

$\pi_2(1670)$

$$I^G(J^{PC}) = 1^-(2^-+)$$

$\pi_2(1670)$ MASS


<u>VALUE (MeV)</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>CHG</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
1670±20 OUR ESTIMATE		This is only an educated guess; the error given is larger than the error on the average of the published values.			
1677± 8 OUR AVERAGE		Error includes scale factor of 1.7. See the ideogram below.			
1730±20		¹ AMELIN	95B	VES	36 $\pi^- A \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^- A$
1690±14		² BERDNIKOV	94	VES	37 $\pi^- A \rightarrow K^+ K^- \pi^- A$
1710±20	700	ANTIPOV	87	SIGM	- 50 $\pi^- Cu \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^- \pi^- Cu$
1676± 6		² EVANGELISTA	81	OMEG	- 12 $\pi^- p \rightarrow 3\pi p$
1657±14		^{2,3} DAUM	80D	SPEC	- 63-94 $\pi p \rightarrow 3\pi X$
1662±10	2000	² BALTAY	77	HBC	+ 15 $\pi^+ p \rightarrow p3\pi$
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●					
1742±31±49		ANTREASYAN	90	CBAL	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow e^+ e^- \pi^0 \pi^0 \pi^0$
1710±20		⁴ DAUM	81B	SPEC	- 63,94 $\pi^- p$
1660±10		² ASCOLI	73	HBC	- 5-25 $\pi^- p \rightarrow p\pi_2$

¹ From a fit to $J^{PC} = 2^-+$ $f_2(1270)\pi$, $f_0(1370)\pi$ waves.

² From a fit to $J^P = 2^-$ S -wave $f_2(1270)\pi$ partial wave.

³ Clear phase rotation seen in 2^-S , 2^-P , 2^-D waves. We quote central value and spread of single-resonance fits to three channels.

⁴ From a two-resonance fit to four 2^-0^+ waves. This should not be averaged with all the single resonance fits.



$\pi_2(1670)$ mass (MeV)

$\pi_2(1670)$ WIDTH

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	CHG	COMMENT
258±18 OUR AVERAGE					Error includes scale factor of 1.7. See the ideogram below.
310±20		⁵ AMELIN	95B	VES	36 $\pi^- A \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^- A$
190±50		⁶ BERDNIKOV	94	VES	37 $\pi^- A \rightarrow K^+ K^- \pi^- A$
170±80	700	ANTIPOV	87	SIGM	- 50 $\pi^- Cu \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^- \pi^- Cu$
260±20		⁶ EVANGELISTA	81	OMEG	- 12 $\pi^- p \rightarrow 3\pi p$
219±20		^{6,7} DAUM	80D	SPEC	- 63-94 $\pi p \rightarrow 3\pi X$
285±60	2000	⁶ BALTAY	77	HBC	+ 15 $\pi^+ p \rightarrow p3\pi$
236±49±36		ANTREASYAN	90	CBAL	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow e^+ e^- \pi^0 \pi^0 \pi^0$
312±50		⁸ DAUM	81B	SPEC	- 63,94 $\pi^- p$
270±60		⁶ ASCOLI	73	HBC	- 5-25 $\pi^- p \rightarrow p\pi_2$


• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

⁵ From a fit to $J^{PC} = 2^- + f_2(1270)\pi, f_0(1370)\pi$ waves.

⁶ From a fit to $J^P = 2^- f_2(1270)\pi$ partial wave.

⁷ Clear phase rotation seen in $2^- S, 2^- P, 2^- D$ waves. We quote central value and spread of single-resonance fits to three channels.

⁸ From a two-resonance fit to four $2^- 0^+$ waves. This should not be averaged with all the single resonance fits.



$\pi_2(1670)$ width (MeV)

$\pi_2(1670)$ DECAY MODES

Mode	Fraction (Γ_i/Γ)
Γ_1 3π	(95.8±1.4) %
Γ_2 $f_2(1270)\pi$	(56.2±3.2) %
Γ_3 $\rho\pi$	(31 ± 4) %
Γ_4 $f_0(1370)\pi$	(8.7±3.4) %
Γ_5 $K\bar{K}^*(892) + c.c.$	(4.2±1.4) %
Γ_6 $\gamma\gamma$	
Γ_7 $\eta\pi$	
Γ_8 $\pi^\pm 2\pi^+ 2\pi^-$	

CONSTRAINED FIT INFORMATION

An overall fit to 4 branching ratios uses 6 measurements and one constraint to determine 4 parameters. The overall fit has a $\chi^2 = 1.9$ for 3 degrees of freedom.

The following *off-diagonal* array elements are the correlation coefficients $\langle \delta x_i \delta x_j \rangle / (\delta x_i \delta x_j)$, in percent, from the fit to the branching fractions, $x_i \equiv \Gamma_i / \Gamma_{\text{total}}$. The fit constrains the x_i whose labels appear in this array to sum to one.

x_3	-53		
x_4	-29	-59	
x_5	-8	-21	-9
	x_2	x_3	x_4

$\pi_2(1670)$ PARTIAL WIDTHS

$\Gamma(\gamma\gamma)$	Γ_6				
<u>VALUE (keV)</u>	<u>CL%</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>CHG</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<0.072	90	⁹ ACCIARRI	97T L3		$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$
<0.19	90	⁹ ALBRECHT	97B ARG		$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●					
1.41 ± 0.23 ± 0.28		ANTREASYAN	90 CBAL	0	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \pi^0 \pi^0 \pi^0$
0.8 ± 0.3 ± 0.12		¹⁰ BEHREND	90C CELL	0	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$
1.3 ± 0.3 ± 0.2		¹¹ BEHREND	90C CELL	0	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$

⁹ Decaying into $f_2(1270)\pi$ and $\rho\pi$.

¹⁰ Constructive interference between $f_2(1270)\pi, \rho\pi$ and background.

¹¹ Incoherent Ansatz.

$\pi_2(1670)$ BRANCHING RATIOS

$\Gamma(3\pi) / \Gamma_{\text{total}}$	$\Gamma_1 / \Gamma = (\Gamma_2 + \Gamma_3 + \Gamma_4) / \Gamma$			
<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>			
0.958 ± 0.014 OUR FIT				
	$\frac{1}{2}\Gamma_3 / (0.567\Gamma_2 + \frac{1}{2}\Gamma_3 + 0.624\Gamma_4)$			
<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>CHG</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
0.29 ± 0.04 OUR FIT				
0.29 ± 0.05	¹² DAUM	81B SPEC		63,94 $\pi^- p$

● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●

<0.3 BARTSCH 68 HBC + 8 $\pi^+ p \rightarrow 3\pi p$

¹² From a two-resonance fit to four $2^- 0^+$ waves.

$$\Gamma(f_2(1270)\pi)/\Gamma(\pi^\pm\pi^+\pi^-) \quad 0.567\Gamma_2/(0.567\Gamma_2+\frac{1}{2}\Gamma_3+0.624\Gamma_4)$$

(With $f_2(1270) \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-$.)

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	CHG	COMMENT
-------	-------------	------	-----	---------

0.604±0.035 OUR FIT

0.60 ±0.05 OUR AVERAGE Error includes scale factor of 1.3. See the ideogram below.

0.61 ±0.04	¹³ DAUM	81B	SPEC	63,94 $\pi^- p$
------------	--------------------	-----	------	-----------------

0.76 ^{+0.24} _{-0.34}	ARMENISE	69	DBC	+ 5.1 $\pi^+ d \rightarrow d3\pi$
-------------------------------------------	----------	----	-----	-----------------------------------


0.35 ±0.20	BALTAY	68	HBC	+ 7-8.5 $\pi^+ p$
------------	--------	----	-----	-------------------

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

0.59	BARTSCH	68	HBC	+ 8 $\pi^+ p \rightarrow 3\pi p$
------	---------	----	-----	----------------------------------

¹³From a two-resonance fit to four 2^-0^+ waves.

WEIGHTED AVERAGE
0.60±0.05 (Error scaled by 1.3)



$$\Gamma(f_2(1270)\pi)/\Gamma(\pi^\pm\pi^+\pi^-)$$

$$\Gamma(\eta\pi)/\Gamma(\pi^\pm\pi^+\pi^-) \quad \Gamma_7/(0.567\Gamma_2+\frac{1}{2}\Gamma_3+0.624\Gamma_4)$$

(All η decays.)

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	CHG	COMMENT
-------	-------------	------	-----	---------

<0.09

BALTAY	68	HBC	+	7-8.5 $\pi^+ p$
--------	----	-----	---	-----------------

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

<0.10	CRENNELL	70	HBC	- 6 $\pi^- p \rightarrow f_2\pi^- N$
-------	----------	----	-----	--------------------------------------

$$\Gamma(\pi^\pm 2\pi^+ 2\pi^-)/\Gamma(\pi^\pm\pi^+\pi^-) \quad \Gamma_8/(0.567\Gamma_2+\frac{1}{2}\Gamma_3+0.624\Gamma_4)$$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	CHG	COMMENT
-------	-------------	------	-----	---------

<0.10

CRENNELL	70	HBC	-	6 $\pi^- p \rightarrow f_2\pi^- N$
----------	----	-----	---	------------------------------------

<0.1	BALTAY	68	HBC	+ 7,8.5 $\pi^+ p$
------	--------	----	-----	-------------------

$$\Gamma(f_0(1370)\pi)/\Gamma(\pi^\pm\pi^+\pi^-) \quad 0.624\Gamma_4/(0.567\Gamma_2+\frac{1}{2}\Gamma_3+0.624\Gamma_4)$$

(With $f_0(1370) \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-$.)

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
-------	-------------	------	---------

0.10±0.04 OUR FIT

0.10±0.05 ¹⁴ DAUM 81B SPEC 63,94 $\pi^- p$

¹⁴ From a two-resonance fit to four 2^-0^+ waves.

$$\Gamma(K\bar{K}^*(892)+c.c.)/\Gamma(f_2(1270)\pi) \quad \Gamma_5/\Gamma_2$$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	CHG	COMMENT
-------	-------------	------	-----	---------

0.075±0.025 OUR FIT

0.075±0.025 ¹⁵ ARMSTRONG 82B OMEG - 16 $\pi^- p \rightarrow K^+K^-\pi^- p$

¹⁵ From a partial-wave analysis of $K^+K^-\pi^-$ system.

D-wave/S-wave RATIO FOR $\pi_2(1670) \rightarrow f_2(1270)\pi$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
-------	-------------	------	---------

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

0.22±0.10 ¹⁶ DAUM 81B SPEC 63,94 $\pi^- p$

¹⁶ From a two-resonance fit to four 2^-0^+ waves.

$\pi_2(1670)$ REFERENCES

ACCIARRI	97T	PL B413 147	M. Acciarri+	
ALBRECHT	97B	ZPHY C74 469	+Hamacher, Hofmann+	(ARGUS Collab.)
AMELIN	95B	PL B356 595	+Berdnikov, Bitjukov+	(SERP, TBIL)
BERDNIKOV	94	PL B337 219	+Bitjukov+	(SERP, TBIL)
ANTREASYAN	90	ZPHY C48 561	+Bartels, Besset+	(Crystal Ball Collab.)
BEHREND	90C	ZPHY C46 583	+Criegee+	(CELLO Collab.)
ANTIPOV	87	EPL 4 403	+Batarin+	(SERP, JINR, INRM, TBIL, BGNA, MILA)
ARMSTRONG	82B	NP B202 1	+Baccari	(AACH3, BARI, BONN, CERN, GLAS+)
DAUM	81B	NP B182 269	+Hertzberger+	(AMST, CERN, CRAC, MPIM, OXF+)
EVANGELISTA	81	NP B178 197	+	(BARI, BONN, CERN, DARE, LIVP+)
Also	81B	NP B186 594	Evangelista	
DAUM	80D	PL 89B 285	+Hertzberger+	(AMST, CERN, CRAC, MPIM, OXF+) JP
BALTAY	77	PRL 39 591	+Cautis, Kalelkar	(COLU) JP
ASCOLI	73	PR D7 669		(ILL, TNTO, GENO, HAMB, MILA, SACL) JP
CRENNELL	70	PRL 24 781	+Karshon, Lai, Scarr, Sims	(BNL)
ARMENISE	69	LNC 2 501	+Ghidini, Forino, Cartacci+	(BARI, BGNA, FIRZ)
BALTAY	68	PRL 20 887	+Kung, Yeh, Ferbel+	(COLU, ROCH, RUTG, YALE) I
BARTSCH	68	NP B7 345	+Keppel, Kraus+	(AACH, BERL, CERN) JP

OTHER RELATED PAPERS

CHEN	83B	PR D28 2304	+Fenker+	(ARIZ, FNAL, FLOR, NDAM, TUFTS+)
LEEDOM	83	PR D27 1426	+DeBonte, Gaidos, Key, Wong+	(PURD, TNTO)
BELLINI	82B	NP B199 1	+	(CERN, MILA, JINR, BGNA, HELS, PAVI, WARS+)
FOCACCI	66	PRL 17 890	+Kienzle, Levrat, Maglich, Martin	(CERN)
LEVRAT	66	PL 22 714	+Tolstrup+	(CERN Missing Mass Spect. Collab.)
VETLITSKY	66	PL 21 579	+Guszavin, Kliger, Zolganov+	(ITEP)
FORINO	65B	PL 19 68	+Gessaroli+	(BGNA, BARI, FIRZ, ORSAY, SACL)