UNITED STATES INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION Washington, DC 20436

MEMORANDUM TO THE COMMITTEE ON FINANCE OF THE UNITED STATES SENATE ON PROPOSED TARIFF LEGISLATION¹

Bill no., sponsor, and sp	bonsor's state: S. 1658 (105th Congress), Senator Moynihan (NY).
Companion bill:	H.R. 2245 (105th Congress), Representative Gilman (NY). ²
Title as introduced:	To suspend until January 1, 2000, the duty on (2-Benzothiazolylthio)-butane-dioic acid.
Summary of bill: ^{3,4}	

The bill would temporarily suspend duties on U.S. imports of (2-Benzothiazolylthio)butanedioic acid.

Effective date: 15th day after enactment.

Retroactive effect: None.

Statement of purpose:

The sponsor made no statement in the *Congressional Record* at the time the bill was introduced. A representative of Ciba Specialty Chemicals Corp., the proponent company, stated that passage of the legislation to suspend the subject product from duty will enable Ciba Specialty Chemicals to moderate its costs, enabling it to play a role in making the chemicals industry and the downstream product industries more competitive, without jeopardizing any domestic manufacturer.⁵

¹ Industry analyst: Elizabeth Howlett (205-3365); attorney: Leo Webb (205-2599).

² H.R. 2245 calls for suspension of duties until December 31, 1999; by comparison, S. 1658 would suspend duties until December 31, 2000

³ See appendix A for definitions of tariff and trade agreement terms.

⁴ See technical comments.

⁵ Fax dated Feb. 6, 1998, from Ms. Michelle Forte of Ciba to Commission staff (see App. C).

Product description and uses:

(2-Benzothiazolylthio)-

butanedioic acid:

The subject product is a synthetic organic chemical used as a corrosion inhibitor in the manufacture of heavy duty maintenance coatings, aircraft coatings, and dry fall coatings.

Tariff treatment:6

Product	HTS subheading	Col. 1-general rate of duty
(2-Benzothiazolylthio)- butanedioic acid	2934.20.40	10.7% ad valorem

Structure of domestic industry (including competing products):

(2-Benzothiazolylthio)-

butanedioic acid:

According to industry sources, there was no domestic production of this product during 1995-97. However, there are several domestic producers of other corrosion inhibitors used for similar applications.

Private-sector views:

The Commission contacted 6 firms thought to have been producers of competing materials during the period.⁷ None of the firms had provided written comments to the Commission as of the date of this report.

⁶ See appendix B for column 1-special and column 2 duty rates.

⁷ The following firms were contacted by the Commission staff: Bayer (Washington, DC office) on February 2, 1998; Daubert VCI (Westchester, IL) on January 28, 1998; DuPont (Washington, DC office) on February 2, 1998; Reichhold Corporation (Research Triangle Park, NC) on January 28, 1998; Sartomer Company (Exton, PA) on January 28, 1998; Specialty Coating Systems (Indianapolis, IN) on January 28, 1998.

U.S. consumption:

(2-Benzothiazolylthio)-butanedioic acid:		<u>1995</u> (m	<u>1996</u> illion dollars)	<u>1997</u>	
	U.S. production U.S. imports U.S. exports Apparent U.S. consumption	0 (¹) 0 (¹)	0 (¹) 0 (¹)	0 (¹) 0 (¹)	
	Principal import sources:Germany and Switzerland.Principal export markets:(1)'Not available.(1)				
Effect on cu	stoms revenue: ⁸				
	Future (1998-2000) effect:	Data on actual imports of this product are not available. However, based on industry sources, ⁹ the estimated average revenue loss is expected to be approximately \$180,000 annually during the period.			
	Retroactive effect: None.				

Technical comments:

The recommended chemical name for the subject product (CAS No. 95154-01-1) is: (2-Benzothiazolylthio)butanedioic acid.

⁸ Actual revenue loss may be understated in the event of a significant increase in imports over the duty suspension period.

⁹ Fax dated Feb. 6, 1998, from Ms. Michelle Forte of Ciba to Commission staff (see App. C).

APPENDIX A

TARIFF AND TRADE AGREEMENT TERMS

In the **Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States** (HTS), chapters 1 through 97 cover all goods in trade and incorporate in the tariff nomenclature the internationally adopted Harmonized Commodity Description and Coding System through the 6-digit level of product description. Subordinate 8-digit product subdivisions, either enacted by Congress or proclaimed by the President, allow more narrowly applicable duty rates; 10-digit administrative statistical reporting numbers provide data of national interest. Chapters 98 and 99 contain special U.S. classifications and temporary rate provisions, respectively. The HTS replaced the <u>Tariff Schedules of the United States</u> (TSUS) effective January 1, 1989.

Duty rates in the **general** subcolumn of HTS column 1 are most-favored-nation (MFN) rates, many of which have been eliminated or are being reduced as concessions resulting from the Uruguay Round of Multilateral Trade Negotiations. Column 1-general duty rates apply to all countries except those enumerated in HTS general note 3(b) (Afghanistan, Cuba, Laos, North Korea, and Vietnam), which are subject to the statutory rates set forth in **column 2**. Specified goods from designated MFN-eligible countries may be eligible for reduced rates of duty or for duty-free entry under one or more preferential tariff programs. Such tariff treatment is set forth in the **special** subcolumn of HTS rate of duty column 1 or in the general notes. If eligibility for special tariff rates is not claimed or established, goods are dutiable at column 1-general rates. The HTS does not enumerate those countries as to which a total or partial embargo has been declared.

The <u>Generalized System of Preferences</u> (GSP) affords nonreciprocal tariff preferences to developing countries to aid their economic development and to diversify and expand their production and exports. The U.S. GSP, enacted in title V of the Trade Act of 1974 for 10 years and extended several times thereafter, applies to merchandise imported on or after January 1, 1976 and before the close of June 30, 1998. Indicated by the symbol "A", "A*", or "A+" in the special subcolumn, the GSP provides duty-free entry to eligible articles the product of and imported directly from designated beneficiary developing countries, as set forth in general note 4 to the HTS.

The <u>Caribbean Basin Economic Recovery Act</u> (CBERA) affords nonreciprocal tariff preferences to developing countries in the Caribbean Basin area to aid their economic development and to diversify and expand their production and exports. The CBERA, enacted in title II of Public Law 98-67, implemented by Presidential Proclamation 5133 of November 30, 1983, and amended by the Customs and Trade Act of 1990, applies to merchandise entered, or withdrawn from warehouse for consumption, on or after January 1, 1984. Indicated by the symbol "E" or "E*" in the special subcolumn, the CBERA provides duty-free entry to eligible articles, and reduced-duty treatment to certain other articles, which are the product of and imported directly from designated countries, as set forth in general note 7 to the HTS.

Free rates of duty in the special subcolumn followed by the symbol "IL" are applicable to products of Israel under the **United States-Israel Free Trade Area Implementation Act** of 1985 (IFTA), as provided in general note 8 to the HTS.

Preferential nonreciprocal duty-free or reduced-duty treatment in the special subcolumn followed by the symbol "J" or "J*" in parentheses is afforded to eligible articles the product of designated beneficiary countries under the <u>Andean Trade</u> <u>Preference Act</u> (ATPA), enacted as title II of Public Law 102-182 and implemented by Presidential Proclamation 6455 of July 2, 1992 (effective July 22, 1992), as set forth in general note 11 to the HTS.

Preferential or free rates of duty in the special subcolumn followed by the symbol "CA" are applicable to eligible goods of Canada, and rates followed by the symbol "MX" are applicable to eligible goods of Mexico, under the **North American Free Trade Agreement**, as provided in general note 12 to the HTS and implemented effective January 1, 1994 by Presidential Proclamation 6641 of December 15, 1993. Goods must originate in the NAFTA region under rules set forth in general note 12(t) and meet other requirements of the note and applicable regulations.

Other special tariff treatment applies to particular **products of insular possessions** (general note 3(a)(iv)), **products** of the West Bank and Gaza Strip (general note 3(a)(v)), goods covered by the <u>Automotive Products Trade Act</u> (APTA) (general note 5) and the <u>Agreement on Trade in Civil Aircraft</u> (ATCA) (general note 6), <u>articles imported from freely</u> <u>associated states</u> (general note 10), <u>pharmaceutical products</u> (general note 13), and <u>intermediate chemicals for dyes</u> (general note 14).

The <u>General Agreement on Tariffs and Trade 1994</u> (GATT 1994), pursuant to the Agreement Establishing the World Trade Organization, is based upon the earlier GATT 1947 (61 Stat. (pt. 5) A58; 8 UST (pt. 2) 1786) as the primary multilateral system of disciplines and principles governing international trade. Signatories' obligations under both the 1994 and 1947 agreements focus upon most-favored-nation treatment, the maintenance of scheduled concession rates of duty, and national treatment for imported products; the GATT also provides the legal framework for customs valuation standards, "escape clause" (emergency) actions, antidumping and countervailing duties, dispute settlement, and other measures. The results of the Uruguay Round of multilateral tariff negotiations are set forth by way of separate schedules of concessions for each participating contracting party, with the U.S. schedule designated as Schedule XX.

Pursuant to the **Agreement on Textiles and Clothing** (ATC) of the GATT 1994, member countries are phasing out restrictions on imports under the prior "Arrangement Regarding International Trade in Textiles" (known as the **Multifiber Arrangement** (MFA)). Under the MFA, which was a departure from GATT 1947 provisions, importing and exporting countries negotiated bilateral agreements limiting textile and apparel shipments, and importing countries could take unilateral action in the absence or violation of an agreement. Quantitative limits had been established on imported textiles and apparel of cotton, other vegetable fibers, wool, man-made fibers or silk blends in an effort to prevent or limit market disruption in the importing countries. The ATC establishes notification and safeguard procedures, along with other rules concerning the customs treatment of textile and apparel shipments, and calls for the eventual complete integration of this sector into the GATT 1994 over a ten-year period, or by Jan. 1, 2005.

Rev. 8/12/97

APPENDIX B

SELECTED PORTIONS OF THE HARMONIZED TARIFF SCHEDULE OF THE UNITED STATES

(Appendix not included in the electronic version of this report.)

APPENDIX C

OTHER ATTACHMENTS

(Appendix not included in the electronic version of this report.)

105th CONGRESS 2D Session **S. 1658**

To suspend temporarily the duty on (2-Benzothiazolylthio)-butane-dioic acid.

IN THE SENATE OF THE UNITED STATES

FEBRUARY 12, 1998

Mr. MOYNIHAN introduced the following bill; which was read twice and referred to the Committee on Finance

A BILL

To suspend temporarily the duty on (2-Benzothiazolylthio)butane-dioic acid.

1 Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representa-

2 tives of the United States of America in Congress assembled,

3 SECTION 1. SUSPENSION OF DUTY.

4 (a) IN GENERAL.—Subchapter II of chapter 99 of
5 the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States is
6 amended by inserting in numerical sequence the following
7 new item:

"	(2-Benzothiazolylthio)-butane- dioic acid (CAS No. 95154-					
	01–1) (provided for in sub- heading 2934.20.40	Free	No change	No change	On or before 12/31/2000	"

(b) APPLICABILITY.—The amendment made by this
 section applies with respect to goods entered, or with drawn from warehouse for consumption, on or after the
 15th day after the date of enactment of this Act.