vivian_reese@ed.gov. Requests may also be electronically mailed to the internet address OCIO_RIMG@ed.gov or faxed to 202–708–9346. Please specify the complete title of the information collection when making your request.

Comments regarding burden and/or the collection activity requirements should be directed to *Sheila Carey at her e-mail address Sheila.Carey@ed.gov.* Individuals who use a telecommunications device for the deaf (TDD) may call the Federal Information Relay Service (FIRS) at 1–800–877–8339.

[FR Doc. 03–14726 Filed 6–10–03; 8:45 am] **BILLING CODE 4000–01–P**

DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION

Migrant Education Formula Grant Program

AGENCY: Office of Elementary and Secondary Education, Department of Education.

ACTION: Notice of interpretation.

SUMMARY: The Department announces interpretations of section 1303(a) and (b) of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act, as amended by the No Child Left Behind Act of 2001, under which the Department establishes rules for allocating Migrant Education Program funds to States, the District of Columbia, and the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico for Fiscal Year (FY) 2003. DATES: Effective date: June 11, 2003.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

James English, Office of Migrant Education, U.S. Department of Education, 400 Maryland Avenue, SW., Room 3E315, FOB–6, Washington, DC 20202–6135. Telephone: (202) 260– 1394, or via Internet: james.english@ed.gov.

If you use a telecommunications device for the deaf (TDD), you may call the Federal Information Relay Service (FIRS) at 1–800–877–8339.

Individuals with disabilities may obtain this document in an alternative format (e.g., Braille, large print, audiotape, or computer diskette) on request to the contact person listed under FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

The Migrant Education Program (MEP), authorized in Title I, Part C, of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act of 1965 (ESEA), as amended by the No Child Left Behind Act of 2001 (NCLB), is a State-operated

and State-administered formula grant program. It provides assistance to State educational agencies (SEAs) to support high-quality and comprehensive educational programs that provide migratory children appropriate educational and supportive services that address their special needs in a coordinated and efficient manner, and give migratory children the opportunity to meet the same challenging State academic content and student academic achievement standards that all children are expected to meet.

Through this notice, we clarify our interpretations of the formula for awarding FY 2003 MEP funds to States, including the District of Columbia and the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico (Puerto Rico). Under these interpretations, because the overall amount of MEP funds available for allocation to States (including the District of Columbia and Puerto Rico) in FY 2003 will be equal to the amount allocated in FY 2002, the MEP formula amounts to be awarded to each State (including the District of Columbia and Puerto Rico) for FY 2003 will be equal to the amounts awarded to each in FY 2002.

The State Formula. Section 1303(a) and (b) of the ESEA provides the statutory formula under which the Department awards MEP funds to States, including the District of Columbia and Puerto Rico. Section 1303(a)(1) provides a formula for the distribution of FY 2002 MEP funds to all States "other than the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico." This formula relies upon an estimate of the full-timeequivalent (FTE) number of migrant children in each State multiplied by "40 percent of the average per-pupil expenditure in the State, except that the amount determined under this paragraph shall not be less than 32 percent, nor more than 48 percent, of the average per-pupil expenditure in the United States."

Section 1303(a)(2) also stipulates that, except as provided under sections 1303(a)(2)(ii) and (b), the amount that each State "other than the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico" is entitled to receive in FY 2003 and subsequent years is the sum of—

1. The amount of MEP funds the State received for FY 2002, and

2. The amount (of those funds, if any, that may be appropriated for any subsequent fiscal year in excess of the FY 2002 appropriation level) determined by multiplying the sum of (i) the number of identified eligible migratory children, aged 3 through 21, who during the prior year resided in the State, and (ii) the number of such

children who received MEP services in a State summer or intersession program, by 40 percent of the average per-pupil expenditure in the State, except that the amount so determined may not be less than 32 percent, or more than 48 percent, of the average per-pupil expenditure in the United States.

For Puerto Rico, section 1303(b)(1) provides that each year the Commonwealth is entitled to receive an award in the amount "determined by multiplying the number of children who would be counted under subsection (a)(1)(A) if such subsection applied to the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico by the product of—

(Ā) the percentage that the average per-pupil expenditure in the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico is of the lowest average per-pupil expenditure of any of the 50 States; and

(B) 32 percent of the average per-pupil expenditure in the United States."

In addition, section 1303(b)(2) provides an increasing minimum percentage to be used in paragraph (b)(1)(A) for FYs 2002 through 2005 and succeeding years. This amount is 77.5 percent for FY 2002, 80 percent for FY 2003, 82.5 percent for FY 2004, and 85 percent for FYs 2005 and beyond.

Section 1303(b)(3) provides that, if application of paragraph (b)(2) for any fiscal year would cause any State to receive less than it received for the preceding fiscal year, the percentage described in paragraph (b)(1)(A) used for Puerto Rico's allocation for that fiscal year is to be the greater of the actual percentage in paragraph (b)(1)(A) for that fiscal year or the percentage used for the preceding fiscal year.

Finally, section 1303(c) of the ESEA requires the Department to ratably reduce MEP grant awards made to all States (including Puerto Rico) if "the amount appropriated for these grants is insufficient to pay in full the amounts for which all States are eligible."

FY 2002 MEP Awards. The amended ESEA established very clear procedures for determining the amount of FY 2002 MEP funds the Department provided to each State, including Puerto Rico. It required the Department to provide to all States (section 1303(b)(1)(A)) and to Puerto Rico (section 1303(b)(1)) an FY 2002 award derived by multiplying the total of the State's FTE count of migratory children by the appropriate per-pupil-expenditure amount identified in section 1303(a)(1)(B) and 1303(b)(1)(A) and (2), respectively. As the FY 2002 appropriation was insufficient to make awards to all States and Puerto Rico in these amounts, the Department then applied the ratable reduction provision in section

1303(c)(1) to determine the actual size of the FY 2002 MEP grant awards.

FY 2003 MEP Awards. Section 1303 is far less clear about how the Department is to determine the size of FY 2003 MEP allocations for all States (including Puerto Rico). Because the amount available for FY 2003 awards is no greater than the amount that was available for FY 2002 MEP awards, section 1303(a)(2)(A)(i)(I) provides that, except as is required by section 1303(b) to allocate funds to Puerto Rico, each State other than Puerto Rico is generally entitled to receive the amount of MEP funds that the State received for FY 2002.

At the same time, section 1303(b) fails to establish a clear and consistent method to calculate Puerto Rico's allocation for FY 2003. Section 1303(b)(1) and (b)(2) seems to establish an entitlement for Puerto Rico based on multiplying an FTE count described in section 1303(a)(1)(A) and an adjusted State per-pupil expenditure (SPPE) amount determined by sections (b)(1)-(3). However, the law is unclear as to whether the FTE data and/or the SPPE data in question should be frozen at the FY 2002 level or adjusted annually. In addition, it is unclear as to whether any of these options make sense in light of the fact that NCLB changed the funding formula for all other States starting in FY 2003, specifically to move toward use of more accurate and less burdensome actual counts of migrant children and away from the outdated FTE counts. [Note: The FTE counts are outdated because they are calculated using adjustment factors that are based on State data collected in 1994.1

Regardless of how Puerto Rico's entitlement is calculated, it is also unclear how to apply the ratable reduction in section 1303(c)(2) consistently across all States and Puerto Rico when, as in FY 2003, the appropriation falls short of the entitlements for all States and Puerto Rico. Section 1303(a)(2) provides that, in general, the entitlement for all States but Puerto Rico is their actual FY 2002 award amounts, which already went through a ratable reduction in FY 2002. However, under section 1303(b), Puerto Rico's FY 2003 entitlement is not tied to its actual FY 2002 award amount and, thus, never went through an initial ratable reduction in FY 2002. The process of calculating Puerto Rico's FY 2003 entitlement amount, therefore, is inconsistent with the process for calculating the entitlements for all the other States. If the ratable reduction in section 1303(c) is then applied to all States and Puerto Rico in the same manner, the amount Puerto Rico would

be entitled to receive for FY 2003 would be the amount it would have been entitled to receive in FY 2002 had there been *no* ratable reduction, *increased* by a 2.5 percent reduction in the gap between its SPPE and the lowest SPPE of any of the 50 States. This would mean that the FY 2003 allocations for all States but Puerto Rico would be affected by two ratable reductions from the amount of FY 2002 MEP funds to which they otherwise would have been entitled—one for FY 2002 and another for FY 2003-while Puerto Rico's FY 2003 allocation would be affected by a ratable reduction only for FY 2003. However, interpreting the statute this way would result in a substantial increase in the amount of FY 2003 MEP funds that Puerto Rico would receive, at the expense of the other States.

These kinds of anomalies, however, are not necessary. In particular, one also can interpret sections 1303(b)(1) and 1303(a)(2) to mean that, for FY 2003 and subsequent years, the amount of MEP funds for which Puerto Rico and every other State may apply is to be based on the same State migrant FTE counts—and hence the same ratable-reduction factor—that the Department applied when it calculated the FY 2002 MEP awards. Such an interpretation of the MEP statutory formula seems to make the most sense programmatically, and to comport best with what we believe is Congress' intent.

There is no legislative history to suggest that Congress intended that the Department adopt an interpretation of the MEP formula under which Puerto Rico would receive a substantial increase of MEP funds from FY 2002 to FY 2003—an increase that, because the MEP is funded for FY 2003 at a level that would not permit the maximum formula-based allocations to States, would have the effect of reducing every other State's award proportionately. Rather, Congress appears to have intended that Puerto Rico instead benefit from the gradual narrowing of the per-pupil expenditure gap, as provided in section 1303(b)(2). Narrowing of this gap would result in increases in the size of Puerto Rico's MEP allocation commensurate with increases in appropriations for the MEP.

We, therefore, interpret sections 1303(b)(1), which requires using "the number of children counted under subsection (a)(1)(A)," to mean that the FY 2003 MEP award to Puerto Rico, like the FY 2003 award to all other States, will be based on the FTE number of migratory children counted for purposes of the FY 2002 award under section 1303(a)(1). This reading of section 1303(b)(1) is consistent with section

1303(a)(2), which, by providing that each State's base amount of MEP funds in FY 2003 and subsequent years is the amount that the State received for FY 2002, in effect incorporates into the base amount for FY 2003 the FTE number of that State's migratory children used for the FY 2002 allocation under section 1303(a)(1). Any adjustment in FY 2003 MEP funds for Puerto Rico would be due solely to the increase in the minimum per-pupil expenditure adjustment factor authorized in section 1303(b)(2) and (b)(3). However, paragraph (b)(3) specifically provides that this increase will not occur if it decreases any State's MEP award below the amount it received during the previous fiscal year. Therefore, because the amount available for FY 2003 MEP awards is the same as the amount available for FY 2002 MEP awards, the Department will continue to apply for FY 2003 the minimum per-pupil expenditure adjustment factor for Puerto Rico of 77.5 percent that paragraph (b)(2) required to be used for FY 2002.

In short, based on the above interpretations, and because the overall amount of MEP funds available for award to States in FY 2003 is equal to the amount awarded for FY 2002, the Department will make available to all States, including Puerto Rico, FY 2003 MEP awards that are identical in size to what was awarded to them in FY 2002.

Waiver of Proposed Rulemaking

Under the Administrative Procedure Act (5 U.S.C. 553) the Department generally offers interested parties the opportunity to comment on proposed regulations. However, under 5 U.S.C. 553(b)(A) the Secretary is not required to offer the public an opportunity to comment on an interpretative rule. These rules advise the public of our interpretation of sections 1303(a) and (b) of ESEA, as amended. Therefore, under 5 U.S.C. 553(b)(A), the Secretary has determined that proposed rulemaking is not required. For the same reason, a delayed effective date is not required under 5 U.S.C. 553(d)(2).

Intergovernmental Review

This program is subject to Executive Order 12372 and the regulations in 34 CFR part 79. One of the objectives of the Executive order is to foster an intergovernmental partnership and a strengthened federalism. The Executive order relies on processes developed by State and local governments for coordination and review of proposed Federal financial assistance.

This document is intended to provide early notification of our specific plans and actions for this program.

Electronic Access to This Document

You may view this document, as well as all other Department of Education documents published in the **Federal Register**, in text or Adobe Portable Document Format (PDF) on the Internet at the following site: http://www.ed.gov/legislation/FedRegister.

To use PDF you must have Adobe Acrobat Reader, which is available free at this site. If you have questions about using PDF, call the U.S. Government Printing Office (GPO), toll free, at 1–888–293–6498; or in the Washington, DC area at (202) 512–1530.

You may also view this document in text at the following site: http://www.ed.gov/offices/OESE/OME/index.html.

Note: The official version of this document is the document published in the Federal Register. Free Internet access to the official edition of the Federal Register and the Code of Federal Regulations is available on GPO Access at: http://www.access.gpo.gov/nara/index.html.

(Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance Number 84.011: Title I, Education of Migrant Children)

Dated: June 5, 2003.

Eugene W. Hickok,

Under Secretary of Education.
[FR Doc. 03–14717 Filed 6–10–03; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 4000–01–P

DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION

[CFDA Nos.: 84.133B-1, 84.133B-5, 84.133B-7]

Office of Special Education and Rehabilitative Services; National Institute on Disability and Rehabilitation Research— Rehabilitation Research and Training Centers (RRTC) Program; Notice Inviting Applications for Fiscal Year (FY) 2003; Correction

SUMMARY: On May 29, 2003 a notice inviting applications for new awards for Rehabilitation Research and Training Centers (RRTCs) for fiscal year (FY) 2003 was published in the **Federal Register** (68 FR 32023).

On page 32023, in the table, for 84.133B–5 Community Integration Outcomes, the "Estimated available funds" figure reads "2,400,000" and the "Maximum award amount (per year)" figure reads "600,000". These figures, respectively, are corrected to read "3,000,000" and "750,000".

On page 32023, in the table, for 84.133B–7 Health and Function, the "Estimated available funds" figure reads "200,000" and the "Maximum award amount (per year)" figure reads "\$600,000". These figures, respectively, are corrected to read "5,600,000" and "800,000".

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Donna Nangle, U.S. Department of Education, 400 Maryland Avenue, SW., room 3412, Switzer Building, Washington, DC 20202–2645. Telephone: (202) 205–5880 or via Internet: Donna.Nangle@ed.gov.

If you use a telecommunications device for the deaf (TDD), you may call the TDD number at (202) 205–4475.

Individuals with disabilities may obtain this document in an alternative format (e.g., Braille, large print, audiotape, or computer diskette) on request to the contact person listed under FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT.

Electronic Access to This Document

You may review this document, as well as all other Department of Education documents published in the **Federal Register**, in text or Adobe Portable Document Format (PDF) on the Internet at the following site: www.ed.gov/legislation/FedRegister.

To use PDF you must have Adobe Acrobat Reader, which is available free at this site. If you have questions about using PDF, call the U.S. Government Printing Office (GPO), toll free, at 1–888–293–6498; or in the Washington, DC area at (202) 512–1530.

Note: The official version of this document is the document published in the Federal Register. Free Internet access to the official edition of the Federal Register and the Code of Federal Regulations is available on GPO Access at: http://www.access.gpo.gov/nara/index.html.

Program Authority: 29 U.S.C. 762(g) and 764(b)(2).

Dated: June 6, 2003.

Loretta Petty Chittum,

Acting Assistant Secretary for Special Education and Rehabilitative Services.

[FR Doc. 03–14784 Filed 6–10–03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4000–01–P

DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION

[CFDA No. 84.341]

Community Technology Centers Program

AGENCY: Office of Vocational and Adult Education, Department of Education. **ACTION:** Notice inviting applications for new awards for fiscal year (FY) 2003; correction.

SUMMARY: On June 3, 2003 a notice inviting applications for new awards for the Community Technology Centers

Program for FY 2003 was published in the **Federal Register** (68 FR 33321).

On page 33323, in column one, the "Deadline for Transmittal of Applications" date reads "June 30, 2003" and the "Deadline for Intergovernmental Review" date reads "August 29, 2003". These dates, respectively, are corrected to read "July 7, 2003" and "September 5, 2003".

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: If you need further assistance and need to speak with someone in the CTC program, you may contact Gisela Harkin by phone at (202) 205–4238, by mail at 330 C Street, SW., Room 4324, Washington, DC 20202, or via e-mail at commtech.center@ed.gov.

If you use a telecommunications device for the deaf (TDD), you may call the Federal Information Relay Service (FIRS) at 1–800–877–8339.

Individuals with disabilities may obtain this document in an alternative format (e.g., Braille, large print, audiotape, or computer diskette) on request to the contact person listed under FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT.

Electronic Access to This Document

You may view this document, as well as all other Department of Education documents published in the **Federal Register**, in text or Adobe Portable Document Format (PDF) on the Internet at the following site: http://www.ed.gov/legislation/FedRegister

To use PDF you must have Adobe Acrobat Reader, which is available free at this site. If you have questions about using PDF, call the U.S. Government Printing Office (GPO), toll free, at 1–888–293–6498, or in the Washington, DC, area at (202) 512–1530.

Note: The official version of this document is the document published in the Federal Register. Free Internet access to the official edition of the Federal Register and the Code of Federal Regulations is available on GPO Access at: http://www.access.gpo.gov/nara/index.html.

Program Authority: 20 U.S.C. 7263-7263b.

Dated: June 6, 2003.

Carol D'Amico,

Assistant Secretary for Vocational and Adult Education.

[FR Doc. 03–14718 Filed 6–10–03; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4000–01–M