

**$\rho(2150)$** 

$$I^G(J^{PC}) = 1^+(1^{--})$$

OMITTED FROM SUMMARY TABLE

This entry was previously called  $T_1(2190)$ . **$\rho(2150)$  MASS** **$e^+e^-$  PRODUCED**

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<b>2149±17 OUR AVERAGE</b>	Includes data from the datablock that follows this one.		
2150±40±50	AUBERT	07AU BABR	10.6 $e^+e^- \rightarrow f_1(1285)\pi^+\pi^-\gamma$
2153±37	BIAGINI	91 RVUE	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-, K^+K^-$
2110±50	<sup>1</sup> CLEGG	90 RVUE	$e^+e^- \rightarrow 3(\pi^+\pi^-), 2(\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0)$
••• We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. •••			
1990±80	AUBERT	07AU BABR	10.6 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \eta'\pi^+\pi^-\gamma$

 **$\bar{p}p \rightarrow \pi\pi$** 

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
••• We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. •••			
~ 2191	HASAN	94 RVUE	$\bar{p}p \rightarrow \pi\pi$
~ 1988	HASAN	94 RVUE	$\bar{p}p \rightarrow \pi\pi$
~ 2070	<sup>2</sup> OAKDEN	94 RVUE	0.36–1.55 $\bar{p}p \rightarrow \pi\pi$
~ 2170	<sup>3</sup> MARTIN	80B RVUE	
~ 2100	<sup>3</sup> MARTIN	80C RVUE	

**S-CHANNEL  $\bar{N}N$** 

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
••• We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. •••			
2110±35	<sup>4</sup> ANISOVICH	02 SPEC	0.6–1.9 $p\bar{p} \rightarrow \omega\pi^0, \omega\eta\pi^0, \pi^+\pi^-$
~ 2190	<sup>5</sup> CUTTS	78B CNTR	0.97–3 $\bar{p}p \rightarrow \bar{N}N$
2155±15	<sup>5,6</sup> COUPLAND	77 CNTR	0.7–2.4 $\bar{p}p \rightarrow \bar{p}p$
2193± 2	<sup>5,7</sup> ALSPECTOR	73 CNTR	$\bar{p}p$ S channel
2190±10	<sup>8</sup> ABRAMS	70 CNTR	S channel $\bar{p}N$

 **$\pi^-p \rightarrow \omega\pi^0n$** 

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
The data in this block is included in the average printed for a previous datablock.			

**2155±21 OUR AVERAGE**

2140±30	ALDE	95 GAM2	38 $\pi^-p \rightarrow \omega\pi^0n$
2170±30	ALDE	92C GAM4	100 $\pi^-p \rightarrow \omega\pi^0n$

<sup>1</sup> Includes ATKINSON 85.<sup>2</sup> See however KLOET 96 who fit  $\pi^+\pi^-$  only and find waves only up to  $J = 3$  to be important but not significantly resonant.<sup>3</sup>  $I(J^P) = 1(1^-)$  from simultaneous analysis of  $p\bar{p} \rightarrow \pi^-\pi^+$  and  $\pi^0\pi^0$ .<sup>4</sup> From the combined analysis of ANISOVICH 00J, ANISOVICH 01D, ANISOVICH 01E, and ANISOVICH 02.<sup>5</sup> Isospins 0 and 1 not separated.<sup>6</sup> From a fit to the total elastic cross section.<sup>7</sup> Referred to as  $T$  or  $T$  region by ALSPECTOR 73.<sup>8</sup> Seen as bump in  $I = 1$  state. See also COOPER 68. PEASLEE 75 confirm  $\bar{p}p$  results of ABRAMS 70, no narrow structure.

**$\rho(2150)$  WIDTH** **$e^+e^-$  PRODUCED**

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<b><math>359 \pm 40</math> OUR AVERAGE</b>	Includes data from the datablock that follows this one.		
$350 \pm 40 \pm 50$	AUBERT	07AU BABR	$10.6 e^+e^- \rightarrow f_1(1285)\pi^+\pi^-\gamma$
$389 \pm 79$	BIAGINI	91 RVUE	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-, K^+K^-$
$410 \pm 100$	<sup>9</sup> CLEGG	90 RVUE	$e^+e^- \rightarrow 3(\pi^+\pi^-), 2(\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0)$
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●			
$310 \pm 140$	AUBERT	07AU BABR	$10.6 e^+e^- \rightarrow \eta'\pi^+\pi^-\gamma$

 **$\bar{p}p \rightarrow \pi\pi$** 

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●			
$\sim 296$	HASAN	94 RVUE	$\bar{p}p \rightarrow \pi\pi$
$\sim 244$	HASAN	94 RVUE	$\bar{p}p \rightarrow \pi\pi$
$\sim 40$	<sup>10</sup> OAKDEN	94 RVUE	$0.36-1.55 \bar{p}p \rightarrow \pi\pi$
$\sim 250$	<sup>11</sup> MARTIN	80B RVUE	
$\sim 200$	<sup>11</sup> MARTIN	80C RVUE	

**S-CHANNEL  $\bar{N}N$** 

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●			
$230 \pm 50$	<sup>12</sup> ANISOVICH	02 SPEC	$0.6-1.9 p\bar{p} \rightarrow \omega\pi^0, \omega\eta\pi^0, \pi^+\pi^-$
$135 \pm 75$	<sup>13,14</sup> COUPLAND	77 CNTR	$0.7-2.4 \bar{p}p \rightarrow \bar{p}p$
$98 \pm 8$	<sup>14</sup> ALSPECTOR	73 CNTR	$\bar{p}p$ S channel
$\sim 85$	<sup>15</sup> ABRAMS	70 CNTR	S channel $\bar{p}N$

 **$\pi^-p \rightarrow \omega\pi^0n$** 

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
The data in this block is included in the average printed for a previous datablock.			

<b><math>320 \pm 70</math></b>	ALDE	95 GAM2	$38 \pi^-p \rightarrow \omega\pi^0n$
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●			
$\sim 300$	ALDE	92C GAM4	$100 \pi^-p \rightarrow \omega\pi^0n$

<sup>9</sup>Includes ATKINSON 85.  
<sup>10</sup>See however KLOET 96 who fit  $\pi^+\pi^-$  only and find waves only up to  $J = 3$  to be important but not significantly resonant.  
<sup>11</sup> $I(J^P) = 1(1^-)$  from simultaneous analysis of  $p\bar{p} \rightarrow \pi^-\pi^+$  and  $\pi^0\pi^0$ .  
<sup>12</sup>From the combined analysis of ANISOVICH 00J, ANISOVICH 01D, ANISOVICH 01E, and ANISOVICH 02.  
<sup>13</sup>From a fit to the total elastic cross section.  
<sup>14</sup>Isospins 0 and 1 not separated.  
<sup>15</sup>Seen as bump in  $I = 1$  state. See also COOPER 68. PEASLEE 75 confirm  $\bar{p}p$  results of ABRAMS 70, no narrow structure.

**$\rho(2150)$  DECAY MODES**

Mode	Fraction ( $\Gamma_i/\Gamma$ )
$\Gamma_1$ $e^+ e^-$	
$\Gamma_2$ $\pi^+ \pi^-$	seen
$\Gamma_3$ $K^+ K^-$	seen
$\Gamma_4$ $3(\pi^+ \pi^-)$	seen
$\Gamma_5$ $2(\pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0)$	seen
$\Gamma_6$ $\eta' \pi^+ \pi^-$	seen
$\Gamma_7$ $f_1(1285) \pi^+ \pi^-$	seen
$\Gamma_8$ $\omega \pi^0$	seen
$\Gamma_9$ $\omega \pi^0 \eta$	seen
$\Gamma_{10}$ $\rho \bar{\rho}$	

 **$\rho(2150) \Gamma(i)\Gamma(e^+ e^-)/\Gamma^2(\text{total})$** 

$$\Gamma(f_1(1285)\pi^+\pi^-) \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}^2 \quad \Gamma_7\Gamma_1/\Gamma^2$$

VALUE (units $10^{-7}$ )	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<b><math>3.1 \pm 0.6 \pm 0.5</math></b>	<sup>16</sup> AUBERT	07AU BABR	$10.6 e^+ e^- \rightarrow f_1(1285) \pi^+ \pi^- \gamma$

<sup>16</sup> Calculated by us from the reported value of cross section at the peak.

$$\Gamma(\eta' \pi^+ \pi^-) \times \Gamma(e^+ e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}^2 \quad \Gamma_6\Gamma_1/\Gamma^2$$

VALUE (units $10^{-8}$ )	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$4.9 \pm 1.9$	<sup>17</sup> AUBERT	07AU BABR	$10.6 e^+ e^- \rightarrow \eta' \pi^+ \pi^- \gamma$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

<sup>17</sup> Calculated by us from the reported value of cross section at the peak.

 **$\rho(2150)$  REFERENCES**

AUBERT	07AU PR D76 092005	B. Aubert <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)
ANISOVICH	02 PL B542 8	A.V. Anisovich <i>et al.</i>	
ANISOVICH	01D PL B508 6	A.V. Anisovich <i>et al.</i>	
ANISOVICH	01E PL B513 281	A.V. Anisovich <i>et al.</i>	
ANISOVICH	00J PL B491 47	A.V. Anisovich <i>et al.</i>	
KLOET	96 PR D53 6120	W.M. Kloet, F. Myhrer	(RUTG, NORD)
ALDE	95 ZPHY C66 379	D.M. Alde <i>et al.</i>	(GAMS Collab.) JP
HASAN	94 PL B334 215	A. Hasan, D.V. Bugg	(LOQM)
OAKDEN	94 NP A574 731	M.N. Oakden, M.R. Pennington	(DURH)
ALDE	92C ZPHY C54 553	D.M. Alde <i>et al.</i>	(BELG, SERP, KEK, LANL+)
BIAGINI	91 NC 104A 363	M.E. Biagini <i>et al.</i>	(FRAS, PRAG)
CLEGG	90 ZPHY C45 677	A.B. Clegg, A. Donnachie	(LANC, MCHS)
ATKINSON	85 ZPHY C29 333	M. Atkinson <i>et al.</i>	(BONN, CERN, GLAS+)
MARTIN	80B NP B176 355	B.R. Martin, D. Morgan	(LOUC, RHEL) JP
MARTIN	80C NP B169 216	A.D. Martin, M.R. Pennington	(DURH) JP
CUTTS	78B PR D17 16	D. Cutts <i>et al.</i>	(STON, WISC)
COUPLAND	77 PL 71B 460	M. Coupland <i>et al.</i>	(LOQM, RHEL)
PEASLEE	75 PL 57B 189	D.C. Peaslee <i>et al.</i>	(CANB, BARI, BROW+)
ALSPECTOR	73 PRL 30 511	J. Alspector <i>et al.</i>	(RUTG, UPNJ)
ABRAMS	70 PR D1 1917	R.J. Abrams <i>et al.</i>	(BNL)
COOPER	68 PRL 20 1059	W.A. Cooper <i>et al.</i>	(ANL)

————— **OTHER RELATED PAPERS** —————

AMELIN	00	NP A668 83	D. Amelin <i>et al.</i>	(VES Collab.)
EISENHAND...	75	NP B96 109	E. Eisenhandler <i>et al.</i>	(LOQM, LIPV, DARE+)
BRICMAN	69	PL 29B 451	C. Bricman <i>et al.</i>	(CERN, CAEN, SACL)
ABRAMS	67C	PRL 18 1209	R.J. Abrams <i>et al.</i>	(BNL)

---