

**Table 24. Antimicrobial Resistance among *Campylobacter* by Site, Meat Type, and Antimicrobial Agent, 2004**

Site	Meat Type*	Antimicrobial Agent								
		TET	NAL	CIP	TEL	AZI	ERY	CLI	FFN	GEN
CA	CB (n=96)	54.2% <sup>†</sup>	6.3%	6.3%	-	-	-	-	-	-
	GT (n=0)	‡								
	PC (n=1)	100.0%	- <sup>§</sup>	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	<b>Total (n=97)</b>	<b>54.6%</b>	<b>6.2%</b>	<b>6.2%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
CO	CB (n=21)	38.1%	19.0%	19.0%	4.8%	4.8%	4.8%	4.8%	-	-
	GT (n=0)									
	PC (n=0)									
	<b>Total (n=21)</b>	<b>38.1%</b>	<b>19.0%</b>	<b>19.0%</b>	<b>4.8%</b>	<b>4.8%</b>	<b>4.8%</b>	<b>4.8%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
CT	CB (n=86)	65.1%	27.9%	27.9%	2.3%	2.3%	2.3%	2.3%	-	-
	GT (n=2)	100.0%	50.0%	50.0%	-	-	-	-	-	-
	PC (n=1)	100.0%	-	-	-	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	-	-
	<b>Total (n=89)</b>	<b>66.3%</b>	<b>28.1%</b>	<b>28.1%</b>	<b>2.3%</b>	<b>3.4%</b>	<b>3.4%</b>	<b>3.4%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
GA	CB (n=61)	39.3%	13.1%	13.1%	6.6%	8.2%	8.2%	6.6%	-	-
	GT (n=0)									
	PC (n=0)									
	<b>Total (n=62)</b>	<b>38.7%</b>	<b>12.9%</b>	<b>12.9%</b>	<b>6.6%</b>	<b>8.1%</b>	<b>8.1%</b>	<b>6.5%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
MD	CB (n=76)	43.4%	27.6%	27.6%	-	1.3%	1.3%	-	-	-
	GT (n=2)	-	50.0%	50.0%	-	-	-	-	-	-
	PC (n=0)									
	<b>Total (n=78)</b>	<b>42.3%</b>	<b>28.2%</b>	<b>28.2%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>1.3%</b>	<b>1.3%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>

\* No *Campylobacter* recovered from ground beef.

† Where % Resistance = (# isolates resistant to antimicrobial per meat type per site) / (total # isolates per meat type per site).

‡ Grey areas indicate species not isolated from that meat type.

§ Where dashes indicate 0.0% resistance to antimicrobial.

**Table 24<sub>(cont'd)</sub>. Antimicrobial Resistance among *Campylobacter* by Site, Meat Type, and Antimicrobial Agent, 2004**

Site	Meat Type	Antimicrobial Agent								
		TET	NAL	CIP	TEL	AZI	ERY	CLI	FFN	GEN
MN	CB (n=73)	68.5%	4.1%	4.1%	2.7%	2.7%	2.7%	2.7%	-	-
	GT (n=6)	16.7%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	PC (n=0)									
	<b>Total (n=79)</b>	<b>64.6%</b>	<b>3.8%</b>	<b>3.8%</b>	<b>2.5%</b>	<b>2.5%</b>	<b>2.5%</b>	<b>2.5%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
NM	CB (n=53)	35.8%	5.7%	5.7%	11.3%	11.3%	11.3%	9.4%	-	-
	GT (n=0)									
	PC (n=1)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	<b>Total (n=54)</b>	<b>35.2%</b>	<b>5.6%</b>	<b>5.6%</b>	<b>11.1%</b>	<b>11.1%</b>	<b>11.1%</b>	<b>9.4%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
NY	CB (n=96)	40.6%	35.4%	35.4%	-	-	-	-	-	-
	GT (n=0)									
	PC (n=0)									
	<b>Total (n=96)</b>	<b>40.6</b>	<b>35.4%</b>	<b>35.4%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
OR	CB (n=73)	52.1%	-	-	-	1.4%	1.4%	-	-	-
	GT (n=0)									
	PC (n=0)									
	<b>Total (n=73)</b>	<b>52.1%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>1.4%</b>	<b>1.4%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
TN	CB (n=71)	39.4%	8.5%	8.5%	4.2%	5.6%	5.6%	2.8%	-	-
	GT (n=0)									
	PC (n=0)									
	<b>Total (n=72)</b>	<b>38.9%</b>	<b>8.3%</b>	<b>8.3%</b>	<b>4.2%</b>	<b>5.6%</b>	<b>5.6%</b>	<b>2.8%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<b>Total</b>	<b>%R (N=721)</b>	<b>48.8%</b>	<b>15.5%</b>	<b>15.4%</b>	<b>3.6%</b>	<b>3.2%</b>	<b>3.2%</b>	<b>2.4%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>