AMENDMENT IN THE NATURE OF A SUBSTITUTE TO H.R. 1714, AS REPORTED OFFERED BY MR. DINGELL OF MICHIGAN, MR. CONYERS OF MICHIGAN, MR. LAFALCE OF NEW YORK, OR MR. GEPHARDT OF MISSOURI

Strike out all after the enacting clause and insert the following:

1 SECTION 1. SHORT TITLE.

- This Act may be cited as the "Millennium Digital
- 3 Commerce Act".

4 SEC. 2. FINDINGS.

- 5 The Congress makes the following findings:
- 6 (1) The growth of electronic commerce and 7 electronic government transactions represent a pow-
- 8 erful force for economic growth, consumer choice,
- 9 improved civic participation and wealth creation.
- 10 (2) The promotion of growth in private sector
- electronic commerce through Federal legislation is in
- the national interest because that market is globally
- important to the United States.
- 14 (3) A consistent legal foundation, across mul-
- tiple jurisdictions, for electronic commerce will pro-
- mote the growth of such transactions, and that such
- a foundation should be based upon a simple, tech-

- nology neutral, nonregulatory, and market-based approach.
 - (4) The Nation and the world stand at the beginning of a large scale transition to an information society which will require innovative legal and policy approaches, and therefore, States can serve the national interest by continuing their proven role as laboratories of innovation for quickly evolving areas of public policy, provided that States also adopt a consistent, reasonable national baseline to eliminate obsolete barriers to electronic commerce such as undue paper and pen requirements, and further, that any such innovation should not unduly burden inter-jurisdictional commerce.
 - (5) To the extent State laws or regulations do not provide a consistent, reasonable national baseline or in fact create an undue burden to interstate commerce in the important burgeoning area of electronic commerce, the national interest is best served by Federal preemption to the extent necessary to provide such consistent, reasonable national baseline or eliminate said burden, but that absent such lack of a consistent, reasonable national baseline or such undue burdens, the best legal system for electronic

1	commerce will result from continuing experimen-
2	tation by individual jurisdictions.
3	(6) With due regard to the fundamental need
4	for a consistent national baseline, each jurisdiction
5	that enacts such laws should have the right to deter-
6	mine the need for any exceptions to protect con-
7	sumers and maintain consistency with existing re-
8	lated bodies of law within a particular jurisdiction.
9	(7) Industry has developed several electronic
10	signature technologies for use in electronic trans-
11	actions, and the public policies of the United States
12	should serve to promote a dynamic marketplace
13	within which these technologies can compete. Con-
14	sistent with this Act, States should permit the use
15	and development of any authentication technologies
16	that are appropriate as practicable as between pri-
17	vate parties and in use with State agencies.
18	SEC. 3. PURPOSES.
19	The purposes of this Act are—
20	(1) to permit and encourage the continued ex-
21	pansion of electronic commerce through the oper-
22	ation of free market forces rather than proscriptive
23	governmental mandates and regulations;

1	(2) to promote public confidence in the validity,
2	integrity and reliability of electronic commerce and
3	online government under Federal law;
4	(3) to facilitate and promote electronic com-
5	merce by clarifying the legal status of electronic
6	records and electronic signatures in the context of
7	contract formation;
8	(4) to facilitate the ability of private parties en-
9	gaged in interstate transactions to agree among
10	themselves on the appropriate electronic signature
11	technologies for their transactions; and
12	(5) to promote the development of a consistent
13	national legal infrastructure necessary to support of
14	electronic commerce at the Federal and State levels
15	within areas of jurisdiction.
16	SEC. 4. DEFINITIONS.
17	In this Act:
18	(1) Electronic.—The term "electronic"
19	means relating to technology having electrical, dig-
20	ital, magnetic, wireless, optical, electromagnetic, or
21	similar capabilities.
22	(2) Electronic agent.—The term "electronic
23	agent" means a computer program or an electronic
24	or other automated means used to initiate an action
25	or respond to electronic records or performances in

1	whole or in part without review by an individual at
2	the time of the action or response.
3	(3) Electronic record.—The term "elec-
4	tronic record" means a record created, generated,
5	sent, communicated, received, or stored by electronic
6	means.
7	(4) Electronic signature.—The term "elec-
8	tronic signature" means an electronic sound, symbol,
9	or process attached to or logically associated with a
10	record and executed or adopted by a person with the
11	intent to sign the record.
12	(5) GOVERNMENTAL AGENCY.—The term "gov-
13	ernmental agency" means an executive, legislative,
14	or judicial agency, department, board, commission,
15	authority, or institution of the Federal Government
16	or of a State or of any county, municipality, or other
17	political subdivision of a State.
18	(6) Record.—The term "record" means infor-
19	mation that is inscribed on a tangible medium or
20	that is stored in an electronic or other medium and
21	is retrievable in perceivable form.
22	(7) Transaction.—The term "transaction"
23	means an action or set of actions relating to the con-
24	duct of commerce, between 2 or more persons, nei-

ther of which is the United States Government, a

25

1	State, or an agency, department, board, commission,
2	authority, or institution of the United States Gov-
3	ernment or of a State.

4 (8) UNIFORM ELECTRONIC TRANSACTIONS
5 ACT.—The term "Uniform Electronic Transactions
6 Act" means the Uniform Electronic Transactions
7 Act as provided to State legislatures by the National
8 Conference of Commissioners on Uniform State Law
9 in the form or any substantially similar variation.

10 SEC. 5. INTERSTATE CONTRACT CERTAINTY.

- 11 (a) IN GENERAL.—In any commercial transaction af-
- 12 fecting interstate commerce, a contract may not be denied
- 13 legal effect or enforceability solely because an electronic
- 14 signature or electronic record was used in its formation.
- 15 (b) Methods.—Parties to a transaction are per-
- 16 mitted to determine the appropriate electronic signature
- 17 technologies for their transaction, and the means of imple-
- 18 menting such technologies.
- 19 (c) Presentation of Contracts.—Notwith-
- 20 standing subsection (a), if a law requires that a contract
- 21 be in writing, the legal effect or enforceability of an elec-
- 22 tronic record of such contract shall be denied under such
- 23 law, unless it is delivered to all parties to such contract
- 24 in a form that—

1	(1) can be retained by the parties for later ref-
2	erence; and
3	(2) can be used to prove the terms of the agree-
4	ment.
5	(d) Specific Exclusions.—The provisions of this
6	section shall not apply to a statute, regulation, or other
7	rule of law governing any of the following:
8	(1) The Uniform Commercial Code, as in effect
9	in a State, other than section 1–107 and 1–206, ar-
10	ticle 2, and article 2A.
11	(2) Premarital agreements, marriage, adoption,
12	divorce or other matters of family law.
13	(3) Documents of title which are filed of record
14	with a governmental unit until such time that a
15	State or subdivision thereof chooses to accept filings
16	electronically.
17	(4) Residential landlord-tenant relationships.
18	(5) The Uniform Health-Care Decisions Act as
19	in effect in a State.
20	(e) Electronic Agents.—A contract relating to a
21	commercial transaction affecting interstate commerce may
22	not be denied legal effect or enforceability solely because
23	its formation involved—
24	(1) the interaction of electronic agents of the
25	parties; or

1	(2) the interaction of an electronic agent of a
2	party and an individual who acts on that individual's
3	own behalf or as an agent, for another person.
4	(f) Insurance.—It is the specific intent of the Con-
5	gress that this section apply to the business of insurance.
6	(g) APPLICATION IN UETA STATES.—This section
7	does not apply in any State in which the Uniform Elec-
8	tronic Transactions Act is in effect.
9	SEC. 6. PRINCIPLES GOVERNING THE USE OF ELECTRONIC
10	SIGNATURES IN INTERNATIONAL TRANS-
11	ACTIONS.
12	To the extent practicable, the Federal Government
13	shall observe the following principles in an international
14	context to enable commercial electronic transaction:
15	(1) Remove paper-based obstacles to electronic
16	transactions by adopting relevant principles from the
17	Model Law on Electronic Commerce adopted in
18	1996 by the United Nations Commission on Inter-
19	national Trade Law (UNCITRAL).
20	(2) Permit parties to a transaction to determine
21	the appropriate authentication technologies and im-
22	plementation models for their transactions, with as-
23	surance that those technologies and implementation
24	models will be recognized and enforced.

1	(3) Permit parties to a transaction to have the
2	opportunity to prove in court or other proceedings
3	that their authentication approaches and their trans-
4	actions are valid.
5	(4) Take a nondiscriminatory approach to elec-
6	tronic signatures and authentication methods from
7	other jurisdictions.
8	SEC. 7. STUDY OF LEGAL AND REGULATORY BARRIERS TO
9	ELECTRONIC COMMERCE.
10	(a) Barriers.—Each Federal agency shall, not later
11	than 6 months after the date of enactment of this Act,
12	provide a report to the Director of the Office of Manage-
13	ment and Budget and the Secretary of Commerce identi-
14	fying any provision of law administered by such agency,
15	or any regulations issued by such agency and in effect on
16	the date of enactment of this Act, that may impose a bar-
17	rier to electronic transactions, or otherwise to the conduct
18	of commerce online or be electronic means. Such barriers
19	include, but are not limited to, barriers imposed by a law
20	or regulation directly or indirectly requiring that signa-
21	tures, or records of transactions, be accomplished or re-
22	tained in other than electronic form. In its report, each
23	agency shall identify the barriers among those identified
24	whose removal would require legislative action, and shall
25	indicate agency plans to undertake regulatory action to

- 1 remove such barriers among those identified as are caused
- 2 by regulations issued by the agency.
- 3 (b) Report to Congress.—The Secretary of Com-
- 4 merce, in consultation with the Director of the Office of
- 5 Management and Budget, shall, within 18 months after
- 6 the date of enactment of this Act, and after the consulta-
- 7 tion required by subsection (c) of this section, report to
- 8 the Congress concerning—
- 9 (1) legislation needed to remove barriers to
- 10 electronic transactions or otherwise to the conduct of
- 11 commerce online or by electronic means; and
- 12 (2) actions being taken by the Executive
- 13 Branch and individual Federal agencies to remove
- such barriers as are caused by agency regulations or
- policies.
- 16 (c) Consultation.—In preparing the report re-
- 17 quired by this section, the Secretary of Commerce shall
- 18 consult with the General Services Administration, the Na-
- 19 tional Archives and Records Administration, and the At-
- 20 torney General concerning matters involving the authen-
- 21 ticity of records, their storage and retention, and their
- 22 usability for law enforcement purposes.
- 23 (d) Include Findings If No Recommenda-
- 24 TIONS.—If the report required by this section omits rec-
- 25 ommendations for actions needed to fully remove identi-

- 1 fied barriers to electronic transactions or to online or elec-
- 2 tronic commerce, it shall include a finding or findings, in-
- 3 cluding substantial reasons therefore, that such removal
- 4 is impracticable or would be inconsistent with the imple-
- 5 mentation or enforcement of applicable laws.