

DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 270 724

CS 008 464

AUTHOR Brozo, William G.; Johns, Jerry L.
TITLE A Content and Critical Analysis of Forty Speed-Reading Books. College Reading and Learning Assistance Technical Report 86-04.
INSTITUTION Georgia State Univ., Atlanta.
PUB DATE Mar 86
NOTE 30p.
PUB TYPE Reports - Research/Technical (143)

EDRS PRICE MF01/PC02 Plus Postage.
DESCRIPTORS Content Analysis; *Eye Fixations; *Eye Movements; *Reading Rate; *Reading Research; *Speed Reading; *Textbook Content; Textbook Research; Theory Practice Relationship

ABSTRACT

Content and critical analyses of 40 speed reading books were undertaken to determine to what extent discussion and teaching reflected current research. Particular attention was given to the information provided on eye movements, span of recognition, and the upper rates of speed attainable through practice. Content analysis revealed the following: (1) 72% of the 32 books that dealt with the span of recognition encouraged readers to process phrases of three or fewer words in a single fixation, while 28% discussed expanding the field of vision to include clusters larger than three words as well as entire lines or large blocks of print; (2) 15% of the 27 books in which eye regressions were discussed indicated that they were useful in some cases, while 52% advocated the total elimination of regressions; and (3) 57% of the 28 speed reading books reporting upper rates of reading proposed rates above 1,000 words per minute (WPM). However, it is concluded that research supports the following: readers have a span of recognition physiologically fixed at about two or three words, regressions are sometimes necessary and useful, and rates above 1,000 wpm are not achieved with what is usually thought of as normal reading; skimming or scanning are employed instead. In an effort to improve speed reading books, collaboration between researchers and writers is recommended. (A list of all 40 speed reading books is appended, as are the references upon which the criticisms were based.) (HOD)

* Reproductions supplied by EDRS are the best that can be made *
* from the original document. *

College Reading and Learning Assistance
Technical Report 86-04

A Content and Critical Analysis of
Forty Speed-Reading Books

William G. Brozo and Jerry L. Johns
Northern Illinois University
Reading Clinic, 119 Graham
DeKalb, Illinois 60115

U S DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION
Office of Educational Research and Improvement
EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION
CENTER (ERIC)

- This document has been reproduced as received from the person or organization originating it
- Minor changes have been made to improve reproduction quality
-
- Points of view or opinions stated in this document do not necessarily represent official OERI position or policy

Georgia State University

March 1986

"PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE THIS
MATERIAL HAS BEEN GRANTED BY

N. Stahl

TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES
INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)"

ED270724

CS 008 464

Abstract

The content analysis reported in this article focuses on eye movements, span of recognition, and rates of reading as discussed and practiced in forty speed-reading books published from the 1950's through 1985. Relevant research is brought to bear on the findings of the content analysis.

The content analysis revealed several interesting findings. First, 72% of the speed-reading books encouraged readers to process phrases of three or fewer words in a single fixation--a finding supported by recent research findings. Many other books, however, discussed how readers could expand their field of vision to include clusters larger than three words as well as entire lines or large blocks of print. The available research evidence refutes such possibilities.

Although regressions occur naturally in the reading process, only four (14%) of the 27 books in which eye regressions were discussed indicated that they were useful in some cases. Most of these books (52%) advocated the total elimination of regressions--a suggestion that is impossible to follow.

According to the best recent empirical evidence available, reading rates above 1,000 Wpm are not realistic if genuine reading is to occur. Of the 28 speed-reading books reporting upper rates of reading, the majority (57%) proposed rates above

1,000 Wpm.

Because of numerous instances in which various speed-reading books were not sensitive to well-grounded, empirical evidence, the investigators urge a cooperative effort among authors, editors, and instructors to produce speed-reading books that are more in line with available research evidence.

A Content and Critical Analysis of Forty Speed-Reading Books

In 1925 William S. Gray summarized the then current literature related to speed of reading. He concluded that: (1) speed may be increased through various methods; and (2) significant increases in speed may be made without hindering comprehension. Among a long list of effective speed reading exercises was training the eyes to increase span of recognition, or the amount of information an individual can see and process in a single glance. These promising findings, along with the results of studies in the 1930's, 1940's and 1950's (Berger, 1966; Karlin, 1958), contributed to the proliferation of speed reading books which, as evidenced by the number of current titles, continues today. Yet as early as 1965, Bliesmer cited many weaknesses of speed reading studies including scanty statistical evidence, rare use of control groups, and when in evidence, definite statistical support given only for speed and not comprehension. Bliesmer's last concern has been observed in more recent studies (Collins, 1979; Fleisher, Jenkins & Pany, 1979). According to Carver (1985), most studies used to support speed reading programs and techniques are of "extremely poor scientific quality" (p. 390).

Meanwhile, within the last decade, compelling evidence has been accumulating which suggests that the span of recognition is relatively fixed and limited (McConkie & Rayner, 1976; O'Regan,

1980; Rayner, 1978; Underwood & McConkie, 1985). Other evidence sets a definite speed ceiling for even the fastest readers (Carver, 1985, 1983, 1982; Homa, 1983; Spache, 1976).

In light of these new research findings, an investigation of the content of new and extant speed reading books was undertaken. In particular, we were concerned with information provided by the books related to eye movements, including span of recognition, and the upper rates of speed attainable through practice of the techniques advocated. To this end, a content analysis of 40 speed-reading books, from the 1950's to the present, was conducted and the results were compared with recent empirical findings from studies related to span of recognition, regressions, and rates of reading.

Description of the Content Analysis

A content analysis is an intense systematic scrutiny of a given piece of printed instructional material (Borg & Gall, 1979). Content-analysis research has been a useful method of determining the quantity and quality of instructional elements in material designed to teach and improve reading (Beck, McKeown, McCaslin & Burkes, 1979; Durkin, 1981; Stahl, Brozo, & Simpson, 1985; Willows, Borwick, & Hayuren, 1981). According to North, Holsti, Zaninovich, and Zinnes (1963), content analysis research is most informative when instructional elements are categorized, counted, and then re-inspected through the lens of current theory and research.

To analyze the 40 speed-reading books, we followed the general guidelines found in several major texts on content-analysis research (Berelson, 1952; Holsti, 1969; Krippendorff, 1980; Pool, 1959). The specific steps we followed were:

1. In a brainstorming session, a variety of possible instructional elements were generated and recorded.
2. Additional elements were added to the list after examining several available speed reading books.
3. The list was refined by collapsing similar elements with different names (i.e., "chunking" and "clustering") and by eliminating other elements not found in any of the books initially examined. Each decision concerning the list of instructional elements was made jointly by the authors.
4. Broad categories were imposed on the list to help group the elements. Text Factors included elements related to the layout of the book (i.e., glossary, preface, position of assessment checks, stated objectives and theoretical foundations of the book). Content Factors grouped elements related to information and instruction about how to increase reading speed (i.e., instruction in using pen/finger as pacer, increasing span of recognition, discussion of subvocalization).
5. As the content analysis proceeded, new elements were added when enough books provided information about a particular element not on our original list. For instance, instruction in using punctuation was found in several books and therefore become

an additional Content Factor.

Selection of Books

The books used in the content analysis were obtained principally from the authors' libraries and the university library. Additional books were acquired from graduate students. Every effort was made to include speed reading books from the Spring 1985 edition of Paperbound Books in Print. Those books with 1985 copyrights which we were unable to acquire from libraries were requested from publishers. The analysis process began in February of 1985; by May of that year, after analyzing a total of 40 books, the analysis was concluded.

For purposes of inter-rater reliability, a random list of ten books was exchanged by the researchers and re-analyzed. The level of agreement between the researchers on the ten books was 97%. A complete copy of the summary tables of the content analysis may be obtained from the authors.

The following sections focus on the results of the content analysis related specifically to information in each book regarding eye movements, span of recognition, and rates of reading users of the book might be expected to achieve. Table 1 summarizes the findings of our content analysis for these variables. Contiguous to these findings will be a discussion of relevant theory and research literature.

Insert Table 1 About Here

Eye Movements and Span of Recognition

Many of the speed-reading books contained information related to how the eyes move during reading and the amount the reader can see in a single fixation, or span of recognition. As shown in Table 1, 27 of the 40 (68%) speed-reading books analyzed provided methods for increasing the user's span of recognition, and 24 of the 27 (89%) offered related practice exercises.

There were 32 books that taught cluster reading. Of these, 23 (72%) indicated that three or fewer words could be read in a single fixation. Nine (28%) of these same books encouraged the user to expand recognition beyond three words. Ten of the 40 books (25%) provided instruction in reading large areas of print in a single fixation.

With the development of advanced eye-camera technology, researchers have determined that the anatomy of the eye limits the size of the visual region within which readers use information during fixations while they read. Haber and Hershenson (1980) and Homa (1983), for example, found that perceptual detail is degraded as close as one or two words from the fixation point. Other researchers have set the probable boundaries of the visual region from between one word and certainly no more than three words for even the best of college readers (Carpenter & Daneman, 1981; Carpenter & Just, 1977; Just & Carpenter, 1976; 1980; Taylor, 1965; Taylor, Frackenpohl & Petee, 1960). Various researchers using this same technology

have corroborated findings that specifically set the span of recognition for advanced readers at between 3 to 4 letter spaces to the left to about 15 letter spaces to the right of the center of the fixation point (McConkie & Rayner, 1976; Pollatsek, Bolozky, Well, & Rayner, 1981; Rayner, 1983; Underwood & McConkie, 1985).

Taken together, this empirical evidence offers support for 23 (72%) of the 32 speed-reading books that encourage readers to process phrases of two or three words in a single fixation. On the other hand, the evidence strongly refutes the assumptions made in nine (28%) of the speed reading textbooks that the field of vision can be expanded to include clusters of more than three words. Even more suspect are books that include suggestions for processing entire lines and blocks of print in a single fixation.

Regressions

On the issue of eye regressions or the tendency for the eyes to move backwards and reread material, 27 (68%) of the speed-reading books provided some form of discussion (Table 1). Of the 27 books, 14 (52%) advocate the total elimination of regressions. Among these 14 books, 10 of them (71%) do not offer any practice exercises. Only 4 (15%) of the 27 books, moreover, indicated that regressions were useful in some cases.

While the habit of rereading information that is easily understood after reading it the first time is probably inefficient, any reader, no matter how skillful, will make

regressions with unfamiliar or complex reading material (Harris & Sipay, 1985). In addition, students will reread and spend more time on text segments that are relevant to their goals (Allessi, Anderson & Goetz, 1979; Levin & Cohn, 1968; McConkie, Rayner, & Wilson, 1973; Rothkopf & Billington, 1979).

Research related to eye movements of good and poor readers reveal significant differences. Inefficient readers make more fixations (Lefton, 1979), have longer fixations, a greater number of regressions, and generally more erratic eye-movement patterns (McConkie, 1982). In spite of this evidence, the direction of the causal relationship between eye movements and inefficient reading remains controversial and unclear (Harris & Sipay, 1985; Pirozzolo, 1983; Rayner, 1983). Authors of a majority of the speed reading books in our analysis who recommend some form of eye movement training may be supposing that poor eye movements are the cause of slow, inefficient reading and suggest through their approaches that eliminating eye regressions and increasing span of recognition will improve the reading ability of poor readers. Techniques such as area reading (found in 25% of the books in the content analysis) in which the reader is taught to scan the page vertically to absorb large blocks of print at a time runs counter to well-designed studies of oculomotor reactions during reading. Researchers (McConkie & Zola, 1984; Tinker, 1965) have pointed out eye-movement patterns reflect exceptionally flexible reactions to very quick and minute changes

brought about by the interaction of the text and perception and comprehension.

Upper Reading Rates

Accounts of astounding rates of speed for individuals who have received speed reading training continue to appear in the media (Pauk, 1984) and have been reported in the professional literature (Carver, 1985). Claims of speed readers reading at such rates as 2,500 words per minute (Wpm) (Schale, 1964; Wood, 1966), 1,500 Wpm (Adams, 1963; Brown, 1976; Stevens & Orem, 1963), 1,200 Wpm (McLaughlin, 1969) and 1,000 Wpm (Bower, 1970) are not uncommon. Even phenomenally high reading speeds such as 5,000, 50,000 and 100,000 Wpm have been attributed to certain individuals upon completion of speed reading courses (Homa, 1983; Van Gilder, 1963). Many of the speed reading books in our analysis suggested that users who practiced the techniques advocated could achieve extremely rapid rates of reading (Table 1). From a total of 28 books reporting upper speed limits, 16 (57%) proposed attainable rates above 1,000 Wpm, 12 (43%) proposed attainable rates of up to but no more than 1,000 Wpm.

What does research tell us about rates of reading? Are speeds in excess of 1,000 Wpm realistic limits? When the term reading is interpreted in the sense of comprehending most of the words on a page, it is impossible to read faster than 800 to 1,000 Wpm (Spache, 1962; Tinker, 1958). A much more conservative estimate of the upper rates of speed possible for good readers is

calculated from eye movement research. Taylor (1965) found rates near 300 Wpm for good readers when regressions, span of recognition, duration of fixations, and comprehension were considered.

Carver (1985) recently studied reading speeds for a small sample of the most superior readers in the country. Based on his reading theory (Carver, 1981), which posits that reading involves an attempt on the part of the reader to process each word in a sentence in an effort to comprehend the author's intended message, Carver determined that superior readers read around 300 to 600 Wpm. This finding corroborates findings from other studies on speed by Carver (1982, 1983).

Homa (1983) studied the perceptual and comprehension skills of two graduates of the American Speedreading Academy who had putatively achieved rates exceeding 100,000 Wpm. Results of highly controlled experimentation indicated that the two speed readers were indistinguishable from normal readers in their perceptual speed and span of perception. On a text comprehension task, the speed readers achieved rates of between 15,000 and 30,000 Wpm, but failed a 20 item, multiple-choice test even after three readings. The author sarcastically concluded that the only noteworthy skill exhibited by the two speed readers was their remarkable rate of page turning.

The best empirical evidence we have today seems to place the limit at which even the most superior of readers can genuinely

read somewhere between 300-600 Wpm, and certainly no higher than 1,000 Wpm. Thus, the extraordinary reading rates which have appeared in the media and professional literature likely result from some sort of skimming or scanning technique which should be, according to Carver (1985), distinguished from genuine reading.

CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

Since the early part of this century, advocates of speed reading have been promulgating evidence and techniques for increasing the span of recognition, eliminating eye regressions, and ultimately developing readers who can achieve extremely rapid rates. Much of the evidence for speed reading, however, has been disparaged on the grounds that it derives from heresay, unsubstantiated testimonials, and research studies lacking scientific rigor. Through the use of recently developed sophisticated eye camera technology and as a result of well-designed empirical investigations, researchers have circumscribed a reader's span of recognition to little more than a couple of words and have set the upper rates of genuine reading in the range of 800 to 1,000 Wpm.

In light of empirical evidence, we recommend that authors of speed-reading texts reconsider some of their methods. If the number of words seen in a single fixation is three or fewer, practice exercises in reading large areas of print (entire lines or paragraphs) should be eliminated from future books. In

addition, since reading rates above 1,000 wpm are questionable, we encourage authors of speed reading texts to help readers recognize the upper limits of "genuine" reading. Discussion and practice of skimming and scanning may be a more useful and meaningful students.

A majority of the speed reading books currently available promote some form of eye movement training as a means of improving reading speed and comprehension. Additionally, a large number of the books (n=16) claim that rates in excess of 1,000 wpm are reasonable and possible. This apparent lack of sensitivity to well-grounded, empirical evidence also extends beyond speed reading books. Articles in professional journals continue to extoll the benefits of eye-movement training for increasing reading rate two, three, and four times current levels (Ambardar, 1984; Bergquist, 1984; Swalm & Kling, 1973). Support for these claims, such as "based on personal experience..." (Ambardar, p. 25) is not uncommon.

The intent of this content analysis was to determine the nature of the content in 40 speed-reading books and the extent to which the content is consistent with empirical evidence specifically related to eye movements and upper limits of speed reading. The value of this and any content analysis research is for the design and publication of quality material. In order to accomplish such a goal, a process of material development like the one proposed by Stahl, Brozo, and Simpson (1985) might be

employed where researchers, authors, reviewers, editors, and classroom instructors interact cooperatively. The process begins with researchers who have the duty of making known their findings as widely and understandably as possible. Authors should then use the most valid research findings in the construction of their texts. Reviewers can help ensure quality control by insisting that materials are based upon characteristics of effective speed reading instruction. Editors have the responsibility of producing a quality speed-reading program based on the results of rigorous scientific investigations instead of factors of marketability. Instructors are the ultimate evaluators in their role as daily consumers of speed reading books. They have the responsibility of providing on-going feedback about the materials' effectiveness to the publisher, who should then pass this information on to authors and other members of the material development team. We believe such cooperative teamwork is likely to help produce effective, research-sensitive speed-reading books and materials. As best we can determine from our analysis, there is still considerable work to be done to bring practices in line with available research evidence.

REFERENCES

- Adams, R.B. (1963). The phenomenon of supernormal reading ability. In R.C. Staiger and C.Y. Melton (Eds.), New developments in programs and procedures for college-adult reading (pp. 133-142), Twelfth Yearbook of the National Reading Conference. Milwaukee: The National Reading Conference.
- Allessi, S.M., Anderson, T.H., & Goetz, E.T. (1979). An investigation of lookbacks during studying. Discourse Processes, 2, 197-212.
- Ambardar, A.K. (1984). Reading efficiency: Analysis of techniques for adult readers. Reading Improvement, 24, 21-27.
- Beck, I.L., McKeown, M.G., McClaslin, E.S., & Burkes, A.M. (1979). Instructional dimensions that may affect reading comprehension: Examples from two commercial reading programs. Pittsburgh: University of Pittsburgh, Learning Research and Development Center.
- Berelson, B. (1952). Content analysis in communication research. New York: Free Press.
- Berger, A. (1966). Selected review of studies on the effectiveness of various methods of increasing reading efficiency. Journal of the Reading Specialist, 6, 74-87.

- Bergquist, L. (1984). Rapid silent reading: Techniques for improving rate in intermediate grades. The Reading Teacher, 38, 50-53.
- Bliesmer, E.P. (1965). 1964 review of research in college-adult reading. In E. Thurston & L. Hafner (Eds.), The philosophical and sociological bases of reading (pp. 237-256), Fourteenth Yearbook of the National Reading Conference. Milwaukee: The National Reading Conference.
- Borg, W.R., & Gall, M.D. (1979). Educational research: An introduction (4th ed.). New York: Longman.
- Bower, T.G.R. (1970). Reading by eye. In H. Levin & J.P. Williams (Eds.), Basic studies on reading (pp. 134-146). New York: Basic Books.
- Brown, J.I. (1976). Techniques for increasing reading rate. In J.E. Merritt (Ed.), New horizons in reading (pp. 158-164). Newark, DE: International Reading Association.
- Carpenter, P.A., & Daneman, M. (1981). Lexical retrieval and error recovery in reading: A model based on eye fixations. Journal of Verbal Learning and Verbal Behavior, 20, 137-160.
- Carpenter, P.A., & Just, M.A. (1977). Reading comprehension as eyes see it. In M.A. Just & P.A. Carpenter (Eds.), Cognitive processes in comprehension (pp. 109-139). Hillsdale, N.J.: Erlbaum.

- Carver, R.P. (1981). Reading comprehension and reading theory. Springfield, IL: Charles C. Thomas.
- Carver, R.P. (1982). Optimal rate of reading prose. Reading Research Quarterly, 18, 56-88.
- Carver, R.P. (1983). Is reading rate constant or flexible? Reading Research Quarterly, 18, 190-215.
- Carver, R.P. (1985). How good are some of the world's best readers? Reading Research Quarterly, 20, 389-419.
- Collins, C. (1979). Speedway: the action way to speed read to increase reading rate for adults. Reading Improvement, 16, 225-229.
- Durkin, D. (1981). Reading comprehension instruction in five basal reader series. Reading Research Quarterly, 16, 515-544.
- Fleisher, L.S., Jenkins, J.R., & Pany, D. (1979). Effects on poor readers comprehension of training in rapid decoding. Reading Research Quarterly, 15, 30-48.
- Gray, W.S. (1925). Summary of investigations related to reading. Supplementary Educational Monographs, No. 28. University of Chicago Press.
- Haber, R., & Hershenson, M. (1980). The psychology of visual perception. New York: Holt.
- Harris, A.J., & Sipay, E.R. (1985). How to increase reading ability (8th ed.). New York: Longman.

- Holsti, O.R. (1969). Content analysis for the social sciences and humanities. Reading, MA: Addison-Wesley.
- Homa, D. (1983). An assessment of two extraordinary speed-readers. Bulletin of the Psychonomic Society, 21, 123-126.
- Just, M.A., & Carpenter, P.A. (1976). Eye fixations and cognitive processes. Cognitive Psychology, 8, 441-480.
- Just, M.A., & Carpenter, P.A. (1980). A theory of reading: From eye fixations to comprehension. Psychological Review, 87, 329-354.
- Karlin, R. (1958). Machines and reading: A review of research. The Clearing House, 32, 349-352.
- Krippendorff, K. (1980). Content analysis: An introduction to its methodology. Beverly Hills: Sage Publications.
- Lefton, L. (1979). Eye movement dynamics of good and poor readers: Then and now. Journal of Reading Behavior, 11, 319-328.
- Levin, H., & Cohn, J.A. (1968). Effects of instruction on the eye-voice span. In H. Levin, E.J. Gibson, & J.J. Gibson (Eds.), The analysis of reading skills: A program of basic and applied research. Final Report, Project No. 5-1213, Cornell University, ED 034 663.

- McConkie, G.W. (1982). Studying the reader's perceptual processes by computer. (Reading Education Report No. 34). Champaign, IL: Center for the Study of Reading, University of Illinois.
- McConkie, G.W., & Rayner, K. (1976). Assymetry of the perceptual span in reading. Bulletin of the Psychonomic Society, 8, 365-368.
- McConkie, G.W., Rayner, K., & Wilson, (1973). Experimental manipulation of reading strategies. Journal of Educational Psychology, 65, 1-8.
- McConkie, G.W., & Zoia, D. (1984). Eye movement control during reading: The effect of word units. Technical Report No. 310. Champaign, IL: Center for the Study of Reading, University of Illinois.
- McLaughlin, G. (1969). Reading at "impossible" speeds. Journal of Reading, 12, 449-454, 502-510.
- North, R.C., Holsti, O.R., Zaninovich, M.G., & Zinnes, D.A. (1963). Content analysis. Evanston, IL: Northwestern University Press.
- O'Regan, K. (1980). The control of saccade size and fixation duration in reading: The limits of linguistic control. Perception and Psychophysics, 28, 112-117.
- Pauk, W. (1984). How to Study in College (3rd ed.). Boston, MA: Houghton Mifflin.

- Pirozzolo, F.J. (1983). Eye movements and reading disability
In K. Rayner (Ed.), Eye movements in reading:
Perceptual and language processes(pp. 499-509). New
York: Academic Press.
- Pollatsek, A., Bolozky, S., Well, A.D., & Raynor, K. (1981).
Assymetries in the perceptual span for Israeli readers.
Brain and Language, 14, 174-180.
- Pool, I. (1959). Trends in content analysis. Urbana, IL:
University of Illinois Press.
- Rayner, K. (1978). Eye movements in reading and information
processing. Psychological Bulletin, 85, 616-660.
- Rayner, K. (1983). Eye movements, perceptual spans, and reading
disability. Annals of Dyslexia, 33, 163-173.
- Rothkopf, E.Z., & Billington, M.J. (1979). Goal-guided learning
from text: Inferring a descriptive processing model from
inspection times and eye movements. Journal of
Educational Psychology, 71, 310-327.
- Schale, F. (1964). Can fifth grade pupils benefit from an
adult rapid reading method. Paper presented at the
National Reading Conference, Tampa, FL.
- Spache, G. (1962). Is this a breakthrough in reading? The
Reading Teacher, 15, 258-263.
- Spache, G. (1976). Investigating the issues of reading
disabilities. Boston: Allyn and Bacon.

Stahl, N.A., Brozo, W.G., & Simpson, M.L. (1985).

Developing college vocabulary: A content analysis of instructional materials. Unpublished manuscript.

Stevens, G.L., Orem, R.C. (1963). Characteristic reading techniques of rapid readers. The Reading Teacher, 17, 102-108.

Swalm, J., & Kling, M. (1973). Speed reading in the elementary school. The Elementary School Journal, 74, 158-164.

Taylor, S.E. (1965). Eye movements in reading: Facts and fallacies. American Educational Research Journal, 2, 187-202.

Taylor, S.E., Frackenpohl, H., & Petee, J.L. (1960). Grade level norms for the components of the fundamental reading skill. Research Information Bulletin, No. 3. Huntington, NY: Educational Developmental Laboratories.

Tinker, M.A. (1958). Recent studies of eye movements in reading. Psychological Bulletin, 55, 4.

Tinker, M.A. (1965). Bases for effective reading. Minneapolis: University of Minnesota Press.

Underwood, N.R., & McConkie, G.W. (1985). Perceptual span for letter distinctions during reading. Reading Research Quarterly, 20, 153-162.

- Van Gilder, L.L. (1963). Speed reading vs effective developmental reading. In A.L. Raygor (Ed.), College and Adult Reading (pp. 1-10), The Second Yearbook of the North Central Reading Association. Minneapolis: University of Minnesota.
- Willows, D.M., Borwick, D., & Hayuren, M. (1981). The content of school readers. In G.E. MacKinnon (Ed.), Reading Research: Advances theory and practice (Vol. 2, pp. 97-175). New York: Academic Press.
- Wood, E.N. (1966). What is reading dynamics? Evelyn Wood Reading Dynamics.

SPEED-READING BOOKS ANALYZED

- Adams, W. R. (1985). Developing reading versatility (4th ed.). New York: Holt.
- Agady, F. (1981). How to read faster and better: The Evelyn Wood reading dynamics program. New York: Simon and Schuster.
- Baker, W. (1953). Reading skills. Englewood Cliffs, NJ: Prentice-Hall.
- Bean, T. (1983). Rapid reading for professional success. Dubuque, IA: Kendall/Hunt.
- Brown, J. (1984). Efficient reading. Lexington, MA: D.C. Heath.
- Brozo, W. G., Schmelzer, R. V., & Andrews, D. (1984). Setting the pace. Columbus, OH: Merrill.
- Cutler, W. (1972). Triple your reading speed. New York: Axes.
- DeLeeuw, M., & DeLeeuw, E. (1965). Read better, read faster. New York: Penguin.
- Fink, D. (1982). Speed reading--the how-to book for every business manager, executive, and professional. New York: Wiley.
- Gray, L. (1970). Better and faster reading. New York: Cambridge.
- Herrick, M. (1963). Rapid reading. New York: Collier.
- Johnson, B. (1973). Learn to rapid read. Indianapolis, IN: Howard W. Sams.

- Judson, H., & Schall, W. (1972). The techniques of reading (3rd ed.). New York: Harcourt.
- Klaeser, B. (1977). Reading improvement. Chicago: Nelson-Hall.
- Larson, J. (1971). A guide to rapid reading. New York: Knopf.
- Laughter, M. (1982). Speed reading. Columbus, OH: Merrill.
- Leedy, P. (1963). Read with speed and precision. New York: McGraw-Hill.
- Lewis, N. (1958). How to read better and faster. New York: Crowell.
- Maberly, N. (1966). Dynamic speed reading. Chicago: New American Library.
- Mares, C. (1967). Rapid and efficient reading. Buchanan, NY: Emerson Books.
- Markstein, L., & Hirasawa, L. (1977). Expanding reading skills. Rowley, MA: Newbury.
- Mayfield, C., & Herlin, W. (1982). Improving reading speed and comprehension (2nd ed.). Dubuque, IA: Kendall/Hunt.
- Miller, L. (1954). Increasing reading efficiency (5th ed.). New York: Holt.
- Miller, W. M., & Steeber, S. (1985). Reading faster and understanding more--book 1. Boston: Little, Brown.
- Raygor, A., & Schick, G. (1981). Reading at efficient rates (2nd ed.). New York: McGraw-Hill.
- Sack, A., & Yourman, J. (1984). The Sack-Yourman developmental speed reading course (5th ed.). Baltimore, MD: College Skills Center.

- Schall, W. (1967). Seven days to faster reading. North Hollywood, CA: Wilshire.
- Shefter, H. (1973). Faster reading self-taught. New York: Pocket Books.
- Smith, B. (1984). Picking up the pace. Glenview, IL: Scott, Foresman.
- Smith, N. (1970). Read faster and get more from your reading. Englewood Cliffs, NJ: Prentice-Hall.
- Spache, G., & Berg, P. (1958). Faster reading for business. New York: Crowell.
- Spache, G., & Berg, P. (1966). The art of efficient reading. New York: Macmillan.
- The Reading Laboratory (1964). Double your reading speed. Greenwich, CT: Fawcett Publications.
- Wainright, B. (1977). How to read for speed and comprehension. Englewood Cliffs, NJ: Prentice-Hall.
- Waldman, J. (1981). Rapid reading made simple. Garden City, NY: Doubleday.
- Webster, O. (1965). Read well and remember. New York: Simon and Schuster.
- Wenick, L. (1983). Speed reading naturally. Englewood Cliffs, NJ: Prentice-Hall.
- Xerox Learning Systems. (1970). Effective reading. Lexington, MA: Author.
- Young, M., & Young, C. (1972). How to read faster and remember more. New York: Frederick Fell.
- Zorn, R. (1980). Speed reading. New York: Harper & Row.

BEST COPY AVAILABLE

TABLE 1

THE TREATMENT OF EYE MOVEMENTS, SPAN OF RECOGNITION, AND RATES OF READING IN FORTY SPEED-READING BOOKS

Authors' Names	Expanding span of Recognition		Reading Clusters in a single fixation* (n=32)		Reading areas of print in a single fixation*	Discussion of eye regressions (n=27)	Totally eliminate	Useful in some cases	Reading Rates Reported (n=28)	
	Practice	> 3 words	≤ 3 words	Practice					> 1000 wpm	≤ 1000 wpm
Adams ('85)	X	X	X		X				X	
Agardy ('81)				X	X				X	
Baker ('53)					X	X	X		X	
Bean ('83)	X	X		X	X	X				X
Brown ('84)										
Brozo, Schmelzer, Andrews ('84)	X	X		X	X	X		X		X
Cutler ('72)	X	X		X	X	X	X		X	
DeLeeuw ('65)	X	X		X	X	X		X	X	
Pink ('82)	X	X				X	X		X	
Gray ('70)	X	X		X	X	X	X		X	X
Herrick ('63)										
Johnson ('73)	X	X		X	X	X	X			
Judson & Schail ('72)	X	X		X	X			X	X	
Klaeser ('77)			X		X	X			X	
Larson ('71)	X	X		X	X	X			X	
Laughter ('82)	X	X	X		X					X
Leedy ('63)				X	X					X
Lewis ('58)	X	X		X	X	X	X		X	
Maberly ('66)	X			X	X	X	X			
Nares ('67)	X	X		X	X	X	X			
Markstein & Hirasawa ('77)				X						X
Mayfield & Herlin ('82)						X	X		X	
Miller ('84)	X	X		X	X	X				X
Miller & Steeber ('85)			X		X					X
Payor & Schick ('81)	X					X			X	
Sack & Yourman ('84)			X		X	X			X	
Schail ('67)				X	X					
Shefter ('73)	X	X		X	X	X	X	X		X
Smith, B. ('84)	X	X	X		X	X	X			X
Smith, N.B. ('70)	X	X		X	X	X	X			
Spache & Berg ('58)	X	X	X		X					X
Spache & Berg ('66)	X	X	X		X					
The Reading Laboratory ('64)	X	X		X	X	X	X	X		
Wainright ('77)	X	X				X	X		X	
Waldman ('81)	X	X		X	X	X			X	
Webster ('65)				X						
Wenick ('83)	X									
Xerox Learning Systems ('70)	X	X		X	X	X		X		X
Young ('72)				X	X	X				
Zorn ('80)	X	X	X		X	X				X

Frequency 27 24 9 23 30 10 9 27 14 4 6 16 12

Percent 68 89 28 72 94 25 90 68 52 15 22 57 43

BEST COPY AVAILABLE

Master List
College Reading and Learning Assistance Technical Reports
Georgia State University

Technical
Report No.

- 84-01 Brozo, W. G., Schmelzer, R. V., & Spires, N. A. A Study of Test-Wiseness Clues in College/University Teacher-Made Tests with Implications for Academic Assistance Centers. (ERIC No. ED 240-928)
- 84-02 Stahl, N. A., Brozo, W. G., & Henk, W. A. Evaluative Criteria for College Reading-Study Research. (ERIC No. ED 240-933)
- 84-03 Schmelzer, R. V., Brozo, W. G., & Stahl, N. A. Using a Learning Model to Integrate Study Skills into a Peer-Tutoring Program. (ERIC No. ED 256-244)
- 84-04 Brozo, W. G., & Stahl, N. A. Focusing on Standards: A Checklist for Rating Competencies of College Reading Specialists. (ERIC No. ED 248-762)
- 84-05 Stahl, N. A., Brozo, W. G., & Gordon, B. The Professional Preparation of College Reading and Study Skills Specialists. (ERIC No. ED 248-761)
- 84-06 Stahl, N. A., & Brozo, W. G. Vocabulary Instruction in Georgia's Postsecondary Reading Programs. (ERIC No. ED 248-759)
- 84-07 King, J. R., Stahl, N. A., & Brozo, W. G. Integrating Study Skills and Orientation Courses. (ERIC No. ED 248-760)
- 84-08 Brozo, W. G., & Schmelzer, R. V. Faculty Perceptions of Student Behaviors: A Comparison of Two Universities. (Not submitted to ERIC--See the Journal of College Student Personnel, Vol. 26, #3)
- 84-09 Henk, W. A., Stahl, N. A., & King, J. R. The Readability of State Drivers' Manual. (Not submitted to ERIC--please refer to Transportation Quarterly, 38(4), 507-520.)
- 84-10 Stahl, N. A., Henk, W. A., & King, J. R. Are Drivers' Manuals Right for Reluctant Readers? (ERIC No. ED 245-208)
- 85-01 Stahl, N. A., Hynd, C. R., & Henk, W. A. Avenues for Chronicling and Researching the History of College Reading and Study Skills Instruction. (ERIC No. ED 256-245)
- 85-02 Smith, B. D., & Elifson, J. M. Do Pictures Make a Difference in College Textbooks? (ERIC No. ED 256-246)

- 85-03 Brozo, W. G., Stahl, N. A., & Gordon, B. Training Effects of Summarizing, Item Writing, and Knowledge of Sources on Reading Test Performance. (ERIC No. ED 256-247)
- 85-04 Brozo, W. G. Teaching Students to Recognize and Manipulate Structures of Cohesion. (ERIC No. ED 256-248)
- 85-05 Henk, W. A., & Stahl, N. A. A Meta-Analysis of the Effect of Notetaking on Learning from Lecture. (ERIC No. ED 258-533)
- 85-06 King, J. R. & Stahl, N.A. Training and Evaluating Notetaking. (ERIC No. 263-537)
- 85-07 Chase, N. D. Reader Response Techniques for Teaching Secondary and Post-Secondary Reading. (ERIC No. 263-535)
- 85-08 Hynd, C. R. & Alvermann, D. E. The Role of Refutation Text in Overcoming Difficulty with Science Concepts. (ERIC No. Pending)
- 85-09 Best, P. A. & Brozo, W. G. Current Research on Studying: A Qualitative Analysis. (ERIC No. 263-534)
- 85-10 Stahl, N. A., Henk, W. A., Brozo, W. G., & Sickele, M. Developing Independent Learners: Strategies and Tactics for Mastery of Text (ERIC No. 263-536)
- 85-11 King, J. R., Stahl, N. A., & Brozo, W. G. Quality Assessments of Prospective Teachers: Surveys of Previous and Present Practices. (ERIC Document Reproduction No. pending)
- 86-01 Hynd, C. R., Chase, N. D., Stahl, N. A. & Smith, B. Reader Response in the College Developmental Classroom. (ERIC Document Reproduction No. pending)
- 86-02 Stahl, N. A., & Henk, W. A. Tracing the Roots of Textbook Study Systems: An Extended Historical Perspective. (ERIC Document Reproduction No. pending)
- 86-03 Brozo, W. G., & Tomlinson, C. M. Literature: The Key to Lively Content Courses. (ERIC Document Reproduction No. pending)
- 86-04 Brozo, W. G., & Johns, J. L. A Content Analysis of Forty Speed Reading Books (ERIC Document Reproduction No. pending)
- 86-05 Hynd, C. R., Stahl, N. A., & Whitehead, E. H. Computers in the College Reading Program: A Basic Primer. (ERIC Document Reproduction No. pending)