

Signed at Washington, DC, this 15th day of May 2006.

**David M. Spooner,**

*Assistant Secretary of Commerce for Import Administration, Alternate Chairman, Foreign-Trade Zones Board.*

Attest:

**Dennis Puccinelli,**

*Executive Secretary.*

[FR Doc. E6-7839 Filed 5-22-06; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-S

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### Foreign-Trade Zones Board

[Order No. 1449]

#### Expansion of Foreign-Trade Zone 104, Savannah, Georgia

Pursuant to its authority under the Foreign-Trade Zones Act of June 18, 1934, as amended (19 U.S.C. 81a-81u), the Foreign-Trade Zones Board (the Board) adopts the following Order:

*Whereas*, the Savannah Airport Commission, grantee of Foreign-Trade Zone 104, submitted an application to the Board for authority to expand FTZ 104 to include a site (1,592 acres, Site 7) within the Tradeport Business Center industrial park located in Midway (Liberty County), Georgia, adjacent to the Savannah Customs port of entry (FTZ Docket 54-2005; filed 10/24/05);

*Whereas*, notice inviting public comment was given in the **Federal Register** (70 FR 65882, 11/1/05) and the application has been processed pursuant to the FTZ Act and the Board's regulations; and,

*Whereas*, the Board adopts the findings and recommendations of the examiner's report, and finds that the requirements of the FTZ Act and Board's regulations are satisfied, and that the proposal is in the public interest;

*Now, therefore*, the Board hereby orders:

The application to expand FTZ 104 is approved, subject to the Act and the Board's regulations, including Section 400.28, and further subject to the Board's standard 2,000-acre activation limit for the overall general-purpose zone project.

Signed at Washington, DC, this 15th day of May 2006.

**David M. Spooner,**

*Assistant Secretary of Commerce for Import Administration, Alternate Chairman, Foreign-Trade Zones Board.*

Attest:

**Dennis Puccinelli,**

*Executive Secretary.*

[FR Doc. E6-7838 Filed 5-22-06; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-S

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### Foreign-Trade Zones Board

[Order No. 1451]

#### Grant of Authority for Subzone Status, Tiffany & Co., (Jewelry and Consumer Goods), Parsippany and Whippany, New Jersey

Pursuant to its authority under the Foreign-Trade Zones Act, of June 18, 1934, as amended (19 U.S.C. 81a-81u), the Foreign-Trade Zones Board (the Board) adopts the following Order:

*Whereas*, the Foreign-Trade Zones Act provides for "...the establishment... of foreign-trade zones in ports of entry of the United States, to expedite and encourage foreign commerce, and for other purposes," and authorizes the Foreign-Trade Zones Board to grant to qualified corporations the privilege of establishing foreign-trade zones in or adjacent to U.S. Customs and Border Protection ports of entry;

*Whereas*, the Board's regulations (15 CFR Part 400) provide for the establishment of special-purpose subzones when existing zone facilities cannot serve the specific use involved, and when the activity results in a significant public benefit and is in the public interest;

*Whereas*, the New Jersey Commerce, Economic Growth & Tourism Commission, grantee of Foreign-Trade Zone 44, has made application to the Board for authority to establish special-purpose subzone at the jewelry and consumer goods distribution and packaging facility of Tiffany & Co., located in Parsippany and Whippany, New Jersey (FTZ Docket 34-2005, filed 7/19/05);

*Whereas*, notice inviting public comment was given in the **Federal Register** (70 FR 43395, 7/27/05); and,

*Whereas*, the Board adopts the findings and recommendations of the examiner's report, and finds that the requirements of the FTZ Act and the Board's regulations are satisfied, and that approval of the application is in the public interest;

*Now, therefore*, the Board hereby grants authority for subzone status for activity related to jewelry and consumer goods packaging and distribution at the facilities of Tiffany & Co., located in Parsippany and Whippany, New Jersey (Subzone 44F), as described in the application and **Federal Register** notice, and subject to the FTZ Act and the Board's regulations, including § 400.28

Signed at Washington, DC, this 15th day of May 2006.

**David M. Spooner,**

*Assistant Secretary of Commerce for Import Administration, Alternate Chairman, Foreign-Trade Zones Board.*

Attest:

**Dennis Puccinelli,**

*Executive Secretary.*

[FR Doc. E6-7840 Filed 5-22-06; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-S

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### Bureau of Industry and Security

**Action Affecting Export Privileges; Data Physics Corporation, Data Physics China, SRI Welranta, Bill Chen; In the Matter of: Data Physics Corporation, 2025 Gateway Place, Suite 260, San Jose, California, 95110; Data Physics China, 1605B Westgate Tower, 1038 Nanjing Road West, Shanghai, P.R. China 200041; Data Physics China, RM. 1509, Building 2, Xinquan Jiayan, No. 5 Changchunqia Road, Haidian District, Beijing, P.R. China, 100089; Sri Welranta, President, Data Physics Corporation, 2025 Gateway Place, Suite 260, San Jose, California, 95110; Bill Chen, Manager, AKA: Yuequan Chen, Data Physics China, RM. 1509, Building 2, Xinquan Jiayan, No. 5 Changchunqia Road, Haidian District, Beijing, P.R. China, 100089; Respondents; Order Temporarily Denying Export Privileges**

Pursuant to Section 766.24 of the Export Administration Regulations ("EAR"),<sup>1</sup> the Bureau of Industry and Security ("BIS"), U.S. Department of Commerce, through its Office of Export Enforcement ("OEE"), has requested that I issue an Order temporarily

<sup>1</sup> 15 CFR parts 730-774 (2006). The EAR are issued under the Export Administration Act of 1979, as amended (50 U.S.C. app. 2401-2420 (2000)) ("EAA"). Since August 21, 2001, the EAA has been in lapse and the President, through Executive Order 13222 of August 17, 2001 (3 C.F.R., 2001 Comp. 783 (2002)), as extended by the Notice of August 2, 2005 (70 FR 45,273, (August 5, 2005)), has continued the EAR in effect under the International Emergency Economic Powers Act (50 U.S.C. 1701-1706 (2000)) ("IEEPA").

denying the export privileges under the EAR of:

(1) Data Physics Corporation, 2025 Gateway Place, Suite 260, San Jose, California, 95110.

(2) Data Physics China (Shanghai Office), 1605B Westgate Tower, 1038 Nanjing Road West, Shanghai, P.R. China, 200041.

(3) Data Physics China (Beijing Office), RM. 1509, Building 2, Xinquduan Jiayan, No. 5 Changchunquia Road, Haidian District, Beijing, P.R. China, 100089.

(4) Sri Welranta, President, Data Physics Corporation, 2025 Gateway Place, Suite 260, San Jose, California, 95110.

(5) Bill Chen, Manager, AKA: Yuequan Chen, Data Physics China, RM. 1509, Building 2, Xinquduan Jiayan, No. 5 Changchunquia Road, Haidian District, Beijing, P.R. China, 100089 (hereinafter collectively referred to as the "Respondents") for 180 days.

In its request, BIS has presented evidence that shows that Data Physics Corporation ("Data Physics") and its Beijing and Shanghai offices, with the knowledge of company president Sri Welranta ("Welranta") and manager Bill Chen ("Chen"), engaged in conduct prohibited by the EAR. Specifically, Data Physics sold and shipped items subject to the EAR to an end-user in China, who is engaged in the design, development, production and use of cruise missile systems without an export license as required by Section 744.3 of the EAR.

Specifically, the evidence shows that, on or about June 12, 2002, Respondents sold and later shipped spherical couplings, items subject to the EAR, from the United States to China HaiYang Electro Mechanical Technology Academy ("3rd Academy") in China, an end-user involved in the development of cruise missiles. The evidence shows that Data Physics attempted to conceal the identity of the end-user by using a false customer name "27th Locomotive Factory." Data Physics would also attempt to evade the licensing requirements by breaking down the items into smaller components and separate shipments in order to avoid raising suspicion. Installation reports seized from Data Physics show that after the items would arrive at the 3rd Academy, employees from the Chinese offices of Data Physics would go reassemble and install the equipment.

There is also evidence that on or about June 23, 2005, Data Physics exported a Test Shaker to the 3rd Academy again using the false customer name "27th Locomotive Factory."

Specifically, Data Physics filed a Shipper's Export Declaration ("SED") for the item stating it was being shipped to China National Instruments in Beijing, China. Further investigation reveals that the Data Physics' contract summary for the Test Shaker lists the "27th Locomotive Factory" as the end-user. China National Instruments acted as the trading company.

The evidence also demonstrates that the Respondents were aware of restrictions on the shipment of U.S. commodities that can be used for the design, development, production or use of rocket systems or unmanned aerial vehicles to end-users in Country Group D.<sup>2</sup> Specifically, copies of EAR Section 744.3 were found during a search of Data Physics with the applicable portions highlighted. Additionally, numerous Data Physics employees including Welranta attended BIS training involving exports to China and end-use restrictions.

I find that the evidence presented by BIS demonstrates that the Respondents have violated the EAR, that such violations have been deliberate and covert, and that there is a likelihood of future violations, particularly given the nature of the transactions. As such, a Temporary Denial Order ("TDO") is needed to give notice to persons and companies in the United States and abroad that they should cease dealing with the Respondents in export transactions involving items subject to the EAR. Such a TDO is consistent with the public interest to preclude future violations of the EAR.

Accordingly, I find that a TDO naming Data Physics Corporation, its two offices in China, Sri Welranta and Bill Chen Respondents is necessary, in the public interest, to prevent an imminent violation of the EAR. This Order is issued on an ex parte basis without a hearing based upon BIS's showing of an imminent violation.

*It is therefore ordered: First*, that the Respondents, Data Physics Corporation, 2025 Gateway Place, Suite 260, San Jose, California, 95110, and Data Physics China, 1605B Westgate Tower, 1038 Nanjing Road West, Shanghai, P.R. China, 200041, and Data Physics China, RM. 1509, Building 2, Xinquduan Jiayan, No. 5 Changchunquia Road, Haidian District, Beijing, P.R. China, 100089, Sri Welranta, President, Data Physics Corporation, 2025 Gateway Place, Suite 260, San Jose, California, 95110, and Bill Chen, Manager, AKA: Yuequan Chen, Data Physics China, RM. 1509, Building 2, Xinquduan Jiayan, No.

<sup>2</sup> 15 CFR part 740, Supplement 1 for countries in Group D.

5 Changchunquia Road, Haidian District, Beijing, P.R. China, 100089 (collectively the "Denied Persons"), may not, directly or indirectly, participate in any way in any transaction involving any commodity, software or technology (hereinafter collectively referred to as "item") exported or to be exported from the United States that is subject to the Export Administration Regulations ("EAR"), or in any other activity subject to the EAR, including, but not limited to:

A. Applying for, obtaining, or using any license, License Exception, or export control document;

B. Carrying on negotiations concerning, or ordering, buying, receiving, using, selling, delivering, storing, disposing of, forwarding, transporting, financing, or otherwise servicing in any way, any transaction involving any item exported or to be exported from the United States that is subject to the EAR, or in any other activity subject to the EAR; or

C. Benefiting in any way from any transaction involving any item exported or to be exported from the United States that is subject to the EAR, or in any other activity subject to the EAR.

*Second*, that no person may, directly or indirectly, do any of the following:

A. Export or reexport to or on behalf of the Denied Persons any item subject to the EAR;

B. Take any action that facilitates the acquisition or attempted acquisition by the Denied Persons of the ownership, possession, or control of any item subject to the EAR that has been or will be exported from the United States, including financing or other support activities related to a transaction whereby the Denied Persons acquires or attempts to acquire such ownership, possession or control;

C. Take any action to acquire from or to facilitate the acquisition or attempted acquisition from the Denied Persons of any item subject to the EAR that has been exported from the United States;

D. Obtain from the Denied Persons in the United States any item subject to the EAR with knowledge or reason to know that the item will be, or is intended to be, exported from the United States; or

E. Engage in any transaction to service any item subject to the EAR that has been or will be exported from the United States and which is owned, possessed or controlled by the Denied Persons, or service any item, of whatever origin, that is owned, possessed or controlled by the Denied Persons if such service involves the use of any item subject to the EAR that has been or will be exported from the

United States. For purposes of this paragraph, servicing means installation, maintenance, repair, modification or testing.

*Third*, that, after notice and opportunity for comment as provided in section 766.23 of the EAR, any other person, firm, corporation, or business organization related to any of the Denied Persons by affiliation, ownership, control, or position of responsibility in the conduct of trade or related services may also be made subject to the provisions of this Order.

*Fourth*, that this Order does not prohibit any export, reexport, or other transaction subject to the EAR where the only items involved that are subject to the EAR are the foreign-produced direct product of U.S.-origin technology.

In accordance with the provisions of Section 766.24(e) of the EAR, the Respondents may, at any time, appeal this Order by filing a full written statement in support of the appeal with the Office of the Administrative Law Judge, U.S. Coast Guard ALJ Docketing Center, 40 South Gay Street, Baltimore, Maryland 21202-4022.

In accordance with the provisions of Section 766.24(d) of the EAR, BIS may seek renewal of this Order by filing a written request not later than 20 days before the expiration date. The Respondents may oppose a request to renew this Order by filing a written submission with the Assistant Secretary of Commerce for Export Enforcement, which must be received not later than seven days before the expiration date of the Order.

A copy of this Order shall be served on the Respondents and shall be published in the **Federal Register**.

This Order is effective upon date of publication in the **Federal Register** and shall remain in effect for 180 days.

Entered this 12th day of May, 2006.

**Darryl W. Jackson,**

*Assistant Secretary of Commerce for Export Enforcement.*

[FR Doc. E6-7733 Filed 5-22-06; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DT-P

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration

[A-475 818]

#### **Certain Pasta from Italy: Extension of Time Limits for the Preliminary Results of the Antidumping Duty Administrative Review**

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** May 23, 2006.

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Dennis McClure or Preeti Tolani (202) 482-5973 or (202) 482-0395, respectively, AD/CVD Operations, Office 3, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Ave., NW., Washington, DC 20230.

#### **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

##### **Background**

On August 29, 2005, the U.S. Department of Commerce ("the Department") published a notice of initiation of the administrative review of the antidumping duty order on certain pasta from Italy, covering the period from July 1, 2004, to June 30, 2005. See *Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews and Requests for Revocation in Part*, 70 FR 51009 (August 29, 2005). On March 16, 2006, the Department published a notice of extension of time limits for the preliminary results of the administrative review. See *Notice of Extension of Time Limits for the Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review of Certain Pasta from Italy*, 71 FR 13584 (March 16, 2006). The preliminary results of this review are currently due no later than May 18, 2006.

##### **Extension of Time Limit of Preliminary Results**

Section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended ("the Act"), requires the Department to make a preliminary determination within 245 days after the last day of the anniversary month of an order or finding for which a review is requested. Consistent with section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act, the Department may extend the 245-day period to 365 days if it is not practicable to complete the review within a 245-day period.

We determine that completion of the preliminary results of this review by May 18, 2006, is not practicable because additional time is needed by the Department to consider all comments filed by the petitioners and respondents participating in this review, including the comments filed shortly before the May 18, 2006, deadline. In order to analyze necessary additional information, and in accordance with section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act, we are extending the time period for issuing the preliminary results to the full 365-day period. Therefore, the preliminary results are now due no later than July 31, 2006. The final results continue to be due 120 days after publication of the preliminary results.

This notice is published in accordance with sections 751(a)(3)(A) and 777(I) of the Act.

Dated: May 17, 2006.

**Stephen J. Claeys,**

*Deputy Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.*

[FR Doc. E6-7841 Filed 5-22-06; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE: 3510-DS-P

## DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

### GENERAL SERVICES ADMINISTRATION

#### NATIONAL AERONAUTICS AND SPACE ADMINISTRATION

[OMB Control No. 9000-0159]

#### **Federal Acquisition Regulation; Submission for OMB Review; Central Contractor Registration**

**AGENCIES:** Department of Defense (DOD), General Services Administration (GSA), and National Aeronautics and Space Administration (NASA).

**ACTION:** Notice of request for public comments regarding an extension to an existing OMB clearance.

**SUMMARY:** Under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. Chapter 35), the Federal Acquisition Regulation (FAR) Secretariat has submitted to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) a request to review and approve an extension of a currently approved information collection requirement concerning the Central Contractor Registration database. A request for public comments was published in the **Federal Register** at 71 FR 6762, February 9, 2006. No comments were received.

Public comments are particularly invited on: Whether this collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of functions of the FAR, and whether it will have practical utility; whether our estimate of the public burden of this collection of information is accurate, and based on valid assumptions and methodology; ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and ways in which we can minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, through the use of appropriate technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

**DATES:** Submit comments on or before June 22, 2006.

**ADDRESSES:** Submit comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect