LA-14155-PR

U.S. Department of Energy Report
2003 LANL Radionuclide Air Emissions

Site Name: Los Alamos National Laboratory
Location: County of Los Alamos, New Mexico

Operations Office Information:

Office: Los Alamos Site Office

Address: U.S. Department of Energy
National Nuclear Security Administration
Los Alamos Site Operations
Los Alamos, NM 87544

Contact: Joseph C. Vozella **Phone:** (505) 665-6351

Site Information:

Operator: University of California

Address: Los Alamos National Laboratory
PO Box 1663
Los Alamos, NM 87545

Contact: Jean M. Dewart **Phone:** (505) 665-8855

Compliance Assessment:

2003 **EDE:** 0.65 mrem

2003 LANL Radionuclide Air Emissions Report

Preface

Amendments to the Clean Air Act, which added radionuclides to the National Emissions Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants (NESHAPs), went into effect in 1990. Specifically, a subsection (H) of 40 CFR 61 established an annual limit on the impact to the public attributable to emissions of radionuclides from U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) Facilities, such as the Los Alamos National Laboratory (LANL). As part of the new NESHAP regulations, LANL must also submit an annual report to the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) headquarters and the regional office in Dallas by June 30. This report includes results of monitoring at LANL and the dose calculations for the calendar year (CY) 2003.

Executive Summary

Presented is the LANL-wide certified report regarding radioactive effluents released into the air by LANL in 2003. This information is required under the Clean Air Act and is being reported to the U.S. EPA. The highest effective dose equivalent (EDE) to an off-site member of the public was calculated using procedures specified by the U.S. EPA and described in this report. The “Rad-NESHAPs” section of LANL’s Meteorology and Air Quality Group (MAQ) prepared this report.

To comply with the Radionuclide-NESHAP regulation, LANL monitors radionuclide emissions at 28 release points or stacks. Also, the Air Quality group uses a network of air samplers around LANL to monitor airborne levels of radionuclides. In addition, LANL maintains and operates meteorological monitoring systems.

The highest EDE to any member of the public at any off-site location where there is a residence, school, business, or office, for CY 2003, was 0.65 mrem. This location was a business located at East Gate Drive, on the northeastern boundary of LANL. The majority of this dose is due to air-borne effluents from a linear particle accelerator located at the Los Alamos Neutron Science Center (LANSCE) near the northeastern boundary of LANL. Doses reported to the U.S. EPA for the past nine years are shown in Table i. The U.S. EPA annual dose limit is 10 mrem.

In 2003, LANSCE operated in the same configuration as 2002, with beam operation to the “1L Target” and the Lujan Neutron Scattering Center. The facility operated with the “beam on” during January, then from July to December of 2003. In previous years, emissions from LANSCE have contributed to over 90% of the total off-site dose. In

2003, the contribution was about 50% of the total value. The reduction was due to successful emissions controls efforts at LANSCE.

Table i. Nine Year Summary of NESHAPs Dose Assessment for LANL

Year	EDE (mrem)	Highest EDE location
1995	5.05	2470 East Gate Dr
1996	1.93	2470 East Gate Dr
1997	3.51	2470 East Gate Dr
1998	1.72	2470 East Gate Dr
1999	0.32	County Landfill Office
2000	0.64	2470 East Gate Dr
2001	1.84	2470 East Gate Dr
2002	1.69	2470 East Gate Dr
2003	0.65	2470 East Gate Dr

2003 Events

In early February of 2003, RRES-MAQ hosted George Brozowski of EPA-Region 6, visiting TA-54 and CMR. We also discussed the Rad-NESHAP regulatory changes, LANL implementation of these changes, and other items such as the proposed CMR replacement project. A "protocol statement" was sent to EPA Region 6 in March, detailing how LANL will meet the revised Rad-NESHAP regulations. This document describes in general how LANL will implement maintenance requirements and perform stack monitoring and/or periodic confirmatory measurements.

In 2003 the stack inspection program was formally proceduralized and implemented. All stacks except the tritium stacks were inspected by the end of 2003. One sampling system at TA-50 building 1 underwent maintenance as a result of the stack inspections. Four other systems, two at CMR, and two at TA-55-4 require similar maintenance. We presented our stack inspection program and Rad-NESHAP compliance program as a poster at the Health Physics Society (HPS) meeting in San Diego. A more formal presentation was presented at the HPS 2004 meeting in Augusta, Georgia.

In 2003, RRES-MAQ requested an alternative method approval from EPA Region 6 to allow the tritium stacks performance tests to meet the annual inspection criteria. We received an Alternative Reference Method approval from EPA Region 6, which allows RRES-MAQ to take credit for our periodic tritium stack performance test in lieu of performing a visual inspection on these stacks. The performance test provides a measurable, quantitative evaluation of the sampling system that is superior to the visual inspection. Avoiding the duplication of effort will save time and money.

In July of 2003 the Omega West reactor decommissioning and demolition project finished ahead of schedule and under budget. The nuclear research reactor was formerly located at TA-2 in Los Alamos canyon. The main focus of the work was to eliminate the potential spreading of radioactive contamination possible from potential flooding and to restore the area to its natural environmental state. There were no unusual results recorded at the air sampling stations in Los Alamos canyon or at air sampling stations located on the mesa top near the work site.

The laboratory used by RRES-MAQ for stack sample analysis (DOE Grand Junction laboratory) was scheduled to close in early Jan 2004, just a few months after MAQ successfully transitioned the stack sampling analytical operations to it. Paragon Analytics in Ft. Collins, Colorado was identified as the next supplier for this service. The existing contract with Paragon was modified & shipments began in late December 2003.

This report contains two major divisions. The first division primarily describes the “Rad-NESHAP” program and compliance activities at LANL and is organized into five sections. The second division consists mainly of data tables required for reporting purposes; in this section, Table 14 provides doses calculated at various public locations around LANL. Table 15 summarizes the different LANL contributions to the total highest dose for CY 2003.

2003 LANL Radionuclide Air Emissions Report

Section I. Facility Information

61.94(b)(1) Name and Location of Facility

Los Alamos National Laboratory (LANL or the Laboratory) and the associated residential areas of Los Alamos and White Rock are located in Los Alamos County, in north-central New Mexico, approximately 100 km (60 mi.) north-northeast of Albuquerque and 40 km (25 mi.) northwest of Santa Fe (Figure 1).

61.94(b)(2) List of Radioactive Materials Used at LANL

Since the Laboratory's inception in 1943, its primary mission has been nuclear weapons research and development. Programs include weapons development, nonproliferation, magnetic and inertial fusion, nuclear fission, nuclear safeguards and security, and laser isotope separation. There is also basic research in the areas of physics, chemistry, and engineering, and in biology that complements and draws upon basic research in the physical sciences.

The primary facilities involved in the emissions of radioactivity are outlined in this section. The facility locations are designated by technical area (TA) and building. For example, the facility designation TA-3-29 is Building 29 at Technical Area 3 (see Figure 2 showing the technical areas at LANL). Potential radionuclide release points are listed in several tables that follow. Some of the sources described below are characterized as non-point. Beginning in 1995, air sampling results from LANL's air sampling network (AIRNET) were used, with EPA approval, to calculate off-site impacts resulting from diffuse and fugitive emissions of radioactive particles and tritium oxide from non-point sources.

Radioactive materials used at LANL include weapons-grade plutonium, heat-source plutonium, enriched uranium, depleted uranium, and tritium. Also, a variety of materials are generated through the process of activation; consequent emissions occur as gaseous mixed activation products (GMAP) and other activation products occur in particulate and vapor form (P/VAP).

The radionuclides emitted from point sources at LANL in CY 2003 are listed in the subsequent tables. Tritium is released as tritium oxide and elemental tritium. Plutonium contains traces of ^{241}Am, a transformation product of ^{241}Pu. Some of the uranium emissions are from open-air explosive tests involving depleted uranium. GMAP emissions include ^{41}Ar, ^{11}C, ^{13}N, ^{16}N, ^{14}O, and ^{15}O. Various radionuclides such as ^{193}Hg, ^{197}Hg, ^{68}Ge, and ^{82}Br make up the majority of the P/VAP emissions.

61.94(b)(3) Handling and Processing of Radioactive Materials at LANL Technical Areas

Additional descriptions of LANL technical areas can be found in the annual environmental report for LANL. More thorough descriptions of LANL operations can be found in the annual yearbooks published by LANL's Site-Wide Issues Program Office, the most recent being published in 2001.[1]



Figure 1. Location of Los Alamos National Laboratory.



Figure 2. Los Alamos National Laboratory technical areas by number.

The primary facilities responsible for radiological airborne emissions are as follows.

TA-3-29: The Chemistry and Metallurgy Research (CMR) facility conducts chemical and metallurgical research. The principal radionuclides used are isotopes of plutonium as well as other actinides. There are a variety of activities involving plutonium and uranium which support many LANL and other DOE programs conducted primarily at other facilities.

TA-3-66: This building and three other main buildings are used for a variety of nuclear materials work, primarily for dealing with metallic and ceramic items, including depleted uranium.

TA-3-102: This machine shop is used for the metalworking of radioactive materials, primarily depleted uranium.

TA-3-1698: This facility is designated as the Materials Science Laboratory. The building was designed to accommodate a wide variety of chemicals used in small amounts that are typical of many university and industrial labs conducting research in materials science.

TA-15-PHERMEX and TA-36: These facilities conduct open-air explosive tests involving depleted uranium and weapons development testing.

TA-15-312-DARHT: This facility conducts high-explosive-driven experiments to investigate weapons functions and behavior during non-nuclear tests using advanced radiography. The facility completed the construction of the second stage of the flash X-ray machine in March 2003.

TA-16-205-WETF: This facility is located in Buildings 205 and 205A in the southeast section of TA-16. Building 205 was specifically designed and built to process tritium safely and to meet user needs and specifications. The operations at WETF are divided into two categories: tritium processing and activities that support tritium processing. Examples of tritium-processing operations include the repackaging of tritium into smaller quantities and the packaging of tritium and other gases to user-specified pressures. Other operations include reacting tritium with other materials to form compounds and analyzing the effects of tritium.

TA-21: Many of the facilities at this decommissioned radiochemistry site are undergoing decontamination and demolition (D&D). Some of these operations may contribute to diffuse emissions of uranium and plutonium into the air. The DP West area has been in the D&D program since 1992; a number of buildings have been demolished.

TA-21-155 and **TA-21-209:** These facilities, located in the DP East area, previously conducted operations involving tritium. Programs included the testing of tritium-control systems for the nuclear fusion program (TA-21-155), the preparation of targets containing tritium for laser-fusion research, and the handling of tritium for defense programs. Building 155 is being prepared for D&D in the near future. Tritium recovery operations from old equipment are being conducted at TA-21-209.

TA-18: This nuclear facility studies the behavior of nuclear materials using critical assemblies. Some of the assemblies are used as a source of fission neutrons for experimental purposes, resulting in a diffuse source of ^{41}Ar emissions.

TA-41-4: This building was formerly used as a tritium-handling facility. The tritium sources were removed in 2002. Emissions primarily result from residual tritium contamination and cleanup operations.

TA-48-1: The principal activities carried out in this facility are radiochemical separations supporting the medical radioisotope production program, the Yucca Mountain program, nuclear chemistry experiments, and geochemical and environmental research. These separations involve nCi to Ci (hot cell) amounts of radioactive materials and use a wide range of analytical chemical separation techniques, such as ion exchange, solvent extraction, mass spectroscopy, plasma emission spectroscopy, and ion chromatography.

TA-50-1: This waste management site consists of a industrial low-level (radioactive) liquid waste treatment plant. There is a wastewater outfall from TA-50-1 that may result in a diffuse source of airborne tritium.

TA-50-37: This controlled air incinerator was decommissioned in 1996 and is no longer active. It has been remodeled to house the Radioactive Materials Research Operations Demonstration (RAMROD) project.

TA-50-69: This waste management site consists of a waste characterization, reduction, and repackaging facility.

TA-53: This technical area houses the Los Alamos Neutron Science Center (LANSCE), a linear particle accelerator complex. The accelerator is used to conduct research in stockpile stewardship, radiobiology, materials science, and isotope production, among other areas. LANSCE consists of the Manuel Lujan Neutron Scattering Center, the Proton Storage Ring, the Weapons Neutron Research facilities, the Proton Radiography facility, and the high-intensity beam line (Line A).

The facility accelerates protons and H^- ions to an energy of 800 MeV into target materials such as graphite and tungsten to produce neutrons and other subatomic particles. The design current of the accelerator is approximately 1000 microamperes. Medium (100 micro amp) intensity beam operations to the Proton Storage Ring (PSR) and the Manuel Lujan Neutron Scattering Center were conducted in January, and then July to December of 2003. Low- intensity beam (up to 10 microamps) operations to the PSR, the Weapons Neutron Research facility, and the Proton Radiography facility were conducted throughout the same period.

Airborne radioactive emissions result from proton beams and secondary particles passing through and activating air in target cells, beam stop, and surrounding areas, or activating water used in target cooling systems. The majority of the emissions are short-lived activation products such as ^{11}C, ^{13}N, and ^{15}O. Most of the activated air is vented through the main stacks; however, a fraction of the activated air becomes a fugitive emission from the target areas. Two new solar evaporative basins were constructed and began operation in 1999 to evaporate wastewater from the accelerator. Evaporation of water from these facilities can result in a diffuse source of airborne tritium.

TA-54: This waste management site consists of active and inactive shallow land burial sites for solid waste and is the primary storage area for mixed and transuranic radioactive waste. Area G at TA-54 is a known source of diffuse emissions of tritium vapor. Resuspension of soil contaminated with low levels of plutonium/americium has also created a diffuse source. Shipments of transuranic waste for disposal at the Waste Isolation Pilot Plant began in 1999 and continued on into 2003.

TA-55-4: As discussed in the *Site-Wide Environmental Impact Statement for Continued Operation of the Los Alamos National Laboratory*, this facility is slated for a plutonium pit production mission while it continues its traditional role of housing research-and-development applications in chemical and metallurgical processes for recovering, purifying, and converting plutonium and other actinides.[2] A wide range of activities (e.g. the heating, dissolution, forming, welding, etc., of special nuclear materials) is also conducted. Additional activities include the means to safely ship, receive, handle, and store nuclear materials, and manage wastes and residues from TA-55.

Section II. Air Emissions Data

61.94(b)(4) Point Sources

Monitored and unmonitored exhaust stacks or point sources at LANL are listed in Table 1. The point sources are identified using an eight-digit identification number for each exhaust stack (ESIDNUM); the first two digits represent the LANL technical area, the next four the building, and the last two digits the stack number. Also listed in Table 1 are type, number, and efficiency of the effluent controls used on the release points. Each stage of the high-efficiency particulate air (HEPA) exhaust filters is tested at least once every 12 months. The performance criteria for HEPA filter systems are a maximum penetration of 5×10^{-4} for one stage and 2.5×10^{-7} for two stages in series, in which penetration equals the concentration of aerosol downstream of the air cleaner divided by concentration upstream.

The distance between each of the 28 monitored point sources and the nearest receptor is provided in Table 2. The nearest receptor can be a residence, school, business, or office. In this report, the nearest receptor is defined as the public receptor most impacted by a given release point; that is, the air dispersion pattern is taken into account to determine the nearest or most critical receptor location. The distance to the nearest farm producing milk is 20 km east of the Laboratory's eastern boundary; the nearest farms producing meat and vegetables adjoin the Laboratory's eastern boundary, about 4 km from the main exhaust stack at LANSCE. More detailed agricultural information can be found in a supplemental LANL report.[3] At this time, LANL is not using this site-specific agricultural data in the CAP88 model; preprogrammed or default values for New Mexico are utilized for the number of beef and milk cattle and for agricultural productivity.

In addition to the 28 monitored release points, approximately 40 unmonitored release points in more than 30 LANL buildings are included in Table 1. Under 40 CFR 61.93(b)(4)(i), sampling of these release points is not required because each release point has a potential effective dose equivalent (EDE) of less than 0.1 mrem/yr at the critical receptor. However, in order to verify that emissions from unmonitored point sources remain low, LANL conducts periodic confirmatory measurements in the form of the Radioactive Materials Usage Survey. The purpose of this survey is to collect and analyze radioactive materials usage and process information for the monitored and unmonitored point sources at LANL.

Guided by Appendix D to 40 CFR 61, we have used data collected from the facilities in conjunction with engineering calculations and other methods to develop conservative emissions estimates from unmonitored point sources. Estimated potential effective dose equivalents (PEDEs) are calculated by modeling these emissions estimates using the EPA-approved CAP88 dose modeling software. A comprehensive survey of all of LANL's monitored and unmonitored point sources is conducted annually or biannually, depending on the magnitude of potential emissions. Results of the 2003 Usage Survey can be found in the report *2003 Radioactive Materials Usage Survey for Point Sources*.[4] The Laboratory has established administrative requirements to evaluate all potential new sources. These requirements are established for the review of new Laboratory activities and projects ensuring that air quality regulatory requirements will be met before the activity or project begins.[5]

Non-point Sources

There are a variety of non-point sources within the 111 km^2 of land occupied by LANL. Non-point sources can occur as diffuse or large-area sources or as leaks or fugitive emissions from facilities. Examples of non-point sources of airborne radionuclides include surface impoundments, shallow land burial sites, open burn sites, live firing sites, outfalls, container storage areas, unvented buildings, waste-treatment areas, solid waste management units, and tanks. The Laboratory measures the annual average ambient concentrations of important airborne radionuclides (other than activated gases) at a number of potential receptor locations.

Beginning in 1995, LANL began summarizing the potential impacts of non-point sources by analyzing and reporting air concentration measurements collected at ambient air-sampling sites around the Laboratory. Previously, LANL had estimated emissions from the most significant non-point sources and determined the impacts using EPA's dose assessment computer program. The Laboratory and EPA negotiated this new method of assessing non-point sources as part of a Federal Facility Compliance Agreement (FFCA).[6] Results of the air sampling analysis are provided in Section III of this report. There were no unusual results recorded by the air sampling stations for 2003.

Radionuclide Emissions

Radionuclides released from monitored point sources, along with the annual emissions for each radionuclide, are documented in Table 9. The point sources are identified using an eight-digit identification number for each exhaust stack (ESIDNUM): the first two digits represent the LANL technical area, the next four digits the building and the last two digits the stack number. No detectable emissions are denoted as ND. A map showing the general locations of the facilities continuously monitored for radionuclide emissions is shown in Figure 3.



Figure 3. Locations of facilities with continuously operated stack-sampling systems for radionuclide emissions.

Pollution Controls

At Los Alamos National Laboratory the most common type of filtration for emission control purposes is the HEPA filter. HEPA filters are constructed of submicrometer glass fibers that are pressed and glued into a compact, paper-like, pleated media. The media is folded alternately over corrugated separators and mounted into a metal or wood frame in eight standard sizes and airflow capacities. A Type I nuclear- grade HEPA filter is capable of removing 99.97% of 0.3 μm particles at rated airflow. Other types of filters used in ventilation systems are Aerosol 95, RIGA-FLOW 220, and FARR 30/30. These units are typically used as prefilters in HEPA filtration systems. These filters are significantly less efficient than HEPA filters and are typically used for collecting particulate matter larger than 5 μm. The above mentioned filters are only effective for particles. When the contaminant of concern is in the form of a gas, activated charcoal beds can be used. Charcoal beds collect the gas contaminant through an adsorption process in which the gas comes in contact with the charcoal and adheres to the surface of the charcoal. The charcoal can be coated with different types of materials to make the adsorption process more efficient for different types of contaminants. Typically charcoal beds can achieve an efficiency of 98% capture.

Tritium effluent controls are generally composed of a catalytic reactor and a molecular sieve bed (CR/MS). Tritium-contaminated effluent is passed through a catalyst that converts elemental tritium (HT) into tritium oxide (HTO). This HTO is then collected as water on a molecular sieve bed. This process can be repeated until the tritium level is at, or below, the desired level. The effluent is then vented through the stack.

A delay system is used to reduce some of the short-lived radionuclides generated by activation at LANSCE. Emissions from the highest source of activated gas (the off-gas system for the 1L target cooling loops) are directed into a long transport line to hold up the radionuclide gases prior to their emission. This delay system provided a 60% reduction in radionuclide emissions from the 1L target area.

Compliance with new Maintenance & Inspection Requirements under the Revised Rad-NESHAP

Effective January 1, 2003, 40 CFR 61 Subpart H and Appendix B were revised. These revisions established several new inspection and maintenance requirements for monitored stacks. These requirements are based on Table 5 of ANSI/HPS N13.1-1999, "Sampling and Monitoring Releases of Airborne Radioactive Substances from the Stacks and Ducts of Nuclear Facilities."

LANL's approach to these requirements was three-fold. First, an administrative program was established to determine how LANL would incorporate these requirements. Second, an inspection program was established to perform the required visual inspections of particulate sampling systems. Finally, an alternative method was obtained from the Environmental Protection Agency - Region 6 to allow LANL's existing program of performance-testing tritium sampling systems to be used in lieu of visual inspections of these sampling systems.

The administrative program that was already in place in the beginning of 2003 proved to be quite robust, and only minimal changes were required to meet the new requirements. A document was sent to the EPA Region 6 office to describe how LANL would incorporate these changes, and other guidance documents that assist in LANL's compliance with the Subpart H revisions were developed over the course of 2003. These documents are available on the LANL RRES-MAQ web site, http://www.cleanair.lanl.gov/Neshap.htm.

A total of 26 sampling systems were inspected in 2003; 24 particulate & vapor stack sampling systems and two radioactive gas sampling systems. One system had a single discrete particle on the probe which was removed during inspection. Five systems had visible deposition on the internal surfaces which require removal and thorough cleaning. One of these systems was cleaned in 2003. The remaining four systems are to be addressed in 2004; access and work control requirements prevented these systems from being removed and cleaned during 2003.

It should be noted that some deposition on the internal surfaces of the sample systems is expected. Each system is evaluated for particle transport through the system, and measured emissions are scaled up appropriately. Additionally, whenever a cleaning activity is performed, any radioactive material detected on the probe or on cleaning materials (cloths, wash water, etc.) is added back into the annual source term for that year. For example, during the inspection and cleaning process at TA-53-3 ES-3, there were 3.5E-10 curies of Co-60 detected on the cleaning rags, etc., from this process. This was likely due to the single debris point that was discovered and removed during the inspection process. This amount of Co-60 was added into the annual source term for this stack. The other major cleaning process in 2003, in which the sampler was removed and cleaned, revealed no radioactive material in the buildup on the sampler surfaces. No change was made to the source term for this stack.

In December 2003, the EPA Region 6 office granted approval for using LANL's tritium stack sampling system performance test in lieu of visual inspection requirements. The performance tests, performed at least annually, determine a quantitative efficiency for each sampling system. This efficiency, required to be at least 80%, is used to correct the emissions measurement values.

Additional requirements for “Tier I” sources, the sources with the highest level of actual emissions, are established in the revised Rad-NESHAP standard. Two sources at the LANSCE accelerator facility are treated as Tier I sources, although neither actually had emissions which met the Tier I requirements in 2003. These additional requirements include real-time monitoring with alarm capability and continuous measurement of stack and sample flow rates.

The results of LANL's compliance with new Rad-NESHAP requirements were presented at the mid-year Health Physics Society meeting, held in Augusta, GA, in February 2004. This meeting also served as the annual meeting of Rad-NESHAP representatives from the EPA and DOE complex.

Section III. Dose Assessment

61.94(b)(7) Description of Dose Calculations

Effective dose equivalent (or dose) calculations for point sources, unmonitored point sources, and non-point gaseous activation products from LANSCE and TA-18 were performed with the mainframe CAP88 version of AIRDOS. This procedure included using PREPAR to prepare the input file to AIRDOS and using the DARTAB preprocessor to prepare the dose conversion factor input file for DARTAB. The calculations used dose conversion factors taken from the RADRISK database that was distributed along with the CAP88 programs.[7] Verification of the CAP88 code is performed regularly by running the EPA test cases originally distributed with the mainframe version.[8]

Development of Source Term

Tritium emissions

Tritium emissions from the Laboratory's tritium facilities are measured using a collection device known as a bubbler. This device enables the Laboratory to determine not only the total amount of tritium released but also whether it is in the elemental (HT) or oxide (HTO) form. The bubbler operates by pulling a continuous sample of air from the stack, which is then "bubbled" through three sequential vials containing ethylene glycol. The ethylene glycol collects the water vapor from the sample of air, including any tritium that is part of a water molecule (tritium oxide or HTO). After "bubbling" through these three vials, essentially all the HTO is removed from the air, leaving elemental tritium or HT. The sample, containing the elemental tritium, is then passed through a palladium catalyst that converts the elemental tritium to HTO. The sample is pulled through three additional vials containing ethylene glycol, which collects the newly formed HTO. The amount of HTO and HT is determined by analyzing the ethylene glycol for the presence of tritium using liquid scintillation counting (LSC). Although LANL's measurement device can distinguish the presence of HTO from HT, all emissions of tritium are assumed to be HTO for modeling the off-site dose. Because HTO contributes approximately 20,000 times more dose than an equivalent amount of HT, this is a conservative measure further ensuring that the dose to an off-site receptor is not underestimated.

Tritium emissions from LANSCE do not require monitoring under 40 CFR 61.93(b)(4)(i). The primary source for airborne tritium emissions at LANSCE is activation of water vapor in air and activation and subsequent evaporation of water in the cooling system of beam targets. Because of the low relative contribution of tritium to the off-site dose at LANSCE, formal monitoring for tritium was discontinued after July 2001. However, the tritium emissions for 2003 can be calculated based on the rate of generation measured in 2001. Using these rate-of-generation calculations, the tritium emissions from LANSCE stacks in 2003 were calculated to be about 0.7 Ci from 53000303 and 3.7 Ci from 53000702.

Radioactive particle emissions

Emissions of radioactive particulate matter, generated by operations at facilities such as the Chemistry and Metallurgy Research (CMR) Building and the Plutonium Facility (TA-55), are sampled using a glass-fiber filter. A continuous sample of stack air is pulled through the filter, where small particles of radioactive material are captured. These samples are analyzed weekly using gross alpha/beta counting and gamma spectroscopy to identify any increase in emissions and to identify short-lived radioactive materials. Every six months, LANL composites these stack samples for subsequent analysis at an off-site Laboratory. These composite samples are analyzed to determine the total activity of materials such as ^{234}U, ^{235}U, ^{238}U, ^{238}Pu, ^{239}Pu, and ^{241}Am. These data are then combined with estimates of sampling losses and stack and sample flows to calculate emissions. For the case of radionuclides that have short-lived daughters, LANL includes these progeny in the source term. For example, the analytical laboratory measures the parent radionuclide ^{238}U, and its short-lived progeny (^{234}Th and ^{234m}Pa) are assumed to be in equilibrium with ^{238}U.

Vapor form emissions

Vapor emissions, generated by LANSCE operations and by hot-cell activities at CMR and TA-48, are sampled using a charcoal filter or canister. A continuous sample of stack air is pulled through a charcoal filter upon which vaporous emissions of radionuclides are adsorbed. The amount and identity of the radionuclide(s) present on the filter are determined through the use of gamma spectroscopy. This information is then used to calculate emissions. Examples of radionuclides of this type include ^{193}Hg, ^{197}Hg, ^{68}Ge, and ^{82}Br.

Gaseous mixed activation products (GMAP)

GMAP emissions, resulting from activities at LANSCE, are measured using near real-time monitoring data. A sample of stack air is pulled through an ionization chamber that measures the total amount of radioactivity in the sample. Specific radioisotopes are identified through the use of gamma spectroscopy and decay curves. This information is then used to calculate emissions. Radionuclides of this type include ^{11}C, ^{13}N, and ^{15}O.

Summary of input parameters

Effective dose equivalents to potential receptors were calculated for all radioactive air emissions from sampled LANL point sources. Input parameters for these point sources are provided in Table 3. The geographic locations of the release points, given in NM State Plane coordinates, are provided in Table 4. The relationships of receptor locations to the individual release points are provided in Table 5. The nearest receptor location is different for each point source. However, because the majority of the yearly dose has historically been caused by LANSCE emissions, the LANSCE critical receptor location has historically been the maximum dose location for all Laboratory emissions. This location is a business office approximately 800 m north-northeast of the LANSCE stacks. Emissions and doses from LANSCE are calculated on a monthly basis during beam operations to ensure continued compliance with the 10 mrem/yr standard.

Other site-specific parameters and the sources of these data are provided in Table 6. The LANL Meteorology and Air Quality Group (MAQ) operates an on-site network of meteorological monitoring towers. Data gathered by the towers are summarized and formatted for input to the CAP88 program. For 2003, data from four different towers were used for the air-dispersion modeling; the tower data that is most representative of the release point is applied. Copies of the meteorological data files used for the 2003 dose assessment are provided in Table 7.

The MAQ Group also inputs population array data to the CAP88 program. The data file represents a 16-sector polar-type array, with 20 radial distances for each sector. Population arrays are developed for each release point using U.S. Census data, updated with annual projections from the New Mexico Bureau of Business and Economic Research. An example of the population array used for the LANSCE facility is provided in Table 8. For agricultural array input, LANL is currently using the default values in CAP88. Finally, the radionuclide inputs for the point sources monitored in 2003 are provided in Table 9.

Public receptors

Compliance with the annual dose standard is determined by calculating the highest EDE to any member of the public at any off-site point where there is a residence, school, business, or office. Late in the calendar year, a visual tour of the laboratory vicinity was completed to identify new locations inhabited by the public; that is, new off-site public receptors that had not existed in the year previous to this assessment. Some new businesses and residences were noted in the 2003 tour. In this report, the nearest off-site point is defined to be the area of public inhabitation where the highest off-site dose occurs for a given emissions source. For the 2003 compliance assessment, LANL-wide doses were evaluated at the nearest off-site point for each monitored emissions stack, as well as a number of additional key locations.

Starting in CY 2001, LANL began leasing some office buildings and property to parties not directly employed by LANL. One such example is the ICON facility located at TA-46. Another case is the establishment of office and workspace for U.S. Forest Service Personnel to be housed on-site during periods of increased danger from wildfires. In neither case are these personnel determined to be public receptors. Personnel of this type are considered to be subcontractors to DOE, similar to security guards and maintenance workers. These workers must carry a LANL identification badge and pass through a gate controlled for access to LANL/DOE employees and contractors only. This determination in concurrent with the EPA guidance on leased DOE facilities.[9]

Point Source Emissions Modeling

The CAP88 program was used to calculate doses from both the monitored and unmonitored point sources at LANL. The CAP88 program uses on-site meteorological data to calculate atmospheric dispersion and transport of the radioactive effluents. There are a number of radionuclides monitored in LANL effluents that are not included in the dose factor database used by CAP88.[8] For these radionuclides, such as ^{193}Hg, ^{197}Hg, ^{68}Ge, ^{10}C, ^{14}O and ^{16}N, etc., LANL uses the CAP88 code to calculate airborne concentrations. We then apply exposure-to-dose conversion factors from EPA approved sources, such as dose conversation factors from U.S. DOE reports [10,11] or EPA's Federal Guidance Reports.[12,13] At the LANL-wide maximum dose location for 2003, the total estimated dose arising from emissions of radionuclides not included in the CAP88 library was about 0.075 mrem. This number is included in the total annual dose. The LANL MAQ Group has informed the regional office of the U.S. EPA of the various steps and methods used to calculate the doses from such radionuclides.[14]

LANSCE Fugitive Emission Modeling

Some of the GMAP created at the accelerator target cells migrate into room air and into the environment. These fugitive sources are continuously monitored throughout the beam-operating period. In 2003, approximately 112 Ci of ^{11}C and 8.6 Ci of ^{41}Ar were released from LANSCE as fugitive emissions. This source was modeled as an area source using CAP88 and meteorological data coinciding with the LANSCE run cycle. Fugitive effluents were modeled from two areas at LANSCE; additional source information is provided in Table 10.

TA-18 Non-point Emission Modeling

This site consists of a variety of nuclear assemblies that are operated at near-critical conditions. During the near-critical operations, neutrons are generated that, in turn; activate argon atoms in the air surrounding the assembly. Operations conducted in 2003 were evaluated for their potential to create ^{41}Ar gas. In 2003, approximately 1.0 Ci of ^{41}Ar was generated, and the dose was evaluated with CAP88. Additional source information is provided in Table 10.

Environmental Data

The net annual average ambient concentration of airborne radionuclides measured at 18 air sampling stations (Figure 4) is calculated by subtracting an appropriate background concentration value. The net concentration at each air sampler is converted to the annual EDE using Table 2 of Appendix E of 40 CFR 61 and applying the valid assumption that each table value is equivalent to 10 mrem/yr from all appropriate exposure pathways (100% occupancy assumed at the respective location).[15] Dose assessment results from each air sampler are given in Table 11. The operational performance and analytical completeness of each air sampler is provided in Table 12.

LANSCE Monthly Assessments

The MAQ group evaluates the dose from short-lived radioactive gases released from LANSCE on a monthly basis. The monthly dose values are evaluated with the actual meteorology for the month and these doses are shown in Table 13. The MAQ group also evaluates the total LANSCE emissions for the year with annual average meteorology and compares the results to the monthly values summed for the calendar year; the values for these two assessments were 0.207 and 0.188 mrem, respectively. The values would not be expected to match, but should show satisfactory agreement between each other. We used the values from the monthly sum (that is, 0.188 mrem) in the calculation of the total dose, since it is a more accurate estimation of the dose from LANSCE emissions.

Highest EDE Determination

A major change to the procedure for determining the highest EDE was necessary for CY 1999 because of significantly reduced emissions from the LANSCE facility. Over the previous nine years to 1999, the off-site EDE from LANSCE operations averaged about 5 mrem. For 1999, the highest off-site EDE from the LANSCE facility was about 0.01 mrem. The highest offsite EDE location for LANSCE effluents is a business in the East Gate



Figure 4. Locations of Air Sampling Stations Used for Non-point Source Compliance.

area (2470 East Gate Drive). Because the contribution from LANSCE for 1999 was greatly reduced, the location of the highest off-site dose was not as readily established as had been in the past.

In late 1999, LANL began working on a plan to ensure that the location of the highest public dose could be determined. This plan uses a multi-step approach, and the steps used were presented to the local Citizen's Advisory Board (CAB) for LANL for their review and comment. This approach was approved by the CAB for CY 1999 and has since been used in all subsequent dose assessments. Table 14 shows the sites identified by LANL for the purposes of finding the location of the highest off-site dose. Also shown in the table is the AIRNET sampling station that the MAQ group associated with the selected public receptor location. The LANL-wide doses at these various off-site locations are provided in Table 14. The highest off-site dose location was determined to be the East Gate area because of increased emissions from LANSCE in 2003 as compared to the 1999 emissions.

61.92 Compliance Assessment

The highest effective dose equivalent (EDE) to any member of the public at any off-site point where there is a residence, school, or business office was 0.65 mrem for radionuclides released by LANL in 2003. This dose was calculated by adding up the doses for each of the point sources at LANL, the diffuse and fugitive gaseous activation products from LANSCE and TA-18, and the dose measured by the ambient air sampler in the vicinity of the public receptor location. The compliance assessment also includes a potential dose contribution of 0.195 mrem from unmonitored stacks. Because the emissions estimates do not account for pollution control systems, the actual dose will be significantly less for the unmonitored point sources. Also, this dose includes an approximate 0.075 mrem contribution from radionuclides not included in CAP88. Table 15 of this report provides the compliance assessment summary. The location of the off-site point of highest EDE for 2003 was a business office at 2470 East Gate Drive; this location is the same as the location of the previous year’s assessment.

Section IV. Constructions and Modifications

61.94(b)(8) Constructions and Modifications

A brief description of constructions and modifications that were completed and/or reviewed in 2003 but for which the requirement to apply for approval to construct or modify was waived under 61.96 is normally provided here. The MAQ Group for LANL/DOE maintains the documents developed to support the waiver. We are providing additional information on other Rad-NESHAP activities noteworthy for 2003.

Air Curtain Destructor Unit Operations

The Air Curtain Destructor (ACD) units had been operated at LANL since 2001 to burn wood and wood slash harvested from over grown forested areas to reduce the fuel loading and to prevent wildfires. From 29 August 2003 through 13 September 2003, the ACDs were used to burn wood harvested from the Dynamic Experimentation (DX) operating areas. Based on the nature of the activities performed by DX, the wood and slash was considered to be potentially contaminated with depleted uranium. The resulting ash was surveyed for radioactive contamination, and the results indicated no readings above the detection limit of the instrument. For this project, the uncontrolled dose was conservatively estimated, using CAP88, to be 1.2E-05 mrem/yr. The ACDs ceased operations in 2003.

Isotope Production Facility 2 (IPF2)

The new Isotope Production Facility at TA-53 received its first beam delivery on 23 December 2003. This facility produces radioactive isotopes for medical diagnosis, treatment, and research throughout the nation. Potential annual emissions from this facility are expected to be less than 4.0E-02 mrem/yr. This facility is equipped with a non-point emissions monitoring system, similar to other areas at TA-53. Emissions from two days of operations in late December 2003 will be included in the 2004 emissions summary, and reported as part of the annual Rad-NESHAP report for 2004.

(61.96) Activity Relocations

By the EPA definition of modification, the relocations of existing activities at LANL do not require preconstruction approval from EPA. Therefore, the relocations does not require reporting as constructions or modifications for which the requirement for approval was waived under §61.96. However, the relocations are presented as the construction of new release points and the first-time use of radionuclides in an existing building.

Uranium Waste Processing

Radionuclide air emissions were released from TA-54-215 for the first time in September 2003. The project resulting in these emissions is ongoing stabilization and solidification of depleted uranium chips and turnings. This project has taken place previously at a number of locations at LANL. Almost sixty containers were processed in calendar year (CY) 2003 with operations ending in November. There are more drums to be processed, and operations may resume as early as the fall 2004. For these waste stabilization operations at TA-54-215, the uncontrolled dose from 2003 activities was estimated, using CAP88, to be 2.6E-05 mrem/yr. The maximum uncontrolled dose from waste stabilization operations at this building is 1.1E-04 mrem/yr.

RRES-MAQ is treating this stack as a first-time radioactive air emissions source. There have been no operations in this building in the last five years that have involved radioactive air emissions. In 1997, a single radioactive liquid transfer operation took place in this building. However, it was not a routine operation; the building has historically served as a waste storage facility with no active operations.

Surrogate Fuel Pellet Fabrication and Characterization

Radionuclide air emissions were released from TA-3-32 for the first time in June 2003. The activity that contributed to the emissions was ongoing fuel pellet fabrication that was previously conducted at TA-55 and relocated to TA-3-32. For these fabrication activities at TA-3-32, the uncontrolled dose from 2003 activities was estimated, using CAP88, to be 8.5E-08 mrem/yr. The uncontrolled dose from 2004 activities could increase to approximately 5.0E-04 mrem/yr.

Drum Characterization at TA-54

Prior to 2003, TA-54 Building 224 was used for waste drum storage with no active operations. In 2003, certain drum characterization operations from TA-54 Building 49 were relocated to Building 224. The off-site dose from these relocated operations was estimated, using CAP88, to be 3.4E-7 mrem per year.

Section V. Additional Information

This following section is provided pursuant to DOE guidance and is not required by Subpart-H reporting requirements.

Unplanned Releases

During 2003, the Laboratory had no instances of increased airborne emissions of radioactive materials that required reporting to the EPA. There were no instances of an unplanned event.

Environmental Monitoring

The MAQ Group operates an extensive environmental monitoring network that includes several environmental monitoring stations located near the LANSCE boundary inhabited by the public. Measurement systems at these stations include LiF thermoluminescent dosimeters, continuously operated air samplers, and in situ high-pressure ion chambers. The combination of these measurement systems allows for monitoring of radionuclide air concentrations and the radiation exposure rate. Results for air sampling are published here; results for all monitoring data are published in the Annual Site Environmental Surveillance Report for DOE Order compliance.

Other Supplemental Information

- Eighty-km collective effective (population) dose equivalent for 2003 airborne releases: 0.9 person-rem.
- Compliance with Subparts Q and T of 40 CFR 61—Radon-222 Emissions.
  These regulations apply to ^{222}Rn emissions from DOE storage/disposal facilities that contain byproduct material. "Byproduct material" is the tailings or wastes produced by the extraction or concentration of uranium from ore. Although this regulation targets uranium mills, LANL has likely stored small amounts of byproduct material used in experiments in the TA-54 low-level waste facility, Area G; this practice makes the Laboratory subject to this regulation. Subject facilities cannot exceed an emissions rate of 20 pCi/m^2 s of ^{222}Rn. In 1993 and 1994, LANL conducted a study to characterize emissions from the Area G disposal site.[16] This study showed an average emission rate of 0.14 pCi/m^2 s for Area G. The performance assessment for Area G has determined that there will not be a significant increase in ^{222}Rn emissions in the future.[17]
- Potential to exceed 0.1 mrem from LANL sources of ^{222}Rn or ^{220}Rn emissions: not applicable at LANL.
- Status of compliance with EPA effluent monitoring requirements: As of June 3, 1996, LANL came into compliance with EPA effluent monitoring requirements.

Table 1. 40-61.94(b)(4-5) Release Point Data

ESIDNUM	Location	Control Description	Number of Effluent Controls	Control Efficiency	Monitored
03001600	TA-03-16	none	0	0%	☐
03002913	TA-03-29-1	unknown	0	0%	☐
03002914	TA-03-29-2	HEPA	2	99.95% each	☑
03002915	TA-03-29-2	HEPA	2	99.95% each	☑
03002919	TA-03-29-3	Aerosol 95	1	80%	☑
03002920	TA-03-29-3	Aerosol 95	1	80%	☑
03002923	TA-03-29-4	FARR 30/30	1	~ 20%	☑
03002924	TA-03-29-4	FARR 30/30	1	~ 20%	☑
03002928	TA-03-29-5	HEPA	2	99.95% each	☑
03002929	TA-03-29-5	HEPA	2	99.95% each	☑
03002932	TA-03-29-7	HEPA	2	99.95% each	☑
03002933	TA-03-29-7	HEPA	2	99.95% each	☑
03002937	TA-03-29-V	HEPA	2	99.95% each	☑
03002944	TA-03-29-9	RIGA-Flow 220	1	80%	☑
03002945	TA-03-29-9	RIGA-Flow 220	1	80%	☑
03002946	TA-03-29-9	RIGA-Flow 220	1	80%	☑
03003299	TA-03-32	unknown	0	0%	☐
03003400	TA-03-34	none	0	0%	☐
03003501	TA-03-35	HEPA	1	99.95%	☐
03003599	TA-03-35	unknown	0	0%	☐
03003999	TA-03-39	none	0	0%	☐
03004025	TA-03-40	HEPA	1	99.95%	☐
03006601	TA-03-66	none	0	0%	☐
03006602	TA-03-66	none	0	0%	☐
03006603	TA-03-66	none	0	0%	☐
03006604	TA-03-66	none	0	0%	☐
03006605	TA-03-66	none	0	0%	☐
03006606	TA-03-66	none	0	0%	☐
03006626	TA-03-66	HEPA	1	99.95%	☐

ESIDNUM	Location	Control Description	Number of Effluent Controls	Control Efficiency	Monitored
03006699	TA-03-66	none	0	0%	☐
03010222	TA-03-102	HEPA	1	99.95%	☑
03010225	TA-03-102	HEPA	1	99.95%	☐
03169800	TA-03-1698	none	0	0%	☐
09002103	TA-09-21	none	0	0%	☐
16020299	TA-16-202	unknown	0	0%	☐
16020504	TA-16-205	CR/MS	1	>99%	☑
16020599	TA-16-205	none	0	0%	☐
18016899	TA-18-168	none	0	0%	☐
21000507	TA-21-5	HEPA	2	99.95% each	☐
21015001	TA-21-150	HEPA	1	99.95%	☐
21015505	TA-21-155	CR/MS	1	>99%	☑
21020901	TA-21-209	CR/MS	1	>99%	☑
21020999	TA-21-209	none	0	0%	☐
21021399	TA-21-213	none	0	0%	☐
21025704	TA-21-257	none	0	0%	☐
35000200	TA-35-2	none	0	0%	☐
35021305	TA-35-213	none	0	0%	☐
36000104	TA-36-1	unknown	0	0%	☐
41000104	TA-41-1	HEPA	2	99.95% each	☐
41000417	TA-41-4	none	0	0%	☐
43000100	TA-43-1	none	0	0%	☐
46002499	TA-46-24	none	0	0%	☐
46003100	TA-46-31	none	0	0%	☐
46004106	TA-46-41	none	0	0%	☐
46015405	TA-46-154	none	0	0%	☐
46015899	TA-46-158	none	0	0%	☐
46020099	TA-46-200	none	0	0%	☐
48000107	TA-48-1	HEPA/Charcoal Bed	2	99.95% each	☑
48000111	TA-48-1	none	0	0%	☐
48000115	TA-48-1	none	0	0%	☐

ESIDNUM	Location	Control Description	Number of Effluent Controls	Control Efficiency	Monitored
48000135	TA-48-1	none	0	0%	☐
48000145	TA-48-1	none	0	0%	☐
48000154	TA-48-1	HEPA	2	99.95% each	☑
48000160	TA-48-1	HEPA	1	99.95%	☑
48000166	TA-48-1	HEPA	2	99.95% each	☐
48000167	TA-48-1	HEPA	2	99.95% each	☐
48004500	TA-48-45	none	0	0%	☐
50000102	TA-50-1	HEPA	1	99.95% each	☑
50000299	TA-50-2	none	0	0%	☐
50003701	TA-50-37	HEPA	2	99.95% each	☑
50006901	TA-50-69	HEPA	1	99.95%	☐
50006902	TA-50-69	HEPA	1	99.95%	☐
50006903	TA-50-69	HEPA	2	99.95% each	☑
53000116	TA-53-1	unknown	0	0%	☐
53000303	TA-53-3	HEPA	1	99.95%	☑
53000702	TA-53-7	HEPA	1	99.95%	☑
53000799	TA-53-7	none	0	0%	☐
53109099	TA-53-1090	none	0	0%	☐
54003399	TA-54-33	HEPA	1	99.95%	☐
54003699	TA-54-36	HEPA	1	99.95%	☐
54004999	TA-54-49	unknown	0	0%	☐
54021599	TA-54-215	unknown	0	0%	☐
54022499	TA-54-224	unknown	0	0%	☐
54028101	TA-54-281	HEPA	1	99.95%	☐
54041201	TA-54-412	HEPA	1	99.95	☐
54100199	TA-54-1001	none	0	0%	☐
54100999	TA-54-1009	none	0	0%	☐
55000415	TA-55-4	HEPA	4	99.95% each	☑
55000416	TA-55-4	HEPA	4	99.95% each	☑
59000100	TA-59-1	none	0	0%	☐

Table 2. 40-61.94(b)(6) Distances from Monitored Release Points to Nearest Receptor

ESIDNUM	Nearest Receptor (m)	Receptor Direction
03002914	731	NE
03002915	732	NE
03002919	836	NNE
03002920	835	NNE
03002923	575	NNW
03002924	575	NNW
03002928	936	NE
03002929	937	NE
03002932	856	NNE
03002933	855	NNE
03002937	870	NE
03002944	937	NNE
03002945	939	NNE
03002946	938	NNE
03010222	746	N
16020504	778	SSW
21015505	680	NNW
21020901	712	NNW
48000107	750	NNE
48000154	751	NNE
48000160	764	NNE
50000102	1183	N
50003701	1171	N
50006903	1186	N
53000303	800	NNE
53000702	944	NNE
55000415	1016	NNE
55000416	1089	NNE

Table 3. 40-61.94(b)(7) User-Supplied Data—
Monitored Stack Parameters

ESIDNUM	Height (m)	Diameter (m)	Exit Velocity (m/s)	Nearest Meteorological Tower
03002914	15.9	1.07	8.43	TA-6
03002915	15.9	1.05	23.51	TA-6
03002919	15.9	1.07	21.97	TA-6
03002920	15.9	1.07	17.20	TA-6
03002923	15.9	1.07	24.20	TA-6
03002924	15.9	1.06	15.05	TA-6
03002928	15.9	1.05	19.73	TA-6
03002929	15.9	1.07	24.98	TA-6
03002932	15.9	1.07	15.79	TA-6
03002933	15.9	1.06	25.74	TA-6
03002937	16.8	0.20	13.96	TA-6
03002944	16.5	1.52	7.66	TA-6
03002945	16.5	1.52	8.55	TA-6
03002946	16.5	1.88	6.26	TA-6
03010222	13.4	0.91	0.91	TA-6
16020504	18.3	0.46	20.72	TA-6
21015505	29.9	0.79	9.57	TA-53
21020901	22.9	1.22	10.00	TA-53
48000107	13.4	0.30	20.18	TA-6
48000154	13.1	0.91	7.33	TA-6
48000160	12.4	0.38	9.62	TA-6
50000102	15.5	1.82	12.17	TA-6
50003701	12.4	0.91	6.43	TA-6
50006903	10.5	0.31	5.71	TA-6
53000303	33.5	0.91	11.20	TA-53
53000702	13.1	0.91	9.10	TA-53
55000415	9.5	0.93	8.26	TA-6
55000416	9.5	0.94	10.34	TA-6

Table 4. 69.94(b)(7) User-Supplied Data - Monitored Stack Parameters - NM State Plane Coordinates (NAD '83)

ESIDNUM	Easting	Northing
03002914	1,619,176	1,772,806
03002915	1,619,171	1,772,805
03002919	1,619,252	1,772,350
03002920	1,619,257	1,772,352
03002923	1,618,691	1,772,719
03002924	1,618,686	1,772,718
03002928	1,618,774	1,772,265
03002929	1,618,767	1,772,265
03002932	1,619,268	1,772,267
03002933	1,619,272	1,772,269
03002937	1,618,966	1,772,397
03002944	1,618,987	1,772,121
03002945	1,618,977	1,772,120
03002946	1,618,982	1,772,121
03010222	1,618,354	1,772,074
16020504	1,609,447	1,760,866
21015505	1,633,757	1,774,182
21020901	1,633,991	1,774,175
48000107	1,623,591	1,770,693
48000154	1,623,744	1,770,650
48000160	1,623,613	1,770,638
50000102	1,626,157	1,769,086
50003701	1,625,757	1,769,109
50006903	1,625,579	1,769,065
53000303	1,638,133	1,771,546
53000702	1,638,057	1,771,054
55000415	1,624,870	1,769,742
55000416	1,624,675	1,769,550

Table 5. 40-61.94(b)(7) User-Supplied Data - Highest Off-site Dose Location for Monitored Release Points

ESHIDNUM	Associated Meteorological Tower	Distance to LANL Highest Dose Location (m)	Direction to LANL Highest Dose Location
03002914	TA-06	5,981	E
03002915	TA-06	5,983	E
03002919	TA-06	5,969	E
03002920	TA-06	5,967	E
03002923	TA-06	6,130	E
03002924	TA-06	6,132	E
03002928	TA-06	6,116	E
03002929	TA-06	6,118	E
03002932	TA-06	5,966	E
03002933	TA-06	5,965	E
03002937	TA-06	6,054	E
03002944	TA-06	6,055	E
03002945	TA-06	6,057	E
03002946	TA-06	6,057	E
03010222	TA-06	6,249	E
16020504	TA-06	9,799	ENE
21015505	TA-53	1,525	E
21020901	TA-53	1,453	E
48000107	TA-06	4,730	ENE
48000154	TA-06	4,714	ENE
48000160	TA-06	4,733	ENE
50000102	TA-06	4,131	ENE
50003701	TA-06	4,242	ENE
50006903	TA-06	4,297	ENE
53000303	TA-53	800	NNE
53000702	TA-53	944	NNE
55000415	TA-53	4,434	ENE
55000416	TA-53	4,508	ENE

Table 6. 40-61.94(b)(7) User-Supplied Data— Other Input Parameters

Description	Value	Units	CAP88 Variable Name	Reference
Annual rainfall rate	45.3	cm/y	RR	Bowen (1990)
Lid height	1525	m	LIPO	Holzworth (1972)
Annual median temp	281.9	K	TA	Bowen (1990)
E-vertical temperature gradient	0.02	K/m	TG	EPA (1995)
F-vertical temperature gradient	0.035	K/m	TG	EPA (1995)
G-vertical temperature gradient	0.035	K/m	TG	EPA (1995)
Food supply fraction - local vegetables	0.076		F1V	EPA (1989)
Food supply fraction - vegetable regional	0.924		F2V	EPA (1989)
Food supply fraction - vegetable imported	0		F3V	EPA (1989)
Food supply fraction - meat local	0.008		F1B	EPA (1989)
Food supply fraction - meat regional	0.992		F2B	EPA (1989)
Food supply fraction - meat imported	0		F3B	EPA (1989)
Food supply fraction - milk local	0		F1M	EPA (1989)
Food supply fraction - milk regional	1		F2M	EPA (1989)
Food supply fraction - milk imported	0		F3M	EPA (1989)
Ground surface roughness factor	0.5		GSCFAC	EPA (1989)

Brent M. Bowen, “Los Alamos Climatology,” Los Alamos National Laboratory report LA-11735-MS (1990).

George C. Holzworth, “Mixing Heights, Wind Speeds, and Potential for Urban Air Pollution throughout the Contiguous United States,” U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Office of Air Programs report (1972).

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, “User’s Guide for the Industrial Source Complex (ISC3) Dispersion Models Volume II - Description of Model Algorithms,” EPA-454/B-95-003b (1995).

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, "Risk Assessments Methodology, Environmental Impact Statement, NESHAPS for Radionuclides, Background Information Document - Volume 1,” EPA/520/189-005 (1989).

Table 7. 40-61.94(b)(7) User-Supplied Data— Wind Frequency Arrays

```
CAP88 Input Data For 2003 TA-6 Meteorological Tower
(93.8% Data Completeness)
```

```
 1  1  0.000670.000210.000000.000000.000000.00000
 1  2  0.001280.000460.000000.000000.000000.00000
 1  3  0.002160.000670.000000.000000.000000.00000
 1  4  0.004660.001370.000030.000000.000000.00000
 1  5  0.006180.002500.000000.000000.000000.00000
 1  6  0.004260.003040.000000.000000.000000.00000
 1  7  0.003350.003010.000000.000000.000000.00000
 1  8  0.002620.002590.000000.000000.000000.00000
 1  9  0.001550.001280.000000.000000.000000.00000
 1 10  0.000820.000580.000000.000000.000000.00000
 1 11  0.000460.000330.000000.000000.000000.00000
 1 12  0.000330.000520.000000.000000.000000.00000
 1 13  0.000180.000520.000000.000000.000000.00000
 1 14  0.000240.000210.000000.000000.000000.00000
 1 15  0.000370.000330.000000.000000.000000.00000
 1 16  0.000460.000180.000000.000000.000000.00000
 2  1  0.000180.000210.000030.000000.000000.00000
 2  2  0.000180.000180.000000.000000.000000.00000
 2  3  0.000700.000820.000000.000000.000000.00000
 2  4  0.001340.001860.000000.000000.000000.00000
 2  5  0.001800.002980.000000.000000.000000.00000
 2  6  0.001160.003260.000000.000000.000000.00000
 2  7  0.001280.003040.000000.000000.000000.00000
 2  8  0.000880.003100.000000.000000.000000.00000
 2  9  0.000520.001520.000000.000000.000000.00000
 2 10  0.000300.000580.000000.000000.000000.00000
 2 11  0.000240.000300.000060.000000.000000.00000
 2 12  0.000060.000400.000000.000000.000000.00000
 2 13  0.000060.000370.000000.000000.000000.00000
 2 14  0.000000.000120.000000.000000.000000.00000
 2 15  0.000030.000270.000030.000030.000000.00000
 2 16  0.000180.000180.000030.000000.000000.00000
 3  1  0.000300.000430.000030.000000.000000.00000
 3  2  0.000910.000880.000030.000000.000000.00000
 3  3  0.000970.003010.000030.000000.000000.00000
 3  4  0.001700.005330.000120.000000.000000.00000
 3  5  0.002650.005690.000090.000000.000000.00000
 3  6  0.001640.007820.000120.000000.000000.00000
 3  7  0.001250.010320.000300.000000.000000.00000
 3  8  0.001370.009980.000520.000000.000000.00000
 3  9  0.000760.004900.000430.000060.000000.00000
 3 10  0.000370.002160.000550.000000.000000.00000
 3 11  0.000270.001190.000460.000000.000000.00000
 3 12  0.000370.000880.000760.000000.000000.00000
 3 13  0.000060.000790.000580.000000.000000.00000
 3 14  0.000210.000760.000400.000000.000000.00000
 3 15  0.000090.000910.000430.000030.000000.00000
 3 16  0.000330.000730.000180.000000.000000.00000
```

Table 7. (continued)

4	1	0.004870.006360.002500.000300.000000.00000
4	2	0.005510.009590.004050.000400.000030.00000
4	3	0.004720.010800.003960.000240.000000.00000
4	4	0.004750.008700.001610.000060.000000.00000
4	5	0.004750.004990.000430.000030.000000.00000
4	6	0.004470.005540.000610.000000.000000.00000
4	7	0.004140.009830.002800.000300.000000.00000
4	8	0.005570.019910.011750.000430.000000.00000
4	9	0.005540.020390.026720.005750.000180.00000
4	10	0.005510.013730.018350.008800.000400.00000
4	11	0.004470.010900.014880.008070.001190.00006
4	12	0.003740.010590.013600.009890.001130.00000
4	13	0.004050.009160.017410.011900.002220.00024
4	14	0.003410.008040.014240.010840.003440.00100
4	15	0.003830.010100.015220.006390.001430.00018
4	16	0.004570.007850.005660.001580.000060.00000
5	1	0.002530.004570.001070.000000.000000.00000
5	2	0.001950.004290.001730.000000.000000.00000
5	3	0.001640.002250.000520.000000.000000.00000
5	4	0.001220.000730.000030.000000.000000.00000
5	5	0.001280.000270.000000.000000.000000.00000
5	6	0.000940.000460.000000.000000.000000.00000
5	7	0.001520.001130.000000.000000.000000.00000
5	8	0.002130.001670.000150.000000.000000.00000
5	9	0.002530.007300.002710.000000.000000.00000
5	10	0.002950.016370.005900.000000.000000.00000
5	11	0.002680.017230.008430.000000.000000.00000
5	12	0.002830.009860.003470.000000.000000.00000
5	13	0.002160.004810.002770.000000.000000.00000
5	14	0.002190.005270.004080.000000.000000.00000
5	15	0.002800.013970.002890.000000.000000.00000
5	16	0.002680.006240.001800.000000.000000.00000
6	1	0.007150.005930.000150.000000.000000.00000
6	2	0.003260.002370.000030.000000.000000.00000
6	3	0.002370.000580.000000.000000.000000.00000
6	4	0.001310.000210.000000.000000.000000.00000
6	5	0.001160.000000.000000.000000.000000.00000
6	6	0.000850.000030.000000.000000.000000.00000
6	7	0.001160.000090.000000.000000.000000.00000
6	8	0.001250.000240.000000.000000.000000.00000
6	9	0.002890.001550.000000.000000.000000.00000
6	10	0.003710.003800.000000.000000.000000.00000
6	11	0.006240.011990.000000.000000.000000.00000
6	12	0.006880.022400.000640.000000.000000.00000
6	13	0.007060.027540.002070.000000.000000.00000
6	14	0.006970.024320.003170.000000.000000.00000
6	15	0.007030.025170.000400.000000.000000.00000
6	16	0.007400.013570.000430.000000.000000.00000

Table 7. (continued)

CAP88 Input Data For 2003 TA-53 Meteorological Tower
(98% Data Completeness)

```
1  1  0.001260.000120.000000.000000.000000.00000
1  2  0.001650.000590.000000.000000.000000.00000
1  3  0.004560.001320.000030.000000.000000.00000
1  4  0.006640.004500.000000.000000.000000.00000
1  5  0.005820.005140.000000.000000.000000.00000
1  6  0.005090.004500.000000.000000.000000.00000
1  7  0.003940.002940.000000.000000.000000.00000
1  8  0.002970.002910.000000.000000.000000.00000
1  9  0.001620.001700.000000.000000.000000.00000
1 10  0.000760.000820.000000.000000.000000.00000
1 11  0.000590.000590.000000.000000.000000.00000
1 12  0.000470.000650.000000.000000.000000.00000
1 13  0.000410.000530.000000.000000.000000.00000
1 14  0.000350.000730.000000.000000.000000.00000
1 15  0.000290.000350.000000.000000.000000.00000
1 16  0.000380.000290.000000.000000.000000.00000
2  1  0.000240.000240.000030.000000.000000.00000
2  2  0.000500.000620.000000.000000.000000.00000
2  3  0.001650.001940.000060.000000.000000.00000
2  4  0.001680.004320.000000.000000.000000.00000
2  5  0.001090.004090.000030.000000.000000.00000
2  6  0.000820.003410.000000.000000.000000.00000
2  7  0.000850.002820.000000.000000.000000.00000
2  8  0.000680.002410.000000.000000.000000.00000
2  9  0.000260.001940.000030.000000.000000.00000
2 10  0.000180.000730.000030.000000.000000.00000
2 11  0.000150.000560.000060.000000.000000.00000
2 12  0.000120.000350.000000.000000.000000.00000
2 13  0.000060.000530.000120.000000.000000.00000
2 14  0.000120.000410.000180.000000.000000.00000
2 15  0.000060.000320.000000.000000.000000.00000
2 16  0.000180.000210.000000.000000.000000.00000
3  1  0.000440.000440.000240.000000.000000.00000
3  2  0.000790.002120.000530.000000.000000.00000
3  3  0.001700.005200.000620.000000.000000.00000
3  4  0.002000.008940.000560.000000.000000.00000
3  5  0.001620.007140.000240.000000.000000.00000
3  6  0.001180.005850.000060.000000.000000.00000
3  7  0.000910.005850.000030.000000.000000.00000
3  8  0.000880.007170.000380.000000.000000.00000
3  9  0.000590.005640.001350.000000.000000.00000
3 10  0.000240.002620.000650.000000.000000.00000
3 11  0.000290.001760.000850.000000.000000.00000
3 12  0.000210.001120.001530.000060.000000.00000
3 13  0.000260.001530.002200.000120.000000.00000
3 14  0.000090.001090.002090.000030.000000.00000
3 15  0.000210.000530.000680.000030.000000.00000
3 16  0.000260.000410.000180.000000.000000.00000
```

```
4  1  0.006500.008230.007850.000940.000000.00000
4  2  0.008110.012930.008110.001880.000290.00003
4  3  0.004820.009880.004620.000290.000030.00000
4  4  0.004560.008670.002560.000030.000000.00000
4  5  0.003760.005320.001260.000030.000000.00000
4  6  0.003590.003700.001030.000120.000000.00000
4  7  0.002670.005200.002410.000760.000000.00000
4  8  0.003060.013050.014760.004970.000180.00000
4  9  0.002940.019220.031420.012990.000590.00006
4 10  0.003290.015700.025430.015550.001530.00024
4 11  0.002320.012670.017870.008700.000940.00021
4 12  0.002650.007530.013930.010320.001760.00015
4 13  0.002500.009320.017840.006910.001090.00003
4 14  0.003500.006640.011050.004560.000410.00006
4 15  0.004500.005820.005500.003440.000320.00029
4 16  0.006060.005640.004760.001680.000150.00000
5  1  0.007050.009640.002850.000000.000000.00000
5  2  0.005320.008260.002180.000000.000000.00000
5  3  0.003820.004410.000730.000000.000000.00000
5  4  0.002470.002620.000210.000000.000000.00000
5  5  0.002200.001410.000030.000000.000000.00000
5  6  0.001620.001530.000000.000000.000000.00000
5  7  0.001760.001760.000240.000000.000000.00000
5  8  0.001700.003030.000880.000000.000000.00000
5  9  0.001940.007110.005440.000000.000000.00000
5 10  0.002500.019610.023340.000000.000000.00000
5 11  0.002670.025130.011930.000000.000000.00000
5 12  0.002380.010320.009640.000000.000000.00000
5 13  0.003290.013730.008110.000000.000000.00000
5 14  0.003650.010820.002760.000000.000000.00000
5 15  0.004760.006820.002200.000000.000000.00000
5 16  0.005230.009020.002970.000000.000000.00000
6  1  0.004170.001530.000090.000000.000000.00000
6  2  0.004320.001410.000000.000000.000000.00000
6  3  0.004060.000730.000000.000000.000000.00000
6  4  0.003230.000440.000000.000000.000000.00000
6  5  0.002200.000090.000000.000000.000000.00000
6  6  0.003030.000260.000000.000000.000000.00000
6  7  0.002730.001090.000000.000000.000000.00000
6  8  0.003000.001680.000000.000000.000000.00000
6  9  0.003530.003910.000320.000000.000000.00000
6 10  0.003560.005560.000410.000000.000000.00000
6 11  0.003000.002760.000240.000000.000000.00000
6 12  0.002150.005850.000790.000000.000000.00000
6 13  0.001730.005350.000530.000000.000000.00000
6 14  0.003120.004970.000120.000000.000000.00000
6 15  0.003150.001530.000120.000000.000000.00000
6 16  0.004470.001290.000060.000000.000000.00000
```

Table 8. 40-61.94(b)(7) User-Supplied Data—Population Array

Estimated 2002 Population within 80 km of Los Alamos National Laboratory

	Distance from TA-53-LANSCE (km)															
Direction	**0.8-1.0**	**1.0-1.5**	**1.5-2.0**	**2.0-2.5**	**2.5-3.0**	**3.0-3.5**	**3.5-4.0**	**4.0-5.0**	**5.0-6.0**	**6.0-7.0**	**7.0-8.0**	**8.0-10**	**10-20**	**20-30**	**30-40**	**40-80**
N	9	17	56	27	53	82	94	139	0	0	0	0	16	97	1003	1483
NNW	7	17	48	230	169	89	257	278	21	0	0	0	8	22	276	492
NW	9	17	21	57	320	384	208	678	415	393	54	0	2	26	53	1076
WNW	0	0	10	15	68	210	819	1047	1866	2613	723	0	0	33	38	3195
W	0	0	0	0	0	0	96	163	0	0	0	0	9	80	356	175
WSW	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	9	45	493	2909
SW	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	4	0	0	2932
SSW	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	35	4	1048	1564	72580
S	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	19	7	20	177	3953
SSE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	336	220	313	56	349	6351	3057
SE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1546	3305	563	1	1160	81840	9164
ESE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	11	13	788	9029	3085
E	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	1	1928	4593	447	490
ENE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2309	5111	3953	3153
NE	7	10	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1298	15818	2690	6744
NNE	7	17	53	8	38	32	25	24	0	0	0	0	15	2514	413	1047

Table 9. 40-61.94(b)(7) User-Supplied Data—Radionuclide Emissions

Stack ID	Nuclide[a]	Emission (Ci)
03002914	Th-232	3.40E-09
03002915	Sr-90	3.52E-08
03002915	Y-90 (p)	3.52E-08
03002919	Am-241	1.65E-07
03002919	Pu-238	1.14E-07
03002919	Pu-239	7.33E-07
03002919	Th-228	2.26E-08
03002919	U-235	1.08E-08
03002920	Th-228	1.35E-08
03002920	Th-230	1.33E-08
03002920	Th-232	1.13E-08
03002923	Am-241	2.81E-09
03002923	Pu-238	1.23E-08
03002923	Sr-90	4.63E-08
03002923	Y-90 (p)	4.63E-08
03002923	Th-230	1.94E-08
03002923	U-234	1.39E-06
03002923	U-235	6.64E-08
03002923	U-238	8.59E-08
03002923	Pa-234m (p)	8.59E-08
03002923	Th-234 (p)	8.59E-08
03002924	Am-241	2.65E-08
03002924	Pu-238	9.06E-07
03002924	Pu-239	5.75E-08
03002924	Sr-90	4.02E-08
03002924	Y-90 (p)	4.02E-08
03002924	Th-228	2.66E-07
03002924	Th-230	8.87E-09
03002924	U-234	5.28E-06
03002924	U-235	1.76E-08
03002924	U-238	3.70E-08
03002924	Pa-234m (p)	3.70E-08
03002924	Th-234 (p)	3.70E-08
03002928	Am-241	3.35E-08
03002928	Pu-238	1.23E-06
03002928	Pu-239	2.27E-07
03002929	Th-230	2.09E-08
03002929	Th-232	1.71E-08
03002932	Th-232	1.79E-08
03002932	U-234	1.23E-07
03002932	U-235	4.02E-09
03002933	Th-228	2.02E-08
03002933	Th-230	1.20E-08
03002933	Th-232	2.32E-08
03002937	Th-230	2.61E-10
03002944	Sr-90	4.30E-08
03002944	Y-90 (p)	4.30E-08
03002944	Pu-238	2.30E-08
03002944	Th-228	2.48E-08
03002944	Th-230	3.59E-08
03002944	U-234	2.38E-08
03002945	Pu-239	5.15E-09
03002945	Sr-90	4.55E-08
03002945	Y-90 (p)	4.55E-08
03002945	Th-230	3.62E-08
03002945	Th-232	1.91E-08
03002945	U-234	2.27E-08
03002946	Th-228	7.20E-09
03002946	Th-230	8.84E-09
03002946	Th-232	2.24E-08
03010222	Am-241	1.03E-10
03010222	Th-230	5.75E-09
03010222	Th-232	1.44E-09
03010222	U-234	2.16E-08
03010222	U-235	5.13E-10
03010222	U-238	3.42E-09
03010222	Pa-234m (p)	3.42E-09
03010222	Th-234 (p)	3.42E-09
16020504	H-3(Gas)	7.58E+01
16020504	H-3(HTO)	6.02E+01
21015505	H-3(Gas)	1.91E+01
21015505	H-3(HTO)	4.42E+02
21020901	H-3(Gas)	3.49E+01
21020901	H-3(HTO)	6.84E+02
48000107	Ge-68	3.33E-04
48000107	Ga-68 (p)	3.33E-04
48000154	Th-232	1.12E-09
48000160	none	0.00E+00
50000102	Am-241	6.89E-09
50000102	Pu-238	7.37E-09
50000102	Th-228	2.21E-08
50000102	Th-230	1.16E-08
50000102	Th-232	2.22E-08
50003701	Sr-90	3.41E-09
50003701	Y-90 (p)	3.41E-09
50003701	Th-230	1.64E-09
50003701	Th-232	1.74E-09
50006903	Am-241	7.58E-11
50006903	Pu-238	2.20E-09
50006903	Pu-239	5.21E-10
50006903	Th-230	1.18E-10
50006903	U-238	8.19E-10
50006903	Pa-234m (p)	8.19E-10
50006903	Th-234 (p)	8.19E-10

Table 9. 40-61.94(b)(7) User-Supplied Data—Radionuclide Emissions (continued)

StackID	Nuclide[a]	Emission (Ci)
53000303	C-11	2.02E+00
53000303	H-3(HTO)	6.91E-01
53000303	Co-60	3.50E-10
53000702	Ar-41	1.29E+01
53000702	C-10	2.38E-01
53000702	C-11	5.06E+02
53000702	N-13	2.78E+01
53000702	N-16	1.91E-01
53000702	O-14	1.60E-01
53000702	O-15	6.93E+01
53000702	H-3(HTO)	3.73E+00
53000702	Br-82	3.54E-03
53000702	Hg-193	3.01E+01
53000702	Au-193 (p)	3.01E+01
53000702	Hg-195	6.26E-03
53000702	Au-195 (p)	6.26E-03

StackID	Nuclide[a]	Emission (Ci)
53000702	Hg-195m	5.45E-03
53000702	Hg-197	7.15E-02
53000702	Hg-197m	1.97E-02
53000702	Hg-203	1.13E-04
53000702	Se-75	1.77E-06
55000415	Am-241	3.29E-09
55000415	Pu-238	8.63E-09
55000415	Pu-239	6.74E-09
55000416	Am-241	5.81E-07
55000416	H-3(Gas)	5.04E+01
55000416	H-3(HTO)	9.83E+00
55000416	Pu-238	5.28E-08
55000416	Pu-239	1.49E-06
55000416	Sr-90	5.62E-08
55000416	Y-90 (p)	5.62E-08
55000416	Th-232	3.90E-08

NOTES:
[a] Radionuclides with the designator "(p)" are short-lived progeny in secular equilibrium with their parent radionuclide; e.g., Ga-68 (progeny) is in equilibrium with Ge-68 (parent).

Table 10. 40-61.94(b)(7) User-supplied Data— Modeling Parameters for LANL Non Point Sources

LANL Air Activation Sources

Source	Radionuclide	Emission (Ci)	Area of source (m^2)	Distance to LANL Maximum Dose Location (m)	Direction to LANL Maximum Dose Location
TA-53 Switchyard	^{41}Ar	0.34	484	774	NNE
	^{11}C	8.22	484	774	NNE
TA-53-1L Service Area	^{41}Ar	4.49	1.0	943	NNE
	^{11}C	107.70	1.0	943	NNE
TA-18	^{41}Ar	1.0	31,400	3,894	NNE

Table 11. Environmental Data—Compliance Stations

2003 Effective Dose Equivalent (net in mrem) at air sampling locations around LANL.

Site Number and Name	**H-3**	**Pu-239**	**Pu-238**	**Am-241**	**U-234**	**U-235**	**U-238**	rounded total (mrem)
06 48th Street	0.011	0.002	-0.001	0.003	0.003	0.002	0.000	0.01
08 McDonalds	0.031	0.000	0.001	0.003	0.007	0.001	0.011	0.02
09 Los Alamos Airport	0.085	0.002	-0.001	0.004	0.002	0.000	0.005	0.01
10 East Gate	0.069	0.002	0.001	0.003	0.007	0.001	0.006	0.09
11 Well PM-1 (East Jemez)	0.020	0.001	0.000	0.004	0.000	0.001	0.002	0.03
12 Royal Crest Trailer Court	0.027	-0.001	0.001	0.004	0.006	0.001	0.006	0.04
13 White Rock/Rocket Park	0.021	-0.002	0.000	0.007	0.006	0.002	0.007	0.04
14 Pajarito Acres	0.010	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.003	0.000	0.003	0.02
15 White Rock Fire Station	0.014	0.000	0.000	0.003	0.011	0.001	0.014	0.04
16 White Rock Nazarene Ch.	0.029	0.002	0.002	0.004	0.005	0.001	0.005	0.05
20 TA-21 Area B	0.064	0.002	-0.001	0.006	0.007	0.003	0.006	0.09
32 County Landfill	0.017	0.008	-0.003	0.001	0.069	0.008	0.061	0.16
60 Los Alamos Canyon	0.016	0.005	0.002	0.003	0.008	0.002	0.010	0.05
61 Los Alamos Hospital	0.013	0.004	0.001	0.003	0.003	0.001	0.005	0.03
62 Cross Roads Bible Church	0.031	0.001	-0.001	0.003	0.009	0.001	0.011	0.06
63 Monte Rey South	0.009	0.000	0.002	0.002	0.003	0.000	0.002	0.02
66 Los Alamos Inn - south	0.023	0.119	0.003	0.003	0.007	0.001	0.008	0.16
67 TA-3 Research Park	0.012	0.001	-0.001	0.002	0.010	0.000	0.009	0.03
68 Airport Road	0.068	0.003	0.000	0.003	0.006	0.001	0.008	0.09

Table 12. Environmental Data—Compliance Stations

Analytical Completeness and Air Sampler Operation Summary

Site Number and Name	percent analytical completeness							percent run time
	H-3	**Pu-239**	**Pu-238**	**Am-241**	**U-234**	**U-235**	**U-238**	
06 48th Street	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	99.6
08 McDonalds	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	99.6
09 Los Alamos Airport	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	98.3
10 East Gate	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	99.3
11 Well PM-1 (East Jemez)	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	99.5
12 Royal Crest Trailer Court	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	99.6
13 White Rock/Rocket Park	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	99.6
14 Pajarito Acres	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	99.5
15 White Rock Fire Station	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	99.6
16 White Rock Nazarene Ch.	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	98.9
20 TA-21 Area B	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	96.3
32 County Landfill	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	99.6
60 Los Alamos Canyon	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	99.8
61 Los Alamos Hospital	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	99.4
62 Cross Roads Bible Church	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	99.4
63 Monte Rey South	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	99.1
66 Los Alamos Inn - south	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	99.0
67 TA-3 Research Park	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	99.5
68 Airport Road	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	98.9

Table 13. LANSCE Monthly Assessments and Summary

description	ESIDNUM	Dose at East Gate Receptor
LANSCE-stack-January	53000303	3.25E-05
LANSCE-stack-February	53000303	na
LANSCE-stack-March	53000303	na
LANSCE-stack-April	53000303	na
LANSCE stack-May	53000303	na
LANSCE stack-June	53000303	2.02E-05
LANSCE stack-July	53000303	6.02E-05
LANSCE stack-August	53000303	2.81E-05
LANSCE stack-September	53000303	4.99E-05
LANSCE-stack-October	53000303	5.44E-05
LANSCE-stack-November	53000303	5.67E-05
LANSCE-stack-December	53000303	2.24E-05
LANSCE-stack-PVAP*	53000303	3.02E-05
LANSCE-Non-CAP88 Radionuclides*	53000303	na
LANSCE-stack-January	53000702	4.85E-02
LANSCE-stack-February	53000702	na
LANSCE-stack-March	53000702	na
LANSCE-stack-April	53000702	na
LANSCE stack-May	53000702	na
LANSCE stack-June	53000702	na
LANSCE stack-July	53000702	1.24E-03
LANSCE stack-August	53000702	6.14E-03
LANSCE stack-September	53000702	1.66E-02
LANSCE-stack-October	53000702	2.38E-02
LANSCE-stack-November	53000702	3.41E-02
LANSCE-stack-December	53000702	5.74E-02
LANSCE-stack-PVAP*	53000702	3.87E-04
LANSCE-Non-CAP88 Radionuclides*	53000702	7.46E-02
LANSCE-Fugitive Emissions - Switchyard	530003sy	6.84E-03
LANSCE-Fugitive Emissions - 1L Area	5300071L	6.03E-02
LANSCE Summary		**3.30E-01**

Table 14. 40-61.92 Effective Dose Equivalent at selected public locations

Location	Easting	Northing	Nearest Airnet Location	AIRNET number	Air pathway EDE (mrem)*
Barranca School	1,630,910	1,783,870	Barranca School†	04	3.78E-02
Residence Near Urban Park	1,618,400	1,780,000	Urban Park†	05	1.65E-02
Residence on Fairway Drive	1,618,602	1,776,052	48th Street	06	1.78E-02
Los Alamos Shell / Trinity Drive	1,624,450	1,775,300	Los Alamos Inn-S	66	1.77E-01
Los Alamos McDonald’s	1,626,450	1,775,350	LA McDonald’s	08	4.02E-02
Los Alamos Airport	1,632,902	1,776,247	Los Alamos Airport	09	8.12E-02
Tsankawi Visitor Center	1,648,105	1,758,380	Well PM-1	11	5.69E-02
Royal Crest Trailer Court - West	1,624,256	1,773,065	Royal Crest Tlr. Crt.	12	5.96E-02
Royal Crest Trailer Court - East	1,625,778	1,772,955	Royal Crest Tlr. Crt.	12	5.96E-02
Residence near WR Rocket Park	1,651,950	1,755,300	Rocket Park	13	5.00E-02
Residence in Pajarito Acres	1,650,770	1,750,520	Pajarito Acres	14	2.62E-02
White Rock Fire Station	1,653,580	1,756,630	WR Fire Station	15	5.04E-02
White Rock Nazarene Church	1,648,778	1,754,676	WR Nazarene Ch.	16	5.68E-02
Bandelier Fire Lookout	1,635,700	1,739,005	Bandelier†	17	3.45E-02
Residence on Nambe Loop	1,621,568	1,776,046	Airport Road	68	1.26E-01
Ponderosa Campground	1,608,575	1,758,460	TA-49†	26	5.79E-02
County Landfill Office	1,620,569	1,774,763	County Landfill	32	1.70E-01
Los Alamos Ice Rink	1,617,852	1,775,692	LA Canyon	60	5.33E-02
Los Alamos Hospital	1,620,200	1,776,300	LA Hospital	61	3.99E-02
Crossroads Bible Church	1,629,200	1,776,000	Cross. Bible Church	62	7.67E-02
Residence on Monte Rey South	1,647,976	1,750,376	Monte Rey South	63	2.56E-02
Los Alamos Inn	1,624,450	1,775,300	Los Alamos Inn-S	66	1.78E-01
Research Park	1,618,300	1,774,600	TA-3 Research Park	67	4.17E-02
Business on DP Road	1,630,445	1,775,350	TA-21 Area B	20	1.17E-01
Business at East Gate (NNE sector)	1,638,825	1,774,097	East Gate	10	4.52E-01
Residence at East Gate (N sector)	1,638,616	1,774,231	East Gate	10	3.21E-01
Business at East Gate (NE sector)	1,640,230	1,774,090	East Gate	10	2.75E-01

*Note, to allow for more meaningful comparisons, these doses do not include the estimated contribution from unmonitored point sources.

†Note, these samplers are not part of the regular NESHAPs compliance network for LANL.

Table 15. 61.92 Highest Effective Dose Equivalent Summary

ESIDNUM	description	Dose for Release Site Receptor	Dose at East Gate Receptor
03002914	CMR Stack	7.59E-07	6.83E-08
03002915	CMR Stack	3.86E-09	4.94E-10
03002919	CMR Stack	1.58E-04	1.87E-05
03002920	CMR Stack	4.93E-06	5.59E-07
03002923	CMR Stack	9.68E-05	9.75E-06
03002924	CMR Stack	6.36E-04	5.57E-05
03002928	CMR Stack	1.77E-04	2.37E-05
03002929	CMR Stack	3.68E-06	5.22E-07
03002932	CMR Stack	1.06E-05	1.21E-06
03002933	CMR Stack	6.24E-06	7.74E-07
03002937	CMR Stack	4.17E-08	3.68E-09
03002944	CMR Stack	1.15E-05	1.37E-06
03002945	CMR Stack	9.01E-06	1.09E-06
03002946	CMR Stack	5.41E-06	6.45E-07
03010222	Shops Addition Stack	4.38E-06	2.95E-07
16020504	WETF Stack	5.92E-03	7.07E-04
18000001	TA-18 Diffuse Emissions	5.11E-05	5.11E-05
21015505	TSTA Stack	1.94E-02	1.08E-02
21020901	TSFF Stack	3.50E-02	2.01E-02
48000107	Radiochemistry Stack/non-CAP88 radionuclides	1.55E-03	1.60E-04
48000154	Radiochemsitry Stack	3.02E-07	3.13E-08
48000160	Radiochemsitry Stack	none	none
50000102	Waste Management Stack	6.45E-06	1.71E-06
50003701	Waste Management Stack	4.11E-07	9.44E-08
50006903	Waste Management Stack	4.49E-07	9.60E-08
53000303	LANSCE-Stack-Annual	3.55E-04	3.55E-04
530000sy	LANSCE Fugitive Emissions-Switch Yard	6.84E-03	6.84E-03
53000702	LANSCE-Stack-Annual	1.88E-01	1.88E-01
53000702	LANSCE-Stack/non CAP88 radionuclides	7.46E-02	7.46E-02
5300071L	LANSCE Fugitive Emissions-1L Service Area	6.03E-02	6.03E-02
55000415	Plutonium Facility Stack	3.80E-06	5.90E-07
55000416	Plutonium Facility Stack	4.81E-03	9.53E-04
99000000	Unmonitored Stacks-gross	1.95E-01	1.95E-01
99000010	Air-Sampler Net Dose	8.86E-02	8.86E-02
	total	**6.82E-01**	**6.47E-01**

2003 LANL Radionuclide Air Emissions Report

61.94(b)(9) Certification

I certify under penalty of law that I have personally examined and am familiar with the information submitted herein and based on my inquiry of those individuals immediately responsible for obtaining the information, I believe that the submitted information is true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information including the possibility of fine and imprisonment (See, 18 USC., 1001).

Original signed by Ed Wilmot
June 21, 2004

Signature: ______________________________ Date: ____________

Edwin L. Wilmot, Owner
Manager
Los Alamos Site Office
National Nuclear Security Administration
U.S. Department of Energy

Original signed by Beverly Ramsey
June 18, 2004

Signature: ______________________________ Date: ____________

Beverly Ramsey, Operator
Director, Risk Reduction and Environmental Stewardship Division
Los Alamos National Laboratory

References for Text

1. Los Alamos National Laboratory, “A Special Edition of the SWEIS Yearbook—Description of Technical Areas and Facilities at Los Alamos National Laboratory” Los Alamos National Laboratory report LA-CP-02-75 (2002).

2. U.S. Department of Energy, “Site-Wide Environmental Impact Statement for Continued Operation of the Los Alamos National Laboratory,” Vol. Summary, DOE/EIS – 0238, Albuquerque, New Mexico, (January 1999).

3. K. W. Jacobson, S. Duffy, and K. Kowalewsky, “Population and Agricultural Data Arrays for the Los Alamos National Laboratory,” Los Alamos National Laboratory report LA-13469-MS, (1998).

4. R. Sturgeon, “2003 Radioactive Materials Usage Survey for Point Sources,” Los Alamos National Laboratory report to be completed in 2004.

5. Los Alamos National Laboratory, “Performance Requirements for Air Quality,” Air Quality Group Laboratory Implementation Requirement LPR 404-10-00.0 (1998).

6. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, “Federal Register”, Vol. 60, No. 107 (June 5, 1995).

7. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, “The Clean Air Act Assessment Package–1988 (CAP-88): A Dose and Risk Assessment Methodology for Radionuclide Emissions to Air,” Vol. 1: User’s Manual, EPA/Washington D.C. (1990).

8. Radiation Shielding Information Center, “CAP-88 Clean Air Act Assessment Package,” Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Tennessee (1990).

9. Frank Marcinowski, Acting Director, Radiation Protection Division, “Criteria to Determine Whether a Leased Facility at Department of Energy (DOE) is Subject to Subpart H,” Office of Radiation and Indoor Air, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (March 26, 2001).

10. U.S. Department of Energy, “External Dose-Rate Conversion Factors for Calculation of Dose to the Public,” DOE/ES-0070 (July 1988).

11. U.S. Department of Energy, “Internal Dose Conversion Factors for Calculation of Dose to the Public,” DOE/EH-0071 (July 1988).

12. Keith F. Eckerman, Anthony B. Wolbarst, and Allan C.B. Richardson, Federal Guidance Report No. 11, “Limiting Values of Radionuclide Intake and Air Concentration And Dose Conversion Factors for Inhalation, Submersion, and Ingestion,” Office of Radiation Programs, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Washington D.C., (1988).

13. K.F. Eckerman and J.C. Ryman, Federal Guidance Report No. 12, “External Exposures to Radionuclides in Air, Water, and Soil Exposure-to-Dose Coefficients for General Application,” U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Washington, D.C., (1993).

14. Keith W. Jacobson, letter to Mr. George Brozowski, Radiation Program Manager, Multimedia Planning and Permitting Division U.S Environmental Protection Agency, Region VI (May 26, 2004).

15. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, “National Emission Standards for Emissions of Radionuclides other than Radon from Department of Energy Facilities,” Code of Federal Regulations, Title 40, Part 61.90, Subpart H (1989).

16. Bart Eklund, "Measurements of Emission Fluxes from Technical Area 54, Areas G and L," Radian Corporation report, Austin, Texas (1995).

17. Los Alamos National Laboratory, "Performance Assessment and Composite Analysis for Los Alamos National Laboratory Materials Disposal Area G," Los Alamos National Laboratory report LA-UR9785 (1997).

2003 LANL Radionuclide Air Emissions Report

2002 LANL Radionuclide Emissions Report Errata as noted by K.W. Jacobson

Air sampling station #08 was inadvertently omitted from Figure 4 on page 15 of the 2002 report; the location of sampler #08 is correctly shown in Figure 4 on page 15 of this report.