

$K_3^*(1780)$

$$I(J^P) = \frac{1}{2}(3^-)$$

 $K_3^*(1780)$ MASS

<u>VALUE (MeV)</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>CHG</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
1776 ± 7 OUR AVERAGE		Error includes scale factor of 1.1.			
1781 ± 8 ± 4		¹ ASTON	88 LASS	0	11 $K^- p \rightarrow K^- \pi^+ n$
1740 ± 14 ± 15		¹ ASTON	87 LASS	0	11 $K^- p \rightarrow$ $\bar{K}^0 \pi^+ \pi^- n$
1779 ± 11		² BALDI	76 SPEC	+	10 $K^+ p \rightarrow K^0 \pi^+ p$
1776 ± 26		³ BRANDENB...	76D ASPK	0	13 $K^\pm p \rightarrow K^\pm \pi^\mp N$
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●					
1720 ± 10 ± 15	6111	⁴ BIRD	89 LASS	-	11 $K^- p \rightarrow \bar{K}^0 \pi^- p$
1749 ± 10		ASTON	88B LASS	-	11 $K^- p \rightarrow K^- \eta p$
1780 ± 9	300	BAUBILLIER	84B HBC	-	8.25 $K^- p \rightarrow$ $\bar{K}^0 \pi^- p$
1790 ± 15		BAUBILLIER	82B HBC	0	8.25 $K^- p \rightarrow$ $K_S^0 2\pi N$
1784 ± 9	2060	CLELAND	82 SPEC	±	50 $K^+ p \rightarrow K_S^0 \pi^\pm p$
1786 ± 15		⁵ ASTON	81D LASS	0	11 $K^- p \rightarrow K^- \pi^+ n$
1762 ± 9	190	TOAFF	81 HBC	-	6.5 $K^- p \rightarrow \bar{K}^0 \pi^- p$
1850 ± 50		ETKIN	80 MPS	0	6 $K^- p \rightarrow \bar{K}^0 \pi^+ \pi^-$
1812 ± 28		BEUSCH	78 OMEG		10 $K^- p \rightarrow$ $\bar{K}^0 \pi^+ \pi^- n$
1786 ± 8		CHUNG	78 MPS	0	6 $K^- p \rightarrow K^- \pi^+ n$

¹ From energy-independent partial-wave analysis.² From a fit to Y_6^2 moment. $J^P = 3^-$ found.³ Confirmed by phase shift analysis of ESTABROOKS 78, yields $J^P = 3^-$.⁴ From a partial wave amplitude analysis.⁵ From a fit to the Y_6^0 moment. **$K_3^*(1780)$ WIDTH**

<u>VALUE (MeV)</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>CHG</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
159 ± 21 OUR AVERAGE		Error includes scale factor of 1.3. See the ideogram below.			
203 ± 30 ± 8		⁶ ASTON	88 LASS	0	11 $K^- p \rightarrow K^- \pi^+ n$
171 ± 42 ± 20		⁶ ASTON	87 LASS	0	11 $K^- p \rightarrow$ $\bar{K}^0 \pi^+ \pi^- n$
135 ± 22		⁷ BALDI	76 SPEC	+	10 $K^+ p \rightarrow K^0 \pi^+ p$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

$187 \pm 31 \pm 20$	6111	⁸ BIRD	89 LASS	–	11 $K^- p \rightarrow \bar{K}^0 \pi^- p$
193^{+51}_{-37}		ASTON	88B LASS	–	11 $K^- p \rightarrow K^- \eta p$
99 ± 30	300	BAUBILLIER	84B HBC	–	8.25 $K^- p \rightarrow \bar{K}^0 \pi^- p$
~ 130		BAUBILLIER	82B HBC	0	8.25 $K^- p \rightarrow K_S^0 2\pi N$
191 ± 24	2060	CLELAND	82 SPEC	±	50 $K^+ p \rightarrow K_S^0 \pi^\pm p$
225 ± 60		⁹ ASTON	81D LASS	0	11 $K^- p \rightarrow K^- \pi^+ n$
~ 80	190	TOAFF	81 HBC	–	6.5 $K^- p \rightarrow \bar{K}^0 \pi^- p$
240 ± 50		ETKIN	80 MPS	0	6 $K^- p \rightarrow \bar{K}^0 \pi^+ \pi^-$
181 ± 44		¹⁰ BEUSCH	78 OMEG		10 $K^- p \rightarrow \bar{K}^0 \pi^+ \pi^- n$
96 ± 31		CHUNG	78 MPS	0	6 $K^- p \rightarrow K^- \pi^+ n$
270 ± 70		¹¹ BRANDENB...	76D ASPK	0	13 $K^\pm p \rightarrow K^\pm \pi^\mp N$

⁶ From energy-independent partial-wave analysis.


⁷ From a fit to Y_6^2 moment. $J^P = 3^-$ found.

⁸ From a partial wave amplitude analysis.

⁹ From a fit to Y_6^0 moment.

¹⁰ Errors enlarged by us to $4\Gamma/\sqrt{N}$; see the note with the $K^*(892)$ mass.

¹¹ ESTABROOKS 78 find that BRANDENBURG 76D data are consistent with 175 MeV width. Not averaged.



$K_3^*(1780)$ width (MeV)

$K_3^*(1780)$ DECAY MODES

Mode	Fraction (Γ_i/Γ)	Confidence level
Γ_1 $K\rho$	(31 \pm 9) %	
Γ_2 $K^*(892)\pi$	(20 \pm 5) %	
Γ_3 $K\pi$	(18.8 \pm 1.0) %	
Γ_4 $K\eta$	(30 \pm 13) %	
Γ_5 $K_2^*(1430)\pi$	< 16 %	95%

CONSTRAINED FIT INFORMATION

An overall fit to 3 branching ratios uses 4 measurements and one constraint to determine 4 parameters. The overall fit has a $\chi^2 = 0.0$ for 1 degrees of freedom.

The following *off-diagonal* array elements are the correlation coefficients $\langle \delta x_i \delta x_j \rangle / (\delta x_i \cdot \delta x_j)$, in percent, from the fit to the branching fractions, $x_i \equiv \Gamma_i / \Gamma_{\text{total}}$. The fit constrains the x_i whose labels appear in this array to sum to one.

x_2	85		
x_3	18	21	
x_4	-98	-94	-27
	x_1	x_2	x_3

 $K_3^*(1780)$ BRANCHING RATIOS

$\Gamma(K\rho)/\Gamma(K^*(892)\pi)$ Γ_1/Γ_2

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	CHG	COMMENT
1.52 \pm 0.23 OUR FIT				
1.52 \pm 0.21 \pm 0.10	ASTON	87	LASS	0 11 $K^- p \rightarrow \bar{K}^0 \pi^+ \pi^- n$

$\Gamma(K^*(892)\pi)/\Gamma(K\pi)$ Γ_2/Γ_3

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	CHG	COMMENT
1.09 \pm 0.26 OUR FIT				
1.09 \pm 0.26	ASTON	84B	LASS	0 11 $K^- p \rightarrow \bar{K}^0 2\pi n$

$\Gamma(K\pi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_3/Γ

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	CHG	COMMENT
0.188 \pm 0.010 OUR FIT				
0.188 \pm 0.010 OUR AVERAGE				
0.187 \pm 0.008 \pm 0.008	ASTON	88	LASS	0 11 $K^- p \rightarrow K^- \pi^+ n$
0.19 \pm 0.02	ESTABROOKS	78	ASPK	0 13 $K^\pm p \rightarrow K\pi N$

$\Gamma(K\eta)/\Gamma(K\pi)$
 Γ_4/Γ_3

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>CHG</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
--------------	--------------------	-------------	------------	----------------

1.6 ± 0.7 OUR FIT

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

0.41 ± 0.050	¹² BIRD	89	LASS	–	11 $K^- p \rightarrow \bar{K}^0 \pi^- p$
0.50 ± 0.18	ASTON	88B	LASS	–	11 $K^- p \rightarrow K^- \eta p$

¹² This result supersedes ASTON 88B.

 $\Gamma(K_2^*(1430)\pi)/\Gamma(K^*(892)\pi)$
 Γ_5/Γ_2

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>CL%</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>CHG</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
--------------	------------	--------------------	-------------	------------	----------------

<0.78	95	ASTON	87	LASS	0	11 $K^- p \rightarrow \bar{K}^0 \pi^+ \pi^- n$
-----------------	----	-------	----	------	---	--

 $K_3^*(1780)$ REFERENCES

BIRD	89	SLAC-332				(SLAC)
ASTON	88	NP B296 493	+Awaji, Bienz, Bird+			(SLAC, NAGO, CINC, INUS)
ASTON	88B	PL B201 169	+Awaji, Bienz+			(SLAC, NAGO, CINC, INUS) JP
ASTON	87	NP B292 693	+Awaji, D'Amore+			(SLAC, NAGO, CINC, INUS)
ASTON	84B	NP B247 261	+Carnegie, Dunwoodie+			(SLAC, CARL, OTTA)
BAUBILLIER	84B	ZPHY C26 37	+			(BIRM, CERN, GLAS, MICH, CURIN)
BAUBILLIER	82B	NP B202 21	+			(BIRM, CERN, GLAS, MSU, CURIN)
CLELAND	82	NP B208 189	+Delfosse, Dorsaz, Gloor			(DURH, GEVA, LAUS, PITT)
ASTON	81D	PL 99B 502	+Dunwoodie, Durkin, Fieguth+			(SLAC, CARL, OTTA) JP
TOAFF	81	PR D23 1500	+Musgrave, Ammar, Davis, Ecklund+			(ANL, KANS)
ETKIN	80	PR D22 42	+Foley, Lindenbaum, Kramer+			(BNL, CUNY) JP
BEUSCH	78	PL 74B 282	+Birman, Konigs, Otter+			(CERN, AACH3, ETH) JP
CHUNG	78	PRL 40 355	+Etkin+			(BNL, BRAN, CUNY, MASA, PENN) JP
ESTABROOKS	78	NP B133 490	+Carnegie+			(MCGI, CARL, DURH, SLAC) JP
Also	78B	PR D17 658	Estabrooks, Carnegie+			(MCGI, CARL, DURH+)
BALDI	76	PL 63B 344	+Boehringer, Dorsaz, Hungerbuhler+			(GEVA) JP
BRANDENB...	76D	PL 60B 478	Brandenburg, Carnegie, Cashmore+			(SLAC) JP

OTHER RELATED PAPERS

AGUILAR...	73	PRL 30 672	Aguilar-Benitez, Chung, Eisner+			(BNL)
WALUCH	73	PR D8 2837	+Flatte, Friedman			(LBL)
CARMONY	71	PRL 27 1160	+Cords, Clopp, Erwin, Meiere+			(PURD, UCD, IUPU)
FIRESTONE	71	PL 36B 513	+Goldhaber, Lissauer, Trilling			(LBL)