

Distributed Intelligent Agents for Decision Making at Local Distributed Energy Resource (DER) Levels

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY Electric Distribution Transformation Program Peer Review

October 28-30, 2003

David Cohen, Chief Technical Officer, Infotility

Principal Investigator





- Infotility Overview
 - → Team Experience
- Project Vision, Objectives, and Deliverables
 - → Relevance to Problems & Needs
 - → Design Principles
 - → Technical Challenges
 - → Technical Approach
 - → Project Timeline
 - → FY03 Progress and Accomplishments
- Planned Activities for FY04 (Phase II Effort)
- Impacts and Benefits
- Interactions & Collaborations

Infotility Overview



- Located in the San Francisco Bay area, with offices in Boulder, CO.
 - Infotility provides a real-time energy information platform which enables subscription and publishing of highly relevant information when and where it is needed most
 - The architecture supports both server-based analytic applications and distributed intelligence which allows automatic business rule processing, on-the-fly analytics, and data integration at distributed end points (meters, gateways, DERs)
 - Platform is built natively using Web Services Architecture



Team Experience

Principal Investigator	Project Team
Pioneered the development of a utility-scale, commercially available DER networking , communications, and control software platform (2000)	Developed software agents for delivering real- time pricing and automated demand response services (Infotility, 2001)
Early application of artificial intelligence methods to energy applications: neural network-based energy forecasting applications (1990); self- organizing maps for pattern recognition (1997)	Developed a real-time software platform with dynamic analytic capabilities for managing energy information services (Infotility, 2001)
Developer and creator of several commercially available software applications: (Eg.) DGen Pro software model the technical and economic performance of DER technologies (1995)	Pioneered the use of the publish/subscribe paradigm and software architecture for energy information communications and control (Infotility, 2000)
Technical Committee member of FIPA's Agent UML (AUML), a notational system for specifying agent-based systems (parts implemented in UML 2.0 specification) (2003)	Developed NetFIT: A Windows-based neural network training and prediction tool (Peter Curtis)
Contributor to development of several commercially available enterprise software suites: (1) ICF Energy Vision (1998); (2) Silicon Energy's Enterprise Energy Management, EEM Suite application (2001)	Wrote Chapter 7 - Principles of Control of Distributed Generation Systems , <i>Distributed</i> <i>Generation: The Power Paradigm for the New</i> <i>Millennium</i> , A. M. Borbely and J. F. Kreider, ed., CRC Press, 2001. (Peter Curtiss)

Project Vision

 This research involves the development of intelligent software components which run at distributed locations on the energy network to improve the reliability, efficiency, security, and stability of the U.S. electrical transmission and distribution network.

nfotility



Project Objectives

 Develop an <u>adaptive</u>, intelligent agent-based information system enabling collaboration between distributed, local DER system nodes.

- Build a <u>collection</u> of reusable intelligent agents that will interoperate within the many interfaces and devices on the distribution level of the power delivery infrastructure.
- Build support for <u>multiple operational criteria</u>, with emphasis on analysis and response to electrical grid contingencies. Provide for coordination with power electronics and grid protection schemes to enhance grid reliability.

Project Deliverables

 The results of the Phase I research will be a detailed <u>Software Development Plan</u> and Software Architecture documents

nfotility

- Results of Phase I will be used in Phase II to create a commercialized version of the software and <u>Proof-of-Concept Testing</u> in a real-world environment with actual DER devices connected to a major U.S. electrical system.
- Phase I started in mid September 2003, work complete in April 2004. Phase II, if funded, will start in Mid 2004.

Problem: Contingencies

More Power To You

August 14, 2003 Power Outage

Infotility

Before and After Images



Part of Solution: Agents at DER levels can assist in breaking the system up into smaller islands that are self-regulating and can respond "locally" to contingencies. This supports the concept of agility and robustness, "Survivability" vs. eliminating contingencies.



Design Principles



- Build stakeholder team with broad industry representation
 - →Requirements analysis, collaboration, review
- Build upon existing work in this area
 - Leverage existing standards and architectures
- Design for interoperability
 - Open communications, common data models, Semantic Web

Advisory Team

- Murray Davis DTE Energy
- Dave Hawkins California ISO
- Robert Burke New England ISO
- Susan Covino PJM

- Steve Sanders Consultant (from GPU)
- Rick Weston Regulatory Assistance Project
- Richard Deblasio NREL
- Jim McCray Siebel
- John Stevens -- SANDIA
- Steve Widegren PNNL
- Todd Quayle -- Envenergy

- Brendan Kirby ORNL
- Ozman Sezgen LBL
- Chris Marnay LBL
- Abbas Akhil SANDIA
- Danny Julian ABB
- Eric Wong Cummins
- Scott Castelaz Encorp
- Scott Samuelson UC Irvine, NFRC
- Rob Klashner NJ Institute of Technology
- Mukesh Kattar Oracle
- Eric Lightner DOE



Build Upon Existing Work



Leverage Existing Standards

- The current design will rely on a number of external technical specifications, including:
 - Standard Internet Protocols (IP suite), XML, HTTP v1.1., SOAP, DAML-S, BPEL4WS
 - →Utility Communications Architecture (UCA), CIM, ADA/DER Object Models, ANSI C12.19
 - Foundation for Intelligent Physical Agents (FIPA) Specifications (FIPA ACL Message Structure Specification, and others)
- Use where appropriate!

fotility



Interoperability





Semantic Web

 W3C Project: <u>Software agents</u> navigating web of descriptions and ontologies, making inferences about data collected, communicating via partial understanding. Requires machine-readable statements about resources/relationships.



Technical Challenges

More Power To You

Electrical Grid Infrastructure Issues

- Inherent complexity of Grid operations
- Centralized nature of operations and planning
- → Grid Contingencies (500 alarms per minute)
- \rightarrow Reaction time issues
- Restructuring Issues
 - Non-uniform solution deployment capability
 - Moving target for regulatory requirements related to reliability, interconnections, market rules, and Federal mandates
 - Lack of market signals and appropriate tariff designs
- System Ownership Issues:
 - Diverse ownership of T&D assets in electric grid
 - Large number of disparate systems which do not "speak" the same language

Technical Challenges

Software Engineering Issues

→ Security

- → Immaturity of AOSE methods
- Network constraints (reaction time)
- Existing agents acts as programmed, but don't respond well to actual situation
- Economic Viability Issues
 - Oost/benefit of reliability gains at local levels
 - → Cost to add computational resources at local levels
- Existing Paradigm Issues
 - Operator: "I use the Bat phone to do this now, why change?"
 - Lack of confidence in allowing autonomy at local DER levels based on real issues

Technical Approach

 Develop a collection of agents that can collaborate towards achieving joint objectives

- Allow agents to act locally (semi-autonomous) with coordination with central control
- Use an open architecture which supports relevant standards to maintain interoperability
- Use an architecture that supports complex, realtime domains (bounded response times)
- Build-in the ability to specify domain specific, customizable business rules

Technical Approach

 Support communications with other agent platforms (FIPA-compliant)

fotility

- Support open communications using Web Service architectures (XML, SOAP, WSDL, UDDI)
- Support development of reusable, modular agent components with a "standardized interface" (automatic updates)
- Support a requirements-driven approach, standard notation methods (UML), and hybrid AOSE design methodologies
- Future-proofing the architecture by using appropriate methods/standards



Contingency Control

nore cower to tou



Agent Location Issues

- Timing issues, memory requirements, computation ability, and cost all contribute to the logical location to place agents
 - Timing Issues: bounded response time?, critical or non-critical process?
 - →Memory requirements to run application?

fotility

- Computing ability at location? (embedded system, RTOS, Pentium, Server Cluster, Grid computing)
- →Incremental cost vs. functionality or benefit

DER Services

Building Control Level (System Reliability)
Aggregate demand response (1000s)
Eg. Carrier Comfort Choice (thermostats)
Eg. LBL's IBECS load controls (lighting)

More Power To You

DER Level (Aggregate Services)
Active generation, demand response, load following, spinning reserve, emergency, voltage/VAR support

DER Services



nfotility

- →Local circuit switching, fault isolation, clearing
- → Provision of local reliability services
- Backup generation for self-supporting islands (campus, microgrids, etc.)
- Request for aggregate services at DER and Building levels
- Monitor feeders in real-time to optimize voltage and minimize reactive power flow
- Deliver routine status information to upstream applications (central operations)



Service Delivery

DAML-S

<u>Automatic</u> Discovery, invocation, composition, interoperation, execution monitoring



Project Tasks – Phase I

Task 1: Develop End User Requirements

fotility

- Task 2: Develop Intelligent Agent Requirements and Architecture
- Task 3: Determine the Data Model and Communication Requirements
- Task 4: Determine the Decision Logic, Analytics, and Business Rules Requirements
- Task 5: Write Software Requirements, Engineering Specifications, and Final Report

Task 1



- \rightarrow Identify a set of key end users and stakeholders
 - Create Stakeholder Team

- Software requirements survey
- Interview stakeholders to create enhance preliminary requirements
- Review Prior work on agents (EPRI, CEC/AESC, SANDIA
- Use industry accepted <u>Rational Unified Process</u> for requirements development
- Use best available AOSE analysis/design methodologies for MAS requirements development

Task 1



Task 1: Develop End User Requirements

- →Preliminary "Problem" Analysis
 - End-users
 - Utilities and Market Operators
- Preliminary User Needs (business process, organization chart, goals)
- →System View: Actors and Stakeholders, Non-actor
 - Non-actor Stakeholders
- →UML Context Diagram for Intelligent Agent-based DER Services (Grid Contingency Response)
- Preliminary Project Constraints





UML Context Diagram

More Power To You

Infotility







Task 2: Develop Intelligent Agent Requirements and Architecture

- Build upon and utilize well known, widely accepted, next-generation Agent-oriented Software Engineering (AOSE) methods
 - Incorporate methods from software engineering, distributed systems, and artificial intelligence to unify architectural approach
- Design for integration with Web Services, Workflow Management, and Service Discovery as part of overall architecture design

Task 2



Task 2: Develop Intelligent Agent Requirements and Architecture

- Extensive literature review performed on the following:
 - Current Intelligent Agent Books (7), research publications (>20), software engineering analysis and design methodologies (>6)
- Principal Investigator is a Working Group Member for Agent UML (AUML)
- Significant work completed on AOSE Analysis and Preliminary Design for to support the architecture for this project.

Task 2



Task 2: Develop Intelligent Agent Requirements and Architecture

- → Agent Meta-Model (UML)
- Develop Agent "Views" in order to understand the System, its components, interactions, and goals (Use UML 2.0 and AUML)
 - System Views Architecture/Organization/Environment
 - Resource Views
 - Use Case and Actor Views
 - Agent and Agent Role Views
 - Goal/Task Views
 - Service and Workflow Views
 - Interaction Views (Sequence, Interaction, Collaboration, Timing)





- Architecture assumptions for project domain
- → Defined as a "Social Real-Time Domain"
 - Collection of autonomous agents collaborating to achieve a common long-term goal
 - Agents periodically send messages with no adverse effects on goal
 - Domain is "Time-Bounded"
 - Domain has "Unreliable Communications"
 - Transmission reliability, or bandwidth limits







Agent Architecture View





MAS Architecture



nfotility

- →Which agents require real-time architecture?
- →Based on critical temporal restrictions
- Distributed Collaboration Architecture
 - → Specification Sharing Agent System
 - Agents decompose and share (all agents equal)
 - →Contract Nets
 - Agents negotiate tasks (all agents equal)
 - → Federated System
 - Agents interact with a facilitator agent (Master or Middle agent controls behavior)

MAS Architecture

FIPA-compliant

nfotility

- Allow for future inter-communication with agents from other platforms
- FIPA-compliant- implements ACL and Agent Management specifications
- Choose Operating System(s)
- Integrated Development Environment (IDE)
- Potential Testing Environments (AgentCities Network, Sandia, UCI, NREL)



AUML Artifacts

More Power To You



< <ageht>> ageht—hame</ageht>			
Role			
tole 1, tole 2,, tole n			
tole dynamic 1, tole dynamic 2,, tole dynamic n			
Organisation			
otganisation 1, otganisation 2,, otganisation n			
rganisation dynamic 1, organisation dynamic 2,, organisation dynamic h			





Description

Туре

Protocol

Ontology

Content Language

computation ontology

FIPA SL







Resource View







Resource View: DER Object Models





Goal View



Agent Properties



- → Fully observable, partially observable
- → Deterministic vs. Stochastic
- → Episodic vs. Sequential
- → Static vs. Dynamic

tility

- → Discrete vs. Continuous
- Agent Types (Broker, Task, Resource, Interface)
 - Subtypes (All can be turned into learning agents)
 - Reflex Agent, Model-based Reflex Agent, Goal-based Agent, Utility-based Agent, Learning Agent
- Agent Components
 - → Type, Role, Tasks, Goals, Services, Interactions, Constraints





Task 3: Determine the Data Model and Communication Requirements

- Develop data model architecture to captures the data communications requirements needed by the intelligent agents.
- → Review Utility Communications Architecture (UCA) Version 2.0
 - Review ANSI C12.19 Metering Data Models (Include in Meter object model)
 - Review CIEDS/E2I Common Object Models for DER (Advanced Distribution Automation)
 - Review CIM/XML efforts
 - Review of Web Services Communication Methods (XML and SOAP)





Task 3: Determine the Data Model and Communication Requirements:

- For locating services within agent platform and registering with others (eg. WSDL, DAML-S)
- For Agent Communication Language (ACL) for internal agent-toagent communications
- For XML Schema for *external* agent-to-other communications (eg. XML over SOAP). (discovery, abstraction, interactions)
- → For mapping ACL to XML protocol
- → For domain "Ontology" (Register with FIPA)
- For use of Business Process Execution Language for Web Services (BPEL4WS) to manage workflows
- → For Integration Issues
- → For Security Issues (Web Service, Distributed Network, SCADA)



Web Service Security



Task 4



- Task 4: Determine the Decision Logic, Analytics, and Business Rules Requirements
 - The agents will need to interpret various information sources in order to perform the reliability and other decision-making functions as part of the overall requirements.
 - Results based on Requirements Analysis (Task 1), Tasks and Services work
 - What Resources do they need to accomplish to support the Services_and Tasks defined above?



Task 4

 Task 4: Determine the Decision Logic, Analytics, and Business Rules Requirements







- Task 4: Write Software Requirements, Engineering Specifications, and Final Report
 - →Data Glossary
 - Preliminary Ontology Requirements
 - →UML Views and Diagrams
 - →AUML Views and Diagrams



Project Timeline

Phase I Effort			Phase II Effort			
			PHASES/Iterations			
PROCESS	Inception	E	boration	Construction	Transition	Real-world Testing
COMPONENTS	preliminary Iter.	#1	#2	#n+1 #n+2	#m+1 #m+2	
Requirement capture						
Analysis						
Design						
Implementation						
Testing						time



Project Timeline

ID	Task Name	Q3 03 Q4 03 Q1 04 Q2 04 Q3 04 Q4 04 Q1 05 Q2 05 Jun Jul Oct Nov Dec Jan Feb Mar Apr May Jun Jul Aug Sep Oct Nov Dec Jan Feb Mar Apr
1	Task 1 Develope End-user Requirements	
2	Task 2 Develop Intelligent Agent Architecture and Requirements	
3	Task 3 Determine Data Model and Communication Requirements	
4	Task 4 Decision Logic, Analytics, Business Rules Requirements	
5	Task 5 Write Final Report (Phase I Complete)	
6	Task 6 Detailed AOSE Design	
7	Task 7 MAS System Prototyping	
8	Task 8 Agent Sub-system Verification and Testing	
9	Task 9 Develop MAS System Alpha Version	
10	Task 10 Detailed System Testing and Verification	
11	Task 11 Proof-of-Concept Testing with Real-world Testbed	
12	Task 12 Develop Beta Version of Software (MAS System)	
13	Task 13 Final Report (Phase II Complete)	



FY04 Activities: Phase II

- Detailed AOSE Design, Elaboration, Construction, Transition, Testing, Demonstrations
- Prototyping with stakeholder feedback
- Agent System Testing and Verification
- Develop Alpha Version

otility

- Detailed Agent Testing and Verification
- Proof-of-Concept testing within major utility distribution system with multiple DER technologies connected to a major ISO (Possible AOSE network integration testing)
- Develop Beta Version
- Cost/benefit analysis

Impacts and Benefits

 Local agents can help deal with large-scale contingencies by reducing response time

- Supports targeted PQ and reliability such as spinning reserves, reactive power supply, and voltage regulation Injecting reactive power at point of load (greater impact than having capacitors installed at substations)
- Local DER-level response supports the concept of agility and robustness, "Survivability" vs. eliminating contingencies

Impacts and Benefits

 Automatically adapting to new conditions, enables system to takes on "Self Healing" characteristics helping contingency response

fotility

- Decentralizing the intelligence allows the communication network to operate at a much slower speed
- Establishing interfaces to mainstream software technologies, and building on existing open standards helps to "future proof" the application
- Using an open architecture (common data models, open communication standards, and component-based development) reduces integration cost and increases overall interoperability

Impacts and Benefits

 Self-organization, self-description, and selfconfiguration based on semantic information of the agents contributes to grid resiliency

nfotility

- Flexible interaction capabilities of MAS increase the ability to use market signals for dynamic resource scheduling
- Supports DOE Transmission Reliability (DER integration, Real-time Grid Reliability Management, Load as a Resource, and Smart, switchable network) programs



Interactions/Collaborations

- Phase II work will involve collaboration of the following:
 - →Major distribution utility(s)
 - \rightarrow RTOs and ISOs
 - →R&D Labs
 - →Equipment Vendors
 - →Consultants
 - →Testing Facilities





Principal Investigator

David Cohen Infotility CTO Email: Dave@Infotility.com

Corporate Office

3003 Executive Parkway San Ramon, CA 94583 Phone: 925-275-3185

Boulder Office

7136 Petursdale Ct. Boulder, CO 80301 Mobile: **<u>303-808-3329</u>**