## Before the Federal Communications Commission Washington, D.C. 20554

In the Matter of:	)
RC Technologies Corporation	)
Determination Of Effective Competition in New Effington, Summit, Veblen and Wilmot, South	_

## MEMORANDUM OPINION AND ORDER

Adopted: June 29, 2005 Released: June 30, 2005

By the Deputy Chief, Policy Division, Media Bureau:

- 1. RC Technologies Corporation ("RC") requests a finding of effective competition in New Effington, Summit, Veblen and Wilmot, South Dakota (the "franchise areas") in conjunction with its showing of compliance with Section 27.1202 of the Commission's rules.<sup>1</sup>
- 2. The Communications Act and the Commission's rules provide that only the rates of cable systems that are not subject to effective competition may be regulated.<sup>2</sup> One of the bases by which a cable system will be deemed subject to effective competition is where a franchise area is: (i) served by at least two unaffiliated multichannel video programming distributors ("MVPD") each of which offers comparable programming to at least 50 percent of the households in the franchise area; and (ii) the number of households subscribing to multichannel video programming other than the largest multichannel video programming distributor exceeds 15 percent of the households in the franchise area.<sup>3</sup> In the absence of a demonstration to the contrary, cable systems are presumed not to be subject to effective competition.<sup>4</sup> The cable operator bears the burden of rebutting the presumption that effective competition does not exist with evidence that effective competition, as defined by Section 76.905 of the Commission's rules, is present within its franchise area.<sup>5</sup> RC has met this burden.
- 3. RC has submitted reliable evidence demonstrating that the franchise areas are served by at least two unaffiliated MVPDs offering comparable service to more than 50 percent of the households

1

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>See 47 C.F.R. § 76.905; Application of North East T.V. Cooperative, Inc. to Transfer Control of BRS Station Licenses to RC Technologies Corporation; 47 C.F.R. § 27.1202; Letter dated January 21, 1998 from Roy J. Stewart, Chief, Mass Media Bureau to Charles G. Cline, Kenneth W. Garrard, BellSouth Wireless Cable, Inc. and BellSouth Corporation (File Nos. 50849-CM-AL(1)-97 and 50851-CM-AL(2)-97).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>47 U.S.C. § 543(a)(2); 47 C.F.R. § 76.905(a).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>47 U.S.C. § 543(1)(1)(B); 47 C.F.R. § 76.906(b)(2).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup>47 C.F.R. § 76.906.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup>47 C.F.R. § 76.911(b)(1).

therein. RC asserts that the service of direct broadcast satellite providers such as DIRECTV and EchoStar satisfy this requirement.<sup>6</sup> We therefore find that RC has submitted sufficient evidence to satisfy the first prong of the competing provider test.

- 4. RC has also submitted sufficient evidence demonstrating that the number of households subscribing to MVPDs other than the largest MVPD, in this case RC, exceeds 15 percent of the households in all of the franchise areas. Relying on DBS subscribership reports obtained from the Satellite Broadcasting and Communications Association ("SBCA"), RC submits evidence that the aggregate subscribership to DBS service in the franchise areas exceeds 15 percent of the households therein. We find that RC has submitted sufficient evidence to satisfy the second prong of the competing provider test, thereby establishing the presence of effective competition.
- 5. Accordingly, **IT IS ORDERED** that the request for a determination of effective competition in New Effington, Summit, Veblen and Wilmot, South Dakota filed by RC Technologies Corporation **IS GRANTED**.
- 6. This action is taken pursuant to delegated authority pursuant to Section 0.283 of the Commission's rules.<sup>9</sup>

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

Steven A. Broeckaert Deputy Chief, Policy Division, Media Bureau

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup>Petition at 2-3.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup>*Id.* at 3.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup>*Id* at 3 and Attachment D.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup>47 C.F.R. § 0.283.