Before the Federal Communications Commission Washington, DC 20554

In the Matter of)	
Requests for Review of theDecision of theUniversal Service Administrator by	
Academy of Excellence)Phoenix, Arizona)	File No. SLD-361209
Berkeley County School District) Cleveland, Ohio)	File No. SLD-338662
Birmingham City Schools)Birmingham, Alabama)	File Nos. SLD-293880, 294199
Construction Careers CenterSt. Louis, Missouri	File No. SLD-358508
Crawford County Library System)Van Buren, Arkansas)	File No. SLD-338140
Des Moines Public Schools) Des Moines, Iowa)	File No. SLD-341871
El Paso Independent School District)El Paso, Texas)	File Nos. SLD-376953, 377214, 377156, 377297, 376873, 374802, 377101
Fordland R-III School District)Fordland, Missouri)	File No. SLD-344057
Grant County ESD)John Day, Oregon)	File No. SLD-339377
Highlands School District)Natrona Heights, Pennsylvania)	File Nos. SLD-338443, 357842
Huntington School, Inc.Ferriday, Louisiana	File No. SLD-300464
Kress Independent School District)Kress, Texas)	File No. SLD-346123
Leeds City Schools) Leeds, Alabama)	File No. SLD-365467
Minnesota Transition School)	File No. SLD-383596

Minneapolis, Minnesota)	
Moreno Valley Unified School District Moreno Valley, California) File No. SLD-296044	
School District of Fort Atkinson Fort Atkinson, Wisconsin	 File Nos. SLD-366145, 366454, 366439, 366372 	
St. Jude School New York, New York) File No. SLD-249418	
St. Matthias School Ridgewood, New York) File No. SLD-336830	
Timber Ridge School Winchester, Virginia	 File Nos. SLD-359647, 360669 360721 	
Tunkhannock Area School District Tunkhannock, Pennsylvania) File No. SLD-342051	
Schools and Libraries Universal Service Support Mechanism) CC Docket No. 02-6)	
ODDED		

ORDER

Adopted: July 12, 2004

Released: July 13, 2004

By the Telecommunications Access Policy Division, Wireline Competition Bureau:

1. The Telecommunications Access Policy Division (Division) has under consideration the above-captioned Requests for Review of decisions issued by the Schools and Libraries Division (SLD) of the Universal Service Administrative Company.¹ These requests seek review of SLD decisions pursuant to section 54.719(c) of the Commission's rules.²

¹ Letter from Erika Wesley, Academy of Excellence, filed February 18, 2004; Letter from Nathaniel Hawthorne, Berkeley County School District, filed February 23, 2004; Letter from Dr. Wayman D. Shiver, Birmingham City Schools, filed February 25, 2004; Letter from Terry Eivins, Construction Careers Center, filed February 23, 2004; Letter from Eva White, Crawford County Library System, filed February 9, 2004; Letter from Greg Davis, Des Moines Public Schools, filed February 9, 2004; Letter from Clyde A. Pine, Jr., El Paso Independent School District, filed February 27, 2004; Letter from William Marcus, Fordland R-III School District, filed February 23, 2004; Letter from Don Coffey, Grant County ESD, filed February 10, 2004; Letter from Nathaniel Hawthorne, Highlands School District, filed February 23, 2004; Letter from Hugh Hathcock, Huntington School, Inc., filed February 18, 2004; Letter from Leah Zeigler, Kress Independent School District, filed February 3, 2004; Letter from Gary Ward, Leeds City Schools, filed February 23, 2004; Letter from Douglas Amos, Minnesota Transition School, filed February 3, 2004; Letter from Aaron Barnett, Moreno Valley Unified School District, filed February 9, 2004; Letter from John Ottow, School District of Fort Atkinson, filed February 10, 2004; Letter from Michael Deegan, St. Jude School, filed March 2, 2004; Letter from Barbara Wehnes, St. Matthias School, filed February 23, 2004; Letter from Melvin Thomas, Timber Ridge School, filed February 5, 2004; Letter from Barbara Landon, Tunkhannock Area School District, filed February 17, 2004 (Requests for Review).

² See Requests for Review. Section 54.719(c) of the Commission's rules provides that any person aggrieved by an action taken by a division of the Administrator may seek review from the Commission. 47 C.F.R. § 54.719(c).

2. The Commission's rules provide that the Wireline Competition Bureau (Bureau) must issue a decision resolving a request for review of matters properly before it within ninety (90) days unless the time period is extended.³ The Bureau extended by sixty (60) days the time period for considering the Requests for Review.⁴ The Bureau requires additional time to review the issues presented. Accordingly, we extend by an additional thirty (30) days the deadline by which the Bureau must take action regarding the instant Requests for Review of decisions by the SLD.

3. Accordingly, IT IS ORDERED, pursuant to section 54.724(a) of the Commission's rules, 47 C.F.R. § 54.724(a), that the time period for taking action in the above-captioned Requests for Review IS EXTENDED BY an additional thirty (30) days to August 16, 2004, for the Request for Review filed by Academy of Excellence, Phoenix, Arizona; to August 19, 2004, for the Request for Review filed by Berkeley County School District, Cleveland, Ohio; to August 23, 2004, for the Request for Review filed by Birmingham City Schools, Birmingham, Alabama; to August 19, 2004, for the Request for Review filed by Construction Careers Center, St. Louis, Missouri; to August 5, 2004, for the Request for Review filed by Crawford County Library System, Van Buren, Arkansas; to August 5, 2004, for the Request for Review filed by Des Moines Public Schools, Des Moines, Iowa; to August 25, 2004, for the Request for Review filed by El Paso Independent School District, El Paso, Texas; to August 19, 2004, for the Request for Review filed by Fordland R-III School District, Fordland, Missouri; to August 9, 2004, for the Request for Review filed by Grant County ESD, John Day, Oregon; to August 19, 2004, for the Request for Review filed by Highlands School District, Natrona Heights, Pennsylvania; to August 16, 2004, for the Request for Review filed by Huntington School, Inc., Ferriday, Louisiana; to August 2, 2004, for the Request for Review filed by Kress Independent School District, Kress, Texas; to August 19, 2004, for the Request for Review filed by Leeds City Schools, Leeds, Alabama; to August 2, 2004, for the Request for Review filed by Minnesota Transition School, Minneapolis, Minnesota; to August 5, 2004, for the Request for Review filed by Moreno Valley Unified School District, Moreno Valley, California; to August 9, 2004, for the Request for Review filed by School District of Fort Atkinson, Fort Atkinson, Wisconsin; to August 30, 2004, for the Request for Review filed by St. Jude School, New York, New York: to August 19, 2004, for the Request for Review filed by St. Matthias School, Ridgewood, New York; to August 2, 2004, for the Request for Review filed by Timber Ridge School, Winchester, Virginia; to July 16, 2004, for the Request for Review filed by Tunkhannock Area School District, Tunkhannock, Pennsylvania.

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

Narda M. Jones Deputy Chief, Telecommunications Access Policy Division Wireline Competition Bureau

³ 47 C.F.R. § 54.724(a).

⁴ Requests for Review of Decisions of the Universal Service Administrator by Academy of Excellence, Phoenix, Arizona, et al., Federal-State Joint Board on Universal Service, Changes to the Board of Directors of the National Exchange Carrier Association, Inc., CC Docket No. 02-6, Order, DA 04-1262 (Wir. Com. Bur. rel. May 5, 2004).