

The proposed AD is too far-reaching.  
It should not include model 1730 because

- a) no 1730 has ever suffered this type failure
- b) model 1730 does not have this type exhaust system

If it is still proposed to include this model after consideration of these facts:

- a) should be worded to exclude those models with updated exhaust systems
- b) the entire idea of an AD for something that is already examined on an annual basis is superfluous. Another notification such a Service Difficulty Letter should be used instead. This AD is too onerous a burden for owners, and micromanages risk that should properly be placed upon aircraft owners.