy Nuclear Fragmentation. NASA TP-3352, 1993.
- 10. Shinn, Judy L.; Townsend, Lawrence W.; and Wilson, John W.: Galactic Cosmic Ray Radiation Levels in Spacecraft on Interplanetary Missions. Book of Abstracts - The World Space Congress, 43rd Congress of the International Astronautical Federation and 29th Plenary Meeting of the Committee on Space Research, International Astronautical Federation and Committee on Space Research, Aug. Sept. 1992, pp. 567–568.



(a) 0 cm of H_2O followed by 5 cm of H_2O .



(b) 2.5 cm of H_2O followed by 2.5 cm of H_2O .

Figure 1. Differential fluence spectra for 600-MeV/amu $^{56}{\rm Fe}$ beam with 2.5-MeV/amu standard deviation incident on water slab.



(a) 0 cm of H_2O followed by 5 cm of H_2O .



(b) 2.5 cm of H_2O followed by 2.5 cm of H_2O .

Figure 2. LET distribution for 600-MeV/amu ⁵⁶Fe beam with 2.5-MeV/amu standard deviation incident on water slab.



Figure 3. Differential fluence for 525-MeV/amu ⁵⁶Fe beam with 2.5-MeV/amu standard deviation after passing through 2.24-g/cm² lead-scattering foil and water target.



Figure 3. Concluded.



,

Figure 4. LET distribution for 525-MeV/amu 56 Fe beam with 2.5-MeV/amu standard deviation after passing through 2.24-g/cm² lead-scattering foil and water target.



Figure 4. Concluded.

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE			Form Approved OMB No. 0704-0188	
² UDIC reporting burden for this collection gathering and maintaining the data neede collection of information, including sugges Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington, VA	of information is estimated to average 1 hou d. and completing and reviewing the collectic stions for reducing this burden, to Washingtor 22202-4302, and to the Office of Managem	r per response, including the time on of information. Send comment: n Headquarters Services, Director; ent and Budget, Paperwork Redu	for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources s regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of t ate for Information Operations and Reports, 1215 Jeffers totion Proiect (0704-0188). Working the Decourse	
. AGENCY USE ONLY(Leave bl	ank) 2. REPORT DATE October 1993	3. REPORT TYPE Technical Mer	ND DATES COVERED	
Approximate Green's Fu Multilayered Materials	E n's Function Methods for HZE Transport in rials		5. FUNDING NUMBERS WU 199-45-16-11	
. AUTHOR(S) John W. Wilson, Francis	F. Badavi, Judy L. Shinn, a	and Robert C. Costen		
PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) NASA Langley Research Center Hampton, VA 23681-0001			8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER L-17302	
SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) National Aeronautics and Space Administration Washington, DC 20546-0001			10. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY REPORT NUMBER NASA TM-4519	
University, Newport News, VA.			12b. DISTRIBUTION CODE	
Subject Category 93				
ABSTRACT (Maximum 200 word A nonperturbative analyti a computer code for labora on the Langley nuclear fri established to generate li comparison with our prime	's) ic solution of the high charge atory ion beam transport in n agmentation model used in e inear energy transfer (LET)	and energy (HZE) Gr nultilayered materials. engineering applicatio distributions for a s	een's function is used to implement . The code is established to operate ns. Computational procedures are	
with the perturbation app	ortai measurements. The co proximation.	de was found to be l	specified ion beam and target for highly efficient and compared well	
UBJECT TERMS Radiation shielding; Multi	layer; Green's function	de was found to be l	15. NUMBER OF PAGES	

Prescribed by ANSI Std. Z39-18 298-102





H