Nanotechnology: Lessons from the Frankenfood Debate

June 6, 2005

Julia A. Moore Former Public Policy Scholar Woodrow Wilson International Center for Scholars Smithsonian Institution

21st Century S&T Policy Context

- End of Cold War
- Globalization
- Information Revolution
- Increased Democratization & Rise of NGOs
- Eroding Public Trust in Government

The Great GM Debate



UK Media Headlines



GM Debate Myths

- The public is ignorant of science
- Europeans don't care about feeding people in the Third World
- It's all the fault of the mad cow disease crisis
- Europeans are risk adverse, Luddites
- Blame the media!

• • • •

Public Perceptions of Agricultural Biotechnologies in Europe



Final Report of the PABE Research project Funded by the Commission of European Communities December 2001

www.lancs.ac.uk/dept/iepp p/pabe

Most Trusted Institutions on Biotechnology

Gaskell 2003

Europe

United States

- Consumer Groups 49%
- Environmental groups – 46%
- Industry 8%

- FDA 41%
- Farmers 34%
- Scientists 33%
- Industry 5%

Nanotechnology cures cancer! Well, it might...

Science and technology

The Economist May 7th 2005

73

Also in this section 74 The effectiveness of acupuncture 74 The last Skylark rocket 75 How sunlight varies 75 A convention for time travellers

Oncology Nanotechnology cures cancer!

Well, it might...

RUG molecules not only have to be ef-D fective at treating disease, they also have to be robust enough to get from the place where they enter the body to the place where they are designed to act. Given that bodies devote a lot of effort to hunting down and destroying things that are in the wrong place-whether those things be molecules, viruses, bacteria or even errant body cells-designing drugs that can do this is no mean feat. That is doubly true when the drug in

be an important advance. KNAi works by mugging one of the cell's molecular messengers. The informa-tion needed to make proteins—the mole-cules that do most of the work in a cell—is stored as genes in the double-stranded DNA of a cell's nucleus. When a particular proprint is caded this information is conprotein is needed, this information is copied into a single-stranded molecule called RNA. The RNA then carries the message to the places where proteins are made, and

the message is translated into protein

be an important advance.

numbers. This is where Dr Hu, Dr Triche and Dr Davis come in.

and Dr Davis come in. Their solution is to wrap the therapeu-tic RNA inside a "nanoparticle" made of two polymers called cyclodextrin and polyethylene glycol, and coated with a protein called transferrin. It is the transfer-rin that provides the magic. Its usual job is to carry iron atoms, which cannot pene-trate cell membranes by themselves, into cells. It does this by grabbing hold of those atoms and then latching on to a cell-membrane protein called a transferrin receptor, which escorts it into the cell. The researchers reasoned that transferrin and its receptor might perform the same trick for their nanoparticles, and they knew that tumour cells have more transferrin receptors than healthy ones. So they reckoned this might be a way to get the nanoparticles to concentrate in tumours. Once inside, the acidic environment of the cell would dis-

2004 NCSU Public Opinion Survey:

Leading Public Concerns About Nanotechnology

- Loss of privacy due to surveillance 32%
- Nanotechnology arms race 24%
- Nanoparticles accumulating inside humans 19%
- Economic disruption with job loss 14%
- Uncontrollable spread of self-replicating nanobots – 12%

(Cobb et al., 2004)

Report of the Madison Area Citizen Consensus Conference on Nanotechnology

Key Recommendations:

- Develop specific health and safety testing processes for nanomaterials
- Repeat testing of products that do not include nanoscale materials when such nanomaterials are added to the product
- Disclose/label substances in products using nanomaterials
- Do no assume that existing health and safety regulations are adequate
- Form government body, that includes wise spectrum of participants, responsible for regulation of public and private nanoscale research and development
- Create an international agency that would consider nanotechnology problems and issues

(Kleinman & Powell, 2005)

From Agbiotech to Nanotech: Lessons Learned

- Build public trust in a strong, credible US and international regulatory process
- Make sure nanotechnology's environmental and health benefits and safety is confirmed by independent research
- Demonstrate concern for consumer choice
- Provide opportunities for public input into the technology's development and regulation

End



End

UK Govt. Handling of Mad Cow Disease



Ag Secretary Feeds Daughter a Hamburger

- London Times 15 April 1996
- May 1990: Agriculture minister John Gummer attempts to allay public fears about the safety of beef by feeding his daughter, Cordelia, a hamburger on the steps of Parliament.

New 21st Technology Acceptance Model

- Voluntary
- Perceived Usefulness
- Strong, Independent "Life Cycle" Risk Management
- Director Public/Consumer Benefit
- "Yuck" vs. "Cool" Factor
- Trust in Regulators
- Image (inc. Ethics & Culture)
- Comparative Price
- Scale

Diffusion of Innovations Technology Acceptance Model

- Non-voluntary
- Presumed Benefits with Manageable Risk
- Early Adopters & Laggards
- Powerful Gatekeepers
- Poor Public Science Literacy
- Risk Communication
- "Bully" Factor

(Ryan and Gross, 1973)

Science & Engineering Indicators 2004

- Neither Americans nor Europeans got high marks in a 2001 quiz designed to measure their knowledge of science.
- More Americans (53%) now agree with the theory of evolution.
- Most Americans (two-thirds in 2001 NSF survey) do not clearly understand the scientific process.
- Studies seem to indicate that not many Americans are "technologically literate."
- Belief in various forms of pseudoscience is common in both the United States and Europe. For example, 60 percent of surveyed Americans said they believe in extrasensory perception, and 41 percent thought that astrology is at least somewhat scientific. More than half of surveyed Europeans said they believe in astrology.