## UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

Farm Service Agency Washington, DC 20250 Notice PM-2366

For: State and County Offices

## 2003 CED Classification and Pay Plan (C&PP)

Frams & Philips Jr

Approved by: Acting Deputy Administrator, Management

## 1 Overview

### A Purpose

This notice provides information and instructions for the 2003 CED C&PP.

### **B** Effective Date

The effective date for implementing the new C&PP is July 13, 2003.

## **C** Notification

State Offices shall notify County Offices of the results of the 2003 C&PP within 10 calendar days after receipt of this notice.

## **D** Posting on FFAS Intranet

After July 1, 2003, HRD shall post 2003 CED C&PP data on the FFAS Intranet at http://dc.ffasintranet.usda.gov/hrd/cedcpp.htm.

Disposal Date	Distribution
September 1, 2004	State Offices; State Offices relay to County Offices

## 2 CED C&PP Description

#### **A** Characteristics

The 2003 CED C&PP:

- reflects each CED's program and personnel management responsibility
- includes NAP as a major program
- is fully consistent with Federal classification criteria.

## **B** Combined County Credit

Credit is provided in C&PP for combined County Offices and shared management operations as reported to HRD. Data for recent County Office changes and changes not reported to HRD will be incorrect.

### **C** Classification Elements

The 2003 CED C&PP uses the following 5 elements for grade determination:

- management responsibility
- program variety
- · crop production
- program participation
- economic impact.

See Exhibit 1 for a definition for each element.

## **3** Grade Level Changes

### **A** Criteria for Grades

The full performance level for CED positions is either CO-11 or CO-12.

To support a CO-12, the CO-12 criteria of elements 1 and 2 must both be met. See Exhibit 1. In addition, the CO-12 criteria of at least 1 of the remaining elements must be met.

## **B** Processing Upgrades

Consider upgrading actions resulting from the 2003 C&PP as promotions. Use NOA code "702" and remark code "K23" to process promotion actions.

# **3** Grade Level Changes (Continued)

## **D** Performance Requirements

See 27-PM, subparagraph 378 C for performance certification requirements for upgraded CED positions.

## E Basic Salary

Establish salary rates for upgraded positions according to 27-PM, paragraph 701.

## F Downgrades

No currently authorized CO-12 positions will be downgraded as a result of implementing the 2003 CED C&PP. Current CO-12 position that do not meet CO-12 criteria of the 2003 C&PP are marked in the 2003 C&PP by an asterisk (\*). These positions shall be filled at CO-11 when they become vacant while the 2003 CED C&PP is in effect.

## 4 Within-Grade Increases (WGI's)

## **A WGI Policy**

A new waiting period for WGI begins the first day CED is promoted.

# **B** WGI Processing

If CED to be promoted is eligible for WGI in the current grade on the date of the implementation of the 2003 C&PP:

- grant WGI based on CED's current grade
- process the promotion action
- start a new WGI waiting period.

## **5** Correcting Misactions

## **A** Effective Date

If CED is improperly upgraded during the implementation of the 2003 C&PP, corrective action shall be retroactive to July 13, 2003.

## **6** Classification Appeals

## **A** Appeal Procedures

CED may appeal the grade of his or her position to DAFO at any time.

COC and STC shall concur with CED appeal requests before submission to DAFO.

## **B** Appeal Documentation

The appeal shall clearly state the reasons the appellant feels the position is graded incorrectly. Specific CED C&PP classification elements shall be addressed. Facts supporting the appeal shall be included.

### C Factors Not Considered

See 27-PM, subparagraph 37 C for a list of factors that are not considered in CED classification appeals.

#### **D** Effective Date

The effective date of a grade change resulting from a classification appeal will be specified in the appeal response.

#### **E** Final Decisions

DAFO classification decisions are final.

### **7 Future Actions**

### A C&PP Review

CED grades will be reviewed annually based on the 2 most recent years of data available.

The criteria of the C&PP will be updated as needed to reflect current programs and responsibilities.

### **B** Reclassification

Reclassification of CED positions will be authorized on a county-by-county basis as major changes in CED responsibilities occur.

### C Oilseeds

BUD has included an information item on the annual workload reports which will allow crediting of oilseeds production on future C&PP updates.

## 9 Contacts

## **A** Contact for Grades

Contact Marilyn Pate at 202-418-8987:

- if there are questions about CED grades or reports
- to obtain corrected data for newly effected combinations and shared management offices.

# **B** Contact for Processing Promotions

Contact Crystol Wilsey at 202-418-9002 if there are questions about processing promotions.

### **Classification Elements**

		Element Definition	
	Element	CO-11	CO-12
1.	Management Responsibility	CED supervises a <b>small</b> office staff (Fewer than 3 permanent, full-time subordinates). *	CED supervises a <b>moderate to large</b> office staff (3 or more permanent, full-time subordinates). *
2.	Program Variety	There is recurring activity in <b>1 or 2</b> major programs (commodity production, NAP, commodity loan, or conservation). **	There is recurring activity in <b>3 or 4</b> major programs (commodity production, NAP, commodity loan, and conservation). **
3.	Crop Production	Crop variety is limited. The county/counties served produce <b>1 or 2</b> of the following: wheat, feed grains, cotton, rice, peanuts, tobacco. ***	Crop variety is moderate to wide. The county/counties served produce 3 or more of the following: wheat, feed grains, cotton, rice, peanuts, tobacco. ***
4.	Program Participation	Program participation is light to moderate. <b>Fewer than 350 clients</b> receive program payments annually. ****	Program participation is moderate to heavy. More than 350 clients receive program payments annually. ****
5.	Economic Impact	The economic impact of agency programs is light to moderate. The office issues <b>less than \$1 million</b> in program payments annually. ****	The economic impact of agency programs is moderate to significant. The office issues <b>more than \$1 million</b> in program payments annually. ****

- \* The number of subordinates is based on computed workdays for the county, excluding farm loan workdays. The number of subordinates actually employed is **not** used because many offices are understaffed or positions are vacant.
- \*\* Minimum criteria for consideration commodity production: at least 25 computed workdays in either peanuts or tobacco or at least 100 wheat, feed grains, cotton, or rice contracts; NAP: at least 25 computed workdays in NAP activity; commodity loan: at least 25 computed workdays in commodity loan activity; conservation: at least 25 computed workdays in conservation activity.
- \*\*\* Minimum criteria for consideration wheat: 100 or more contracts; feed grains: 100 or more contracts; cotton: 100 or more contracts; rice: 100 or more contracts; peanuts: 25 or more computed workdays; tobacco: 25 or more computed workdays.
- \*\*\*\* Excludes farm loan program participation and payments.