

**UNPUBLISHED**

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS  
FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

---

**No. 96-2397**

---

BETTY SAULTER,

Plaintiff - Appellant,

versus

ROBERT HUTCHINSON, in his individual and official capacity; STAN CLARK, in his individual and official capacity; SOUTH CAROLINA DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND ENVIRONMENTAL CONTROL,

Defendants - Appellees.

---

Appeal from the United States District Court for the District of South Carolina, at Columbia. Matthew J. Perry, Jr., Senior District Judge. (CA-94-2345-3-OB)

---

Submitted: December 18, 1997

Decided: January 6, 1998

---

Before WILKINS, NIEMEYER, and HAMILTON, Circuit Judges.

---

Affirmed by unpublished per curiam opinion.

---

Betty Saulter, Appellant Pro Se. Vance J. Bettis, GIGNILLIAT, SAVITZ & BETTIS, Columbia, South Carolina, for Appellees.

---

Unpublished opinions are not binding precedent in this circuit. See Local Rule 36(c).

PER CURIAM:

Betty Saulter appeals a district court order adopting a magistrate judge's report and recommendation to grant Respondents summary judgment and dismiss her action filed pursuant to the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990, 42 U.S.C. § 12101 (1994), and her racial discrimination claim. Because Saulter did not file objections to the magistrate judge's report and recommendation, the district court did not err in adopting the magistrate judge's recommendation to dismiss her claims. See Wells v. Shriners Hosp., 109 F.3d 198 (4th Cir. 1997); United States v. Schronce, 727 F.2d 91 (4th Cir. 1984). Accordingly, we affirm the judgment of the district court. Saulter v. Hutchinson, No. CA-94-2345-3-OBd (D.S.C. Sept. 3, 1996). We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before the court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

AFFIRMED