

Name	Case No.
Waite, Schneider, Bayless, & Chesley Co., L.P.A	VFA-0077

[FR Doc. 96-24126 Filed 9-19-96; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 6450-01-P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[ER-FRL-5473-2]

Environmental Impact Statements; Notice of Availability

Responsible Agency: Office of Federal Activities, General Information (202) 564-7167 OR (202) 564-7153.

Weekly receipt of Environmental Impact Statements Filed September 09, 1996 Through September 13, 1996 Pursuant to 40 CFR 1506.9.

EIS No. 960426, Final EIS, FRC, MI, Thunder Bay River Hydroelectric Project (FERC No. 2404) and Hillman Dam Project (FERC No. 2419) Application for New License, Alpena, Montmorency, Alcona, Preque Isle and Oscada Counties, MI, Due: October 21, 1996, Contact: Patrick K. Murphy (202) 219-2659.

EIS No. 960427, Final EIS, NPS, NM, Carlsbad Caverns National Park General Management Plan, Implementation, Eddy County, NM, Due: October 21, 1996, Contact: Frank Deckert (505) 785-2232 x321.

EIS No. 960428, Final Supplement, USA, CA, Fort Ord Disposal and Reuse Installation, Implementation, Additional Information, Establishment of Presido of Monterey (POM) (Annex), Cities of Marina and Seaside, Monterey County, CA, Due: October 21, 1996, Contact: Bob Verkade (916) 557-7423.

EIS No. 960429, Draft EIS, FAA, CA, Metropolitan Oakland International Airport (MOIA), Airport Development Program (ADP), Airport Layout Plan Approval, Funding and COE Section 404 and 10 Permits Issuance, Port of Oakland, Alameda County, CA, Due: November 21, 1996, Contact: Elisha Novak (415) 876-2928.

EIS No. 960430, Draft EIS, AFS, WY, ID, Targhee National Forest Plan Oil and Gas Leasing Analysis, Implementation, Bonneville, Butte, Clark, Fremont and Madison Counties, ID and Teton County, WY, Due: November 04, 1996, Contact: John Pruess (208) 624-3151.

EIS No. 960431, Final EIS, FHW, PA, US 22 (S.R. 0022—Section C02) Highway Improvement, US 22 west of the Strodes Mills Area to US 322 near Lewistown. Funding and COE Section 404 Permit Issuance, Mifflin County,

PA, Due: October 21, 1996, Contact: Manuel A. Marks (717) 787-2222.

EIS No. 960432, Final Supplement, GSA, WA, Pacific Highway Port of Entry (POE) Facility Expansion, Updated Information, Construction of WA-543 in Blaine, near the United States/Canada Border in Blaine, Whatcom County, WA, Due: October 21, 1996, Contact: Donna Meyer (206) 931-7675.

EIS No. 960433, Final EIS, FHW, OH, Putnam Street Bridge Replacement across the Muskingum River, Construction and Funding, Marietta, Washington County, OH, Due: October 21, 1996, Contact: William Jones (614) 469-5877.

EIS No. 960434, Draft EIS, NOA, AK, Juneau Consolidated Facility, Implementation, Fisheries Management Operation, 'Vision for 2005', Juneau, AK, Due: November 04, 1996, Contact: John Gorman (907) 586-7641.

Dated: September 17, 1996.
William D. Dickerson,
Director, NEPA Compliance Division, Office of Federal Activities.

[FR Doc. 96-24204 Filed 9-19-96; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 6560-50-U

[ER-FRL-5473-3]

Environmental Impact Statements and Regulations; Availability of EPA Comments

Availability of EPA comments prepared September 2, 1996 Through September 6, 1996 pursuant to the Environmental Review Process (ERP), under Section 309 of the Clean Air Act and Section 102(2)(c) of the National Environmental Policy Act as amended. Requests for copies of EPA comments can be directed to the Office of Federal Activities at (202) 260-5076.

An explanation of the ratings assigned to draft environmental impact statements (EISs) was published in FR dated April 5, 1996 (61 FR 15251).

Draft EISs

ERP No. D-AFS-K61143-CA—Rating LO, Emigrant Wilderness Management Direction, Implementation, Stanislaus National Forest, Tuolumne County, CA.

Summary: EPA expressed lack of objections to the action as proposed.

ERP No. D-FAA-K51036-HI—Rating EC2, Kahului Airport Master Plan Improvements, Implementation, Funding and Approval of Permits, Kahului, Maui County, HI.

Summary: EPA expressed environmental concerns due to a lack of mitigation to offset or reduce potential adverse impacts and a lack of pollution prevention features in the DEIS. EPA recommended that the FEIS contain commitments to implement water conservation, hazardous waste minimization and solid waste recycling.

ERP No. D-FHW-E40770-FL—Rating EC2, Port of Miami Tunnel and Access Improvements, from I-395 via MacArthur Causeway Bridge, Dade County, FL.

Summary: EPA's review found that sediment resuspension during tunnel dredging activities could degrade water quality unless adequate safeguards are employed. EPA also expressed concerns that details of a wetland mitigation plan were lacking.

ERP No. D-FHW-K40217-CA—Rating EO2, Arden Garden Connector Project, Arden Way in North Sacramento to Garden Highway in South Natomas across the Natomas East Main Drainage Canal, Funding, Sacramento County, CA.

Summary: EPA expressed environmental objections due to potential air quality, water quality, hazardous materials, and cumulative effects of the project. EPA requested that these issues be fully discussed in the final EIS.

ERP No. D-FHW-K40218-CA—Rating EO2, I-805 Nobel Drive Interchange and Extension Project, Improvements, between Nobel Drive and Miramar Road/LaJolla Village Drive and the extension of Nobel Drive from Shoreline Drive to Miramar Road, in the City of San Diego, San Diego County, CA.

Summary: EPA expressed environmental objections due to potential impacts to biological and water resources. EPA requested that these issues be addressed in the final EIS.

ERP No. D-FHW-K40219-CA—Rating EC2, U.S. Highway 101 Transportation Improvement Project, between Vineyard Avenue to Johnson Drive, Funding, in the Cities of Oxnard and San Buenaventura, Ventura County, CA.

Summary: EPA expressed environmental concerns regarding potential impact to anadromous fish, and a need to specifically address pollution prevention. EPA requested that these issues be discussed in more detail in the final EIS.

ERP No. D-GSA-K81023-NV—Rating LO, Las Vegas Federal Building—United

States Courthouse Site Selection and Construction, Central Business District, City of Las Vegas, Clark County, NV.

Summary: EPA stated a lack of objections, but did suggest that the final EIS include more detailed discussion of mitigation measures required under Executive Order 12902—Energy Efficiency and Water Conservation at Federal Facilities.

ERP No. D-IBR-J64006-ND—Rating EC2, Arrowwood National Wildlife Refuge, Implementation, Water Management Capability to Mitigate for Past, Present and Future Impacts of Jamestown Reservoir, Stutsman and Foster Counties, ND.

Summary: EPA expressed environmental concerns over the need for an improved analysis of alternatives, as well as the potential impacts to water quality and compliance with State water quality standards.

ERP No. D-USA-K11072-CA—Rating EC2, Camp Roberts Army National Guard Training Site, Implementation, Combined-Forces Training Activities, New Equipment Utilization and Range Modernization Program, Monterey and San Luis Obispo Counties, CA.

Summary: EPA expressed environmental concerns due to a lack of an appropriate examination of Fort Hunter Liggett—an off-site alternative location, consistent application of significance standards and a full assessment of noise-related impacts. EPA requested that these issues be fully disclosed in the final EIS.

ERP No. D-USA-K11073-AZ—Rating EC2, Western Army National Guard Aviation Training Site Expansion Project, Designation of an Expanded Tactical Flight Training Area (TFTA), Development or use of a Helicopter Gunnery Range and Construction and Operation of various Facilities on the Silver Bell Army Heliport (SBAH), Maricopa, Pima and Pinal Counties, AZ.

Summary: EPA expressed environmental concerns due to potential impacts to water, and biological resources. EPA requested that these issues be clarified in the final EIS.

ERP No. D-USN-K11070-CA—Rating EO2, Naval Station Long Beach Disposal and Reuse, Implementation, COE Section 10 and 404 Permits Issuance and Possible NPDES Permit Issuance, Los Angeles County, CA.

Summary: EPA expressed environmental objections due to potential air quality effects; biological resources effects; and, dredging issues. EPA requested that these issues be clarified in the final EIS.

ERP No. DS-COE-E34002-00—Rating EC2, Lake Seminole Hydrilla Action Plan Updated Information to the Lake

Seminole and Jim Woodruff Lock and Dam, Operation and Maintenance Project, Implementation, Gadsden and Jackson Counties, FL; Decatur and Seminole Counties, GA and Houston County, AL.

Summary: EPA expressed environmental concerns over the long-term effects of using enclosed grass carp to reduce Hydrilla coverage. Additional information and monitoring will be necessary to address these questions.

ERP No. DS-FHW-K40163-CA—Rating EO2, CA-238 Hayward Bypass, from Industrial Parkway to the CA-238/1-580 Interchange, Funding and COE Section 404 Permit, (Foothill Boulevard thru downtown Hayward and Mission Boulevard south of Jackson Street, in the City of Hayward and in Unincorporated areas of Alameda County, CA.

Summary: EPA expressed environmental objection due to potential air quality and water quality impact. EPA believed that there are other options and alternatives available that address the stated purpose and need and have fewer/less environmental impacts. EPA requested that the next EIS include a wider range of alternatives.

ERP No. DS-FHW-K40166-HI—Rating EC2, Honapiilani Highway/FAP Route 30 Improvement, New Information Concerning Construction of Modifications to Honapiilani Highway from Puamana to Honokowai, COE Permits and NPDES Permit Issuance and Funding, Lahaina District, Maui County, HI.

Summary: EPA expressed environmental concerns with the project and asked FHWA to provide more information regarding alternatives analysis, cumulative impacts, and water quality.

Final EISs

ERP No. F-IBR-J39023-MT—Tongue River Basin Project, Implementation, Tongue River Dam and Reservoir, COE Section 404 Permit, Bighorn County, MT.

Summary: EPA expressed environmental concerns that existing marginal aquatic habitat conditions would be further degraded under projected future water development scenarios. EPA asked that a commitment for establishment of an instream flow lease agreement and minimal reservoir pool levels be included in the Record of Decision. EPA also expressed concerns about impacts to wetlands and mitigation of wetlands impacts.

ERP No. F-IBR-K39053-CA—South Bay Water Recycling Program (SBWRP), Development and Construction, Funding and COE Section 404 Permit,

Golden Triangle Area, City of San Jose, Santa Clara County, CA.

Summary: Review of the Final EIS was not deemed necessary. No formal comment letter was sent to the preparing agency.

ERP No. FS-COE-E32192-NC—Wilmington Harbor Channel Widening and Navigation Improvement, Updated Information, Cape Fear River, Port of Wilmington, New Hanover and Brunswick Counties, NC.

Summary: EPA's original concerns about the potential adverse impacts associated with use of explosives to excavate the enlarged channel have been satisfactorily addressed.

Dated: September 17, 1996.

William D. Dickerson,

Director, NEPA Compliance Division, Office of Federal Activities.

[FR Doc. 96-24205 Filed 9-19-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-U

[FRL-5610-8]

FY 1996 Community/University Partnership Grants Awardees

The Environmental Protection Agency's Office of Environmental Justice has completed its review of the one hundred and two applications submitted under the Community/University Partnership (CUP) Grants Program. Nine projects were selected for awards, totaling two million dollars. The following is a list of the awarded projects.

University of Washington

Asian and Pacific Islander Seafood Consumption Study—\$205,316

The purpose of this project is to improve the health and environmental quality of Asian Pacific Islanders in the Seattle/Puget Sound area by empowering the local community with information they can use to develop their own awareness and agenda to address environmental problems. The specific aims of the project are to document seafood consumption by surveying communities using culturally sensitive outreach tools, to work with community leaders to develop outreach models, to develop culturally appropriate and effective risk communication materials, and to document the consumption rates and patterns in these communities.

Haskell Indian Nations University

Community/University Partnership for Native American Science Education and Technical Support—\$220,320

The purpose of this project is to address water quality concerns of the