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# NOTICE OF THE OFFICE OF THE CONSUMER ADVOCATE CONCERNING ERRATA TO OCA LIBRARY REFERENCE, OCA-LR-I-3, PART I 

(June 29, 2000)

The Office of the Consumer Advocate hereby gives notice of the filing of the following corrections to OCA library reference OCA-LR-I-3, Part I, filed by witness James F. Callow on May 22, 2000. The changes to Part I are set forth below. A new version of Part I, incorporating the revised pages, is attached. A new electronic version of Part I, incorporating the revised pages, is being filed separately.

Respectfully submitted,


EMMETT RAND COSTICH
Attorney
1333 H Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20268-0001
(202) 789-6830; Fax (202) 789-6819

## OCA-LR-I-3, Part I

Page 4 of $20 \quad$ For 1998, in the column "FC Letters", delete "1.308" and "1.110" and insert "1.310" and "1.112", respectively
Page 4 of 20 For 1998, in the column "Std (A) Regular", delete "0.949" and " 0.982 " and insert " 0.899 " and " 0.963 ", respectively
Page 9 of 20 Replace Table 4 and Figure 4 for change in 1998. Change " 1.308 " to "1.310"
Page 10 of 20 Replace Table 5 and Figure 5 for change in 1998. Change "1.110"to "1.112"
Page 13 of 20 Replace Table 8 and Figure 8 for changes in 1998. Change "1.308" and " 0.949 " to " 1.310 " and " 0.899 ," respectively
Page 14 of 20 Replace Table 9 and Figure 9 for changes in 1998. Change"1.110" and "0.982" to "1.112" and "0.963," respectively
Page 15 of 20 For 1992, line [18], delete " 165,654 " and insert " 166,443 "
Page 15 of 20 For 1993, line [18], delete "170,313" and insert "171,220"
Page 15 of 20 For 1998, line [9], delete " 95,648 " and insert " 94,907 "

## CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that I have this date served the foregoing document upon all participants of record in this proceeding in accordance with Section 12 of the Rules of

## Practice.

## Emmett Rand Costreh

## EMMETT RAND COSTICH

Washington, D.C. 20268-0001
June 29, 2000

OCA-LR-3
Part I

Part I of OCA-LR-3 contains the electronic versions of the spreadsheets and tables used in Part I of OCA-T-6.

Table A
COMPARISON OF FIRST-CLASS LETTERS AND STANDARD (A) REGULAR MAIL INSTITUTIONAL COST BURDENS, 1988-2001
(all dollar amounts in millions; italicized amounts are estimates)

|  | 1988 |  |  | 1989 |  |  | 1990 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | FC Letters | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Std (A) } \\ & \text { Regular } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Total | FC Letters | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Std (A) } \\ & \text { Regular } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Total | FC Letters | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Std (A) } \\ & \text { Regular } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Total |
| USPS Costs [1] | \$12,787 | \$4,704 | \$24,137 | \$13,743 | \$4,810 | \$25,675 | \$14,259 | \$5,059 | \$26,948 |
| USPS Revenues [2] | \$20,653 | \$6,199 | \$35,961 | \$22,471 | \$6,769 | \$38,934 | \$23,135 | \$6,935 | \$40,082 |
| Institutional Cost Contribution [3] | \$7,866 | \$1,495 | \$11,825 | \$8,728 | \$1,959 | \$13,259 | \$8,875 | \$1,876 | \$13,134 |
| Cost Share [4] | 52.98\% | 19.49\% | 100.00\% | 53.53\% | 18.74\% | 100.00\% | 52.91\% | 18.77\% | 100.00\% |
| Institutional Cost Share [5] | 66.52\% | 12.64\% | 100.00\% | 65.83\% | 14.77\% | 100.00\% | 67.58\% | 14.28\% | 100.00\% |
| Cost Coverage [6] | 162\% | 132\% | 149\% | 164\% | 141\% | 152\% | 162\% | 137\% | 149\% |
| Mark-Up Index [7] | 1.256 | 0.649 | 1.00 | 1.230 | 0.788 | 1.00 | 1.277 | 0.761 | 1.00 |
| Recommended Mark-Up Index [8] | 1.200 | 0.840 | 1.00 | 1.200 | 0.840 | 1.00 | 1.200 | 0.840 | 1.00 |
| Average Mark-Up Index [9] | 1.263 | 0.907 | 1.00 | 1.263 | 0.907 | 1.00 | 1.263 | 0.907 | 1.00 |
| Cost Coverage Index [10] | 1.084 | 0.884 | 1.00 | 1.078 | 0.928 | 1.00 | 1.091 | 0.922 | 1.00 |
| Recom'd Cost Coverage Index [11] | 1.065 | 0.947 | 1.00 | 1.065 | 0.947 | 1.00 | 1.065 | 0.947 | 1.00 |
| Average Cost Coverage Index [12] | 1.091 | 0.968 | 1.00 | 1.091 | 0.968 | 1.00 | 1.091 | 0.968 | 1.00 |

Notes to Table A:
[1] USPS Cost Segments and Components Reports, FY1988-99. For FY2000, see Exhibit USPS-14F, at 1 and 2. For FY2001, see Exhibit USPS-32B (revised 4-21-00).
[2] USPS Revenue, Pieces and Weight (RPW) Reports, FY1988-99. For FY2000 and FY2001, see Exhibits USPS-32C and USPS-32B (revised 4-21-00), respectively.
$[3]=[2]-[1]$
[4] In each year, [1] First / Total; Std (A) / Total; and, Total / Total.
[5] In each year, [3] First / Total; Std (A) / Total; and, Total / Total.
[6] $=[2] /[1]$
$[7]=[5] /[4]$
[8] See Table C for First-Class Letter and Standard (A) mark-up indices for PRC Ops. R87-1, R90-1, R94-1 and R97-1.
[9] See Table E for First-Class Letter and Standard (A) average mark-up indices for PRC Ops. R87-1, R90-1, R94-1 and R97-1.
[10] In each year, [6] First / Total; Std (A) / Total; and, Total / Total.
[11] See Table D for First-Class Letter and Standard (A) cost coverage indices for PRC Ops. R87-1, R90-1, R94-1 and R97-1.
[12] See Table F for First-Class Letter and Standard (A) average cost coverage indices for PRC Ops. R87-1, R90-1, R94-1 and R97-1.

|  | 1991 |  |  | 1992 |  |  | 1993 |  |  | 1994 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | FC Letters | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Std }(A) \\ & \text { Regular } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Total | FC Letters | Std (A) <br> Regular | Total | FC Letters | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Std (A) } \\ & \text { Regular } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Total | FC Letters | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Std }(A) \\ & \text { Regular } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Total |
| [1] | \$15,173 | \$5,223 | \$28,716 | \$15,630 | \$5,515 | \$29,904 | \$15,909 | \$5,472 | \$30,363 | \$17,042 | \$5,723 | \$32,193 |
| [2] | \$25,644 | \$7,647 | \$44,242 | \$27,289 | \$8,042 | \$47,159 | \$27,879 | \$8,348 | \$48,078 | \$28,365 | \$9,049 | \$49,646 |
| [3] | \$10,471 | \$2,424 | \$15,525 | \$11,659 | \$2,526 | \$17,255 | \$11,970 | \$2,875 | \$17,715 | \$11,323 | \$3,325 | \$17,453 |
| [4] | 52.84\% | 18.19\% | 100.00\% | 52.27\% | 18.44\% | 100.00\% | 52.40\% | 18.02\% | 100.00\% | 52.94\% | 17.78\% | 100.00\% |
| [5] | 67.44\% | 15.61\% | 100.00\% | 67.57\% | 14.64\% | 100.00\% | 67.57\% | 16.23\% | 100.00\% | 64.88\% | 19.05\% | 100.00\% |
| [6] | 169\% | 146\% | 154\% | 175\% | 146\% | 158\% | 175\% | 153\% | 158\% | 166\% | 158\% | 154\% |
| [7] | 1.276 | 0.858 | 1.00 | 1.293 | 0.794 | 1.00 | 1.289 | 0.901 | 1.00 | 1.226 | 1.072 | 1.00 |
| [8] | 1.235 | 0.941 | 1.00 | 1.235 | 0.941 | 1.00 | 1.235 | 0.941 | 1.00 | 1.235 | 0.941 | 1.00 |
| [9] | 1.263 | 0.907 | 1.00 | 1.263 | 0.907 | 1.00 | 1.263 | 0.907 | 1.00 | 1.263 | 0.907 | 1.00 |
| [10] | 1.097 | 0.950 | 1.00 | 1.107 | 0.925 | 1.00 | 1.107 | 0.963 | 1.00 | 1.079 | 1.025 | 1.00 |
| [11] | 1.078 | 0.980 | 1.00 | 1.078 | 0.980 | 1.00 | 1.078 | 0.980 | 1.00 | 1.078 | 0.980 | 1.00 |
| [12] | 1.091 | 0.968 | 1.00 | 1.091 | 0.968 | 1.00 | 1.091 | 0.968 | 1.00 | 1.091 | 0.968 | 1.00 |

Revised 6-29-00

|  | 1995 |  |  | 1996 |  |  | 1997 |  |  | 1998 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | FC Letters | Std (A) <br> Regular | Total | FC Letters | Std (A) <br> Regular | Total | FC Letters | Std (A) Regular | Total | FC Letters | Std (A) Regular | Total |
| [1] | \$17,856 | \$6,145 | \$33,689 | \$18,254 | \$6,274 | \$34,853 | \$15,743 | \$6,289 | \$32,449 | \$15,675 | \$7,101 | \$33,729 |
| [2] | \$30,821 | \$10,268 | \$54,608 | \$31,999 | \$10,622 | \$56,618 | \$32,238 | \$11,329 | \$58,385 | \$32,691 | \$12,138 | \$60,192 |
| [3] | \$12,965 | \$4,122 | \$20,919 | \$13,745 | \$4,348 | \$21,765 | \$16,495 | \$5,040 | \$25,936 | \$17,016 | \$5,037 | \$26,463 |
| [4] | 53.00\% | 18.24\% | 100.00\% | 52.37\% | 18.00\% | 100.00\% | 48.52\% | 19.38\% | 100.00\% | 46.47\% | 21.05\% | 100.00\% |
| [5] | 61.98\% | 19.71\% | 100.00\% | 63.15\% | 19.98\% | 100.00\% | 63.60\% | 19.43\% | 100.00\% | 64.30\% | 19.03\% | 100.00\% |
| [6] | 173\% | 167\% | 162\% | 175\% | 169\% | 162\% | 205\% | 180\% | 180\% | 209\% | 171\% | 178\% |
| [7] | 1.169 | 1.080 | 1.00 | 1.206 | 1.110 | 1.00 | 1.311 | 1.003 | 1.00 | 1.384 | 0.904 | 1.00 |
| [8] | 1.310 | 0.899 | 1.00 | 1.310 | 0.899 | 1.00 | 1.310 | 0.899 | 1.00 | 1.310 | 0.899 | 1.00 |
| [9] | 1.263 | 0.907 | 1.00 | 1.263 | 0.907 | 1.00 | 1.263 | 0.907 | 1.00 | 1.263 | 0.907 | 1.00 |
| [10] | 1.065 | 1.031 | 1.00 | 1.079 | 1.042 | 1.00 | 1.138 | 1.001 | 1.00 | 1.169 | 0.958 | 1.00 |
| [11] | 1.112 | 0.963 | 1.00 | 1.112 | 0.963 | 1.00 | 1.112 | 0.963 | 1.00 | 1.112 | 0.963 | 1.00 |
| [12] | 1.091 | 0.968 | 1.00 | 1.091 | 0.968 | 1.00 | 1.091 | 0.968 | 1.00 | 1.091 | 0.968 | 1.00 |


|  | 1999 |  |  | 2000 |  |  | 2001 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | FC Letters | Std (A) Regular | Total | FC Letters | Std (A) Regular | Total | FC Letters | Std (A) Regular | Total |
| [1] | \$17,146 | \$8,186 | \$37,507 | \$17,535 | \$8,917 | \$38,825 | \$18,346 | \$9,296 | \$40,780 |
| [2] | \$33,785 | \$12,762 | \$62,811 | \$34,771 | \$13,361 | \$64,796 | \$36,166 | \$14,232 | \$68,642 |
| [3] | \$16,639 | \$4,575 | \$25,304 | \$17,236 | \$4,444 | \$25,971 | \$17,820 | \$4,937 | \$27,862 |
| [4] | 45.71\% | 21.83\% | 100.00\% | 45.17\% | 22.97\% | 100.00\% | 44.99\% | 22.79\% | 100.00\% |
| [5] | 65.76\% | 18.08\% | 100.00\% | 66.36\% | 17.11\% | 100.00\% | 63.96\% | 17.72\% | 100.00\% |
| [6] | 197\% | 156\% | 167\% | 198\% | 150\% | 167\% | 197\% | 153\% | 168\% |
| [7] | 1.439 | 0.828 | 1.00 | 1.469 | 0.745 | 1.00 | 1.422 | 0.777 | 1.00 |
| [8] | 1.308 | 0.949 | 1.00 | 1.308 | 0.949 | 1.00 | 1.308 | 0.949 | 1.00 |
| [9] | 1.263 | 0.907 | 1.00 | 1.263 | 0.907 | 1.00 | 1.263 | 0.907 | 1.00 |
| [10] | 1.177 | 0.931 | 1.00 | 1.188 | 0.898 | 1.00 | 1.171 | 0.910 | 1.00 |
| [11] | 1.110 | 0.982 | 1.00 | 1.110 | 0.982 | 1.00 | 1.110 | 0.982 | 1.00 |
| [12] | 1.091 | 0.968 | 1.00 | 1.091 | 0.968 | 1.00 | 1.091 | 0.968 | 1.00 |

## Table 1

| COMPARISON OF THE FIRST-CLASS LETTER AND TOTAL AVERAGE COST COVERAGE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cost Coverage | 1988 | 1989 | 1990 | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 |
| First | 162\% | 164\% | 162\% | 169\% | 175\% | 175\% | 166\% | 173\% | 175\% | 205\% | 209\% | 197\% | 198\% | 197\% |
| Total | 149\% | 152\% | 149\% | 154\% | 158\% | 158\% | 154\% | 162\% | 162\% | 180\% | 178\% | 167\% | 167\% | 168\% |

Figure 1: Comparison of First-Class Letters and Total Average Cost Coverage




|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | T-CLAS | TTER | COVE | INDEX |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cost Coverage Index | 1988 | 1989 | 1990 | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 |
| First | 1.084 | 1.078 | 1.091 | 1.097 | 1.107 | 1.107 | 1.079 | 1.065 | 1.079 | 1.138 | 1.169 | 1.177 | 1.188 | 1.171 |
| Total | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 |

Figure 3: First-Class Letter Cost Coverage Index


## Table 4

|  |  |
| ---: | ---: |
| Mark-Up Index | 1988 |
| First | 1.256 |
| Recom'd | 1.200 |
| Average | 1.263 |

COMPARISON OF FIRST-CLASS LETTER MARK-UP INDEX TO RECOMMENDED AND AVERAGE MARK-UP INDICES

| COMPARISON OF FIRST-CLASS LETTER MARK-UP INDEX | TO RECOMMENDED AND AVERAGE MARK-UP INDICES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1 9 8 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8}$ |
| 1.230 | 1.277 | 1.276 | $\mathbf{1 . 2 9 3}$ | 1.289 | 1.226 | $\mathbf{1 . 1 6 9}$ | 1.206 | 1.311 | $\mathbf{1 . 3 8 4}$ |
| 1.200 | 1.200 | 1.235 | 1.235 | 1.235 | 1.235 | 1.310 | 1.310 | 1.310 | $\mathbf{1 . 3 1 0}$ |
| 1.263 | 1.263 | 1.263 | 1.263 | 1.263 | 1.263 | 1.263 | 1.263 | 1.263 | $\mathbf{1 . 2 6 3}$ |

## Revised 6-29-00

Figure 4: Comparison of First-Class Letter Mark-Up Index to Recommended and Average


| COMPARISON OF FIRST-CLASS LETTER COST COVERAGE INDEX TO RECOMMENDED AND AVERAGE INDICES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cost Coverage Index | 1988 | 1989 | 1990 | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 |
| First | 1.084 | 1.078 | 1.091 | 1.097 | 1.107 | 1.107 | 1.079 | 1.065 | 1.079 | 1.138 | 1.169 | 1.177 | 1.188 | 1.171 |
| Recom'd | 1.065 | 1.065 | 1.065 | 1.078 | 1.078 | 1.078 | 1.078 | 1.112 | 1.112 | 1.112 | 1.112 | 1.110 | 1.110 | 1.110 |
| Average | 1.091 | 1.091 | 1.091 | 1.091 | 1.091 | 1.091 | 1.091 | 1.091 | 1.091 | 1.091 | 1.091 | 1.091 | 1.091 | 1.091 |

Figure 5: Comparison of First-Class Letter Cost Coverage Index to Recommended and Average


Table 6

| COMPARISON OF FIRST-CLASS LETTERS AND STANDARD (A) REGULAR MARK-UP INDICES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mark-Up Index | 1988 | 1989 | 1990 | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 |
| First | 1.256 | 1.230 | 1.277 | 1.276 | 1.293 | 1.289 | 1.226 | 1.169 | 1.206 | 1.311 | 1.384 | 1.439 | 1.469 | 1.422 |
| Std (A) | 0.649 | 0.788 | 0.761 | 0.858 | 0.794 | 0.901 | 1.072 | 1.080 | 1.110 | 1.003 | 0.904 | 0.828 | 0.745 | 0.777 |
| Total | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |

Figure 6: Comparison of First-Class Letters and Standard (A) Regular Mark-Up Indices


COMPARISON OF FIRST-CLASS LETTERS AND STANDARD (A) REGULAR COST COVERAGE INDICES

| COMPARISON OF FIRST-CLASS LETTERS AND STANDARD (A) REGULAR COST COVERAGE INDICES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cost Coverage Index | 1988 | 1989 | 1990 | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 |
| First | 1.084 | 1.078 | 1.091 | 1.097 | 1.107 | 1.107 | 1.079 | 1.065 | 1.079 | 1.138 | 1.169 | 1.177 | 1.188 | 1.171 |
| Std (A) | 0.884 | 0.928 | 0.922 | 0.950 | 0.925 | 0.963 | 1.025 | 1.031 | 1.042 | 1.001 | 0.958 | 0.931 | 0.898 | 0.910 |
| Total | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |

Figure 7: Comparison of First-Class Letters and Standard (A) Regular Cost Coverage Indices


|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Revised 6-29-00 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| COMPARISON OF FIRST-CLASS LETTER \& STANDARD (A) REGULAR MARK-UP INDICES TO RECOMMENDED AND AVERAGE INDICES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mark-Up Index | 1988 | 1989 | 1990 | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 |
| First | 1.256 | 1.230 | 1.277 | 1.276 | 1.293 | 1.289 | 1.226 | 1.169 | 1.206 | 1.311 | 1.384 | 1.439 | 1.469 | 1.422 |
| First, Rec. | 1.200 | 1.200 | 1.200 | 1.235 | 1.235 | 1.235 | 1.235 | 1.310 | 1.310 | 1.310 | 1.310 | 1.308 | 1.308 | 1.308 |
| First, Ave. | 1.263 | 1.263 | 1.263 | 1.263 | 1.263 | 1.263 | 1.263 | 1.263 | 1.263 | 1.263 | 1.263 | 1.263 | 1.263 | 1.263 |
| Std (A) | 0.649 | 0.788 | 0.761 | 0.858 | 0.794 | 0.901 | 1.072 | 1.080 | 1.110 | 1.003 | 0.904 | 0.828 | 0.745 | 0.777 |
| Std (A), Rec. | 0.840 | 0.840 | 0.840 | 0.941 | 0.941 | 0.941 | 0.941 | 0.899 | 0.899 | 0.899 | 0.899 | 0.949 | 0.949 | 0.949 |
| Std (A), Ave. | 0.907 | 0.907 | 0.907 | 0.907 | 0.907 | 0.907 | 0.907 | 0.907 | 0.907 | 0.907 | 0.907 | 0.907 | 0.907 | 0.907 |

Figure 8: Comparison of First-Class Letter and Standard (A) Regular Mark-Up Indices to Recommended and Average


# Table 9 

COMPARISON OF FIRST-CLASS LETTER \& STANDARD (A) REGULAR COST COVERAGE INDICES TO RECOMMENDED AND AVERAGE

|  | 4988 | 1989 | 1990 | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| First | 1.084 | 1.078 | 1.091 | 1.097 | 1.107 | 1.107 | 1.079 | 1.065 | 1.079 | 1.138 | 1.169 | 1.177 | 1.188 | 1.171 |
| First, Rec. | 1.065 | 1.065 | 1.065 | 1.078 | 1.078 | 1.078 | 1.078 | 1.112 | 1.112 | 1.112 | 1.112 | 1.110 | 1.110 | 1.110 |
| First, Ave. | 1.091 | 1.091 | 1.091 | 1.091 | 1.091 | 1.091 | 1.091 | 1.091 | 1.091 | 1.091 | 1.091 | 1.091 | 1.091 | 1.091 |
| Std (A) | 0.884 | 0.928 | 0.922 | 0.950 | 0.925 | 0.963 | 1.025 | 1.031 | 1.042 | 1.001 | 0.958 | 0.931 | 0.898 | 0.910 |
| Std (A), Rec. | 0.947 | 0.947 | 0.947 | 0.980 | 0.980 | 0.980 | 0.980 | 0.963 | 0.963 | 0.963 | 0.963 | 0.982 | 0.982 | 0.982 |
| Std (A), Ave. | 0.968 | 0.968 | 0.968 | 0.968 | 0.968 | 0.968 | 0.968 | 0.968 | 0.968 | 0.968 | 0.968 | 0.968 | 0.968 | 0.968 |

Figure 9: Comparison of First-Class Letter and Standard (A) Regular Cost Coverage Indices to Recommended and Average


Years




| Noles |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| [1] | $=[8]-(4)$ |
| [2] | * $1+\left(1.283^{*}((14]-1)\right)$, where 1.263 is the Fixst-Class average mark-up tor PRC Ops. R87-1. R90.1. R94-1, and R97-1. See Table C |
| [3] | $=[2] *\|6\|$ |
| [4] | $=[3] \cdot \times 19]$. For FY1988, *Adjusted Revenue* reflects implementation of rates on 4/3/88. |
| [5] | $=[7] / 16]$ |
| [8] | $=[11 \mid \cdot[12]$. Tor FY 1988.96. For FY 1997-2001, [13]/ [9]. |
| [7) | $=[8]$ /9]. except FY 1988. which is calculaled based upon total First-Class Letter revenue for FY 1988. See Note [8], be |
| [8] | USPS Revenue, Pieces and Weight (RPW) Reports. FY1988-99. For FY1988. Quarter 3. hess AP 7. plus Quarter 4. to reflect implementation of rates on $4 / 3 / 88$. For FY2000 and FY2001, see Exhibws USPS-32C and USPS-32B (revised 4-21-00). respectively. |
| [9] | USPS Revenue, Pieces and Weight (RPW) Reports. FY 1988-99. For FY1988. Quarter 3. hess AP 7, plus Quarter 4, to reflect implementation of rates on 4/3/88. For FY2000 and FY2001, see Response of Winess Mayes (USPS-T-32) to POIR No. 1. Question 4, at 3 and 2. respectively. |
| [19) | USPS Cost Segments and Components Reports. FY 1988-98. |
| [11] | $=[10 / /\{9]$ excepl FY1988, which is divided by 80.577 689. total wolume or First-Class retters |
| \|12] | For FY $\$ 988-90$, see PRC Op. RB7-1, Appendix G. Schedule 1, al 1 . See also Docket No. R87-1, USPS-T-16, Exhibit 18O, al 1, and USPS-T-2, Table 1 (revised 5-22-87), al 5. For FY1981-94. see PRC Op. R90-1. Appendix G. Schedule 1. at 1 . See also Docket No. R90-t. USPS-T-17, Extibin 170, at 1 , and USPS-T-2. Table 1 (revised 3-30-90), at 6 . For FYi995-96, see PRC Op R94-1, Appendix G, Schedule 1, at 1. See also Docket No R94-1, USPS-T-7. Exhion 72, at 1. and USPS-T-2. Table 1, at 6 |
| [13) | $=\{6]^{*}$ [9], for FY 1989-96. FY 988 is divided by 80,577669 , fotal whime of First-Class letters. FY1997 is an average of FY 1996 and $F Y$ 1998. For FYf998, see Cost Segments and <br> Components Report. FY1998. PRC Revised RPW Data Version, al 7. Fof FY1999. FY2000, and FY2001, see USPS-LR-I-131. Volume D. Volume F, and Volume J, respectively, Table E al 1 |
| [14] | $=[16] /[15]$ |
| [15] | $=[20] *[24]$ ] for FY 1988-96. For FY 1997-2001. [22]/ [18]. |
| [16] | $=[17] /[18]$, except FY 1988, which is calculated based upon Tolal USPS Mat revenue for FY 1988. See Note [17]. Delow |
| [17] | USPS Revenue, Pieces and Weight (RPW) Reports, FY 1988-99. For FY1988, Quarter 3. less AP 7. plus Quarter 4, io reflect implementation of rates on 4/3/88. For FY2000 and FY2001, see Exhibits USPS-32C and USPS-32B (revised 4-21-00). respectively. |
| [18] | USPS Revenue, Pieces and Weight (RPW) Reports. FY1988-99. For FY1988. Quarter 3. less AP 7, plus Quarter 4, 10 reflect implementation of rates on 4/3/88. For FY2000 and FY 2001 , see Response of Witness Mayes (USPS. T-32) to POIR No. 1. Question 4, at 3 and 2 , respectively |
| ${ }^{191}$ | USPS Cosi Segments and Components Reports. FY 1988-96. |
| 1201 | $=[19]$ / [18]], exced FY 1988, which is divided by 960.953 .625 . 10 tal mail volume. |
| [21] | For FY 1988-90. see PRC Op. R87-1. Appendix G, Schedule 1, at 1 . See also Docket No. R87-1. USPS-T-18. Exhiby 16E, al 23. and Exhibit 160, al 2, and USPS-T-2. Table 1 (revised 5-22-87), at 6. For FY1991-94, see PRC Op R90-1. Appendix G. Schedule 1, at 1 . See also Dockel No. R90-1. USPS-T-17. Exhibtht 17E. at 22. and Exhibe 170. at 2, and USPS-T-2, Table 1 (revised <br>  |
| [22] | $=[15 \cdot[18]$, for FY1989-96. FY1988 is divided by 180.953 .625 , total maid volume. FY 1997 is an average of FY1998 and FY 1998 . For FY 1998, see Cost Segments and Components Report. FY 1998, PRC Revised RPW Data Version, at t. For FY1999, FY2000, and FY2001, see USPS-LR-1.131, Volume D, Volume F, and Volume J, respectively, Table E. at 2 |
| [23] | = [25] [24] [2] |
| [24] |  |
| [25] | $=[26]$ / 27 ]. |
| [26] | * [17]-[8] |
| [27] | $=[18]-19]$ |
| [28] | * [19]-110] |
| [29] | $=[22] \cdot \mid 13]$ |



Table 10
SYSTEMWIDE AVERAGE AND FIRST-CLASS LETTERS COST COVERAGE AND MARK-UP INDEX FROM SELECTED COMMISSION RECOMMENDED DECISIONS

|  | R-87 | R-90 | R-94 | R-97 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Systemwide Average |  |  |  |  |
| First-Class Letters Cost |  |  |  |  |
| Coverage | 158.0\% | 161.7\% | 174.5\% | 172.4\% |
| First-Class Letters Mark |  |  |  |  |
| Up Index | 1.200 | 1.235 | 1.310 | 1.308 |

## Table 11

ANNUAL CONTRIBUTION TO INSTITUTIONAL COSTS BY FIRST-CLASS LETTERS

## IN EXCESS OF THE AVERAGE FIRST-CLASS MARK-UP INDEX

 (amounts in millions)| 1988 | 1989 | 1990 | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | Estimated |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2000 | 2001 | 1988-99 | 1988-2001 |
| \$116 | \$555 | \$908 | \$522 | \$698 | \$685 | \$117 | (\$747) | (\$354) | \$599 | \$1,769 | \$1,964 | \$2,682 | \$1,729 | \$6,833 | \$11,245 |

Table 12

| First-Class Letters | COMPARISON OF INSTITUTIONAL AND ATTRIBUTABLE COST SHARES FOR FIRST-CLASS LETTERS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1988 | 1989 | 1990 | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 |
| Inst'l Shr | 66.52\% | 65.83\% | 67.58\% | 67.44\% | 67.57\% | 67.57\% | 64.88\% | 61.98\% | 63.15\% | 63.60\% | 64.30\% | 65.76\% | 66.36\% | 63.96\% |
| Attrb Shr | 52.98\% | 53.53\% | 52.91\% | 52.84\% | 52.27\% | 52.40\% | 52.94\% | 53.00\% | 52.37\% | 48.52\% | 46.47\% | 45.71\% | 45.17\% | 44.99\% |

Figure 10: Comparison of Institutional and Attributable Cost Shares for First-Class Letters
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