

Stephen Cauffman

From: wtc@nist.gov
Sent: Friday, September 05, 2008 9:51 AM
To: Stephen Cauffman
Subject: Fwd: Comment on NIST analysis of WTC#7 collapse

>X-Sieve: CMU Sieve 2.3
>Date: Fri, 22 Aug 2008 15:20:50 -0700
>From: hawk@usdoj.gr
>To: wtc@nist.gov
>Cc: phantom421366@yahoo.com, Ronald Wieck <pomeroo@verizon.net>,
> Joseph Keith <joseph.keith@cox.net>,
> Alex LLoyd <spooked911@hotmail.com>,
>rolfusaugustusadolphus@yahoo.com,
> John Lear <johnlear@cox.net>, "Rasga Saias" <rasgasaias@gmail.com>,
> "Anthony Lawson" <lawson911@gmail.com>,
> "Field McConnell" <avalonbeef@msn.com>, pilotsfortruth@yahoo.com,
> jfetzer@d.umn.edu, "Dan" <b737dan@yahoo.com>,
> pilots@pilotsfor911truth.org, brucerideout@yahoo.com,
> "SHURE Dj" <shure_dj@hotmail.com>,
> "Morgan Reynolds" <econrn@suddenlink.net>,
> "acebaker" <ace@acebaker.com>, genghis@westnet.com.au,
> dylan@loosechange911.com, ben@mountain-wave.ca,
> james.t.hoffman@gmail.com, tbach65@aol.com, truthaction@hotmail.com,
> hardevidence@gmail.com,
> rgage@ae911truth.org, andrewkornkven@yahoo.com,
> webstertarpley@yahoo.com
>Subject: Comment on NIST analysis of WTC#7 collapse
>User-Agent: Internet Messaging Program (IMP) 3.2.2
>X-Originating-IP: 64.59.144.21
>X-Proofpoint-Virus-Version: vendor=fsecure
>engine=1.12.7160:2.4.4,1.2.40,4.0.166
>definitions=2008-08-22_05:2008-08-21,2008-08-22,2008-08-21 signatures=0
>X-PP-SpamDetails: rule=spampolicy1_notspam
>policy=spampolicy1 score=0 spamscore=0 ipscore=0 phishscore=0
>bulkscore=0 adultscore=0 classifier=spam adjust=0 reason=mix
>engine=5.0.0-0805090000 definitions=main-0808220124
>X-PP-SpamScore: 0
>X-NIST-MailScanner: Found to be clean
>X-NIST-MailScanner-From: hawk@usdoj.gr
>X-NIST-MailScanner-Information:
>
>WTC Technical Information Repository, Attn: Stephen Cauffman, NIST, 100
>Bureau Dr., Stop 8611, Gaithersburg, Md. 20899-8610.
>
>Dear Dr. Cauffman:
>
>Re excerpts in notes below, we have comments on the NIST analysis of
>the WTC#7 collapse.
>
>Our research suggests the building was demolished at 5:20 pm on 9/11 on
>orders from the Blackstone Group (owner of the WTC#7) mortgage and KPMG
>the allegedly bogus escrow for syndicated lenders who used
>AMEC-Citigroup as prime contractor and a fake diesel-system project to
>camouflage arson and sabotage of the building and the destruction of

>evidence of ongoing securities frauds.

>

>KPMG had used its insider escrow position to double up insurance on the
>WTC#7 mortgage so that its demolition would generate an estimated \$400
>million in profits shared with the demolition team and Blackstone
>private equity investors.

>

>We notice that the NIST analysis excludes what could not have explained
>anyway the observed characteristics of the demolition i.e. no
>percussive sound effects heard therefore not due to explosives, not
>enough heat from fires fed by the fuel supply tanks therefore not due
>to fires fed by the fuel supply tanks and not enough structural impact
>therefore not due to damage from the falling debris of WTC 1.

>

>What you did not address is the fact that AMEC was conducting sabotage
>vulnerability testing on 9/11 in all the WTC buildings using ultra high
>temperature accelerants developed by Molten Metal Technologies
>sponsored by Al Gore and Maurice Strong and wepaonized by Lockheed Martin.

>

>Kindly ask your NIST scientists to examine the hypothesis that the
>central columns were melted or even vaporized with UHTA reaching
>temperatures up to 5,800 degrees Fahrenheit consistent with the use of
>solid propellant rocket fuel in the elevator shafts (No percussion
>heard, pools of molten-steel found and KPMG-AMEC spoliated evidence of escrow and mortgage and insurance
fraud.

>

>Thank you

>

>Field McConnell and David Hawkins
>Forensic Economists at Hawks CAFE
><http://www.captainsherlock.com/>

>

>Notes

>

>"NIST welcomes comments on the draft report and
>recommendations—available online at <http://wtc.nist.gov>—received by
>noon Eastern Daylight Time on Sept. 15, 2008.
>Comments (instructions for submission are available at
><http://wtc.nist.gov>) may be submitted via: e-mail to wtc@nist.gov; fax
>to (301) 869-6275; or surface mail to WTC Technical Information
>Repository,
>Attn: Stephen Cauffman, NIST, 100
>Bureau Dr., Stop 8611, Gaithersburg, Md. 20899-8610."
>http://www.nist.gov/public_affairs/releases/wtc082108.html

>

>"Diagram 1—Typical WTC 7 floor showing locations of columns (numbered).
>The buckling of Column 79 was the initiating event that led to the
>collapse of WTC 7. The buckling resulted from fire-induced damage to
>floors around Column 79, failure of the girder between Columns 44 and 79, and cascading floor failures.
>[Download high-res version] The investigation team considered the
>possibility of other factors playing a role in the collapse of WTC 7,
>including the possible use of explosives, fires fed by the fuel supply
>tanks in and under the building, and damage from the falling debris of
>WTC 1. The team said that the smallest blast event capable of crippling
>the critical column would have produced a "sound level of 130 to 140
>decibels at a distance of half a mile,"
>yet no noise this loud was reported by witnesses or recorded on videos.
>As for fuel fires, the team found that they could not have been

From: j c <sirisfi@hotmail.com>
To: <wtc@nist.gov>
Subject: question concerning wtc 7

I was wondering if the nist found out who was on the other end of the phone conversation when Larry Silverstein made his famous "pull it" quote. I have looked into who the fire commander was that day but have not seen anything to suggest that he talked too larry Silverstein. I have searched though many firefighter oral histories concerning 911. I have also seen quotes where the fireman do not take orders from the public in regards to pulling a firefighting operation.

So my question is, who was on the other end of that phone call and has the nist talked with that person to verify Silversteins claims??

thanks
justin

Get more out of the Web. Learn 10 hidden secrets of Windows Live. [Learn Now](#)