

UNPUBLISHED

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS  
FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

---

**No. 04-2391**

---

CAROLINE ADHIAMBO OKELLO,

Petitioner,

versus

ALBERTO R. GONZALES, Attorney General,

Respondent.

---

**No. 05-1367**

---

CAROLINE ADHIAMBO OKELLO,

Petitioner,

versus

ALBERTO R. GONZALES, Attorney General,

Respondent.

---

On Petitions for Review of Orders of the Board of Immigration  
Appeals. (A75-843-249)

---

Submitted: April 24, 2006

Decided: May 12, 2006

---

Before MICHAEL, GREGORY, and DUNCAN, Circuit Judges.

---

Petitions denied by unpublished per curiam opinion.

---

Randall L. Johnson, JOHNSON & ASSOCIATES, P.C., Arlington, Virginia, for Petitioner. John L. Brownlee, United States Attorney, Julie C. Dudley, Assistant United States Attorney, Roanoke, Virginia, for Respondent.

---

Unpublished opinions are not binding precedent in this circuit. See Local Rule 36(c).

PER CURIAM:

In these consolidated petitions for review, Caroline Adhiambo Okello, a native and citizen of Kenya, petitions for review of two separate orders of the Board of Immigration Appeals ("Board"): (1) denying her motion to reopen, and (2) denying her motion to reconsider its denial of her motion to reopen immigration proceedings.

We have reviewed the record and the Board's orders and find that the Board did not abuse its discretion in denying either Okello's motion to reopen or her motion to reconsider. See 8 C.F.R. § 1003.2(a) (2006); INS v. Doherty, 502 U.S. 314, 323-24 (1992); Jean v. Gonzales, 435 F.3d 475, 481 (4th Cir. 2006). We therefore deny both petitions for review for the reasons stated by the Board. See In Re: Okello, No. A75-843-249 (B.I.A. Oct. 8, 2004 & Feb. 9, 2005). We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before the court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

PETITIONS DENIED