

tsterry5@msn.com  
01/17/2008 10:09 PM

To Group Ow-Docket@EPA  
cc  
bcc

Subject EPA and the Corps Must Protect All Waters - EPA-  
HQ-OW-2007-0282

United States Environmental Protection Agency  
Ariel Rios Building  
1200 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.  
Washington, DC 20460

To whom it may concern:

The EPA has increasingly ignored sound science, and pursued a political agenda. I cannot understand who is in favor of unclean water!

I am writing today to say that I believe the June guidance issued by EPA and Army Corps of Engineers aimed at implementing the Supreme Court's decision in Rapanos v. United States is fundamentally flawed because it does not fully protect the all of the water bodies that the agencies have the authority and responsibility to safeguard, even considering the Supreme Court's decisions in Rapanos and the 2001 SWANCC case. I urge you to withdraw this guidance and issue a replacement document that protects waters to the fullest extent that the Clean Water Act allows.

The Supreme Court's decisions left the agencies a great deal of flexibility to protect the nation's wetlands and streams when they collectively contribute to water quality, yet the guidance wrongly takes a very narrow approach to considering such cumulative effects. The likely result is that more streams and wetlands will be found to be insignificant and, therefore, unprotected. The resulting adverse impact on water quality will almost certainly be substantial, threatening the safety of drinking water, the quality of waters where we fish and swim, and the health of wildlife that depend on clean water.

Another significant problem with the present proposal is that it leaves in place agency practices that have disavowed Clean Water Act protections for so-called "isolated" waters, leaving thousands of additional water bodies across that country without pollution protections. This policy is scientifically unwise and legally unsound, yet the EPA and Corps are simply ignoring these problems, even after the U.S. House of Representatives told EPA to stop following the "isolated" waters policy

These are just a few of the many problems with the June 2007 guidance. Please rethink and revise your current interpretation of the Supreme Court's decision and the Clean Water Act. Use your significant remaining legal authority to protect the whole aquatic system because its numerous components are interrelated.

Sincerely,  
Terelle Terry  
1701 "O" Street Apt. 101  
Sacramento, CA 95814