

$\pi_2(1670)$

$$I^G(J^{PC}) = 1^-(2^-+)$$

$\pi_2(1670)$ MASS

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	CHG	COMMENT
1670 ±20	OUR ESTIMATE	This is only an educated guess; the error given is larger than the error on the average of the published values.			
1672.0± 3.5	OUR AVERAGE	Error includes scale factor of 1.5. See the ideogram below.			
1687 ± 9 ±15		AMELIN	99	VES	37 $\pi^- A \rightarrow \omega \pi^- \pi^0 A^*$
1669 ± 4		BARBERIS	98B		450 $p p \rightarrow p_f \rho \pi p_S$
1670 ± 4		BARBERIS	98B		450 $p p \rightarrow p_f f_2(1270) \pi p_S$
1730 ±20		¹ AMELIN	95B	VES	36 $\pi^- A \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^- A$
1690 ±14		² BERDNIKOV	94	VES	37 $\pi^- A \rightarrow K^+ K^- \pi^- A$
1710 ±20	700	ANTIPOV	87	SIGM -	50 $\pi^- Cu \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^- \pi^- Cu$
1676 ± 6		² EVANGELISTA	81	OMEG -	12 $\pi^- p \rightarrow 3\pi p$
1657 ±14		^{2,3} DAUM	80D	SPEC -	63-94 $\pi p \rightarrow 3\pi X$
1662 ±10	2000	² BALTAY	77	HBC +	15 $\pi^+ p \rightarrow p 3\pi$
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●					
1742 ±31 ±49		ANTREASYAN	90	CBAL	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow e^+ e^- \pi^0 \pi^0 \pi^0$
1624 ±21		⁴ BELLINI	85	SPEC	40 $\pi^- A \rightarrow \pi^- \pi^+ \pi^- A$
1622 ±35		⁵ BELLINI	85	SPEC	40 $\pi^- A \rightarrow \pi^- \pi^+ \pi^- A$
1693 ±28		⁶ BELLINI	85	SPEC	40 $\pi^- A \rightarrow \pi^- \pi^+ \pi^- A$
1710 ±20		⁷ DAUM	81B	SPEC -	63,94 $\pi^- p$
1660 ±10		² ASCOLI	73	HBC -	5-25 $\pi^- p \rightarrow p \pi_2$

¹ From a fit to $J^{PC} = 2^-+ f_2(1270)\pi, f_0(1370)\pi$ waves.

² From a fit to $J^P = 2^- S$ -wave $f_2(1270)\pi$ partial wave.


³ Clear phase rotation seen in $2^- S, 2^- P, 2^- D$ waves. We quote central value and spread of single-resonance fits to three channels.

⁴ From $f_2(1270)\pi$ decay.

⁵ From $\rho\pi$ decay.

⁶ From $\sigma\pi$ decay.

⁷ From a two-resonance fit to four 2^-0^+ waves. This should not be averaged with all the single resonance fits.




$\pi_2(1670)$ mass (MeV)

$\pi_2(1670)$ WIDTH

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	CHG	COMMENT
259 ± 11 OUR AVERAGE		Error includes scale factor of 1.5. See the ideogram below.			
168 ± 43 ± 53		AMELIN 99	VES		37 $\pi^- A \rightarrow \omega \pi^- \pi^0 A^*$
268 ± 15		BARBERIS 98B			450 $pp \rightarrow p_f \rho \pi p_s$
256 ± 15		BARBERIS 98B			450 $pp \rightarrow p_f f_2(1270) \pi p_s$
310 ± 20		8 AMELIN 95B	VES		36 $\pi^- A \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^- A$
190 ± 50		9 BERDNIKOV 94	VES		37 $\pi^- A \rightarrow K^+ K^- \pi^- A$
170 ± 80	700	ANTIPOV 87	SIGM	-	50 $\pi^- Cu \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^- \pi^- Cu$
260 ± 20		9 EVANGELISTA 81	OMEG	-	12 $\pi^- p \rightarrow 3\pi p$
219 ± 20		9,10 DAUM 80D	SPEC	-	63-94 $\pi p \rightarrow 3\pi X$
285 ± 60	2000	9 BALTAY 77	HBC	+	15 $\pi^+ p \rightarrow p 3\pi$
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●					
236 ± 49 ± 36		ANTREASYAN 90	CBAL		$e^+ e^- \rightarrow e^+ e^- \pi^0 \pi^0 \pi^0$
304 ± 22		11 BELLINI 85	SPEC		40 $\pi^- A \rightarrow \pi^- \pi^+ \pi^- A$
404 ± 108		12 BELLINI 85	SPEC		40 $\pi^- A \rightarrow \pi^- \pi^+ \pi^- A$
330 ± 90		13 BELLINI 85	SPEC		40 $\pi^- A \rightarrow \pi^- \pi^+ \pi^- A$
312 ± 50		14 DAUM 81B	SPEC	-	63,94 $\pi^- p$
270 ± 60		9 ASCOLI 73	HBC	-	5-25 $\pi^- p \rightarrow p \pi_2$

- 8 From a fit to $J^{PC} = 2^{-+} f_2(1270)\pi, f_0(1370)\pi$ waves.
- 9 From a fit to $J^P = 2^{-} f_2(1270)\pi$ partial wave.
- 10 Clear phase rotation seen in $2^{-}S, 2^{-}P, 2^{-}D$ waves. We quote central value and spread of single-resonance fits to three channels.
- 11 From $f_2(1270)\pi$ decay.
- 12 From $\rho\pi$ decay.
- 13 From $\sigma\pi$ decay.
- 14 From a two-resonance fit to four $2^{-}0^{+}$ waves. This should not be averaged with all the single resonance fits.



$\pi_2(1670)$ width (MeV)

$\pi_2(1670)$ DECAY MODES

Mode	Fraction (Γ_i/Γ)	Confidence level
Γ_1 3π	(95.8±1.4) %	
Γ_2 $f_2(1270)\pi$	(56.2±3.2) %	
Γ_3 $\rho\pi$	(31 ±4) %	
Γ_4 $\sigma\pi$	(13 ±6) %	
Γ_5 $f_0(1370)\pi$	(8.7±3.4) %	
Γ_6 $K\bar{K}^*(892)+$ c.c.	(4.2±1.4) %	

Γ_7	$\omega\rho$		$(2.7\pm 1.1)\%$	
Γ_8	$\gamma\gamma$			
Γ_9	$\eta\pi$			
Γ_{10}	$\pi^\pm 2\pi^+ 2\pi^-$			
Γ_{11}	$\rho(1450)\pi$	< 3.6	$\times 10^{-3}$	97.7%
Γ_{12}	$b_1(1235)\pi$	< 1.9	$\times 10^{-3}$	97.7%

CONSTRAINED FIT INFORMATION

An overall fit to 4 branching ratios uses 6 measurements and one constraint to determine 4 parameters. The overall fit has a $\chi^2 = 1.9$ for 3 degrees of freedom.

The following *off-diagonal* array elements are the correlation coefficients $\langle \delta x_i \delta x_j \rangle / (\delta x_i \cdot \delta x_j)$, in percent, from the fit to the branching fractions, $x_i \equiv \Gamma_i / \Gamma_{\text{total}}$. The fit constrains the x_i whose labels appear in this array to sum to one.

x_3		-53		
x_5		-29	-59	
x_6		-8	-21	-9
		x_2	x_3	x_5

$\pi_2(1670)$ PARTIAL WIDTHS

$\Gamma(\gamma\gamma)$						Γ_8
VALUE (keV)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	CHG	COMMENT	
<0.072	90	15 ACCIARRI	97T L3		$e^+e^- \rightarrow$ $e^+e^-\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$	
<0.19	90	15 ALBRECHT	97B ARG		$e^+e^- \rightarrow$ $e^+e^-\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$	
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●						
1.41 $\pm 0.23 \pm 0.28$		ANTREASYAN 90	CBAL	0	$e^+e^- \rightarrow$ $e^+e^-\pi^0\pi^0\pi^0$	
0.8 $\pm 0.3 \pm 0.12$		16 BEHREND	90C CELL	0	$e^+e^- \rightarrow$ $e^+e^-\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$	
1.3 $\pm 0.3 \pm 0.2$		17 BEHREND	90C CELL	0	$e^+e^- \rightarrow$ $e^+e^-\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$	

¹⁵ Decaying into $f_2(1270)\pi$ and $\rho\pi$.

¹⁶ Constructive interference between $f_2(1270)\pi, \rho\pi$ and background.

¹⁷ Incoherent Ansatz.

$\pi_2(1670)$ BRANCHING RATIOS

$\Gamma(3\pi) / \Gamma_{\text{total}}$	$\Gamma_1 / \Gamma = (\Gamma_2 + \Gamma_3 + \Gamma_5) / \Gamma$
VALUE	DOCUMENT ID
0.958 \pm 0.014 OUR FIT	


$$\Gamma(\rho\pi)/\Gamma(\pi^\pm\pi^+\pi^-) \qquad \frac{1}{2}\Gamma_3/(0.567\Gamma_2+\frac{1}{2}\Gamma_3+0.624\Gamma_5)$$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	CHG	COMMENT
0.29±0.04 OUR FIT				
0.29±0.05	¹⁸ DAUM	81B	SPEC	63,94 $\pi^- p$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
<0.3	BARTSCH	68	HBC	+ 8 $\pi^+ p \rightarrow 3\pi p$
¹⁸ From a two-resonance fit to four 2^-0^+ waves.				

$$\Gamma(f_2(1270)\pi)/\Gamma(\pi^\pm\pi^+\pi^-) \qquad 0.567\Gamma_2/(0.567\Gamma_2+\frac{1}{2}\Gamma_3+0.624\Gamma_5)$$

(With $f_2(1270) \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-$.)

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	CHG	COMMENT
0.604±0.035 OUR FIT				
0.60 ±0.05 OUR AVERAGE	Error includes scale factor of 1.3. See the ideogram below.			
0.61 ±0.04	¹⁹ DAUM	81B	SPEC	63,94 $\pi^- p$
0.76 $\begin{smallmatrix} +0.24 \\ -0.34 \end{smallmatrix}$	ARMENISE	69	DBC	+ 5.1 $\pi^+ d \rightarrow d3\pi$
0.35 ±0.20	BALTAY	68	HBC	+ 7-8.5 $\pi^+ p$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
0.59	BARTSCH	68	HBC	+ 8 $\pi^+ p \rightarrow 3\pi p$
¹⁹ From a two-resonance fit to four 2^-0^+ waves.				



$$\Gamma(f_2(1270)\pi)/\Gamma(\pi^\pm\pi^+\pi^-)$$

$$\Gamma(\rho\pi)/\Gamma(f_2(1270)\pi) \qquad \Gamma_3/0.564\Gamma_2$$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	COMMENT
1.01±0.05	BARBERIS	98B 450 $p p \rightarrow p_f \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0 p_s$

$\Gamma(\eta\pi)/\Gamma(\pi^\pm\pi^+\pi^-)$ $\Gamma_9/(0.567\Gamma_2+\frac{1}{2}\Gamma_3+0.624\Gamma_5)$
 (All η decays.)

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	CHG	COMMENT
<0.09	BALTAY	68	HBC	+ 7-8.5 $\pi^+ p$
••• We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. •••				
<0.10	CRENNELL	70	HBC	- 6 $\pi^- p \rightarrow f_2 \pi^- N$

$\Gamma(\pi^\pm 2\pi^+ 2\pi^-)/\Gamma(\pi^\pm\pi^+\pi^-)$ $\Gamma_{10}/(0.567\Gamma_2+\frac{1}{2}\Gamma_3+0.624\Gamma_5)$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	CHG	COMMENT
<0.10	CRENNELL	70	HBC	- 6 $\pi^- p \rightarrow f_2 \pi^- N$
<0.1	BALTAY	68	HBC	+ 7,8.5 $\pi^+ p$

$\Gamma(\rho(1450)\pi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{11}/Γ

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<0.0036	97.7	AMELIN	99	VES 37 $\pi^- A \rightarrow \omega\pi^- \pi^0 A^*$

$\Gamma(b_1(1235)\pi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{12}/Γ

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<0.0019	97.7	AMELIN	99	VES 37 $\pi^- A \rightarrow \omega\pi^- \pi^0 A^*$

$\Gamma(f_0(1370)\pi)/\Gamma(\pi^\pm\pi^+\pi^-)$ $0.624\Gamma_5/(0.567\Gamma_2+\frac{1}{2}\Gamma_3+0.624\Gamma_5)$
 (With $f_0(1370) \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-$.)

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.10±0.04 OUR FIT			
0.10±0.05	²⁰ DAUM	81B	SPEC 63,94 $\pi^- p$
²⁰ From a two-resonance fit to four 2^-0^+ waves.			

$\Gamma(K\bar{K}^*(892)+\text{c.c.})/\Gamma(f_2(1270)\pi)$ Γ_6/Γ_2

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	CHG	COMMENT
0.075±0.025 OUR FIT				
0.075±0.025	²¹ ARMSTRONG	82B	OMEG	- 16 $\pi^- p \rightarrow K^+ K^- \pi^- p$
²¹ From a partial-wave analysis of $K^+ K^- \pi^-$ system.				

$\Gamma(\omega\rho)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_7/Γ

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.027±0.004±0.010	²³ AMELIN	99	VES 37 $\pi^- A \rightarrow \omega\pi^- \pi^0 A^*$

$\Gamma(\sigma\pi)/\Gamma(f_2(1270)\pi)$ Γ_4/Γ_2

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.24±0.10	^{24,25} BAKER	99	SPEC 1.94 $\bar{p}p \rightarrow 4\pi^0$

D-wave/S-wave RATIO FOR $\pi_2(1670) \rightarrow f_2(1270)\pi$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
-0.18 ± 0.06	²⁴ BAKER	99 SPEC	$1.94 \bar{p}p \rightarrow 4\pi^0$
0.22 ± 0.10	²² DAUM	81B SPEC	$63,94 \pi^- p$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

²² From a two-resonance fit to four 2^-0^+ waves.

²³ Normalized to the $B(\pi_2(1670) \rightarrow f_2\pi)$.

²⁴ Using preliminary CBAR data.

²⁵ With the $\sigma\pi$ in $L=2$ and the $f_2(1270)\pi$ in $L=0$.

 $\pi_2(1670)$ REFERENCES

AMELIN	99	PAN 62 445	D.V. Amelin <i>et al.</i>	(VES Collab.)
		Translated from YAF 62	487.	
BAKER	99	PL B449 114	C.A. Baker <i>et al.</i>	
BARBERIS	98B	PL B422 399	D. Barberis <i>et al.</i>	(WA102 Collab.)
ACCIARRI	97T	PL B413 147	M. Acciarri <i>et al.</i>	(L3 Collab.)
ALBRECHT	97B	ZPHY C74 469	H. Albrecht <i>et al.</i>	(ARGUS Collab.)
AMELIN	95B	PL B356 595	D.V. Amelin <i>et al.</i>	(SERP, TBIL)
BERDNIKOV	94	PL B337 219	E.B. Berdnikov <i>et al.</i>	(SERP, TBIL)
ANTREASYAN	90	ZPHY C48 561	D. Antreasyan <i>et al.</i>	(Crystal Ball Collab.)
BEHREND	90C	ZPHY C46 583	H.J. Behrend <i>et al.</i>	(CELLO Collab.)
ANTIPOV	87	EPL 4 403	Y.M. Antipov <i>et al.</i>	(SERP, JINR, INRM+)
BELLINI	85	SJNP 41 781	D. Bellini <i>et al.</i>	
		Translated from YAF 41	1223.	
ARMSTRONG	82B	NP B202 1	T.A. Armstrong, B. Baccari	(AACH3, BARI, BONN+)
DAUM	81B	NP B182 269	C. Daum <i>et al.</i>	(AMST, CERN, CRAC, MPIM+)
EVANGELISTA	81	NP B178 197	C. Evangelista <i>et al.</i>	(BARI, BONN, CERN+)
Also	81B	NP B186 594	C. Evangelista	
DAUM	80D	PL 89B 285	C. Daum <i>et al.</i>	(AMST, CERN, CRAC, MPIM+) JP
BALTAY	77	PRL 39 591	C. Baltay, C.V. Cautis, M. Kalelkar	(COLU) JP
ASCOLI	73	PR D7 669	G. Ascoli	(ILL, TNTO, GENO, HAMB, MILA+) JP
CRENNELL	70	PRL 24 781	D.J. Crennell <i>et al.</i>	(BNL)
ARMENISE	69	LNC 2 501	N. Armenise <i>et al.</i>	(BARI, BGNA, FIRZ)
BALTAY	68	PRL 20 887	C. Baltay <i>et al.</i>	(COLU, ROCH, RUTG, YALE) I
BARTSCH	68	NP B7 345	J. Bartsch <i>et al.</i>	(AACH, BERL, CERN) JP

OTHER RELATED PAPERS

ZAIMIDOROGA	99	PAN 30 1	O.A. Zaimidoriga	
		Translated from SJPN 30	5.	
ABELE	96	PL B380 453	A. Abele <i>et al.</i>	(Crystal Barrel Collab.)
CHEN	83B	PR D28 2304	T.Y. Chen <i>et al.</i>	(ARIZ, FNAL, FLOR, NDAM+)
LEEDOM	83	PR D27 1426	I.D. Leedom <i>et al.</i>	(PURD, TNTO)
BELLINI	82B	NP B199 1	G. Bellini <i>et al.</i>	(CERN, MILA, JINR+)
DAUM	81B	NP B182 269	C. Daum <i>et al.</i>	(AMST, CERN, CRAC, MPIM+)
PERNEGR	78	NP B134 436	J. Pernegr <i>et al.</i>	(ETH, CERN, LOIC+)
FOCACCI	66	PRL 17 890	M.N. Focacci <i>et al.</i>	(CERN)
LEVRAT	66	PL 22 714	B. Levrat <i>et al.</i>	
VETLITSKY	66	PL 21 579	I.A. Vetlitsky <i>et al.</i>	(ITEP)
FORINO	65B	PL 19 68	A. Forino <i>et al.</i>	(BGNA, BARI, FIRZ, ORSAY+)