

# On the Convergence of Asynchronous Parallel Pattern Search

TAMARA G. KOLDA  
Sandia National Labs

VIRGINIA TORCZON  
College of William & Mary

Research sponsored by the U.S. Department of Energy  
and the National Science Foundation.

## OVERVIEW OF PROOF

### Part I

- Every process has a subsequence of the step lengths converging to zero

### Part II

- All the processors share a common accumulation point which has a zero gradient

## NOTATION

Processes:	$\mathcal{P} = \{1, \dots, p\}$
Search Directions:	$\mathcal{D} = \{d_1, \dots, d_p\}$
Global Time Index:	$\mathcal{T} = \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$
Best Known Point:	$x_i^t$ (at time $t$ for process $i$ )
Step Length Control:	$\Delta_i^t$ (at time $t$ for process $i$ )
Successful Time Steps:	$\mathcal{S}_i$ (for process $i$ )
Contraction Time Steps:	$\mathcal{C}_i$ (for process $i$ )

## RATIONAL LATTICE

- The level set  $\mathcal{L}(x^0) = \{x : f(x) \leq f(x^0)\}$  is bounded
- The search directions satisfy  
 $\mathcal{D} = \{d_1, \dots, d_p\} = \{Bc_1, \dots, Bc_p\}$  where  $B$  is a non-singular  $n \times n$  real matrix and  $c_i \in \mathbb{Q}^n$
- The step length are updated as follows  
 $\Delta_i^{t^+} = \Lambda^k \Delta_i^{t^0}$  where  $\Lambda$  is rational and  $k$  is a nonnegative integer for expansion and a negative integer for contraction.

$$\Rightarrow x_i^t = x^0 + \alpha B \sum_{j \in \mathcal{P}} \zeta_j(i, t, \Gamma) c_j$$

with  $\alpha$  constant and  $\zeta_j(i, t, \Gamma) \in \mathbb{Z}$

## REDUCING THE STEP LENGTH

**Goal:**  $\liminf_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \Delta_j^t = 0$  for all  $j \in \mathcal{P}$ .

**Lemma:** If  $\mathcal{S}_i$  is finite for some  $i \in \mathcal{P}$ , then

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \Delta_i^t = 0.$$

**Lemma:** If  $\mathcal{S}_i$  is finite for some  $i$ ,  
then  $\mathcal{S}_j$  is finite for all  $j \in \mathcal{P}$ .

$\Rightarrow$  *Finite case is trivial.*

**Corollary:** If  $\mathcal{S}_i$  is infinite for some  $i \in \mathcal{P}$ ,  
then  $\mathcal{S}_j$  is infinite for all  $j \in \mathcal{P}$ .

## ONE STEP LENGTH GOES TO ZERO

**Lemma:** Assume the level set  $\mathcal{L}(x_0)$  is bounded.  
Suppose  $\mathcal{S}_j$  is infinite for all  $j \in \mathcal{P}$ , then there exists  
 $i \in \mathcal{P}$  such that

$$\liminf_{\substack{t \rightarrow +\infty \\ t \in \mathcal{S}_i}} \Delta_{\omega_i(t)}^{\tau_i(t)} = 0.$$

*Finite rational lattice argument — Torczon, 1997.*

**Corollary:** Suppose  $\mathcal{S}_j$  is infinite for all  $j \in \mathcal{P}$ ,  
then there exists  $i \in \mathcal{P}$  such that

$$\liminf_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \Delta_i^t = 0.$$

## ALL STEP LENGTHS GO TO ZERO

We assume  $\Delta_i^t \geq \Delta_{\min}$  for all  $t \in \mathcal{S}_i$ .

Let process  $i$  be such that

$$\liminf_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \Delta_i^t = 0,$$

**Key:** *The supremum of the time between successful iterates on process  $i$  goes to  $+\infty$ .*

So, on any other process  $j$ , the supremum of the number of contractions between successful iterates is also going to  $+\infty$ .

**Theorem 1:**  $\liminf_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \Delta_j^t = 0$  for all  $j \in \mathcal{P}$ .

## ACCUMULATION POINT

**Goal:** There exists  $\hat{x} \ni \lim_{\substack{t \rightarrow +\infty \\ t \in \hat{\mathcal{C}}_j}} x_j^t = \hat{x}$  for all  $j \in \mathcal{P}$

**Lemma:** Assume the set  $\mathcal{L}(x_0)$  is bounded. Then there exists  $\hat{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$  and  $\hat{\mathcal{C}}_1 \subseteq \mathcal{C}_1$  such that

$$\lim_{\substack{t \rightarrow +\infty \\ t \in \hat{\mathcal{C}}_1}} \Delta_1^t = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{\substack{t \rightarrow +\infty \\ t \in \hat{\mathcal{C}}_1}} x_1^t = \hat{x}.$$

*Just use compactness of closure of  $\mathcal{L}(x_0)$*

$\Rightarrow$  We have an accumulation point for process 1.

## COMMON ACCUMULATION POINT

**Theorem 2:** There exists  $\hat{x}$  and, for each  $j \in \mathcal{P}$ ,  $\hat{\mathcal{C}}_j$  such that

$$\lim_{\substack{t \rightarrow +\infty \\ t \in \hat{\mathcal{C}}_j}} x_j^t = \hat{x} \text{ for all } j \in \mathcal{P}$$

*Key: Forcing  $\Delta_i^t \geq \Delta_{\min}$  for every success.*

Then for each  $\hat{t} \in \hat{\mathcal{C}}_1$  with  $\hat{t} > t^*$ , there is a corresponding time interval devoid of successful points on each of the other processes, so that they must all eventually accept  $x_1^{\hat{t}}$  as the best known point and have a number of contractions.

## SEARCH DIRECTIONS

The pattern must be chosen so that it nonnegatively spans  $\mathfrak{R}^n$ . See *Lewis and Torczon, 1996*.

**Defn:** A set of vectors  $\{d_1, \dots, d_p\}$  *nonnegatively spans*  $\mathfrak{R}^n$  if any vector  $x \in \mathfrak{R}^n$  can be written as

$$x = \alpha_1 d_1 + \dots + \alpha_p d_p, \quad \alpha_i \geq 0 \quad \forall i.$$

That is, any vector can be written as a *nonnegative* linear combination of the basis vectors.

**Fact:** If  $\{d_1, \dots, d_p\}$  positively spans  $\mathfrak{R}^n$ , then  $d_i^T v \geq 0$  for all  $i \in \mathcal{P}$  iff  $v = 0$ .

## FINAL RESULT

**Theorem 3:** Assume  $f$  is continuously differentiable.  
Then

$$\lim_{\substack{t \rightarrow +\infty \\ t \in \hat{\mathcal{C}}_i}} \nabla f(x_i^t) = 0.$$

*Dates back to Wen-Ci, 1979...*

For all  $t \in \tau_i(\hat{\mathcal{C}}_i)$ ,  $\exists \alpha_i^t \in [0, 1]$  such that :

$$f(x_i^t) \leq f(x_i^t + \Delta_i^t d_i) = f(x_i^t) + \Delta_i^t \nabla f(x_i^t + \alpha_i^t \Delta_i^t d_i)^T d_i,$$

$$\Rightarrow 0 \leq \nabla f(x_i^t + \alpha_i^t \Delta_i^t d_i)^T d_i.$$

$$\Rightarrow 0 \leq \nabla f(\hat{x})^T d_i \text{ for all } i \in \mathcal{P}.$$

Since the  $d$ -vectors form a positive basis, that implies that  $\nabla f(\hat{x}) = 0$ .

## SUMMARY

*Fundamentals haven't changed.*

**Theorem 1:**  $\liminf_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \Delta_j^t = 0$  for all  $j \in \mathcal{P}$ .

**Theorem 2:** Assume  $\mathcal{L}(x^0)$  is bounded. Then there exists  $\hat{x}$  and, for each  $j \in \mathcal{P}$ ,  $\hat{\mathcal{C}}_j$  such that

$$\lim_{\substack{t \rightarrow +\infty \\ t \in \hat{\mathcal{C}}_j}} x_j^t = \hat{x} \text{ for all } j \in \mathcal{P}$$

**Theorem 3:** Assume  $f$  is continuously differentiable. Then

$$\lim_{\substack{t \rightarrow +\infty \\ t \in \hat{\mathcal{C}}_j}} \nabla f(x_j^t) = \nabla f(\hat{x}) = 0 \text{ for all } j \in \mathcal{P}.$$

## REFERENCE

K & T, *On the convergence of asynchronous parallel pattern search*, 2001. Submitted to SIOPT.

## APPSPACK SOFTWARE

- PVM/MPI/serial
- Unconstrained or bound constrained
- Lattice or sufficient decrease

## WEB PAGES

<http://csmr.ca.sandia.gov/~tgkolda/>

<http://www.cs.wm.edu/~va/research/>