Before the Federal Communications Commission Washington, D.C. 20554

In the Matter of:)
Mediacom Iowa, LLC) CSR 6554-E
MCC Iowa, LLC)) CSR 6550-E, 6553-E, 6555-E, 6556-E, 6565- E, 6587-E, 6588-E, 6589-E, 6624-E)
MCC Iowa, LLC & Mediacom Iowa, LLC)) CSR 6558-E
Mediacom Minnesota, LLC)) CSR 6566-E)
Mediacom Wisconsin, LLC)) CSR 6591-E
Charter Communications) CSR 6468-E, 6469-E, 6470-E, 6471-E, 6472- E, 6473-E, 6474-E, 6475-E, 6476-E
Twenty-two Unopposed Petitions for Determination of Effective Competition in 33 Local Franchise Areas)))

MEMORANDUM OPINION AND ORDER

Adopted: May 18, 2005

Released: May 19, 2005

By the Deputy Chief, Policy Division, Media Bureau:

I. INTRODUCTION

1. This Order considers twenty-two unopposed petitions which cable operators (the "Cable Operators") have filed with the Commission pursuant to Sections 76.7, 76.905(b)(2) and 76.907 of the Commission's rules for a determination that such operators are subject to effective competition pursuant to Section 623(1) of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended ("Communications Act"),¹ and the

¹ 47 U.S.C. § 543(1).

Commission's implementing rules,² and are therefore exempt from cable rate regulation in the communities listed in Attachment A (the "Communities"). No opposition to any petition was filed. Finding that the Cable Operators are subject to effective competition in the listed Communities, we grant the petitions.

2. In the absence of a demonstration to the contrary, cable systems are presumed not to be subject to effective competition,³ as that term is defined by Section 623(1) of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, and Section 76.905 of the Commission's rules.⁴ The cable operator bears the burden of rebutting the presumption that effective competition does not exist with evidence that effective competition is present within the relevant franchise area.⁵

II. DISCUSSION

3. Section 623(1)(1)(B) of the Communications Act provides that a cable operator is subject to effective competition if its franchise area is (a) served by at least two unaffiliated multi-channel video programming distributors ("MVPD") each of which offers comparable video programming to at least 50 percent of the households in the franchise area; and (b) the number of households subscribing to programming services offered by MVPDs other than the largest MVPD exceeds fifteen percent of the households in the franchise area.⁶ Turning to the first prong of this test, we find that the DBS service of DirecTV Inc. ("DirecTV") and DISH Network ("Dish") is presumed to be technically available due to its nationwide satellite footprint, and presumed to be actually available if households in a franchise area are made reasonably aware that the service is available.⁷ The two DBS providers' subscriber growth reached approximately 23.16 million as of June 30, 2004, comprising approximately 23 percent of all MVPD subscribers nationwide; DirecTV has become the second largest, and DISH the fourth largest, MVPD provider.⁸ In view of this DBS growth data, and the data discussed below showing that more than 15 percent of the households in each of the communities listed on Attachment A are DBS subscribers, we conclude that the population of the communities at issue here may be deemed reasonably aware of the availability of DBS services for purposes of the first prong of the competing provider test. With respect to the issue of program comparability, we find that the programming of the DBS providers satisfies the Commission's program comparability criterion because the DBS providers offer substantially more than 12 channels of video programming, including more than one non-broadcast channel.⁹ We further find that the Cable Operators have demonstrated that the Communities are served by at least two unaffiliated MVPDs, namely the two DBS providers, each of which offers comparable video programming to at least 50 percent of the households in the franchise area. Therefore, the first prong of the competing provider test is satisfied.

² 47 C.F.R. § 76.905(b)(4).

³ 47 C.F.R. § 76.906.

⁴ See 47 U.S.C. § 543(1) and 47 C.F.R. § 76.905.

⁵ See 47 C.F.R. §§ 76.906 & 907.

⁶ 47 U.S.C. § 543(1)(1)(B); see also 47 C.F.R. § 76.905(b)(2).

⁷ See MediaOne of Georgia, 12 FCC Rcd 19406 (1997).

⁸ Eleventh Annual Assessment of the Status of Competition in the Market for Delivery of Video Programming, FCC 05-13, at ¶¶54-55 (rel. Feb. 4, 2005).

⁹See 47 C.F.R. § 76.905(g).

4. The second prong of the competing provider test requires that the number of households subscribing to MVPDs, other than the largest MVPD, exceed 15 percent of the households in a franchise area. The Cable Operators sought to determine the competing provider penetration in the Communities by purchasing a subscriber tracking report that identified the number of subscribers attributable to the DBS providers within the Communities on a zip code basis. The Cable Operators assert that they are the largest MVPD in the Communities because their subscribership exceeds the aggregate DBS subscribership for those franchise areas. Based upon the aggregate DBS subscriber penetration levels as reflected in Attachment A, calculated using 2000 Census household data, we find that the Cable Operator's have demonstrated that the number of households subscribing to programming services offered by MVPDs, other than the largest MVPD, exceeds 15 percent of the households in the Communities. Therefore, the second prong of the competing provider test is satisfied. Based on the foregoing, we conclude that the Cable Operators have submitted sufficient evidence demonstrating that their cable systems serving the Communities set forth on Attachment A are subject to competing provider effective competition.

III. ORDERING CLAUSES

5. Accordingly, **IT IS ORDERED** that the petitions filed by the Cable Operators listed on Attachment A for a determination of effective competition in the Communities listed thereon **ARE GRANTED**.

6. **IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that the certifications to regulate basic cable service rates granted to any of the local franchising authorities overseeing the Cable Operators **ARE REVOKED**.

7. This action is taken pursuant to authority delegated under Section 0.283 of the Commission's rules.¹⁰

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

Steven A. Broeckaert Deputy Chief, Policy Division, Media Bureau

¹⁰47 C.F.R. § 0.283.

Attachment A

Cable Operators Subject to Competing Provider Effective Competition

MEDIACOM IOWA LLC: CSR 6554-E

			2000 Census	DBS
Communities	CUIDS	CPR*	$\mathbf{Households}^{+}$	Subscribers ⁺
Bancroft	IA0106	29.20%	339	99

MCC IOWA, LLC: CSR 6550-E, 6553-E, 6555-E, 6556-E, 6565-E, 6587-E, 6588-E, 6589-E, 6624-E

			2000 Census	DBS
Communities	CUIDS	CPR*	Households ⁺	Subscribers ⁺
Atlantic	IA0034	16.35%	3,126	511
Denver	IA0501	18.98%	648	123
Eagle Grove	IA0024	23.76%	1,511	359
Northwood	IA0487	17.51%	914	160
Corydon	IA0118	25.35%	718	182
Greenfield	IA0154	18.68%	937	175
Lamoni	IA0081	24.69%	818	202
Leon	IA0087	18.18%	858	156
Osceola	IA0111	20.98%	1,945	408
Winterset	IA0185	20.49%	1,884	386
Preston	IA0635	17.03%	417	71
Newton	IA0104	21.87%	6,713	1,468
Durant	IA0414	19.49%	672	131
Knoxville	IA0155	28.39%	3,191	906

MCC IOWA, LLC & MEDIACOM IOWA LLC: CSR 6558-E

			2000 Census	DBS
Communities	CUIDS	CPR*	$\mathbf{Households}^{+}$	Subscribers ⁺
Kalona	IA0266	22.70%	947	215
Washington	IA0082	20.08%	2,928	588

MEDIACOM MINNESOTA, LLC: CSR 6566-E

Communities	CUIDS	CPR*	2000 Census Households ⁺	DBS Subscribers ⁺
Arlington	SD0057	19.34%	424	82
Brookings	SD0005	15.16%	6,971	1,057
Volga	SD0058	20.67%	571	118

MEDIACOM WISCONSIN, LLC: CSR 6591-E

Communities	CUIDS	CPR*	2000 Census Households ⁺	DBS Subscribers ⁺
Cashton	WI0594	21.45	415	89

CHARTER COMMUNICATIONS: CSR 6468-E, 6469-E, 6470-E, 6471-E, 6472-E, 6473-E, 6474-E, 6475-E, 6476-E

Communities	CUIDS	CPR*	2000 Census Households ⁺	DBS Subscribers⁺
Chewelah	WA0176	52.8%	911	481
E Wenatchee	WA0113	25.2%	2,295	578
Leavenworth	WA0298	41.4%	899	372
Ellensburg	WA0102	19.5%	6,249	1,217
Grand Coulee	WA0309	26.6%	410	109
Omak	WA0130	39.9%	1,861	742
Oroville	WA0131	41.7%	691	288
	WA0265			

Tonasket	WA0132	48.8%	420	205
	WA0266			
Pomeroy	WA0133	44.3%	645	286
Sunnyside	WA0226	39.6%	3,827	1,517
Yakima	WA0302	26.0%	26,498	6,876
Waitsburg	WA0152	32.3%	490	158

CPR= Percent DBS penetration

+ = See Cable Operator Petitions