

F Street), on business days between 7 a.m. and 5 p.m. [FAX number (202) 898-3838; Internet address: comments@fdic.gov]. Comments may also be submitted to the OMB desk officer for the FDIC: Alexander Hunt, Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Office of Management and Budget, New Executive Office Building, Room 3208, Washington, DC 20503.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Tamara R. Manly, at the address identified above.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

**Proposal To Renew the Following Currently Approved Collection of Information**

*Title:* Extensions of Credit to Executive Officers, Unsafe and Unsound Practices.

*OMB Number:* 3064-0108.

*Frequency of Response:* Annually.

*Affected Public:* All financial institutions.

*Estimated Number of Respondents:* 4,000.

*Estimated Number of Responses:* 8,000.

*Estimated Time per Response:* 1 hour.

*Estimated Total Annual Burden:* 8,000 hours.

*General Description of Collection:* The information collection and recordkeeping requirements are mandated by statute and take the form of (1) a report by executive officers of insured nonmember banks to their boards of directors within 10 days of incurring any indebtedness to any other bank in an amount in excess of the amount the insured nonmember bank could lend to the officer, and (2) a report from insured nonmember banks, included with their reports of condition filed with the FDIC, on any extensions of credit made by the bank to its executive officers since the bank filed its last report of condition.

**Request for Comment**

Comments are invited on: (a) Whether the collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the FDIC's functions, including whether the information has practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the estimates of the burden of the information collection, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (d) ways to minimize the burden of the information collection on respondents, including through the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

At the end of the comment period, the comments and recommendations

received will be analyzed to determine the extent to which the collection should be modified prior to submission to OMB for review and approval.

Comments submitted in response to this notice also will be summarized or included in the FDIC's requests to OMB for renewal of this collection. All comments will become a matter of public record.

Dated at Washington, DC, this 28th day of February, 2001.

Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation.

**Robert E. Feldman,**

*Executive Secretary.*

[FR Doc. 01-5243 Filed 3-2-01; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 6714-01-U**

**FEDERAL MARITIME COMMISSION**

**[Docket No. 01-03]**

**Pactrans Air & Sea, Inc. v. Altraco, Inc.; Notice of Filing of Complaint and Assignment**

Notice is given that a complaint has been filed with the Federal Maritime Commission ("Commission") by Pactrans Air & Sea, Inc. ("Complainant" or "Pactrans") against Altraco, Inc. ("Respondent").

Complainant is a non-vessel-operating common carrier ocean transportation intermediary. Among other things, Complainant alleges that Respondent knowingly and willfully violated section 10(a)(1) of the Shipping Act of 1984, as amended, ("Shipping Act") by causing Pactrans to lose its maritime lien and by using an unfair device or means to gain free transportation. Complainant asks that the Commission issue an order against Respondent finding it in violation of the Shipping Act. Complainant also asks for an order compelling Respondent to make reparations to Complainant in an amount to be proved at an administrative hearing, plus interest, costs, and reasonable attorneys' fees; an order holding that the Respondent's activities described in the complaint are unlawful and in violation of section 10(a)(1) of the Shipping Act and ordering that Respondent cease and desist from such unlawful activities; and such other and further relief as the Commission deems just and proper. Complainant requests that hearing be held in Washington, DC.

This proceeding has been assigned to the office of Administrative Law Judges. Hearing in this matter, if any is held, shall commence within the time limitations prescribed in 46 CFR 502.61, and only after consideration has been given by the parties and the presiding

officer to the use of alternative forms of dispute resolution. The hearing shall include oral testimony and cross-examination in the discretion of the presiding officer only upon proper showing that there are genuine issues of material fact that cannot be resolved on the basis of sworn statements, affidavits, depositions, or other documents or that the nature of the matter in issue is such that an oral hearing and cross-examination are necessary for the development of an adequate record. Pursuant to the further terms of 46 CFR 502.61, the initial decision of the presiding officer in this proceeding shall be issued by February 25, 2002, and the final decision of the Commission shall be issued by June 25, 2002.

**Bryant L. VanBrakle,**

*Secretary.*

[FR Doc. 01-4929 Filed 3-2-01; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 6730-01-M**

**FEDERAL MARITIME COMMISSION**

**[Docket No. 01-02]**

**Notice of Filing of Complaint and Assignment**

In the matter of Transworld Shipping (USA), Inc. v. FMI Forwarding (San Francisco), Inc. a/k/a Inter-Maritime Forwarding Co. (San Francisco), Inc. and Inter-Maritime Forwarding Company, Incorporated—A Division of Union-Transport Corporation, a/k/a Inter-Maritime Forwarding Co., Inter-Maritime Container Line and Union-Transport Corporation; Notice of Filing of Complaint and Assignment.

Notice is given that a complaint has been filed with the Federal Maritime Commission ("Commission"), by Transworld Shipping (USA), Inc., ("Complainant"), against FMI Forwarding (San Francisco, Inc. a/k/a Inter-Maritime Forwarding Co. (San Francisco), Inc. ("FMI"), Inter-Maritime Forwarding Company, Incorporated A Division of Union-Transport Corporation, a/k/a Inter-Maritime Forwarding Co., Inter-Maritime Container Line ("IMF"); and Union-Transport Corporation ("Union-Transport") (collectively, "Respondents").

Complainant is a non-vessel-operating common carrier Ocean Transportation Intermediary. Among other things, Complainant alleges that the Respondents violated sections 10(a)(1) and 10(d)(1) of the Shipping Act of 1984 and several of the Commission's freight forwarder regulations at 46 CFR part 515 by engaging in a pattern of deceit by booking cargo and mis-representing that ocean freight charges would be paid, thereby inducing Complainant to