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## 1. Introduction

Accurate evaluation of pure-substance critical parameters is essential for any calculations in multicomponent mixtures
and further for many industrial processes designed. Critical constants have been experimentally determined for a limited number of compounds, as the decomposition processes and the necessity of obtaining accurate measurements in extreme conditions of high temperature and pressure constitute the main obstacle in the measurement process. In this case, prediction methods are the only means by which those properties may be determined.
This work is the third part of a general study of determination of critical parameters of the main groups of chemical compounds for which experimental data are not available in world literature. Two previous papers were concerned with the critical temperatures for aliphatic hydrocarbons Part I ${ }^{1}$ and the critical temperatures for aromatic and cyclic hydrocarbons Part II. ${ }^{2}$

The main purpose of this work was the creation of recommended experimental critical pressure $\left(P_{\mathrm{c}}\right)$ data base for aliphatic hydrocarbons, as well as filling the existing lack of $P_{\mathrm{c}}$ values, as far as possible, by means of predictive methods.

The preliminary stages of this work were:
(a) Creation of a recommended experimental data base of $P_{\mathrm{c}}$ values of aliphatic hydrocarbons, named "test substances" for which the satisfactory quantity of experimental data has been found (Table 1). This data base was next used for evaluation of chosen methods.
(b) Comparative determination of the accuracy of individual predictive methods of calculation of critical pressure $P_{\mathrm{c}}$ values for hydrocarbons according to their different molecular structures; and the final aim was
(c) Application of the chosen predictive methods for determination of $P_{\mathrm{c}}$ values for aliphatic hydrocarbons for which the experimental data were not available in world literature.

The prediction methods for critical pressures require reliable and accurate values of normal boiling points $T_{\mathrm{b}}$. Values of $T_{\mathrm{b}}$ needed for test substances as well as for those for which $P_{\mathrm{c}}$ values were calculated were taken from the set of recommended data for normal boiling points presented in Part $I^{1}$ of this series. For several substances, not mentioned in Part $\mathrm{I}^{1}$, and for those for which more reliable experimental data have been found-the recommended $T_{\mathrm{b}}$ data base was created according to the rules described further in point 3 and in Part I. ${ }^{1}$

The experimental data were critically evaluated and statistically examined with the aim of choosing the most reliable $P_{\mathrm{c}}$ values for recommended data sets, mentioned in point (a). For evaluation purpose, mentioned in (b), the set of test substances was split into subgroups in order to determine if trends of deviations were reasonable.

Evaluation of applicability of prediction methods was effected by determining the dependence of their accuracy upon:
(1) a number of carbon atoms in a molecule,
(2) a number of substituted $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ groups,
(3) $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{s}} / \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{m}}$ ratio, where $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{s}}$ is a general number of C atoms
in side chains, and $C_{m}$ is a number of $C$ atoms in the main chain, and
(4) type of $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}$ bond.

A new method of prediction of critical parameters has been tested in this study-the method of Marrero-Gani. ${ }^{9,10}$

## 2. Description of Selected Methods of $P_{c}$ Prediction

The following methods defined by their authors' names have been chosen for testing purposes as a result of a review and a critical analysis of the main prediction methods of $P_{c}$ available in the literature: (1) Ambrose, ${ }^{3}$ (2) Joback, ${ }^{4}$ (3) Somayajulu, ${ }^{5}$ (4) Jalowka-Daubert, ${ }^{6,7}$ (5) Constantinou, ${ }^{8}$ and (6) Marrero-Gani. ${ }^{9,10}$

The representation by most of these methods ${ }^{1,4-8}$ is based on experimental data available up to 1979, 1984-1989, and 1994, respectively. Only Marrero-Gani ${ }^{9,10}$ (2001) used more updated data. The experimental database, used for this study purpose, is being permanently updated up to 2005.

All tested methods of prediction of critical pressures employ group contribution techniques which determine correction factors for specific groups of atoms composing a molecule of a compound considered. Values of these factors $(\Delta p)$ are tabulated for every method and their sum $\Delta P$ $=\Sigma n_{i} \Delta p_{i}$ represents the final correction applied to the calculation of critical pressure. Particular methods differ among themselves by various group definitions. Most of them require the knowledge of:
(1) group contribution models based on molecular structure, and
(2) molecular weight.

The method of Jalowka-Daubert, ${ }^{6,7}$ unlike the rest of the methods considered, requires additionally knowing:
(1) normal boiling point temperature, and
critical temperature.
Investigated methods represent two distinctive classes:
(1) The first order group techniques which determine the molecule by means of simple group contribution, neglecting the next-nearest neighbors effects. Ambrose, ${ }^{3}$ Joback, ${ }^{4}$ and Somayajulu ${ }^{5}$ methods belong to this class.
(2) The second order group techniques, which additionally take into consideration the influence of first- and second-level neighbors of a considered group. The Jalowka-Daubert, ${ }^{6,7}$ Constantinou, ${ }^{8}$ and MarreroGani ${ }^{9,10}$ methods belong to this class.

In the short description of investigated methods provided below the following symbols are used: $P_{\mathrm{c}}=$ critical pressure $(\mathrm{MPa}) ; T_{\mathrm{c}}=$ critical temperature $(\mathrm{K}) ; T_{\mathrm{b}}=$ normal boiling point (K); $\Delta p=$ contributions of single atoms or groups of atoms (tabulated ${ }^{3-12}$ ) compose a molecule; $M=$ molecular weight; $n_{i}=$ number of occurrences of group $i$.

TABLE 1. Recommended experimental values of normal boiling points $T_{\mathrm{b}}$ (taken from Part $\mathrm{I}^{1}$ ) and critical pressures $P_{\mathrm{c}}$ for hydrocarbons, used in this work for testing the applied prediction methods

| Formula | Name of compound | CAS RN | $T_{\mathrm{b}}(\mathrm{K})$ | $P_{\mathrm{c}}(\mathrm{kPa})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Unbranched alkanes |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{CH}_{4}$ | methane | 74-82-8 | 111.63 | $4600{ }^{13}$ |
| $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{6}$ | ethane | 74-84-0 | 184.55 | $4879{ }^{14}$ |
| $\mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{8}$ | propane | 74-98-6 | 231.05 | $4260{ }^{14}$ |
| $\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{10}$ | butane | 106-97-8 | 272.70 | $3793{ }^{14}$ |
| $\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{12}$ | pentane | 109-66-0 | 309.21 | $3370{ }^{14}$ |
| $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{14}$ | hexane | 110-54-3 | 341.88 | $2990{ }^{14}$ |
| $\mathrm{C}_{7} \mathrm{H}_{16}$ | heptane | 142-82-5 | 371.57 | $2730{ }^{14}$ |
| $\mathrm{C}_{8} \mathrm{H}_{18}$ | octane | 111-65-9 | 398.82 | $2490{ }^{14}$ |
| $\mathrm{C}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{20}$ | nonane | 111-84-2 | 423.96 | $2280{ }^{14}$ |
| $\mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{22}$ | decane | 124-18-5 | 447.30 | $2110^{14}$ |
| $\mathrm{C}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{24}$ | undecane | 1120-21-4 | 469.08 | $2008{ }^{14}$ |
| $\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{26}$ | dodecane | 112-40-3 | 489.47 | $1820^{14}$ |
| $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{28}$ | tridecane | 629-50-5 | 508.60 | $1680{ }^{14}$ |
| $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{30}$ | tetradecane | 629-59-4 | 526.70 | $1570{ }^{14}$ |
| $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{32}$ | pentadecane | 629-62-9 | 543.83 | $1480{ }^{14}$ |
| $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{34}$ | hexadecane | 544-76-3 | 560.01 | $1400{ }^{14}$ |
| $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{36}$ | heptadecane | 629-78-7 | 574.25 | $1340{ }^{14}$ |
| $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{38}$ | octadecane | 593-45-3 | 590.22 | $1290{ }^{14}$ |
| $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{40}$ | nonadecane | 629-92-5 | 603.00 | $1160{ }^{14}$ |
| $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{42}$ | eicosane | 112-95-8 | 617.00 | $1080{ }^{14}$ |
| $\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{44}$ | heneicosane | 629-94-7 | 636.05 | $1030^{14}$ |
| $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{46}$ | docosane | 629-97-0 | 641.80 | $991{ }^{14}$ |
| $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{48}$ | tricosane | 638-67-5 | $653.30^{\text {a }}$ | $915^{14 \mathrm{~b}}$ |
| $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{50}$ | tetracosane | 646-31-1 | $664.50{ }^{\text {a }}$ | $866^{14 \mathrm{~b}}$ |
| $\mathrm{C}_{27} \mathrm{H}_{56}$ | heptacosane | 593-49-7 | $695.4^{\text {a }}$ | $795{ }^{14 \mathrm{~b}}$ |
| $\mathrm{C}_{28} \mathrm{H}_{58}$ | octacosane | 630-02-4 | $704.80^{\text {a }}$ | $744^{14 \mathrm{~b}}$ |
| Branched alkanes |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{10}$ | 2-methylpropane | 75-28-5 | 261.42 | $3650{ }^{14}$ |
| $\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{12}$ | 2-methylbutane | 78-78-4 | 301.00 | $3380{ }^{14}$ |
| $\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{12}$ | 2,2-dimethylpropane | 463-82-1 | 282.65 | $3196{ }^{14}$ |
| $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{14}$ | 2-methylpentane | 107-83-5 | 333.41 | $3032{ }^{14}$ |
| $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{14}$ | 3-methylpentane | 96-14-0 | 336.41 | $3124^{14}$ |
| $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{14}$ | 2,2-dimethylbutane | 75-83-2 | 322.88 | $3102{ }^{14}$ |
| $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{14}$ | 2,3-dimethylbutane | 79-29-8 | 331.15 | $3145^{14}$ |
| $\mathrm{C}_{7} \mathrm{H}_{16}$ | 2-methylhexane | 591-76-4 | 363.15 | $2750^{13}$ |
| $\mathrm{C}_{7} \mathrm{H}_{16}$ | 3-methylhexane | 589-34-4 | 364.99 | $2813{ }^{14}$ |
| $\mathrm{C}_{7} \mathrm{H}_{16}$ | 3-ethylpentane | 617-78-7 | 366.64 | $2891{ }^{13}$ |
| $\mathrm{C}_{7} \mathrm{H}_{16}$ | 2,2-dimethylpentane | 590-35-2 | 352.35 | $2773{ }^{14}$ |
| $\mathrm{C}_{7} \mathrm{H}_{16}$ | 2,3-dimethylpentane | 565-59-3 | 362.93 | $2908{ }^{14}$ |
| $\mathrm{C}_{7} \mathrm{H}_{16}$ | 2,4-dimethylpentane | 108-08-7 | 353.66 | $2736{ }^{14}$ |
| $\mathrm{C}_{7} \mathrm{H}_{16}$ | 3,3-dimethylpentane | 562-49-2 | 359.21 | $2946{ }^{14 \mathrm{~b}}$ |
| $\mathrm{C}_{7} \mathrm{H}_{16}$ | 2,2,3-trimethylbutane | 464-06-2 | 354.00 | $2953{ }^{14}$ |
| $\mathrm{C}_{8} \mathrm{H}_{18}$ | 2-methylheptane | 592-27-8 | 390.80 | $2500^{13}$ |
| $\mathrm{C}_{8} \mathrm{H}_{18}$ | 3-methylheptane | 589-81-1 | 392.09 | $2550{ }^{14 \mathrm{~b}}$ |
| $\mathrm{C}_{8} \mathrm{H}_{18}$ | 4-methylheptane | 589-53-7 | 390.87 | $2542{ }^{14 \mathrm{~b}}$ |
| $\mathrm{C}_{8} \mathrm{H}_{18}$ | 3-ethylhexane | 619-99-8 | 391.70 | $2610^{14 \mathrm{~b}}$ |
| $\mathrm{C}_{8} \mathrm{H}_{18}$ | 2,2-dimethylhexane | 590-73-8 | 380.00 | $2530{ }^{14 \mathrm{~b}}$ |
| $\mathrm{C}_{8} \mathrm{H}_{18}$ | 3,3-dimethylhexane | 563-16-6 | 385.81 | $2653{ }^{14 \mathrm{~b}}$ |
| $\mathrm{C}_{8} \mathrm{H}_{18}$ | 3,4-dimethylhexane | 583-48-2 | 390.88 | $2692{ }^{14 \mathrm{~b}}$ |
| $\mathrm{C}_{8} \mathrm{H}_{18}$ | 2,3-dimethylhexane | 584-94-1 | 388.76 | $2630^{14 \mathrm{~b}}$ |
| $\mathrm{C}_{8} \mathrm{H}_{18}$ | 2,4-dimethylhexane | 589-43-5 | 382.58 | $2556{ }^{14 \mathrm{~b}}$ |
| $\mathrm{C}_{8} \mathrm{H}_{18}$ | 2,5-dimethylhexane | 592-13-2 | 382.27 | $2488{ }^{14 \mathrm{~b}}$ |
| $\mathrm{C}_{8} \mathrm{H}_{18}$ | 2-methyl-3ethylpentane | 609-26-7 | 388.80 | $2700^{14 \mathrm{~b}}$ |
| $\mathrm{C}_{8} \mathrm{H}_{18}$ | 3-methyl-3ethylpentane | 1067-08-9 | 391.43 | $2807{ }^{14 \mathrm{~b}}$ |
| $\mathrm{C}_{8} \mathrm{H}_{18}$ | 2,2,3-trimethylpentane | 564-02-3 | 383.00 | $2730{ }^{14 \mathrm{~b}}$ |
| $\mathrm{C}_{8} \mathrm{H}_{18}$ | 2,2,4-trimethylpentane | 540-84-1 | 372.38 | $2568{ }^{14}$ |

TABLE 1. Recommended experimental values of normal boiling points $T_{\mathrm{b}}$ (taken from Part $\mathrm{I}^{1}$ ) and critical pressures $P_{\mathrm{c}}$ for hydrocarbons, used in this work for testing the applied prediction methods-Continued

| Formula | Name of compound | CAS RN | $T_{\mathrm{b}}(\mathrm{K})$ | $P_{\mathrm{c}}(\mathrm{kPa})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{C}_{8} \mathrm{H}_{18}$ | 2,3,3-trimethylpentane | 560-21-4 | 387.92 | $2820^{14 \mathrm{~b}}$ |
| $\mathrm{C}_{8} \mathrm{H}_{18}$ | 2,3,4-trimethylpentane | 565-75-3 | 386.62 | $2730^{14 \mathrm{~b}}$ |
| $\mathrm{C}_{8} \mathrm{H}_{18}$ | 2,2,3,3-tetramethylbutane | 594-82-1 | 379.65 | $2870{ }^{14}$ |
| $\mathrm{C}_{8} \mathrm{H}_{20}$ | 2-methyloctane | 3221-61-2 | 416.43 | $2310^{14}$ |
| $\mathrm{C}_{8} \mathrm{H}_{20}$ | 2,2-dimethylheptane | 1071-26-7 | 405.99 | $2349^{14 \mathrm{~b}}$ |
| $\mathrm{C}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{20}$ | 2,2,3,3-tetramethylpentane | 7154-79-2 | 413.42 | $2740^{13}$ |
| $\mathrm{C}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{20}$ | 2,2,3,4-tetramethylpentane | 1186-53-4 | 406.16 | $2602{ }^{14}$ |
| $\mathrm{C}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{20}$ | 2,2,4,4-tetramethylpentane | 1070-87-7 | 395.43 | $2480{ }^{13}$ |
| $\mathrm{C}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{20}$ | 2,3,3,4-tetramethylpentane | 16747-38-9 | 414.70 | $2720^{13}$ |
| $\mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{22}$ | 3,3,5-trimethylheptane | 7154-80-5 | 428.85 | $2320{ }^{13}$ |
| $\mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{22}$ | 2,2,5,5-tetramethylhexane | 1071-81-4 | 410.61 | $2190^{13 \mathrm{~b}}$ |
| $\mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{22}$ | 2,2,3,3-tetramethylhexane | 13475-81-5 | 433.46 | $2510^{13 \mathrm{~b}}$ |
| $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{34}$ | 2,2,4,4,6,8,8-heptamethylnonane | 4930-04-9 | $519.5^{\text {a }}$ | $1570^{14 \mathrm{~b}}$ |
| Alkenes, Alkynes |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{2}$ | ethyne | 74-86-2 | 189.55 | $6138{ }^{14}$ |
| $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ | ethylene | 74-85-1 | 169.25 | $5060{ }^{13}$ |
| $\mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ | 1-propyne | 74-99-7 | 249.92 | $5628^{13 b}$ |
| $\mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{6}$ | 1-propene | 115-07-1 | 225.45 | $4594{ }^{14}$ |
| $\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{6}$ | 1-butyne | 107-00-6 | 281.25 | $4586{ }^{14 \mathrm{~b}}$ |
| $\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{6}$ | 1,3-butadiene | 106-99-0 | 268.75 | $4322^{14 \mathrm{~b}}$ |
| $\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{8}$ | trans-2-butene | 624-64-6 | 274.01 | $3985{ }^{14}$ |
| $\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{8}$ | cis-2-butene | 590-18-1 | 276.82 | $4245{ }^{14}$ |
| $\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{8}$ | 1-butene | 106-98-9 | 266.87 | $4023{ }^{14}$ |
| $\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{8}$ | 2-methylpropene | 115-11-7 | 266.22 | $4002{ }^{14}$ |
| $\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{10}$ | cis-2,pentene | 627-20-3 | 309.78 | $3690^{14 \mathrm{~b}}$ |
| $\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{10}$ | trans-2,pentene | 646-04-8 | $309.49^{\text {a }}$ | $3520{ }^{14}$ |
| $\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{10}$ | 3-methyl-1-butene | 563-45-1 | 293.35 | $3527^{14 \mathrm{~b}}$ |
| $\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{10}$ | 2-methyl-1-butene | 563-46-2 | 304.30 | $3850{ }^{14}$ |
| $\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{10}$ | 2-methyl,2-butene | 513-35-9 | 311.72 | $3415^{14}$ |
| $\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{10}$ | 1-pentene | 109-67-1 | 303.15 | $3592{ }^{14}$ |
| $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{12}$ | 1-hexene | 592-41-6 | 336.64 | $3212^{14}$ |
| $\mathrm{C}_{7} \mathrm{H}_{14}$ | 1-heptene | 592-76-7 | 366.80 | $2921{ }^{14 b}$ |
| $\mathrm{C}_{8} \mathrm{H}_{16}$ | 1-octene | 111-66-0 | 394.41 | $2675^{14}$ |
| $\mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{20}$ | 1-decene | 872-05-9 | 443.75 | $2218{ }^{14 \mathrm{~b}}$ |
| $\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{24}$ | 1-dodecene | 112-41-4 | 486.55 | $1930{ }^{14 \mathrm{~b}}$ |
| $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{26}$ | 1-tridecene | 2437-56-1 | $505.99^{\text {a,b }}$ | $1730^{14 \mathrm{~b}}$ |
| $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{28}$ | 1-tetradecene | 1120-36-1 | $524.32^{\text {a,b }}$ | $1590{ }^{14 \mathrm{~b}}$ |
| $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{30}$ | 1-pentadecene | 13360-61-7 | $541.61{ }^{\text {a,b }}$ | $1540^{14 \mathrm{~b}}$ |
| $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{22}$ | 1-hexadecene | 629-73-2 | $558.02^{\text {a,b }}$ | $1390{ }^{14 \mathrm{~b}}$ |
| $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{36}$ | 1-octadecene | 112-88-9 | $588.08^{\text {a,b }}$ | $1300{ }^{14 \mathrm{~b}}$ |
| $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{40}$ | 1-eicosene | 3452-07-1 | $617.20^{\text {a,b }}$ | $1140{ }^{14 \mathrm{~b}}$ |

${ }^{\mathrm{a}}$ Values obtained in present study.
${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Values from a single investigation.

### 2.1. Ambrose's Method

Critical pressure is calculated as ${ }^{3}$

$$
P_{\mathrm{c}}=M\left(0.339+\sum n_{i} \Delta p_{i}\right)^{-2}
$$

where 0.339 is a dimensionless regression constant.
The value $\sum n_{i} \Delta p_{i}$ is evaluated by summing contributions $\Delta p_{i}$ for atoms or groups of atoms. The branching is taken into consideration here by the correction factor called the delta Platt number, used only for branched alkanes. ${ }^{3}$ The delta Platt number is evaluated on the basis of branch struc-
ture and included in $\Sigma \Delta p_{i}$ calculation as the $n_{i}$ factor multiplied by the specific Platt correction factor $\Delta p_{i}$, tabulated together with $\Delta p$ values.

### 2.2. Joback's Method

This is Joback's modification ${ }^{4}$ of the Lydersen ${ }^{11}$ method. The proposed formula for critical pressure is defined as:

$$
P_{\mathrm{c}}=\left(0.113+0.0032 n_{\mathrm{a}}-\sum n_{i} \Delta p_{i}\right)^{-2}
$$

where 0.113 and 0.0032 are dimensionless regression constants; and $n_{\mathrm{a}}$ is the number of atoms in the molecule.

### 2.3. Somayajulu's Method

This method ${ }^{5}$ is comprised of procedures provided by the method developed by Kreglewski ${ }^{12}$ for the calculation of critical constants of a homologous series of compounds. The proposed formula for $T_{\mathrm{c}}$ calculation is expressed as:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& P_{\mathrm{c}}=\frac{M}{G_{p}^{2}} \\
& G p=a_{\mathrm{p}}+b_{\mathrm{p}} \Delta P, \quad \Delta P=\sum n_{i} \Delta p_{i}
\end{aligned}
$$

where: $a_{\mathrm{p}}=0.339$ and $\mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{p}}=0.226$ constants, recorded in the Somayajulu ${ }^{5}$ paper, $\Delta P$ is obtained by summation of the relevant group contribution indices $\Delta p_{i}$, listed by Somayajulu, ${ }^{5}$ and $\Delta p_{i}$ is pressure index of chosen group $X$, where $X$ $=\Delta p(x) / \Delta p\left(-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$.

The gauche position factor (taking into consideration the degree of branching) for branched alkanes was introduced in this method as an element of $\Delta p$ tabulated values.

### 2.4. Jalowka-Daubert's Method

This method employs normal boiling point, critical temperature, and contribution increments $\Delta p .{ }^{6,7}$ Every type of compound is represented by a number of various groups describing in detail its molecular structure.

Jalowka and Daubert introduced second order groups, taking into account next-nearest neighbors effects. The central carbon atom of the group listed first is followed by a bond which indicates the ligands it is bonded to. All monovalent ligands are then listed followed by any other polyvalent ligands. A cis-correction group, treated as a $\Delta p$ element, is introduced to take care of isomerization in alkene compounds. The functional form of the proposed model for $P_{c}$ is expressed as:

$$
P_{\mathrm{c}}=\frac{T_{\mathrm{c}}^{3}}{T_{\mathrm{b}}^{2}\left(a_{1}+\sum n_{i} \Delta p_{i}\right)}
$$

where $a_{1}=43.387 \mathrm{~K} / \mathrm{MPa}$ is a regression constant; $T_{\mathrm{c}}$ $=$ critical temperature $(\mathrm{K}) ;$ and $T_{\mathrm{b}}=$ normal boiling temperature.

### 2.5. Constantinou's Method

Estimation of critical parameters is performed at two levels. ${ }^{8}$ The basic level uses contributions from first-order groups while the next higher level uses a small set of secondorder groups having the first-order groups as building blocks. This method provides both first-order group contributions and more accurate second-order prediction for determination of the $\Sigma \Delta p$ pressure correction factor. Conjugation operators have been introduced in this method. It means that the molecular structure of a compound is viewed as a hybrid of a number of conjugate forms (alternative formal arrangements of valence electrons) and the property of a compound is a linear combination of this conjugate form contribution. Proposed correlation can be expressed as:

$$
f\left(P_{\mathrm{c}}\right)=\sum_{i} N_{i} C_{i}+W \sum_{j} M_{j} D_{j}
$$

where $f\left(P_{\mathrm{c}}\right)=\left(P_{\mathrm{c}}-p_{\mathrm{c} 1}\right)^{-0.5}-p_{\mathrm{c} 2}$, where $p_{\mathrm{c} 1}$ and $p_{\mathrm{c} 2}$ are universal constants, equal to 1.3705 bar and $0.100220 \mathrm{bar}^{-0.5}$, respectively; $P_{\mathrm{c}}$ is estimated critical pressure of a compound; $C_{i}=$ the contribution of the first-order group of type $i$ which occurs $N_{i}$ times in a compound; $D_{j}=$ the contribution of the second-order group of type $j$ that occurs $M_{j}$ times in a compound; and $W=$ constant assigned to unity in the second level estimation, where both first- and second-order group contributions are involved; and 0 in the basic level, where only the contributions of first-order groups are employed.

### 2.6. Marrero-Gani's Method

This is the newest contribution method, ${ }^{9,10}$ where estimation of critical parameters is performed at three levels. The primary level uses contributions from simple groups that allow describing a wide variety of organic compounds and provide an initial approximation that is improved at the higher levels. The higher levels involve polyfunctional and structural groups that provide more information about molecular fragments whose description through first-order groups is not possible.

The critical pressure estimation model has the form of the following equation:

$$
f\left(P_{\mathrm{c}}\right)=\sum_{i} N_{i} P_{\mathrm{c} 1 i}+w \sum_{j} M_{j} P_{\mathrm{c} 2 j}+z \sum_{k} O_{k} P_{\mathrm{c} 3 k}
$$

where: $P_{\mathrm{c} 1 i}$ is the contribution of the first-order group of type $i$ that occurs $N_{i}$ times; $P_{\mathrm{c} 2 i}$ is the contribution of the secondorder group of type $j$ that occurs $M_{j}$ times and $P_{\mathrm{c} 3 k}$ is the contribution of the third-order group of type $k$ that has $O_{k}$ occurrences in a compound. $f\left(P_{\mathrm{c}}\right)=\left(P_{\mathrm{c}}-P_{\mathrm{c} 1}\right)^{-0.5}-P_{\mathrm{c} 2}$ where $P_{\mathrm{c} 1}$ and $P_{\mathrm{c} 2}$ are the universal constants equal to 5.9827 bar and 0.108998 bar $^{-0.5}$, respectively.

## 3. Recommended Experimental Data on Normal Boiling Points and Critical Pressures

### 3.1. The Criterion and the Procedure for Selection of Experimental Data

The database of the recommended normal boiling point $T_{\mathrm{b}}$ and critical pressure $P_{c}$ values for aliphatic hydrocarbons is based on all available experimental data extracted from the data banks in the frame of: Thermodynamics Research Center (NIST-TRC) ${ }^{13}$ and Thermodynamics Data Center (TDC) ${ }_{5}^{14}$ with the newest publications on $P_{\mathrm{c}}$, as in numerous studies. ${ }^{5,15-28}$

The data references, attached to every experiment result, allowed us to judge whether specific data are the primary data (that is values were derived from the original observation) and let us know which method and equipment was used in the considered experiment. The short description, attached to every experiment result permitted us to know if the mea-

TABLE 2. Deviations $E(\%)$ of predicted critical pressures from recommended experimental values for tested methods

| Name of compound | $\begin{gathered} E(\%) \\ \text { Author's name of method } \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Ambrose | Joback | Somayajulu | Daubert | Constantinou | Marrero-Gani |
|  | Unbranched alkanes |  |  |  |  |  |
| methane | +17.96 | +28.24 | +9.24 | +4.28 | +53.63 | +46.50 |
| ethane | +0.35 | +3.09 | -1.50 | -3.53 | +0.02 | +8.12 |
| propane | +0.07 | +3.50 | +0.07 | -1.41 | +7.21 | -2.44 |
| butane | -0.82 | +2.74 | -0.82 | -0.18 | +5.14 | +3.53 |
| pentane | -0.80 | +2.91 | -0.80 | +1.31 | +4.69 | +2.73 |
| hexane | +0.33 | +3.91 | +0.33 | +3.41 | +5.25 | +3.28 |
| heptane | -0.55 | +2.53 | -0.55 | +2.89 | +3.55 | +1.94 |
| octane | -0.48 | +1.81 | -0.48 | +2.85 | +2.61 | +1.61 |
| nonane | -0.09 | +1.18 | -0.13 | +2.72 | +1.84 | +1.71 |
| decane | -0.19 | -0.09 | -0.19 | +1.80 | +0.52 | +1.47 |
| undecane | -2.44 | -3.69 | -2.44 | -1.69 | -3.04 | -0.95 |
| dodecane | +0.55 | -2.20 | +5.71 | -0.11 | -1.37 | +2.09 |
| tridecane | +2.20 | -2.14 | +2.20 | -0.24 | -1.13 | +3.87 |
| tetradecane | +6.43 | -2.99 | +2.99 | -1.34 | -1.72 | +4.90 |
| pentadecane | +3.24 | -4.39 | +3.18 | -3.18 | -2.84 | +5.47 |
| hexadecane | +3.43 | -5.86 | +3.43 | -5.21 | -4.00 | +6.07 |
| heptadecane | +2.69 | -8.13 | +2.69 | -8.66 | -8.73 | -5.97 |
| octadecane | +1.55 | -10.70 | +1.55 | -11.47 | -8.14 | +5.19 |
| nonadecane | +7.84 | -6.81 | +7.84 | -9.05 | -3.71 | +12.41 |
| eicosane | +10.83 | -5.93 | +10.83 | -8.98 | -2.31 | +16.20 |
| heneicosane | +11.36 | -7.18 | +11.36 | -8.93 | -3.01 | +17.67 |
| docosane | +11.10 | -8.98 | +11.10 | -14.33 | -4.34 | +18.37 |
| tricosane | +15.74 | -6.89 | +15.74 | -13.77 | -1.53 | +24.26 |
| tetracosane | +17.78 | -6.93 | +17.78 | -15.24 | -0.92 | +27.60 |
| heptacosane | +15.47 | -13.33 | +15.47 | -25.79 | -5.66 | +28.81 |
| octacosane | +19.35 | -11.96 | +19.35 | -26.34 | -3.36 | +34.54 |
| Branched alkanes |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2-methylpropane | +3.78 | +7.84 | +0.16 | +2.25 | +8.49 | +8.38 |
| 2-methylbutane | -0.27 | +3.58 | +0.59 | +1.15 | +3.37 | +3.11 |
| 2,2-dimethylpropane | +9.01 | +10.98 | +1.35 | +4.38 | +11.48 | +10.14 |
| 2-methylpentane | -0.33 | +3.40 | +0.36 | +1.81 | +3.17 | +2.47 |
| 3-methylpentane | -0.26 | +0.35 | +0.99 | +0.26 | +0.64 | -0.19 |
| 2,2-dimethylbutane | +0.23 | +2.32 | +1.00 | +0.81 | +2.39 | +1.06 |
| 2,3-dimethylbutane | -0.19 | +0.57 | -1.81 | +1.02 | -1.14 | -0.64 |
| 2-methylhexane | -0.65 | +2.65 | -0.00 | +1.93 | +2.18 | +1.75 |
| 3-methylhexane | -0.18 | +0.36 | +0.92 | +0.50 | +0.39 | -0.18 |
| 3-ethylpentane | -0.14 | -2.35 | +1.42 | -1.49 | -2.32 | -2.87 |
| 2,2-dimethylpentane | +1.05 | +3.03 | +1.77 | +1.98 | +2.81 | +1.73 |
| 2,3-dimethylpentane | -0.07 | -2.10 | -1.10 | -0.10 | -1.20 | -0.17 |
| 2,4-dimethylpentane | +0.51 | +4.06 | +1.83 | +1.72 | +2.12 | +2.81 |
| 3,3-dimethylpentane | +0.58 | 3.02 | +2.21 | -0.88 | -1.87 | -4.01 |
| 2,2,3-trimethylbutane | +0.98 | -2.44 | +3.35 | +0.20 | -4.03 | -3.93 |
| 2-methylheptane | -0.32 | +2.24 | +0.28 | +2.32 | +1.64 | +1.72 |
| 3-methylheptane | +0.16 | +0.24 | +1.14 | +0.90 | +0.08 | +0.04 |
| 4-methylheptane | +0.47 | +0.55 | +1.46 | +0.67 | +0.39 | +0.35 |
| 3-ethylhexane | +0.31 | -2.07 | +1.69 | -1.57 | -2.22 | -2.26 |
| 2,2-dimethylhexane | +0.75 | +2.17 | +1.38 | +1.82 | +1.78 | +1.26 |
| 3,3-dimethylhexane | +0.98 | -2.56 | -1.36 | -0.30 | -1.62 | -3.20 |
| 3,4-dimethylhexane | +0.30 | -4.27 | -0.26 | -1.56 | -3.64 | -2.30 |
| 2,3-dimethylhexane | +0.11 | -2.02 | -0.80 | -0.19 | -1.37 | 0.00 |
| 2,4-dimethylhexane | +0.47 | +0.82 | +2.07 | -0.08 | -0.70 | +0.31 |
| 2,5-dimethylhexane | +0.72 | +3.58 | +1.89 | +2.49 | +1.57 | +2.73 |

TABLE 2. Deviations $E(\%)$ of predicted critical pressures from recommended experimental values for tested methods-Continued

|  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | Author's name of method |

Table 3. Unbranched alkanes. Absolute percent error for tested methods for different chain length

| Chain length | Ambrose | Joback | Somayajulu | Daubert | Constantinou | Marrero-Gani |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{C}_{2}-\mathrm{C}_{10}$ | 0.41 | 2.42 | 0.54 | 2.23 | 3.43 | 2.98 |
| $\mathrm{C}_{11}-\mathrm{C}_{20}$ | 4.12 | 5.28 | 4.29 | 4.99 | 3.70 | 6.31 |
| $\mathrm{C}_{21}-\mathrm{C}_{28}$ | 15.13 | 9.21 | 15.13 | 17.4 | 3.14 | 25.2 |

Table 4. Branched alkanes. The dependence of absolute percent errors of the tested methods upon the number of C atoms in a molecule

| Number of C <br> atoms | Ambrose | Joback | Somayajulu | Daubert | Constantinou | Marrero-Gani |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4 | 3.78 | 7.84 | 0.16 | 2.25 | 8.49 | 8.38 |
| 5 | 4.64 | 7.28 | 0.97 | 2.76 | 7.42 | 6.62 |
| 6 | 0.25 | 1.66 | 1.04 | 0.97 | 1.84 | 1.09 |
| 7 | 0.52 | 2.50 | 1.57 | 1.10 | 2.10 | 2.18 |
| 8 | 0.47 | 3.22 | 1.16 | 1.42 | 2.19 | 2.03 |
| 9 | 1.06 | 6.21 | 1.30 | 2.09 | 3.92 | 6.78 |
| $10-16$ | 0.63 | 8.00 | 2.03 | 4.33 | 5.26 | 7.34 |

surement was a principal objective of the experiment, as well as to know the purity of the substance sample used. Moreover the measurement error has been allocated for each experimental value collected in data banks. ${ }^{13,14}$

That information allowed us to form verified "subsets" concerning one property for one substance and containing reliable experimental data extracted from data banks mentioned. Any outliers were eliminated from every subset. The accepted data were then examined for their precision and accuracy as stated by the author. For individual cases of single or double data the selection of the reliable $P_{c}$ values was additionally guided by auxiliary information, such as citation in the newest literature or comparison with data from auxiliary sources. ${ }^{29}$ In these instances, the recommended values, denoted with asterisks, are those from a single investigation and occur only in Table 1. Secondary data, values that were not derived from the original observation on $P_{\mathrm{c}}$, have been rejected.
The same selection has been performed for $T_{\mathrm{b}}$ for 12 substances not mentioned in Part I. ${ }^{1}$ For those substances, denoted with letter "a" in Table 1, the recommended data set has been created in this study. The rest of 275 (for substances used for testing and calculating purpose) needed $T_{\mathrm{b}}$ values were taken from Part I. ${ }^{1}$

### 3.1.1. Statistical Analysis of Selected Data

The reliable values of $T_{\mathrm{b}}$ and $P_{\mathrm{c}}$ were selected as the closest to the weighted mean of all measured data included in individual subsets. It was feasible because each experimental value had its measurement error used subsequently for deter-
mination of weights of experimental values. A more detailed description of applied statistical selection is included in Part I. ${ }^{1}$

### 3.2. Recommended Experimental Data on Critical Pressures for Aliphatic Hydrocarbons

Data banks ${ }^{13,14}$ include about $7-10$ data values for $P_{\mathrm{c}}$ per substances up to $\mathrm{C}_{9}$ and about 2-3 data values for $\mathrm{C}_{9}-\mathrm{C}_{20}$ substances allocated in Table 1. Critical analysis of the data mentioned reduced those numbers to: $4-6$ and $2-3$, respectively. The experimental $T_{\mathrm{b}}{ }^{1}$ and $P_{\mathrm{c}}{ }^{13,14}$ values of 95 aliphatic hydrocarbons, mentioned in point 1 (a), were used for evaluation of the critical pressure prediction methods. Names of those substances together with recommended experimental data on $T_{\mathrm{b}}$ and $P_{\mathrm{c}}$ are listed in Table 1.

## 4. Testing Calculations

The testing calculations, performed for evaluation of accuracy of six predictive methods, were conducted for 95 hydrocarbons including branched and unbranched: alkanes, alkenes, and alkynes.

The chosen prediction methods employ from 20 to 200 specific contribution groups together with attributed pressure correction factors $\Delta p_{i}$. For each hydrocarbon and for each method all contribution groups forming the molecule were specified and their sum $\left(\Sigma n_{i} \Delta p_{i}\right)$ yield values of final correction factor used for prediction of critical pressure $P_{\mathrm{cp}}$.

Most of tested methods require the knowledge of:
(1) group contribution models based on molecular structure, and

Table 5. Branched alkanes. The dependence of absolute percent errors of tested methods upon the number of substituted $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$-groups to main chain

| Number of <br> Substituted CH3 <br> groups | Ambrose | Joback | Somayajulu | Daubert | Constantinou | Merreo-Gani |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 0.73 | 2.18 | 1.26 | 1.32 | 2.03 | 1.90 |
| 2 | 1.10 | 2.97 | 1.35 | 1.27 | 2.44 | 2.18 |
| 3 | 0.70 | 4.62 | 1.71 | 1.21 | 3.87 | 3.07 |
| 4 | 1.95 | 8.31 | 1.88 | 2.78 | 4.16 | 10.01 |

Table 6. The dependence of absolute percent errors of tested methods upon the $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{s}} / \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{m}}$ ratio

| $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{s}} / \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{m}}$ ratio | Ambrose | Joback | Somayajulu | Daubert | Constantinou | Marrero-Gani |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $0.12-0.16$ | 0.455 | 1.11 | 0.69 | 1.30 | 0.78 | 0.81 |
| $0.20-0.33$ | 0.74 | 2.73 | 0.93 | 1.15 | 2.42 | 2.20 |
| $0.40-0.50$ | 0.43 | 3.03 | 1.56 | 1.29 | 2.59 | 2.40 |
| $0.60-0.75$ | 1.39 | 1.30 | 1.49 | 2.16 | 4.27 | 5.66 |
| $0.77-0.80$ | 1.16 | 9.70 | 2.01 | 3.92 | 7.08 | 8.52 |

(2) molecular weight.

The method of Daubert ${ }^{6,7}$ required additionally the knowledge of $T_{\mathrm{b}}$ and $T_{\mathrm{c}}$ values. $T_{\mathrm{c}}$ value is being automatically determined during the calculation process of $P_{\mathrm{c}}$, as an auxiliary parameter. This auxiliary parameter may be experimentally determined and then used for calculation. It is obvious that the calculated $T_{\mathrm{c}}$ value is less accurate than a measured one, but for the purpose of testing, the experimental $T_{\mathrm{c}}$ values were not used since only one critical parameter was measured for a substance. That is why there is a rare need to employ any $T_{\mathrm{c}}$ value for $P_{\mathrm{c}}$ prediction, since experimental data on both of them or none of them are mostly available.

Deviations of calculated critical temperatures $P_{\mathrm{cp}}$ from recommended experimental values of $P_{\mathrm{c}}$ are shown in Table 2. They were calculated according to:

$$
E=\left[\left(P_{\mathrm{cp}}-P_{\mathrm{c}}\right) / P_{\mathrm{c}}\right] \cdot 100
$$

where $P_{\mathrm{c}}=$ experimental recommended value of critical pressure; and $P_{\mathrm{cp}}=$ value of critical pressure obtained from prediction method.

Error $E$ values are listed with accuracy of $0.01 \%$.

## 5. Results of Tests

### 5.1. Alkanes

The method of Joback ${ }^{4}$ is not recommended for molecules consisting of $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$-substituant, since the correction factor for that group equals zero. It leads to significant errors, particularly for substances having long chains, built of $-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-$ groups. This fact is reflected in the results of testing for branched as well as for unbranched alkanes.

### 5.1.1. Unbranched Alkanes

Deviations of calculated $P_{c}$ from experimental values for the hydrocarbons $\left(\mathrm{C}_{1}-\mathrm{C}_{28}\right)$ (Tables 2 and 3) increase with the chain length. In the range $\mathrm{C}_{1}-\mathrm{C}_{10}$ the Ambrose ${ }^{3}$ and Somayajulu ${ }^{5}$ methods give deviations below $0.5 \%$. Constantinou ${ }^{8}$ and Ambrose ${ }^{3}$ yield less deviations below 4\% in the region of $\mathrm{C}_{11}-\mathrm{C}_{20}$. The sudden increase of the error is observed for $\mathrm{C}_{21}-\mathrm{C}_{28}$ hydrocarbons (Table 3). In this range only the Constantinou ${ }^{8}$ method gives the lowest error of about $3.2 \%$ and this method is recommended for high molecular weight aliphatic unbranched hydrocarbons.

### 5.1.2. Branched Alkanes

The investigation was performed for branched alkanes with methyl substituants, since experimental data on $P_{c}$ are mainly available for this group. No reliable experimental data for other aliphatic substituants have been found. The influence of the chain length as well as of the number of $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ - groups on the method's errors were examined. All results of the investigation are presented in Tables 4-6.

Most of the methods-except Somayajulu ${ }^{5}$-yield significantly large deviations in the $\mathrm{C}_{2}-\mathrm{C}_{5}$ range (Table 4). This maximum may be related to an influence of relatively large substituants on a small molecule. The fluctuation of error value due to the length of the main chain allows one to distinguish the method of Ambrose ${ }^{3}$ yielding the lowest errors.

The dependence of error on the number of substituted $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ - groups was investigated too. The results are presented in Table 5. The considerable increase in error was observed in the case of four substituted $\mathrm{CH}_{3}-$ groups. Ambrose, ${ }^{3}$ Daubert, ${ }^{6,7}$ and Somayajulu ${ }^{5}$ seem to be more accurate than others.

In Table 6 the deviation values due to the $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{s}} / \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{m}}$ ratio are presented, where $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{m}}$ is the number of C atoms in a main chain and $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{s}}$ is the number of all C atoms in side chains. The $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{s}} / \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{m}}$ ratio reflects the branching extent of molecules, which has a significant influence on the accuracy of selected methods. The results are presented in Table 6. The accuracy of the Joback ${ }^{4}$ and Marrero ${ }^{9,10}$ methods are strongly sensitive to the $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{s}} / \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{m}}$ ratio, while the Somayajulu ${ }^{5}$ and Ambrose ${ }^{3}$ methods deviations do not depend on the ratio mentioned and yield a constant error level like: $0.7 \%-2.0 \%$ for Somayajulu ${ }^{5}$ and $0.5 \%-1.2 \%$ for Ambrose. ${ }^{3}$

Thus these two methods are mainly recommended for calculation of critical pressures for branched alkanes. Both of them take the branching into consideration, employing Platt number ${ }^{3}$ and gauche position. ${ }^{5}$ In this work the methods mentioned were employed for calculation of missing values of critical pressures of branched alkanes.

### 5.2. Alkenes, Alkynes

The results of testing (Table 2) proved that no particular method may be generally recommended for all alkenes and

Table 7. Alkenes, alkynes, Absolute percent errors for tested methods

| Ambrose | Joback | Somayajulu | Daubert | Constantinou | Marrero-Gani |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3.27 | 3.14 | 2.33 | 2.50 | 2.87 | 3.70 |

TABLE 8. Branched alkanes. Calculated values of critical pressures $\left(P_{\mathrm{cp}}\right)$ obtained by the Ambrose ${ }^{3}$ method. Expected absolute percent error for all $P_{\mathrm{cp}}$-less than $3.3 \%$. Values of critical pressure using Somayajulu ${ }^{5}$ method- $P_{\mathrm{cp} 1}$ are given in the second column for comparison purpose. $T_{\mathrm{b}}^{1}=$ experimental normal boiling temperatures, used for calculation critical pressures

| CAS RN | Formula | Name | $P_{\mathrm{cp}}(\mathrm{kPa})$ <br> Ambrose | $P_{\mathrm{cp} 1}(\mathrm{kPa})$ <br> Somayajulu | $T_{\mathrm{b}}(\mathrm{K})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3074-71-3 | $\mathrm{C}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{20}$ | 2,3-dimethylheptane | 2406 | 2386 | 413.15 |
| 2213-23-2 | $\mathrm{C}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{20}$ | 2,4-dimethylheptane | 2352 | 2386 | 405.65 |
| 2216-30-0 | $\mathrm{C}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{20}$ | 2,5-dimethylheptane | 2352 | 2386 | 407.65 |
| 1072-05-5 | $\mathrm{C}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{20}$ | 2-6-dimethylheptane | 2301 | 2325 | 408.35 |
| 4032-86-4 | $\mathrm{C}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{20}$ | 3,3-dimethylheptane | 2444 | 2475 | 410.16 |
| 922-28-1 | $\mathrm{C}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{20}$ | 3,4-dimethylheptane | 2461 | 2449 | 413.85 |
| 926-82-8 | $\mathrm{C}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{20}$ | 3,5-dimethylheptane | 2406 | 2449 | 408.65 |
| 1067-20-5 | $\mathrm{C}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{20}$ | 3,3-diethylpentane | 2558 | 2611 | 419.32 |
| 1068-19-5 | $\mathrm{C}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{20}$ | 4,4-dimethylheptane | 2444 | 2475 | 407.50 |
| 16747-33-4 | $\mathrm{C}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{20}$ | 3-ethyl-2,3-dimethylpentane | 2513 | 2640 | 414.75 |
| 16747-25-4 | $\mathrm{C}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{20}$ | 2,2,3-trimethylhexane | 2513 | 2502 | 407.40 |
| 16747-26-5 | $\mathrm{C}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{20}$ | 2,2,4-trimethylhexane | 2456 | 2437 | 399.69 |
| 16747-28-7 | $\mathrm{C}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{20}$ | 2,3,3-trimethylhexane | 2572 | 2570 | 409.45 |
| 921-47-1 | $\mathrm{C}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{20}$ | 2,3,4-trimethylhexane | 2531 | 2475 | 412.21 |
| 1069-53-0 | $\mathrm{C}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{20}$ | 2,3,5-trimethylhexane | 2418 | 2411 | 404.52 |
| 16747-30-1 | $\mathrm{C}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{20}$ | 2,4,4-trimethylhexane | 2456 | 2502 | 403.60 |
| 16747-31-2 | $\mathrm{C}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{20}$ | 3,3,4-trimethylhexane | 2513 | 2570 | 413.57 |
| 16789-46-1 | $\mathrm{C}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{20}$ | 3-ethyl-2-methylhexane | 2406 | 2449 | 411.15 |
| 3074-76-8 | $\mathrm{C}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{20}$ | 3-ethyl-3-methylhexane | 2500 | 2542 | 413.75 |
| 3074-77-9 | $\mathrm{C}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{20}$ | 3-ethyl-4-methylhexane | 2461 | 2515 | 413.55 |
| 3074-75-7 | $\mathrm{C}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{20}$ | 4-ethyl-2-methylhexane | 2406 | 2449 | 406.95 |
| 15869-80-4 | $\mathrm{C}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{20}$ | 3-ethylheptane | 2393 | 2423 | 416.25 |
| 2216-32-2 | $\mathrm{C}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{20}$ | 4-ethylheptane | 2393 | 2423 | 414.35 |
| 2216-33-3 | $\mathrm{C}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{20}$ | 3-methyloctane | 2340 | 2361 | 417.35 |
| 2216-34-4 | $\mathrm{C}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{20}$ | 4-methyloctane | 2340 | 2361 | 415.58 |
| 16747-32-3 | $\mathrm{C}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{20}$ | 3-ethyl-2,2-dimethylpentane | 2513 | 2570 | 406.96 |
| 1068-87-7 | $\mathrm{C}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{20}$ | 2,4-dimethyl-3-ethylpentane | 2531 | 2475 | 409.81 |
| 7146-60-3 | $\mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{22}$ | 2,3-dimethyloctane | 2214 | 2198 | 437.80 |
| 15869-89-3 | $\mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{22}$ | 2,5-dimethyloctane | 2169 | 2198 | 430.15 |
| 1072-16-8 | $\mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{22}$ | 2,7-dimethyloctane | 2126 | 2147 | 433.15 |
| 4110-44-5 | $\mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{22}$ | 3,3-dimethyloctane | 2246 | 2272 | 434.35 |
| 15869-93-9 | $\mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{22}$ | 3,5-dimethyloctane | 2169 | 2198 | 432.65 |
| 14720-74-2 | $\mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{22}$ | 2,2,4-trimethylheptane | 2211 | 2240 | 422.55 |
| 1190-83-6 | $\mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{22}$ | 2,2,6-trimethylheptane | 2166 | 2188 | 421.15 |
| 2613-61-8 | $\mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{22}$ | 2,4,6-trimethylheptane | 2180 | 2219 | 419.00 |
| 1189-99-7 | $\mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{22}$ | 2,5,5-trimethylheptane | 2257 | 2295 | 425.95 |
| 4032-94-4 | $\mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{22}$ | 2,4-dimethyloctane | 2169 | 2198 | 429.05 |
| 2051-30-1 | $\mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{22}$ | 2,6-dimethyloctane | 2169 | 2198 | 431.65 |
| 871-83-0 | $\mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{22}$ | 2-methylnonane | 2116 | 2126 | 440.15 |
| 17302-02-2 | $\mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{22}$ | 3-ethyl-3-methylheptane | 2293 | 2328 | 437.05 |
| 5911-04-6 | $\mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{22}$ | 3-methylnonane | 2159 | 2177 | 440.65 |
| 15869-85-9 | $\mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{22}$ | 5-methylnonane | 2159 | 2177 | 438.25 |
| 52987-09-3 | $\mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{22}$ | 2,2,3,5-tetramethylhexane | 2315 | 2317 | 422.15 |
| 52897-10-6 | $\mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{22}$ | 2,3,3,4-tetramethylhexane | 2522 | 2496 | 437.74 |
| 52897-11-7 | $\mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{22}$ | 2,3,3,5-tetramethylhexane | 2365 | 2375 | 426.15 |
| 52897-12-8 | $\mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{22}$ | 2,3,4,4-tetramethylhexane | 2468 | 2434 | 434.75 |
| 52897-15-1 | $\mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{22}$ | 2,3,4,5-tetramethylhexane | 2330 | 2295 | 429.15 |
| 5171-84-6 | $\mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{22}$ | 3,3,4,4-tetramethylhexane | 2619 | 2586 | 443.15 |
| 52896-99-8 | $\mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{22}$ | 4-ethyl-2,2-dimethylhexane | 2257 | 2295 | 420.15 |
| 17301-94-4 | $\mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{22}$ | 4-methylnonane | 2159 | 2177 | 441.15 |
| 15869-96-2 | $\mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{22}$ | 4,5-dimethyloctane | 2260 | 2250 | 436.15 |
| 16747-44-7 | $\mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{22}$ | 2,2,3,3,4-pentamethylpentane | 2574 | 2548 | 439.20 |
| 52897-18-4 | $\mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{22}$ | 3-ethyl-2,2,4-trimethylpentane | 2416 | 2375 | 428.45 |
| 52897-19-5 | $\mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{22}$ | 3-ethyl-2,3,4-trimethylpentane | 2522 | 2496 | 442.59 |

TABLE 8. Branched alkanes. Calculated values of critical pressures $\left(P_{\mathrm{cp}}\right)$ obtained by the Ambrose ${ }^{3}$ method. Expected absolute percent error for all $P_{\text {cp }}$-less than $3.3 \%$. Values of critical pressure using Somayajulu ${ }^{5}$ method- $P_{\mathrm{cp} 1}$ are given in the second column for comparison purpose. $T_{\mathrm{b}}^{1}=$ experimental normal boiling temperatures, used for calculation critical pressures-Continued

| CAS RN | Formula | Name | $P_{\mathrm{cp}}(\mathrm{kPa})$ <br> Ambrose | $P_{\mathrm{cp1} 1}(\mathrm{kPa})$ <br> Somayajulu | $T_{\mathrm{b}}(\mathrm{K})$ |
| ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $16747-45-8$ | $\mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{22}$ | 2,2,3,4,4-pentamethylpentane | 2464 | 2423 | 432.44 |
| $13475-79-1$ | $\mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{22}$ | 2,4-dimethyl-3-isopropylpentane | 2330 | 2295 | 430.19 |
| $6975-98-0$ | $\mathrm{C}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{24}$ | 2-methyldecane | 1967 | 1976 | 462.27 |
| $2847-72-5$ | $\mathrm{C}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{24}$ | 4-methyldecane | 2004 | 2019 | 461.25 |
| $61868-46-0$ | $\mathrm{C}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{24}$ | 2,2,4,6-tetramethylheptane | 2056 | 2091 | 435.05 |
| $61198-87-2$ | $\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{26}$ | 2,2,3,5,6-pentamethylheptane | 2064 | 1960 | 461.95 |
| $13475-82-6$ | $\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{26}$ | 2,2,4,6,6-pentamethylheptane | 1945 | 1977 | 450.95 |
| $92867-09-9$ | $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{32}$ | 6-propyldodecane | 1599 | 1615 | 524.75 |
| $2801-86-7$ | $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{34}$ | 7,8-dimethyltetradecane | 1516 | 1512 | 543.15 |
| $2882-96-4$ | $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{34}$ | 3-methylpentadecane | 1471 | 1479 | 539.45 |
| $500014-84-6$ | $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{42}$ | 3-ethyloctadecane | 1226 | 1233 | 614.15 |
| $630-01-3$ | $\mathrm{C}_{26} \mathrm{H}_{54}$ | hexacosane | 949 | 949 | 534.15 |

alkynes of the range $\mathrm{C}_{2}-\mathrm{C}_{20}$ due to significant fluctuation of errors for individual methods and types of compounds. The average deviations are contained in the range $0.04 \%-11 \%$. No regularity in accuracy due the molecular structure has been observed. That is why every case (that is substance) must be treated individually. For the whole group the authors recommend the methods of Somayajulu, ${ }^{5}$ Daubert, ${ }^{6,7}$ and Constantinou, ${ }^{8}$ based on the general results presented in Table 7.

When choosing the method for individual substance one should take into consideration that: the Constantinou ${ }^{8}$ method yields significant accuracy (higher than that of Somayajulu ${ }^{5}$ and Daubert ${ }^{6,7}$ ) only in cases of hydrocarbons represented in the second-order group contribution; two methods provide corrections for cis- (Daubert ${ }^{6,7}$ ) and trans(Somayajulu ${ }^{5}$ ) types of bond; and those methods should be preferred in cis/trans cases.

## 6. Prediction of Critical Pressures

Based on the conclusions from the analysis of the results of examination (Secs. 4 and 5) the proper prediction methods have been applied for calculation of critical pressures for aliphatic hydrocarbons. The Ambrose ${ }^{3}$ and Somayajulu ${ }^{5}$ methods were chosen for branched alkanes as the most accurate and not sensitive to branching, which is the most universal. The calculated values of $P_{\mathrm{c}}$ are listed in Table 8.

Unlike alkanes, no particular method could be applied for all alkenes. In every case, each substance was considered separately (that is the particular method and particular hydrocarbon). Somayajulu, ${ }^{5}$ Daubert, ${ }^{6,7}$ and Constantinou ${ }^{8}$ methods were used in every individual case due to the conclusions in point 5.2. The calculated values of critical pressures, $P_{\mathrm{cp}}$, of alkenes, alkynes are listed in Table 9. The expected percent errors, noted in the headers of Tables 8 and 9, result from the analysis of the accuracy of applied predictive methods.

## 7. Conclusions and Recommendations

The main result of this work is the set of critical pressures for 180 aliphatic hydrocarbons for which experimental critical data were not available in the literature (Tables 8 and 9).

The other results of this work are the sets of:
(1) Recommended experimental data on critical pressures of 95 aliphatic hydrocarbons, used for testing purposes (Table 1). Some of presented values, denoted by asterisks, are derived from a reliable source, but from a single investigation (Table 1). Despite this they tally with other literature but not experimental data; their reliability may be lower than that of the rest presented $P_{\mathrm{c}}$ values.
(2) Recommended experimental data on normal boiling points of 12 aliphatic hydrocarbons (Tables 1 and 9).

A further result is determination of the accuracy of particular prediction methods for specific subgroups of aliphatic hydrocarbons. The analyses of every tested method were performed in 2004 based on the experimental databases (NIST-TRC) ${ }^{13}$ and (TDC). ${ }^{14}$ The latter one is being permanently updated up to 2005.

The methods of Ambrose ${ }^{3}$ and Somayajulu ${ }^{5}$ are recommended for branched alkanes. Mentioned methods yield the lesser deviations (Tables 4 and 5) which are not sensitive to branching ratio (Table 6). Though no particular method is recommended for alkenes and alkynes, the authors suggest employing three methods, giving satisfactory results as shown in Tables 2 and 7: Somayajulu, ${ }^{5}$ Daubert, ${ }^{6,7}$ and Constantinou. ${ }^{8}$ More detailed guidelines regarding application of the mentioned methods are presented in Secs. 5.1 and 5.2.

Predictive methods still remain an important source of obtaining critical data as the world literature experimental critical data resources are really poor. The number of substances for which any critical property is measured is relatively low,

Table 9. Alkenes and alkynes. Calculated values of critical pressures $\left(P_{\mathrm{cp}}\right)$ predicted using one of the selected methods, specified below. Expected percent error for all $\left(P_{\mathrm{cp}}\right)$-(from $1.18 \%$ to $\left.3.31 \%\right)$ ). $T_{\mathrm{b}}^{1}=$ experimental normal boiling point temperature. $E=$ expected percent errors for individual cases. Numbers attributed to methods: (1) Somayajulu, ${ }^{5}$ (2) Daubert, ${ }^{7}$ (3) Constantinou ${ }^{8}$

| CAS RN | Formula | Name | $P_{\text {cp }}(\mathrm{KPa})$ | $E(\%)$ | Method | $T_{\mathrm{b}}(\mathrm{K})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 503-17-3 | $\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{6}$ | 2-butyne | 5049 | 2.33 | 1 | 300.12 |
| 598-25-4 | $\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{8}$ | 3-methyl-1,2-butadiene | 3965 | 2.33 | 1 | 313.95 |
| 591-96-8 | $\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{8}$ | 2,3-pentadiene | 4105 | 2.33 | 1 | 321.35 |
| 646-04-8 | $\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{10}$ | trans-2-pentene | 3515 | 2.33 | 1 | 309.50 |
| 2206-23-7 | $\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{6}$ | 3-penten-1-yne | 4556 | 2.33 | 1 | 317.05 |
| 628-16-0 | $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{6}$ | 1,5-hexadiyne | 4305 | 2.33 | 1 | 359.15 |
| 592-42-7 | $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{10}$ | 1,5-hexadiene | 3378 | 2.33 | 1 | 332.55 |
| 922-59-8 | $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{10}$ | 3-methyl-1-pentyne | 3609 | 2.33 | 1 | 330.85 |
| 7154-75-8 | $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{10}$ | 4-methyl-1-pentyne | 3469 | 2.33 | 1 | 334.45 |
| 764-35-2 | $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{10}$ | 2-hexyne | 3715 | 2.33 | 1 | 357.67 |
| 21020-27-9 | $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{10}$ | 4-methyl-2-pentyne | 3627 | 2.33 | 1 | 346.28 |
| 513-81-5 | $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{10}$ | 2,3-dimethyl-1,3-butadiene | 3409 | 2.50 | 2 | 343.15 |
| 760-20-3 | $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{12}$ | 3-methyl-1-pentene | 3227 | 2.33 | 1 | 327.37 |
| 928-49-4 | $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{10}$ | 3-hexyne | 3715 | 2.33 | 1 | 354.45 |
| 616-12-6 | $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{12}$ | trans-3-methyl-2-pentene | 3253 | 2.33 | 1 | 343.50 |
| 674-76-0 | $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{12}$ | trans-4-methyl-2-pentene | 3068 | 2.33 | 1 | 331.70 |
| 563-78-0 | $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{12}$ | 2,3-dimethyl-1-butene | 3227 | 2.33 | 1 | 328.76 |
| 760-21-4 | $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{12}$ | 2-ethyl-1-butene | 3217 | 2.50 | 2 | 340.65 |
| 763-29-1 | $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{12}$ | 2-methyl-1-pentene | 3299 | 2.33 | 1 | 335.26 |
| 616-12-6 | $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{12}$ | trans-3-methyl-2-pentene | 3253 | 2.33 | 1 | 343.50 |
| 674-76-0 | $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{12}$ | trans-4-methyl-2-pentene | 3068 | 2.33 | 1 | 331.70 |
| 558-37-2 | $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{12}$ | 3,3-dimethyl-1-butene | 3230 | 2.50 | 2 | 314.43 |
| 7688-21-3 | $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{12}$ | cis-2-hexane | 3308 | 2.50 | 2 | 342.01 |
| 7642-09-3 | $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{12}$ | cis-3-hexene | 3271 | 2.50 | 2 | 339.65 |
| 922-62-3 | $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{12}$ | cis-3-methyl-2-pentene | 3205 | 2.50 | 2 | 340.86 |
| 691-38-3 | $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{12}$ | cis-4-methyl-2-pentene | 3241 | 2.50 | 2 | 329.45 |
| 4050-45-7 | $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{12}$ | trans-2-hexene | 3135 | 2.33 | 1 | 340.24 |
| 922-61-2 | $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{12}$ | 3-methyl-2-pentene | 3132 | 2.87 | 3 | 340.65 |
| 594-56-9 | $\mathrm{C}_{7} \mathrm{H}_{14}$ | 2,3,3-trimethyl-1-butene | 2975 | 2.33 | 1 | 350.85 |
| 13269-52-8 | $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{12}$ | trans-3-hexene | 3135 | 2.33 | 1 | 340.30 |
| 2203-80-7 | $\mathrm{C}_{7} \mathrm{H}_{12}$ | 5-methyl-1-hexyne | 3167 | 2.50 | 2 | 364.65 |
| 21020-26-8 | $\mathrm{C}_{7} \mathrm{H}_{12}$ | 3-ethyl-1-pentyne | 3196 | 2.33 | 1 | 360.15 |
| 13361-63-2 | $\mathrm{C}_{7} \mathrm{H}_{12}$ | 4,4-dimethyl-1-pentyne | 3288 | 2.33 | 1 | 349.23 |
| 1000-86-8 | $\mathrm{C}_{7} \mathrm{H}_{12}$ | 2,4-dimetyl-1,3-pentadiene | 2932 | 2.50 | 2 | 366.90 |
| 20198-49-6 | $\mathrm{C}_{7} \mathrm{H}_{12}$ | 4-methyl-2-hexyne | 3324 | 2.33 | 1 | 372.69 |
| 53566-37-3 | $\mathrm{C}_{7} \mathrm{H}_{12}$ | 5-methyl-2-hexyne | 3324 | 2.33 | 1 | 375.61 |
| 4049-81-4 | $\mathrm{C}_{7} \mathrm{H}_{12}$ | 2-methyl-1,5-hexadiene | 3121 | 2.33 | 1 | 362.00 |
| 999-78-0 | $\mathrm{C}_{7} \mathrm{H}_{12}$ | 4,4-dimethyl-2-pentyne | 3189 | 2.33 | 1 | 356.15 |
| 2586-89-2 | $\mathrm{C}_{7} \mathrm{H}_{12}$ | 3-heptyne | 3277 | 2.33 | 1 | 380.31 |
| 36566-80-0 | $\mathrm{C}_{7} \mathrm{H}_{12}$ | 2-methyl-3-hexyne | 3210 | 2.33 | 1 | 368.35 |
| 2384-90-9 | $\mathrm{C}_{7} \mathrm{H}_{12}$ | 1,2-heptadiene | 3017 | 2.33 | 1 | 376.90 |
| 1541-23-7 | $\mathrm{C}_{7} \mathrm{H}_{12}$ | 1,5-heptadiene | 3095 | 2.33 | 1 | 366.85 |
| 3404-72-6 | $\mathrm{C}_{7} \mathrm{H}_{14}$ | 2,3-dimethyl-1-pentene | 2994 | 2.33 | 1 | 357.50 |
| 10574-37-5 | $\mathrm{C}_{7} \mathrm{H}_{14}$ | 2,3-dimethyl-2-pentene | 3029 | 2.33 | 1 | 370.55 |
| 2213-32-3 | $\mathrm{C}_{7} \mathrm{H}_{14}$ | 2,4-dimethyl-1-pentene | 2994 | 2.33 | 1 | 354.73 |
| 6094-02-6 | $\mathrm{C}_{7} \mathrm{H}_{14}$ | 2-methyl-1-hexane | 2954 | 2.33 | 1 | 364.65 |
| 692-24-0 | $\mathrm{C}_{7} \mathrm{H}_{14}$ | trans-2-methyl-3-hexene | 2771 | 2.33 | 1 | 359.02 |
| 3899-36-3 | $\mathrm{C}_{7} \mathrm{H}_{14}$ | trans-3-methyl-3-hexene | 2918 | 2.33 | 1 | 366.67 |
| 3404-71-5 | $\mathrm{C}_{7} \mathrm{H}_{14}$ | 2-ethyl-1-pentene | 2954 | 2.33 | 1 | 365.55 |
| 7357-93-9 | $\mathrm{C}_{7} \mathrm{H}_{14}$ | 2-ethyl-3-methyl-1-butene | 2994 | 2.33 |  | 362.05 |
| 3404-73-7 | $\mathrm{C}_{7} \mathrm{H}_{14}$ | 3,3-dimethyl-1-pentene | 2975 | 2.33 | 1 | 350.69 |
| 7385-78-6 | $\mathrm{C}_{7} \mathrm{H}_{14}$ | 3,4-dimethyl-1-pentene | 3054 | 2.33 | 1 | 353.93 |
| 4038-04-4 | $\mathrm{C}_{7} \mathrm{H}_{14}$ | 3-ethyl-1-pentene | 2994 | 2.33 | 1 | 357.28 |
| 3404-61-3 | $\mathrm{C}_{7} \mathrm{H}_{14}$ | 3-methyl-1-hexene | 2897 | 2.33 | 1 | 357.09 |
| 762-62-9 | $\mathrm{C}_{7} \mathrm{H}_{14}$ | 4,4-dimethyl-1-pentene | 2975 | 2.33 | 1 | 345.35 |
| 3769-23-1 | $\mathrm{C}_{7} \mathrm{H}_{14}$ | 4-methyl-1-hexene | 2994 | 2.33 | 1 | 359.97 |
| 3524-73-0 | $\mathrm{C}_{7} \mathrm{H}_{14}$ | 5-methyl-1-hexene | 2897 | 2.33 | 1 | 358.65 |

Table 9. Alkenes and alkynes. Calculated values of critical pressures ( $P_{\mathrm{cp}}$ ) predicted using one of the selected methods, specified below. Expected percent error for all $\left(P_{\mathrm{cp}}\right)$-(from $1.18 \%$ to $\left.3.31 \%\right) . T_{\mathrm{b}}^{1}=$ experimental normal boiling point temperature. $E=$ expected percent errors for individual cases. Numbers attributed to methods: (1) Somayajulu, ${ }^{5}$ (2) Daubert, ${ }^{7}$ (3) Constantinou ${ }^{8}$-Continued

| CAS RN | Formula | Name | $P_{\text {cp }}(\mathrm{KPa})$ | $E(\%)$ | Method | $T_{\mathrm{b}}(\mathrm{K})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 6443-92-1 | $\mathrm{C}_{7} \mathrm{H}_{14}$ | cis-2-heptene | 2946 | 2.50 | 2 | 371.56 |
| 15840-60-5 | $\mathrm{C}_{7} \mathrm{H}_{14}$ | cis-2-methyl-3-hexene | 2861 | 2.50 | 2 | 359.80 |
| 7642-10-6 | $\mathrm{C}_{7} \mathrm{H}_{14}$ | cis-3-heptene | 2873 | 2.50 | 2 | 368.90 |
| 10574-36-4 | $\mathrm{C}_{7} \mathrm{H}_{14}$ | cis-3-methyl-2-hexene | 2863 | 2.50 | 2 | 370.41 |
| 4914-89-0 | $\mathrm{C}_{7} \mathrm{H}_{14}$ | cis-3-methyl-3-hexene | 2875 | 2.50 | 2 | 368.47 |
| 690-08-4 | $\mathrm{C}_{7} \mathrm{H}_{14}$ | trans-4,4-dimethyl-2-pentene | 2951 | 2.87 | 3 | 349.89 |
| 762-63-0 | $\mathrm{C}_{7} \mathrm{H}_{14}$ | cis-4,4-dimethyl-2-pentene | 3021 | 2.50 | 2 | 353.15 |
| 14686-13-6 | $\mathrm{C}_{7} \mathrm{H}_{14}$ | trans-2-heptene | 2824 | 2.33 | 1 | 371.06 |
| 3683-19-0 | $\mathrm{C}_{7} \mathrm{H}_{14}$ | cis-4-methyl-2-hexene | 2931 | 2.50 | 2 | 359.50 |
| 4914-92-5 | $\mathrm{C}_{7} \mathrm{H}_{14}$ | trans-3,4-dimethyl-2-pentene | 2862 | 2.33 | 1 | 364.75 |
| 14686-14-7 | $\mathrm{C}_{7} \mathrm{H}_{14}$ | trans-3-heptene | 2824 | 2.33 | 1 | 368.81 |
| 20710-38-7 | $\mathrm{C}_{7} \mathrm{H}_{14}$ | trans-3-methyl-2-hexene | 2918 | 2.33 | 1 | 368.38 |
| 3683-22-5 | $\mathrm{C}_{7} \mathrm{H}_{14}$ | trans-4-methyl-2-hexene | 2862 | 2.33 | 1 | 360.79 |
| 7385-82-2 | $\mathrm{C}_{7} \mathrm{H}_{14}$ | trans-5-methyl-2-hexene | 2862 | 2.33 | 1 | 361.27 |
| 2738-19-4 | $\mathrm{C}_{7} \mathrm{H}_{14}$ | 2-methyl-2-hexene | 2829 | 2.50 | 2 | 368.25 |
| 15870-10-7 | $\mathrm{C}_{8} \mathrm{H}_{16}$ | 2-methyl-1-heptene | 2672 | 2.33 | 1 | 392.37 |
| 2809-67-8 | $\mathrm{C}_{8} \mathrm{H}_{14}$ | 2-octyne | 2931 | 2.33 | 1 | 411.24 |
| 764-13-6 | $\mathrm{C}_{8} \mathrm{H}_{14}$ | 2,5-dimethyl-2,4-hexadiene | 2850 | 2.33 | 1 | 407.65 |
| 627-58-7 | $\mathrm{C}_{8} \mathrm{H}_{14}$ | 2,5-dimethyl-1,5-hexadiene | 2894 | 2.33 | 1 | 387.45 |
| 32388-99-1 | $\mathrm{C}_{8} \mathrm{H}_{14}$ | trans-2-ethyl-3-methyl-1,3-pentadiene | 2693 | 2.33 | 1 | 381.15 |
| 32388-90-2 | $\mathrm{C}_{8} \mathrm{H}_{14}$ | cis-2-ethyl-3-methyl-1,3-pentadiene | 2712 | 2.50 | 2 | 400.15 |
| 14850-22-7 | $\mathrm{C}_{8} \mathrm{H}_{16}$ | cis-3-octene | 2676 | 2.50 | 2 | 396.04 |
| 14919-01-8 | $\mathrm{C}_{8} \mathrm{H}_{16}$ | trans-3-octene | 2568 | 2.33 | 1 | 396.44 |
| 4810-09-7 | $\mathrm{C}_{8} \mathrm{H}_{16}$ | 3-methyl-1-heptene | 2626 | 2.33 | 1 | 384.15 |
| 13151-05-8 | $\mathrm{C}_{8} \mathrm{H}_{16}$ | 4-methyl-1-heptene | 2705 | 2.33 | 1 | 385.65 |
| 5026-76-6 | $\mathrm{C}_{8} \mathrm{H}_{16}$ | 6-methyl-1-heptene | 2632 | 2.50 | 2 | 386.35 |
| 16746-86-4 | $\mathrm{C}_{8} \mathrm{H}_{16}$ | 2,3-dimethyl-1-hexene | 2705 | 2.33 | 1 | 383.69 |
| 7145-20-2 | $\mathrm{C}_{8} \mathrm{H}_{16}$ | 2,3-dimethyl-2-hexene | 2732 | 2.33 | 1 | 395.00 |
| 627-97-4 | $\mathrm{C}_{8} \mathrm{H}_{16}$ | 2-methyl-2-heptene | 2540 | 2.87 | 3 | 395.77 |
| 13151-04-7 | $\mathrm{C}_{8} \mathrm{H}_{16}$ | 5-methyl-1-heptene | 2626 | 2.33 | 1 | 386.15 |
| 1632-16-2 | $\mathrm{C}_{8} \mathrm{H}_{16}$ | 2-ethyl-1-hexene | 2753 | 2.33 | 1 | 391.85 |
| 39761-64-3 | $\mathrm{C}_{8} \mathrm{H}_{16}$ | 3,4,4-trimethyl-cis-2-pentene | 2735 | 2.50 | 2 | 385.45 |
| 560-23-6 | $\mathrm{C}_{8} \mathrm{H}_{16}$ | 2,3,3-trimethyl-1-pentene | 2771 | 2.33 | 1 | 381.46 |
| 690-92-6 | $\mathrm{C}_{8} \mathrm{H}_{16}$ | 2,2-dimehyl-cis-3-hexene | 2683 | 2.50 | 2 | 378.95 |
| 3404-80-6 | $\mathrm{C}_{8} \mathrm{H}_{16}$ | 2-ethyl-4-methyl-1-pentene | 2786 | 2.33 | 1 | 380.65 |
| 692-96-6 | $\mathrm{C}_{8} \mathrm{H}_{16}$ | trans-2-methyl-3-heptene | 2524 | 2.33 | 1 | 387.13 |
| 7300-03-0 | $\mathrm{C}_{8} \mathrm{H}_{16}$ | 3-methyl-3-heptene | 2504 | 2.50 | 2 | 394.35 |
| 690-93-7 | $\mathrm{C}_{8} \mathrm{H}_{16}$ | trans-2,2-dimethyl-3-hexene | 2511 | 2.33 | 1 | 374.05 |
| 61847-78-7 | $\mathrm{C}_{8} \mathrm{H}_{16}$ | trans-2,4-dimethyl-3-hexene | 2524 | 2.33 | 1 | 380.75 |
| 37549-89-6 | $\mathrm{C}_{8} \mathrm{H}_{16}$ | cis-2,4-dimethyl-3-hexene | 2490 | 2.50 | 2 | 382.15 |
| 3404-75-9 | $\mathrm{C}_{8} \mathrm{H}_{16}$ | 3-methyl-2-heptene | 2540 | 2.87 | 3 | 394.65 |
| 19550-88-0 | $\mathrm{C}_{8} \mathrm{H}_{16}$ | trans-3,4-dimethyl-3-hexene | 2388 | 2.33 | 1 | 387.95 |
| 7116-86-1 | $\mathrm{C}_{8} \mathrm{H}_{16}$ | 5,5-dimethyl-1-hexene | 2690 | 2.33 | 1 | 376.25 |
| 500007-01-2 | $\mathrm{C}_{8} \mathrm{H}_{16}$ | 5-methyl-1-heptene | 2705 | 2.33 | 1 | 386.65 |
| 500015-77-0 | $\mathrm{C}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{16}$ | 2,3,3,4-tetramethyl-1,4-pentadiene | 2565 | 2.33 | 1 | 400.85 |
| 4588-18-5 | $\mathrm{C}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{18}$ | 2-methyl-1-octene | 2439 | 2.33 | 1 | 418.00 |
| 20442-63-1 | $\mathrm{C}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{18}$ | 2,3,3,4-tetramethyl-1-pentene | 2547 | 2.33 | 1 | 406.35 |
| 500001-23-0 | $\mathrm{C}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{18}$ | 2,4-dimethyl-3-ethyl-2-pentene | 2515 | 2.33 | 1 | 403.12 |
| 53907-59-8 | $\mathrm{C}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{18}$ | 3-ethyl-4,4-dimethyl-2-pentene | 2503 | 2.33 | 1 | 407.18 |
| 2384-85-2 | $\mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{18}$ | 3-decyne | 2418 | 2.33 | 1 | 448.65 |
| 19398-37-9 | $\mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{20}$ | cis-3-decene | 2247 | 2.50 | 2 | 446.4 |
| 2129-95-5 | $\mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{20}$ | 2-methyl-2-nonene | 2113 | 2.87 | 3 | 444.15 |
| 53966-53-3 | $\mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{20}$ | 2-methyl-3-nonene | 2121 | 2.87 | 3 | 434.15 |
| 39083-38-0 | $\mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{20}$ | 3,4,5,5-tetramethyl-2-hexene | 2318 | 2.33 | 1 | 425.15 |
| 5857-68-1 | $\mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{20}$ | 2,2,4,4-tetramethyl-3-methylene | 2322 | 2.33 | 1 | 423.45 |
| 500006-47-3 | $\mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{20}$ | 3-ethyl-2,4,4-trimethyl-2-pentene | 2295 | 2.33 | 1 | 419.75 |

currently just over 400 (including about 180 hydrocarbons as a whole group). Many of these values are quite old, and the accuracy of some of these older values is questionable. The lacks will be complemented by means of the best and most updated methods. This study will be followed by the next one, dealing with critical pressure of aromatic and cyclic hydrocarbons. Further studies will be concerned with critical parameters of oxygen and halogen derivatives of hydrocarbons, as well as with evaluation and employing new predictive methods.
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