4









### PROCEEDINGS

## ASIAN ENVIRONMENTAL COMPLIANCE AND ENFORCEMENT FORUM:

Sharing International Experience on Environmental Compliance and Enforcement

> Bangkok, Thailand October 27-28, 2004

> > OECD 🕊









INECE

The United States Agency for International Development (USAID) and the Asian Development Bank (ADB) jointly funded the publication of this report.

Planning and Development Collaborative International (PADCO), an AECOM Company, completed the proceedings under USAID contract LAG-I-0099-00035-00. For PADCO, Elizabeth Rosan Kirkwood, Paul Violette, Lisa Kircher Lumbao and Watcharee Limanon prepared the report.

The authors' views expressed in this publication do not necessarily reflect the views of USAID, the United States Government or ADB.

In partnership with USAID, the Thailand Pollution Control Department (PCD) coorganized and hosted this regional forum on October 27-28, 2004 in Bangkok, Thailand to share international best practices on environmental compliance and enforcement and to explore strategies for establishing an Asian network.



## PROCEEDINGS

Asian Environmental Compliance and Enforcement Forum: Sharing International Experience in Compliance and Enforcement

> October 27-28, 2004 Bangkok, Thailand

copyright © 2005

# contents

List of Tables and Acronyms	
SUMMARY	1
INTRODUCTION	4
Environmental Compliance and Enforcement as Top Priority	4
US-AEP Environmental Regulatory Dialogue Program	4
Establishing a Practitioner Network in Asia	5
Assessing Compliance and Enforcement Programs in Asia	6
Asian Environmental Compliance and Enforcement Forum	6
PART I: COUNTRY PROGRAM ASSESSMENT RESULTS	7
PART 2: REGIONAL FORUM PROCEEDINGS	10
Regional Best Practices Environmental Compliance and Enforcement	
Permitting, Monitoring, and Inspection Policies and Practices	
Institutional Arrangements and Decentralization Efforts	13
Enforcement Actions and Responses	14
Economic Instruments and Other Incentive-Based Approaches	15
Environmental Compliance and Enforcement Program Indicators	17
Lessons Learned from Other Networks	19
PART 3: ESTABLISHING AN ASIAN NETWORK	21
Primary Objectives of the Proposed Network	21
Priority Network Activities and Programs	21
Priority Program Areas	22
Organizational Arrangements	23
	24
Partner Commitments	27

#### **APPENDICES**

Appendix 1: Workshop Agenda	26
Appendix 2: List of Participants	29

# tables and acronyms

#### TABLES

Table I	US-AEP Environmental Regulatory Dialogue: Catalyzing Policy and	
	Institutional Advances	5
Table 2	Principles of Effective Compliance and Enforcement Programs	7
Table 3	Common Program Challenges	8
Table 4	Country Program Assessment Recommendations	9
Table 5	Types of Performance Indicators	17
Table 6	Environmental Compliance and Enforcement Practitioner Networks	18
Table 7	Key Recommendations for Launching a Network	20
Table 8	Network Objectives and Potential Activities	22

#### ACRONYMS

ADB	Asian Development Bank
AECEN	Asian Environment Compliance and Enforcement Network
ASEAN	Association of South East Asian Nations
APEC	Asia-Pacific Economic Cooperation
BERCEN	Balkan Environmental Regulatory Compliance and Enforcement Network
EPA	United States Environmental Protection Agency
IMPEL	EU Network for the Implementation and Enforcement of Environmental Law
INECE	International Network for Environmental Compliance and Enforcement
MONRE	Ministry of Natural Resources and Environment
NGO	Non-Government Organization
OECD	Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development
PCD	Pollution Control Department of Thailand
REC	Regional Environmental Center for Central and Eastern Europe
REPIN	Regulatory Environmental Programme Implementation Network
SAARC	South Asian Association for Regional Cooperation
USAID	United States Agency for International Development
US-AEP	United States-Asia Environmental Partnership
UNEP	United Nations Environment Programme

# summary

Over the last decade, coping with the economic, social and health impacts of urban and industrial pollution has become a major challenge for Asian nations. In response, Asian policymakers have created new environmental laws and institutions that incorporate international environmental principles, such as polluter pays, and also established improved governance systems that promote access to information, public consultation and access to justice.

While each country has made considerable progress establishing environmental regulatory systems, much work still remains in strengthening environmental compliance and enforcement. Throughout the region, governments have identified enforcement as a top priority, and are working to develop new solutions that both expand existing command-and-control capabilities and leverage community and market forces to help address technical, financial and human resource limitations.

#### **US-AEP Environmental Regulatory Dialogue**

Since 2000, the U.S.-Asia Environmental Partnership (US-AEP), a program of the United States Agency for International Development (USAID), has been working closely with Asian governments through its Environmental Regulatory Dialogue program to promote improved compliance and enforcement in Asia through country counterpart exchange. While each nation is at a different stage in policy development based on individual legal, institutional, political and social factors, all have a strong interest in exchange with Asian and U.S. counterparts.

#### Establishing a Practitioner Network in Asia

Environmental compliance and enforcement practitioner networks have proven effective mechanisms for promoting implementation of environmental laws, addressing transboundary pollution challenges and supporting economic integration and harmonization. Practitioner networks provide a mechanism for agency officials, prosecutors, judges, civil society leaders and others to exchange ideas and experience in developing new policies and practices and in building improved capacity.

Recognizing the need for a more comprehensive approach to compliance and enforcement, US-AEP and other donors decided to explore the benefits of operating an Asian practitioner network based in part on experience developed through the US-AEP Environmental Regulatory Dialogue program. As a first step in evaluating country interest and the potential benefits of an Asian network, US-AEP worked with agency partners in India, Philippines and Thailand to assess their environmental compliance and enforcement programs.

#### **Country Program Assessment Results**

Results from the three-country environmental compliance and enforcement program assessments identify common challenges, which provide a basis for regional exchange on compliance and enforcement. Common program challenges identified by the assessment results included: (1) overlapping and fragmented authority; (2) incomplete decentralization; (3) limited interagency coordination; (4) lack of standardized procedures; (5) weak human and institutional capacity; (6) limited public involvement; and (7) insufficient data and performance indicators.

In addition, partner agencies from India, the Philippines and Thailand developed recommendations for short-term and long-term reform measures aimed at strengthening environmental compliance and enforcement. Proposed short-term measures would enable the agencies to rely on existing legal authority to promote change, while longterm measures would require more comprehensive legal or institutional reforms.

#### Asian Environmental Compliance and Enforcement Forum

To gauge interest and explore strategies for establishing an Asian network, US-AEP and the Thailand Pollution Control Department (PCD) organized a regional forum on October 27 and 28, 2004 in Bangkok, Thailand for senior Asian environmental officials and other stakeholders from Europe and the United States. Participating Asian countries included China, Indonesia, India, Philippines, Sri Lanka, Thailand and Vietnam.

Over 80 participants joined the forum, including senior environmental officials, judges, lawyers and civil society leaders, as well as representatives from the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), Asian Development Bank (ADB), World Bank, Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD), International Network for Environmental Compliance and Enforcement (INECE) and Regional Environmental Center for Central and Eastern Europe (REC).

At the forum, participants unanimously endorsed the regional network and set an action agenda for its establishment that identified network objectives and activities, priority program areas, scope of implementation and organizational requirements. In addition, forum presentations and discussion included compliance and enforcement best practices, program indicators and lessons learned from other practitioner networks.

#### Action Agenda: Establishing an Asian Network

To gain insights into requirements and priorities for establishing a network in Asia, forum participants completed a questionnaire and joined facilitated discussions. Taken together, these recommendations form an action agenda for use by countries and donor partners in moving forward to establish an environmental compliance and enforcement network in Asia.

#### **Objectives and Activities**

The action agenda identified three main objectives and associated activities for the proposed environmental compliance and enforcement network: (1) promote the development and implementation of improved policies and institutions; (2) strengthen practitioner capacity; and (3) support regional sharing of best practices on compliance and enforcement.

As indicated in the table below, potential network activities would include: annual forum, pilot projects for new policies, regulations and procedures; assessments and studies; performance indicator development and tracking; practitioner trainings and tools; peer exchanges; website, publications and information databases; and linkages to other networks.

#### **Network Objectives and Potential Activities**

Objectives	Potential Activities
I. Promote the development and implementation of improved policies and institutions	<ul> <li>Pilot projects for new policies, regulations and procedures</li> <li>Regional program assessments and studies</li> <li>Performance indicator development and tracking</li> <li>Regional guiding principles</li> </ul>
2. Strengthen practitioner capacity	<ul> <li>Specialized practitioner trainings and tools</li> <li>Performance indicator development and tracking</li> <li>Peer exchanges</li> </ul>
3. Support regional sharing of best practices on compliance and enforcement	<ul> <li>Annual forum</li> <li>Peer exchanges</li> <li>Website, publications and information databases</li> <li>Linkages to other networks</li> </ul>

#### **Priority Program Areas**

The action agenda identified the following top priority areas for network activities: (1) enforcement policies and authority; (2) institutional arrangements and decentralization; (3) compliance assurance; (4) self-monitoring, self-reporting, record-keeping; (5) public participation; and (6) economic instruments.

#### Scope of Implementation

Participants confirmed that while network activities should focus primarily on improved implementation of domestic legal requirements, the network should also include activities that address transboundary pollution. In addition, the network should not work exclusively on urban and industrial environmental impacts, but, where practical, should include natural resources or coastal zone impacts and management.

#### **Organizational Requirements**

In discussions on establishing and operating an Asian practitioner network, participants identified four primary organizational requirements: (1) country membership; (2) national coordinators; (3) executive committee; and (4) secretariat.

#### Asian Environmental Compliance and Enforcement Network (AECEN)

Representatives from PCD, US-AEP, ADB and OECD offered concluding remarks at the regional forum, affirming their commitment to support the establishment of the Asian Environmental Compliance and Enforcement Network (AECEN). While USAID will continue its support of this activity, ADB also committed to providing additional core funding to support network establishment and activity implementation.

As a next step, partner countries, donor agencies and networks will work together to begin implementation of the proposed action agenda, including establishing an interim executive committee to guide development of the network terms of reference, and support planning of a launching workshop in 2005 in Manila. The interim executive committee will invite new member countries and other donor partners to join AECEN.

# introduction

#### **Environmental Compliance and Enforcement as Top Priority**

Over the last decade, coping with the economic, social and health impacts of urban and industrial pollution has become a major challenge for Asian nations. In response, Asian policymakers have created new environmental laws and institutions that incorporate international environmental principles, such as polluter pays, and also established improved governance systems that promote access to information, public consultation and access to justice.

While each country has made considerable progress establishing environmental regulatory systems, much work still remains in strengthening environmental compliance and enforcement. Throughout the region, governments have identified enforcement as a top priority, and are working to develop new solutions that both expand existing command-and-control capabilities and leverage community and market forces to help address technical, financial and human resource limitations.

#### **US-AEP Environmental Regulatory Dialogue**

Since 2000, the U.S.-Asia Environmental Partnership (US-AEP), a program of the United States Agency for International Development (USAID), has been implementing its Environmental Regulatory Dialogue program in partnership with the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) to promote the adoption and implementation of improved environmental laws, policies and institutional arrangements in Asia. US-AEP countries include India, Indonesia, Philippines, Sri Lanka, Thailand and Vietnam.

Core program areas include:

- Compliance and enforcement
- Community and citizen participation
- Environmental law and governance
- Economic instruments and environmental funds

The cornerstone of the Environmental Regulatory Dialogue program is counterpart exchange particularly between countries in the region. While each country is at a different stage in policy development due to legal, institutional, political and social factors, all have a strong interest in exchange with Asian and U.S. counterparts. Partners include government agency officials, legislators, judges, communities, and civil society and business leaders.

United States-Asia Environmental Partnership, the United States Agency for International Development and the United States **Environmental Protection** Agency work in partnership with government agencies, legislatures, cities, communities and courts to strengthen environmental laws and institutions. These collaborative partnerships have led to a new clean water act in the Philippines, an environmental fund in Vietnam and an environmental dispute resolution center here in Thailand."

"Through the

H.E. Darryl N. Johnson U.S. Ambassador to Thailand Under the Environmental Regulatory Dialogue program, US-AEP has worked with partners in multi-year projects through a partnership approach that includes:

- I. Identifying country priorities and comparative advantages;
- Providing technical assistance to help formulate new policies, laws, regulations and institutions;
- 3. Facilitating technical exchange and dialogue with counterparts;
- 4. Implementing pilot projects to achieve results on the ground; and
- 5. Supporting policy implementation through training and skills development.

Table I highlights recent policy and institutional advances developed in part through counterpart exchange under the US-AEP Environmental Regulatory Dialogue program.

## Table IUS-AEP Environmental Regulatory Dialogue: Catalyzing Policy andInstitutional Advances

Country	Policy Advance / Institution	Partner Countries
Thailand	Environmental chambers ("green benches") in courts	India
Philippines	Decentralization of agency environmental adjudication	United States
Thailand	Environmental dispute prevention and resolution	United States, Philippines
Thailand	Public consultation in environmental decision-making	United States
Vietnam	Community participation in watershed protection	Philippines, Thailand
Thailand	Self-monitoring regulation for industrial sources	United States, Taiwan
Philippines	Water quality permitting	United States, Taiwan
Vietnam	Wastewater pollution charges	Philippines, Malaysia
Vietnam	Environment funds	Thailand, Poland

#### **Establishing a Practitioner Network in Asia**

To expand on current US-AEP efforts to promote compliance and enforcement in the region, US-AEP and other donor partners explored the benefits of establishing a practitioner network. While there are international and regional networks that address specific country needs and interests, there is currently no network in Asia (see Table 6).

International and regional practitioner networks have proven to be effective strategies for promoting improved environmental compliance and enforcement, addressing transboundary pollution challenges and supporting economic integration and harmonization. Regional practitioner networks provide a mechanism for agency officials, prosecutors, judges, civil society leaders and others to exchange ideas and experience in developing new policies and practices, and building improved capacity.

#### Assessing Compliance and Enforcement Programs in Asia

As a first step in evaluating practitioner interest and the potential benefits of establishing a network in Asia, US-AEP worked with agency partners in India, Philippines and Thailand to assess their environmental compliance and enforcement programs. US-AEP partnered with agency officials and other stakeholders in each county to complete a questionnaire, identify program strengths and weaknesses and develop recommendations for improving environmental policies and practices, and building institutional capacity.

#### Asian Environmental Compliance and Enforcement Forum

To explore strategies for creating an Asian practitioner network, share country program assessment results, and present innovative strategies and pilot activities for strengthening environmental compliance and enforcement, US-AEP and the Thailand Pollution Control Department (PCD) convened a regional forum on October 27 and 28, 2004 in Bangkok, Thailand for senior Asian environmental officials and other stakeholders.

Over 80 participants attended this program, including senior environmental officials, judges, lawyers and civil society leaders from China, Europe, Indonesia, India, Philippines, Sri Lanka, Thailand, United States and Vietnam, as well as representatives from the Asian Development Bank (ADB), World Bank, Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD), International Network for Environmental Compliance and Enforcement (INECE) and Regional Environmental Center for Central and Eastern Europe (REC). (See Appendix 1 for workshop agenda.)

As a result of group discussions, participants unanimously endorsed the establishment of a regional network dedicated to promoting environmental compliance and enforcement in Asia. As a first step in establishing this new regional practitioner network, participants identified network objectives and activities, priority program areas, scope of implementation and potential organizational arrangements.

#### **Outline of Proceedings**

The forum proceedings provide a summary of assessment findings and workshop proceedings in three parts:

#### Part I: Country Program Assessment Results

Provides a summary of the country program assessment results, including regional program challenges and short- and long-term recommendations for each surveyed country.

#### **Part 2: Regional Forum Proceedings**

Presents highlights and outcomes of case study presentations and group discussions.

#### Part 3: Action Agenda on Establishing an Asian Network

Outlines an action agenda developed by participants for establishing a practitioner network dedicated to environmental compliance and enforcement.

# country program assessment results

To gain a preliminary understanding of the potential need for a regional compliance and enforcement practitioner network, US-AEP worked with partner environmental agencies in India, Philippines and Thailand in 2004 to complete an assessment of each country's environmental compliance and enforcement program. US-AEP developed the survey based in part on U.S. EPA's *Principles of Environmental Enforcement*. Successful environmental compliance and enforcement programs share common principles and practices that contribute to their effectiveness and long-term sustainability (see *Table 2*).

## Table 2Principles of Effective Compliance and Enforcement Programs

- Make compliance and enforcement a government priority
- Establish enforceable legal and regulatory requirements
- Determine the optimal degree of centralization and establish clear roles and responsibilities
- Optimize use of limited resources and information by targeting priority sectors and pollution hot spots
- Evaluate relative importance of compliance promotion versus enforcement response to violations based on economic, social and cultural factors
- Strengthen human and institutional capacity to meet program needs and priorities
- Develop standardized compliance assurance procedures to promote transparent, effective and fair program implementation
- Apply incentive-based approaches that include pollution charges, public disclosure and financing programs that complement regulatory measures
- Leverage public participation to encourage compliance and promote community involvement
- Develop effective data collection and information management systems
- Evaluate program success with indicators that monitor operations to promote accountability and improve program performance

#### Assessment Methodology, Objectives and Focus Areas

To complete the assessment survey, agency officials and practitioners in the three countries provided written responses to a questionnaire, followed by interviews with US-AEP. Together, agency partners and US-AEP completed the survey report, which was presented at the regional workshop.

#### The objectives of this three-country assessment were to:

- · Identify country compliance and enforcement program capabilities and limitations;
- Develop recommendations for improved laws, institutions, procedures and practices and strengthened capacity; and
- · Identify common challenges to provide a basis for regional dialogue.

"Our most pressing challenge is finding ways to create solutions within the existing legal and institutional framework. In my experience, too often government officials find it too easy to cite limitations in laws or institutional arrangements, rather than to develop solutions within the constraints of our existing systems. We have enough law, but not enough law enforcement."

part |

Mr. Petipong Pungbun Na Ayudhya, Permanent Secretary of Thailand's Ministry of Natural Resources and Environment (MoNRE) The assessment survey addressed **six primary components** of environmental compliance and enforcement programs:

- I. Legal authority and institutional arrangements;
- 2. Compliance monitoring inspections, self-monitoring, permitting;
- 3. Compliance assistance and data management;
- 4. Enforcement response;
- 5. Public participation and economic instruments; and
- 6. Compliance and enforcement program indicators.

#### **Regional Compliance and Enforcement Program Challenges**

Assessment results from the three countries reveal common challenges, which provide a basis for regional exchange on compliance and enforcement (see Table 3).

## Table 3Common Program Challenges

Overlapping and fragmented authority	Due to complex legal arrangements or political factors, multiple agencies are responsible for compliance and environ- ment.
Incomplete decentralization	While national laws and policies require decentralization of compliance and enforcement functions, implementation has been incomplete and many functions still remain with central government agencies.
Limited interagency coordination	With multiple agencies responsible for promoting compliance and enforcement, there is often limited interagency coordina- tion and cooperation.
Lack of standardized procedures	Agencies have been slow to develop clear procedures to support source monitoring, inspection, and enforcement.
Limited capacity	Environmental agencies at central, regional and local levels do not have the necessary human and institutional capacity to meet all legal mandates and administrative responsibilities.
Limited public involvement	While citizen participation is recognized as a critical factor in assisting agencies to monitor compliance and enforcement requirements, agencies lack the necessary resources to promote effective public participation.
Insufficient data and performance indicators	Insufficient data or data management systems limit agency ability to implement effective compliance and enforcement programs, or measure the outcomes or impacts of these programs.

#### **Short-Term and Long-Term Recommendations**

Based on the assessment survey, each country developed recommendations for shortterm and long-term reform measures aimed at strengthening environmental compliance and enforcement. Proposed short-term measures would enable the agencies to rely on existing legal authority to promote change, while long-term measures would require more comprehensive legal or institutional reforms. Table 4 provides a summary of each country's recommendations.

## Table 4Country Program Assessment Recommendations

Country	Short-term recommendations	Long-term recommendations
THAILAND	<ul> <li>Exercising existing compliance and enforcement authority;</li> <li>Strengthening overall interagency coordination and cooperation;</li> <li>Developing uniform compliance monitoring and enforcement procedures to promote decentralization and consistency;</li> <li>Establishing strategic outreach programs for the regulated community;</li> <li>Strengthening overall public participation by providing access to information and by publicizing enforcement stories;</li> <li>Increasing environmental knowledge and expertise at all government levels; and</li> <li>Developing environmental compliance and enforcement indicators to measure performance.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Legal reform to establish a single ministry responsible for compliance and enforcement;</li> <li>Decentralization to empower regional, provincial and local officials with increased enforcement responsibilities and functions;</li> <li>Creative financing arrangements and/or economic incentives to encourage compliance; and</li> <li>Amended laws and polices to promote public participation and to establish meaningful sanctions that deter potential violators.</li> </ul>
PHILIPPINES	<ul> <li>Completing the reorganization of the Environmental Management Bureau (EMB);</li> <li>Completing and implementing a multimedia, industry-specific checklist system for monitor- ing and inspections;</li> <li>Optimizing use of self-monitoring reports;</li> <li>Incorporating improved and feasible enforce- ment measures within the implementing rules and regulations of the Clean Water Act; and</li> <li>Conducting pilot testing on the decentraliza- tion of the Pollution Adjudication Board (PAB).</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Improved policies and procedures to clarify/ specify roles of national agencies and local governments, consistent with devolution of powers and authorities to local governments under recent laws;</li> <li>Development and implementation of economic instruments to encourage compliance and generate funds for monitoring and enforcement; and</li> <li>Expanded PAB jurisdiction to include all types of pollution cases and not only violations of standards.</li> </ul>
INDIA	<ul> <li>Improving inter-governmental cooperation;</li> <li>Developing standard policies and procedures for compliance monitoring and enforcement response;</li> <li>Developing self-monitoring regulations to shift the burden to the regulated community;</li> <li>Strengthening staff capacity in compliance and enforcement efforts;</li> <li>Increasing the Central Pollution Control Board's (CPCB) outreach capacity;</li> <li>Improving access to information and promoting improved public participation;</li> <li>Establishing standardized indicators for program performance evaluation; and</li> <li>Strengthening information management systems.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Procedures to eliminate overlap between the Air Act, Water Act and the Environmental Protection Act;</li> <li>Improved institutional arrangements to better coordinate CPCB and State Pollution Control Boards (SPCBs);</li> <li>Amended laws empowering enforcement agencies to introduce incentive-based instruments;</li> <li>Expanded scope for Appellate Authorities to avoid proliferation of public interest litigation;</li> <li>Increased financial assistance and incentives for improved compliance; and</li> <li>Amended laws to introduce carbon emission taxes and include alternative dispute resolution (ADR) mechanisms.</li> </ul>

# part 2

# regional forum proceedings

To explore strategies for creating an Asian practitioner network, US-AEP and the Thailand Pollution Control Department (PCD) convened a regional forum on October 27 and 28, 2004 in Bangkok, Thailand. Over 80 participants attended this twoday program, including senior officials, judges, lawyers and civil society leaders from China, Europe, Indonesia, India, Philippines, Sri Lanka, Thailand, United States and Vietnam, as well as representatives from ADB, World Bank, OECD, INECE and REC.

#### **Primary forum objectives included:**

- Sharing country program assessment results;
- Presenting innovative strategies and pilot activities for strengthening environmental compliance and enforcement in the region; and
- Exploring strategies for establish a regional practitioner network.

As detailed in the program agenda (*Appendix 1*), in addition to country program assessment results, forum presentations and discussion included three main areas:

- Compliance and enforcement best practices;
- Compliance and enforcement program indicators; and
- Lessons learned from other practitioner networks.



Distinguished guest speakers at the workshop, from left: Mr. James Waller, Regional Environmental Affairs Officer; H.E. Mr. Darryl N. Johnson, U.S. Ambassador to Thailand; Justice Jain, Delhi High Court, India; and Mr. Petipong Pungbun Na Ayudhya, Permanent Secretary of Thailand's Ministry of Natural Resources and Environment.

"Cooperation is at the heart of protecting our environment. If there is any lesson from the last three decades, it is this: Government officials, judges, big city mayors, local village leaders, news reporters, common citizens and even school children all have a role to play, and must work together ... Through our actions and our decisions, we must light the way with new ideas that reach all parts of our societies, and create new ways to work together to protect our natural heritage."

Justice Vijender Jain, Delhi High Court, India



In addition, as detailed in Part 3, participants shared experience and ideas on strategies and requirements for establishing a regional practitioner network for Asia.

## **A.** Regional Best Practices on Environmental Compliance and Enforcement

Based in part on the assessment results, workshop presentations focused on four core areas of environmental compliance and enforcement: (1) permitting, monitoring and inspection; (2) institutional arrangements and decentralization; (3) enforcement actions and responses; and (4) economic instruments and other incentive-based approaches. Presentations highlighted individual country successes, while panel discussions addressed implementation challenges.

#### I. Permitting, Monitoring, and Inspection Policies and Practices

Compliance monitoring is essential to detecting and correcting violations, providing evidence to support enforcement actions, promoting deterrence and evaluating overall program progress. The most important tools and methods for compliance monitoring include: (1) inspections; (2) information collection requests; (3) self-monitoring/self reporting; (4) citizen monitoring/complaints; and (5) area monitoring of ambient conditions. At the forum, environmental agencies in Thailand and the Philippines presented progress on pilot activities completed in 2004 in partnership with US-AEP and EPA.

#### Thailand

#### **Development of New Self-Monitoring and Self-Reporting Regulations** Mr. Burachatr Akkaraporn, Environmental Inspector, Pollution Control Department

Thailand has developed draft regulations for selected industries on self-monitoring, self-reporting and recordkeeping to provide access to performance information, reduce enforcement costs and better inform the regulated community about compliance requirements. PCD initiated this project by hosting two separate workshops to secure stakeholder input from regulatory agencies, industry and civil society, as well as technical expertise from international experts in India, Philippines, Taiwan, Vietnam and the U.S.

In developing this proposed regulation, PCD faced challenges in creating comprehensive reporting forms and gaining cooperation and support from the regulated community and local governments. In 2005, PCD will pilot test its draft self-monitoring regulations for specific water and air parameters on a voluntary basis with target industries located in Bangkok and neighboring provinces.

#### **Philippines**

## Use of Inspection Checklists for Strengthening Compliance, Monitoring and Enforcement

Mr. Virgilio Fabronero, Chief of Pollution Control Division, Region 6, Environmental Management Bureau, Department of Environment and Natural Resources

To strengthen enforcement, standardizing compliance assurance procedures is a top priority of the Environmental Management Bureau (EMB). In 2004, EMB Region 6 pilot tested an inspection checklist to improve and standardize their inspection process. The objectives of the checklist were to assist inspectors with identifying vital information for their narrative reports, determine compliance with specific permit conditions, standards and existing regulations, and target individual violators or sectors for noncompliance.

During the three-month pilot, EMB concluded that industry-specific checklists helped inspectors: (1) improve inspection reports, especially for air and water; (2) eliminate the practice of putting permit information "on file;" (3) identify facility expansions with the required list of equipment information; and (4) provide substantive data for use in the emissions inventory.

As a next step, EMB Region 6 recommended developing other industry-specific checklists for industries like electroplating, cement and pulp and paper factories, drafting an inspection manual and establishing an agency-wide regulation mandating the use of checklists to guide all environmental inspections.



From left: Mr. Nathan Sage, US-AEP Country Manager Vietnam; Ms. Mamie Miller, Chief, Air Compliance Branch, US EPA; Mr. Paul Violette, Senior Policy Advisor, US-AEP; Mr. Ceazar Natividad, Engineer, Laguna Lake Development Authority.

#### 2. Institutional Arrangements and Decentralization Efforts

Promoting effective compliance with environmental requirements requires strong coordination among implementing agencies at the national, regional and local levels. In Asia, governments are moving to decentralize environmental compliance and enforcement functions and responsibilities, since sub-national and local authorities often are closer to environmental problems and can respond more quickly. Presentations from Sri Lanka and China addressed strategies adopted in decentralizing core environmental compliance and enforcement functions.

#### Sri Lanka

#### Central Environmental Authority of Sri Lanka and its Role in Environmental Management

Ms. Manel Jayamanna, Director General, Central Environmental Authority

In 2004, Sri Lanka's Central Environmental Authority (CEA) initiated an effort to decentralize environmental functions to address environmental impacts brought about by rapid economic growth. Central to this effort was the creation of four sub-regional offices with core environmental responsibilities, including: implementing and enforcing laws at the regional level; establishing regional environmental priorities and programs; partnering and liasing with provincial and local governments; coordinating and resolving environmental impact assessment issues; and promoting public awareness and education of environmental issues.

In implementing this program, CEA faced several challenges. In particular, decentralization was hampered by limited resources (human, technical and financial), weak interagency communication and coordination, unclear guidelines for standard operating procedures and limited institutional structures to support regional offices. Despite these challenges, CEA remains optimistic and open to new ideas as it moves forward in implementing agency decentralization requirements.

#### China

#### **Strengthening State-Level Enforcement Capabilities**

Mr. Chen Shanrong, Deputy Director General, State Environmental Protection Administration

China's main environmental law, the Environmental Protection Law, grants the State Environmental Protection Administration (SEPA) and its partners at the provincial, city and county levels primary enforcement authority. Decentralization efforts are under way to promote improved compliance and inspection at the local level, since the majority of China's 45,000 inspectors work for the city and provincial EPAs.

To help strengthen environmental enforcement at the sub-national levels, inspectors are receiving more regular staff training and working with upgraded technical equipment and tools to conduct inspections. In addition, on-site inspection practices are improving with new standardized procedures, public disclosure efforts, more stringent environmental discharge standards and increased penalties.

With enhanced state and local-level capabilities, SEPA is developing an environmental public disclosure system in the cities of Huhhot and Zhenjiang. Under this program, inspectors rate the environmental performance of facilities using a color rating system and then disclose results to the mass media. Green, for example, indicates the facility has achieved international environmental standards, while black implies the facility must

alter its actions because it seriously violates both national and local environmental standards. As a result of this program, polluting enterprises are under increasing pressure to clean up their operations. Given this success, the program is now being piloted in more than 30 cities across China.

#### 3. Enforcement Actions and Responses

Enforcement actions are essential to ensuring compliance, correcting violations and redressing harm. In addition, regular enforcement practices promote predictability within the regulated community and help strengthen an agency's credibility. Effective enforcement actions also remove the economic benefit of non-compliance, thereby leveling the playing field and establishing a deterrent effect among industry. Ultimately, a strong enforcement program should result in pollution prevention and waste minimization and lead to overall environmental improvements. Presentations from the Philippines and India illustrated various effective enforcement strategies.

#### **Philippines**

#### **Strengthening Enforcement Response in Laguna de Bay** Mr. Ceazar Natividad, Engineer, Laguna Lake Development Authority, DENR

In the face of increased agricultural and industrial pollution entering Laguna Lake – the largest lake in the Philippines – the Laguna Lake Development Authority (LLDA) developed a discharge permit system based on environmental user fees (i.e., pollution charges) and aggressively enforced permit violations. For industrial users, LLDA discharge permits for biological oxygen demand (BOD) include a fixed fee and a variable fee based on the amount of pollution discharged. As a condition of each permit, facilities must comply with effluent standards, submit quarterly self-monitoring reports to LLDA, use flow measuring devices and appoint an accredited pollution control officer to conduct compliance monitoring for the facility.

To ensure facilities comply with permit requirements, LLDA has applied a range of enforcement responses, including issuing notices of violation and cease and desist orders, collecting monetary penalties and closing down facilities. LLDA has also established an administrative review board to adjudicate complaints against agency decisions. As a direct result of LLDA's permitting and enforcement program, BOD levels due to industrial pollution in the lake have declined significantly. The industrial sector now only constitutes 19 percent of the BOD loading compared to 30 percent in 1996.

#### India

#### **Public Interest Litigation in India**

Mr. Amarjit Singh Chandhiok, Asia Pacific Jurist Association

Public interest litigation (PIL) in India has evolved as a key judicial strategy to provide access to justice for all citizens and to protect against environmental degradation. Based on the Constitution, the Supreme Court and High Courts of India have relaxed standing requirements for environmental cases so that a citizen or public interest group can file a complaint on behalf of a disadvantaged class of persons without having suffered a specific legal injury or harm themselves.

The Supreme Court and a number of High Courts in major cities, including Mumbai, Kolkata, Chennai and Gujarat, have established "green benches" to adjudicate environmental cases. These activist courts have ruled on important environmental cases involving gas leaks, forest encroachment, pollution and traffic regulations. In one wellknown case, courts established a remedy for protecting the Taj Mahal from harmful air pollutants by relocating industrial facilities and regulating the use of vehicles.

#### 4. Economic Instruments and Other Incentive-Based Approaches

As a complement to traditional command-and-control regulations, governments in Asia are developing new strategies that leverage economic instruments and other incentives in promoting environmental compliance. Examples of approaches that leverage community and market forces include pollution charges, tradable permits, subsidies, offset approaches, tax incentives and subsidies, environmental labeling and public disclosure. Indonesia and Vietnam are implementing new strategies that illustrate the effectiveness of these approaches.

#### Indonesia

#### **Promoting Compliance through Public Disclosure**

Mrs. Hermien Roosita, Assistant Deputy for Manufacturing, Infrastructure and Service Affairs, Ministry of Environment

As part of a government initiative to promote improved environmental compliance, the Indonesian Ministry of Environment re-launched a public disclosure environmental rating system in 2003 called PROPER (Program for Pollution Control and Rating Evaluation). The main objectives of this program are to: (1) improve compliance with environmental regulations; (2) reduce air, water and hazardous waste pollution through cleaner technologies; and (3) encourage polluters to adopt environmental management systems, resource management policies and international standards, such as ISO certification.

PROPER's five-color rating scheme – gold, green, blue, red and black – has proven to be a simple and effective method for communicating a facility's environmental performance to the public. PROPER assesses all aspects of a company's environmental management system, relationship with the community, and compliance with water, air pollution, waste and planning requirements. A gold rating indicates near zero emission levels, while black signifies no pollution controls at all. Agency officials refer companies with black ratings to environmental law enforcement officials.

Implementation of this approach relies on strong provincial and local participation to monitor, sample and evaluate emission and effluent data on facilities, and an on-going communication strategy to disclose company ratings to the public. To date, PROPER has sent a clear message to polluters; in just two years, the number of facilities with a black rating has dropped from 40 percent to 4 percent.



Experts from other international environmental and compliance networks share their experiences. From left: Mr. Paul Violette, Senior Policy Advisor, US-AEP; Mr. Mihail Dimovski, BERCEN Secretariat; Ms. Angela Bularga, OECD/REPIN Secretariat; Mr. Ken Markowitz, INECE Secretariat.

#### Vietnam

#### Launching a National Wastewater Pollution Charge System Mrs. Nguyen Thi Kim Dzung, Principal Economic Research Fellow, Central Institute for Economic Management

To promote compliance with environmental standards and encourage facilities to adopt cleaner technologies, in January 2004 Vietnam enacted Prime Ministerial Decree 67, requiring industrial and domestic polluters to pay a fee for wastewater discharges. Following consultations with provincial departments and industrial polluters, the Ministry of Finance and the Ministry of Natural Resources and Environment issued a government circular defining the pollution charge program procedures, which will be implemented in large part by provincial and city agencies.

In particular, implementing agencies are required to collect fees from industries based on a sectoral analysis of pollutant loads and self-reported performance information. In accordance with the decree, a percentage of pollution charge revenues will be directed to a national-level environmental fund to enable new environmental investments to businesses through loans and grants.

Provinces or cities with existing revolving funds, such as Hanoi, can use their revenues to make local environmental investments. As this program is further implemented, the environmental protection fees will be based on actual discharged amounts and pollution concentrations rather than estimated amounts.



H.E. Darryl N. Johnson, U.S. Ambassador to Thailand, discusses the importance of regional partnerships in an interview with the Thai Press.

## **B.** Environmental Compliance and Enforcement Program Indicators

Developing and tracking program indicators enables decision-makers to evaluate compliance and enforcement program effectiveness, and to make more informed management decisions on program implementation. To assist countries in promoting improved compliance, practitioner networks typically work with members to develop and track performance indicators. As part of the forum, experts from INECE and EPA presented information and experience on performance indicators.

#### Performance Indicators for Environmental Enforcement and Compliance Programs

Mr. Kenneth Markowitz, INECE Secretariat

Performance indicators are a key tool for decision-makers developing and implementing environmental compliance and enforcement programs. Indicators allow decisionmakers to: (1) monitor and control the program operations; (2) ensure accountability to legislative bodies, budget authorities, constituent groups and the public; and (3) improve overall program performance.

Many environmental authorities commonly evaluate their enforcement capabilities and activities with input and output indicators. Input indicators track financial and human resources allocations (i.e., staff, funding, materials), while output indicators describe particular activities, such as the number of enforcement cases or the number of fines issued per year. While these traditional indicators provide some measure of program activities, they do not capture program results or impacts (see Table 5).

As a result, countries are increasingly developing program indicators that measure intermediate and final outcomes. Intermediate outcome indicators measure changes in knowledge, behavior or conditions that result from program activities, while end outcome indicators aim to measure overall environmental improvements related to compliance and enforcement efforts. Experience in developing such indicators is expanding rapidly as more and more countries with transitional and emerging economies are launching pilot programs on environmental compliance and enforcement indicators.

## Table 5Types of Performance Indicators

Type of Indicator	What it Measures	Examples
Input	Resources	<ul><li>Personnel</li><li>Funds for salaries, contracts, IT, etc.</li></ul>
Output	Activities	<ul><li>Inspections conducted</li><li>Enforcement actions taken</li><li>Fines assessed</li></ul>
Intermediate Outcome	Behavior Change	<ul> <li>Greater understanding of how to comply</li> <li>Improved facility management practices</li> <li>Increased compliance</li> </ul>
Final Outcome	Environmental Impact	<ul> <li>Reduced pollution emissions</li> <li>Improved ambient water quality</li> <li>Reduced contaminant burden in wildlife species</li> </ul>

Table 6 Environmental Co	Table 6           Environmental Compliance and Enforcement Practitioner	actitioner Networks		
	IMPEL (1992)	<b>REPIN</b> (1999)	BERCEN (2001)	INECE (1989)
	EU Network for the Implementation and Enforcement of Environmental Law europa.eu.int/comm/environment/impel/	Regulatory Environmental Programme Implementation Network www.oecd.org	Balkan Environmental Regulatory Compliance and Enforcement Network www.rec.org	International Network for Environmental Compliance and Enforcement www.inece.org
Mission	To protect the environment by the effec- tive implementation of European law.	To enable environmental authorities and other stakeholders in Eastern Europe Caucus and Central Asia (EECCA) countries to provide protection of the environment and human health by developing and implementing environmen- tally effective, economically efficient environmental policies and legislation, and ensuring compliance with environmental requirements.	To increase the effectiveness of enforce- ment agencies and promote compliance with environmental requirements.	To contribute to a healthy and clean environment, sustainable use of natural resources and the protection of ecosys- tem integrity through effective compliance and enforcement of environmental laws using regulatory and non-regulatory approaches.
Member Countries / Region	29 countries: all Member States of the European Union (EU); 10 future Member States; 3 candidate countries: Bulgaria, Romania and Turkey; and Norway, Euro- pean Commission (Region: EU)	Armenia, Azerbaijan, Belarus, Georgia, Kazakhstan, Kyrgyzstan, Moldova, the Russian Federation, Tajikistan, Turkmenistan, Ukraine, Uzbekistan (Region: EECCA)	Albania, Bosnia and Herzegovina, Croatia, FYR Macedonia, Serbia and Montenegro, and Bulgaria and Romania are permanent observers (Region: South Eastern Europe (SEE))	2,500 members, including USEPA, Netherlands, BERCEN, IMPEL, REPIN, European Commission (Region: Global)
Organizational Structure	<ul> <li>Secretariat (housed at EU's Environmental Directorate General Office in Brussels)</li> <li>Member States</li> <li>National Coordinators</li> <li>2 plenary meetings/year co-chaired by the European Commission</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Secretariat (housed at OECD in Paris)</li> <li>Member countries</li> <li>National Coordinators</li> <li>I plenary meeting/year</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Secretariat (housed at the Regional Environmental Center (REC) in Szentendre, Hungary)</li> <li>Member countries</li> <li>National Network Coordinators</li> <li>At least 1 plenary meeting/year co-chaired by the European Commission and the REC</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Secretariat (housed at Earthpace in Washington DC)</li> <li>Executive Planning Committee (EPC)</li> <li>I plenary meeting/year</li> <li>International Conferences every 2-3 years</li> <li>"Network of networks"</li> </ul>
Work Programs and Activities	<ul> <li>IMPEL's two programmatic areas include:</li> <li>I.The Minimum Criteria for Inspections Programme includes trainings and publications on general principles, best practices for environmental inspectors.</li> <li>2.The Permitting and Monitoring Programme under the 6<sup>th</sup> Environmen- tal Action Programme aims to improve compliance monitoring and permitting legislation and implementation practices.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>REPIN's three programmatic areas include:</li> <li>I.Strengthening Environmental Policy Instruments</li> <li>Permitting, standards, reform</li> <li>2.Strengthening Environmental Enforcement Instruments</li> <li>economic and financial aspects of compliance assurance policies</li> <li>3.Strengthening Capacity of Environmental Agencies</li> <li>indicators, peer reviews</li> </ul>	Main BERCEN activities concern training of environmental inspectors and exchange of experience through exchange programs, study tours, peer review assessments, national trainings and participation in other networks.	<ul> <li>INECE's work centers on (1) developing networks for enforcement cooperation, (2) strengthening capacity for compliance and enforcement, and (3) raising awareness of the importance of environmental compliance and enforcement. Key INECE activities include:</li> <li>Organize international conferences on environmental compliance and enforcement. Compliance and enforcement is include:</li> <li>Organize international conferences on environmental compliance and enforcement. The other environmental compliance and enforcement (ECE) Indicators</li> <li>Update website</li> </ul>

## C. Lessons Learned from Compliance and Enforcement Practitioner Networks

Environmental compliance and enforcement practitioner networks provide a platform for policy-makers, officials and other practitioners (e.g., prosecutors, judges, civil society leaders and experts) to share experience in the development and implementation of environmental policies and practices. While each network has a different mission, activities and organizational structure, all networks work in direct consultation with members to address specific country and regional priorities (see Table 6).

To assist decision-makers in understanding the potential benefits of establishing a practitioner network in Asia, representatives from the secretariats of international and regional networks joined the forum to share practical knowledge and experience in establishing and operating environmental compliance and enforcement practitioner networks. Network representatives emphasized the importance of collaborative exchange between member countries in policy development and capacity building activities, as well as between other networks and donors to leverage experience, capabilities and knowledge.

## International Network for Environmental Compliance and Enforcement (INECE)

Mr. Kenneth Markowitz, INECE Secretariat

Founded in 1989, INECE is a global network dedicated to promoting effective compliance and enforcement of domestic environmental laws and international environmental agreements through networking, capacity building and cooperation. INECE is a partnership among government and non-government compliance and enforcement practitioners from over 100 countries.

INECE's mission is to: (1) raise awareness on the importance of environmental compliance and enforcement; (2) strengthen capacity for compliance and enforcement through training and issue analysis; and (3) develop networks for enforcement cooperation. INECE aims to raise awareness and disseminate information to practitioners through its website, workshops, conferences and newsletters. INECE promotes cooperation in target areas and helps strengthen regional networks worldwide.

## Regulatory Environmental Programme Implementation Network (REPIN)

#### Ms. Angela Bularga, OECD Secretariat

REPIN was formed in 1999 to assist environmental enforcement authorities in Eastern Europe Caucasus and Central Asia (EECCA), which faced significant environmental challenges. REPIN's objectives are to improve enforcement agencies and instruments based on best international practices and cost effectiveness, to transfer knowledge and skills, to re-shape relations between the agencies and regulated community, to develop effective environmental policies and legislation, and to stimulate international cooperation/harmonization and economic integration.

REPIN activities center on promoting development of environmental policy instruments, improving compliance assurance strategies and tools, and increasing performance of environmental enforcement agencies in member countries. To implement these activities, REPIN develops comprehensive studies, policy reports and tools; organizes specialized trainings and workshops to present practices and exchange experiences on priority areas; and implements pilot projects and disseminates information among all relevant stakeholders. REPIN's accomplishments include capacity building efforts for inspectors and development of guiding principles documents on environmental and permitting system reform initiatives, among many others.

#### Balkan Environmental Regulatory Compliance and Enforcement Network (BERCEN)

Mr. Mihail Dimovski, BERCEN Secretariat

Comprised of member countries in South Eastern Europe (SEE), BERCEN has been working since 2001 to facilitate, assist and promote enforcement of regulations by disseminating information and developing demonstration projects. BERCEN serves as a forum for exchanging best practices, fostering regional cooperation and improving overall enforcement within member countries.

To facilitate dialogue, BERCEN organizes study tours, peer reviews, train-the-trainer and exchange programs for environmental inspectors; develops assessments of existing enforcement programs; identifies priority areas for reform; and organizes annual plenary meetings for members to engage in strategic discussions about the network's activities and share lessons learned on compliance.

BERCEN's organizational structure consists of a secretariat and national coordinators. The secretariat plays a key role in managing and implementing program activities, while the national coordinators identify country priorities and work closely with the secretariat and country leaders to implement program activities. BERCEN closely coordinates with REPIN, IMPEL and INECE.

#### Key Recommendations for Launching a Network

In presenting their experience, representatives from REPIN and BERCEN offered key points for consideration by Asian decision-makers in launching a practitioner network. While the proposed network's mission, organizational structure and activities will depend on country and regional priorities and available resources, there are some key lessons to be learned from the European experience. Table 7 summarizes key recommendations for launching a network.

#### Table 7

#### Key Recommendations for Launching a Network

- Proceed from needs and clear objectives;
- Agree on a practical work program that focuses on specific products and results;
- Rely on strong stakeholder ownership and political commitment;
- Define clearly the responsibilities of key network participants, including the secretariat;
- · Maintain a link to key decision-makers to ensure political support;
- · Focus on human capacity development and commitment as main driving forces for change;
- Maintain strong stakeholder communication, including with the general public;
- · Develop and apply indicators to measure progress and results; and
- Interact and cooperate with international networks and partners.

In sharing their experience, representatives from the three networks expressed their interest in continued cooperation and support in the creation of a practitioner network in Asia.

# establishing an asian network

To gain insights into requirements and priorities for establishing a network in Asia, forum participants from China, India, Indonesia, Philippines, Sri Lanka, Thailand and Vietnam completed a questionnaire and joined facilitated discussions. Key topics of discussion included:

- Establishment of an Asian network,
- Objectives and activities,
- Priority program areas,
- Scope of implementation, and
- Organizational requirements.

Taken together, the results of these discussions form an action agenda for use by countries and donor partners in moving forward to establish the Asian environmental compliance and enforcement network.

#### **Establishment of an Asian Network**

As a key threshold issue, participants unanimously supported the establishment of a regional compliance and enforcement network for Asia. Participants agreed that a practitioner network would serve as a valuable resource for strengthening compliance with environmental requirements in their individual countries, and also provide a platform for addressing regional transboundary environmental challenges.

#### **Objectives and Activities**

Based on survey questions and discussions, participants identified three main objectives of the proposed environmental compliance and enforcement network:

- Promote the development and implementation of improved policies and institutions,
- Strengthen practitioner capacity, and
- Support regional sharing of best practices on compliance and enforcement.

To achieve these objectives, workshop participants identified potential network activities. Priority activities included in-country pilot projects to support formulation and development of new policies, regulations and procedures, as well as an annual forum that would facilitate sharing of best practices, experience and information. Table 8 provides a summary of potential network activities. "Enforcement has become a top priority for Thailand, but also for our neighbors. Only by coming together as partners under a sustainable network can we share ideas and techniques that will enable each country to tackle our common challenges."

part 3

Dr. Supat Wangwongwatana, Deputy Director General, Thailand Pollution Control Department 3

## Table 8Network Objectives and Potential Activities

Objectives	Potential Activities
I. Promote the development and implementation of improved policies and institutions	<ul> <li>Pilot projects for new policies, regulations and procedures</li> <li>Regional program assessments and studies</li> <li>Performance indicator development and tracking</li> <li>Regional guiding principles</li> </ul>
2. Strengthen practitioner capacity	<ul> <li>Specialized practitioner trainings and tools</li> <li>Performance indicator development and tracking</li> <li>Peer exchanges</li> </ul>
3. Support regional sharing of best practices on compliance and enforcement	<ul> <li>Annual forum</li> <li>Peer exchanges</li> <li>Website, publications and information databases</li> <li>Linkages to other networks</li> </ul>

To ensure the effectiveness of network activities, workshop participants agreed that the network must maintain a strategic focus that both targets country-specific needs and leverages regional experience. Emphasis in programming should be on developing and implementing innovative solutions that meet priority needs rather than creating a general networking platform with a broad mandate but limited capacity to ensure on-the-ground results.

#### **Priority Program Areas**

While priority program areas varied somewhat by country, participants identified the following priority areas for the network to target when developing projects and activities:

- Enforcement policies and authority;
- Institutional arrangements and decentralization;
- Compliance assurance (e.g., permitting and inspections);
- Self-monitoring, self-reporting, record-keeping;
- Public participation and economic instruments; and
- Indicators to evaluate agency performance.

These program areas correspond with common challenges identified in the country program assessments conducted in India, Philippines and Thailand.

#### Scope of Implementation

Participants confirmed that while network activities should focus primarily on improved implementation of domestic legal requirements, the network should also include activities that address transboundary pollution. In addition, the forum participants voiced the view that the network should not focus exclusively on urban and industrial environmental impacts, but, where practical, should include natural resources or coastal zone impacts and management.

#### **Organizational Requirements**

In discussions on establishing and operating an Asian practitioner network, participants identified four primary organizational requirements:

- Country membership,
- National coordinators,
- Executive committee, and
- Secretariat.

Country membership. Workshop participants recommended a membership structure where representatives from country environmental compliance and enforcement agencies would serve as the primary network members. While ministries would be official members, implementing departments could serve as the points of contact and program representatives.

Forum participants also voiced their support for involving other in-country stakeholders in network activities. Stakeholders could include related agency officials, judges, lawyers, civil society leaders and other experts.

National coordinators. The success of the network will depend on substantial commitments of time and resources by participating member countries, with differentiated responsibilities linked to available resources or level of program development. For countries where network partners will work closely to support formulation and development of new policies, practices and capabilities, participating member agencies should assign national coordinators to facilitate project and activity implementation.

Workshop participants also suggested that member countries should contribute not only to the development of their own programs and capabilities, but to those of counterparts. In particular, countries should host counterpart agencies through peer exchanges and transfer of information, as well as serve as mentors for particular program areas based on their comparative advantages and capabilities.

Executive committee. There was consensus on the need to establish an executive committee composed of country and donor representatives to guide the establishment and overall operations of the network. As a first task, an interim executive committee would be formed to develop the terms of reference for the network.

Once established, the network executive committee would oversee the development and implementation of annual work programs, as well as guide network sustainability. Members could serve on a rotating basis as set forth in the terms of reference.

Secretariat. To support implementation and coordination of network activities, participants agreed that the network should establish a secretariat. As with other networks, the secretariat would provide a central coordinating function between the executive committee, national coordinators, member countries and their stakeholders.

The secretariat would be responsible for working with partners to organize country and regional activities, support executive committee meetings, develop publications and tools, develop information management systems and track both network and country progress. The secretariat would also provide the focal point for coordination with other international and regional groups, including UNEP, ASEAN, APEC, SAARC, and other enforcement networks, such as INECE, REPIN, BERCEN and IMPEL.

#### **Partner Commitments**

Representatives from PCD, US-AEP, ADB and OECD made concluding remarks at the regional workshop, reaffirming their commitment to support the establishment of a regional environmental compliance and enforcement network.

#### Thailand Pollution Control Department

Dr. Supat Wangwongwatana, Deputy Director General

Workshop participants from seven Asian nations were unanimous in their support for establishing an Asian environmental compliance and enforcement network. Such consensus flowed naturally from two days of discussion on sharing international best practices and experience about environmental compliance and enforcement efforts, and on learning from the established networks of INECE, REPIN and BERCEN.

An Asian network will fill an important need in the region and enable counterpart environmental agencies and practitioners to exchange best practices and strengthen compliance and enforcement. To move forward, participants must maintain long-term commitment and formulate a sustainable work plan.

#### **United States-Asia Environmental Partnership** Mr.Winston Bowman, Regional Coordinator

US-AEP is proud to support the establishment of a regional enforcement network dedicated to bringing together countries to share experiences and best practices for improving compliance and enforcement in Asia. Discussions on the network's organization and operations were very thoughtful and productive with the proposal to have national coordinators representing each country, an executive or steering committee guiding the network work plan development and a secretariat implementing and coordinating regional activities.

Recognizing different country levels of progress on environmental enforcement and compliance, US-AEP believes that developing country-specific indicators can be an effective means for addressing differences and sharing experiences. Finally, the issue of sustainability should be addressed from the very outset of establishing this network.



Representatives from USAID, Thailand PCD and ADB offer concluding remarks. From left: Mr. Winston Bowman, Regional Coordinator, US-AEP; Dr. Supat Wangwongwatana, Deputy Director General, Thailand's Pollution Control Department; Mr. Mark Kasman, Environmental Management Specialist, Asian Development Bank.

#### Asian Development Bank

#### Mr. Mark Kasman, Environmental Management Specialist

ADB commends the efforts of participants and commits future funds to support the establishment and development of the proposed regional network. This gathering of participants from seven Asian nations and the resulting consensus to establish an enforcement network signals significant progress to improve environmental conditions in the region.

#### **Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development**

Ms. Angela Bularga and Mr. Eugene Mazur, Environment Directorate

Based on our experience in launching and operating a practitioner network in the countries in the EECCA, OECD commends the decision by participants to establish their own network in Asia to share experiences and ultimately develop improved environmental policies, institutions and capacity. OECD is interested in working closely with Asian environmental practitioners and linking the proposed network activities with planned and future OECD compliance and enforcement activities in Asia. As a first step, OECD looks forward to building on this momentum by inviting participants to join a workshop on water quality management instruments in Japan in December 2004.

#### Next Steps: Developing an Asian Network

As a next step, partner countries, donor agencies and networks will work together to begin implementation of the proposed action agenda, including establishing an interim executive committee to guide development of the network terms of reference, and support planning of a launching workshop in 2005 in Manila. The interim executive committee will invite new member countries and other donor partners to join the Asian Environmental Compliance and Enforcement Network (AECEN).



Participants discuss strategies for establishing an Asian network on environmental compliance and enforcement.

# appendix I

#### **REGIONAL WORKSHOP**

workshop agenda

ASIAN ENVIRONMENTAL COMPLIANCE AND ENFORCEMENT FORUM: Sharing International Experience on Environmental Compliance and Enforcement

#### Four Seasons Hotel, Pimarnman Room Bangkok, Thailand October 27-28, 2004

#### DAY I:WEDNESDAY, OCTOBER 27, 2004

8:30 – 9:00	Registration
-------------	--------------

#### 9:00 – 9:10 **Opening Remarks**

H.E. Mr. Petipong Pungbun Na Ayudhya Permanent Secretary Thailand Ministry of Natural Resource and Environment (MoNRE)

#### 9:10 – 9:30 Welcoming Remarks

H.E. Mr. Darryl N. Johnson United States Ambassador to Thailand

#### 9:30 - 10:00 Keynote: Importance of Environmental Enforcement and Regional Cooperation

Hon. Mr. Justice Vijender Jain Delhi High Court, India

10:00 - 10:30 Coffee Break

#### 10:30 – 10:45 Forum Objectives

Dr. Supat Wangwongwatana Deputy Director General Thailand Pollution Control Department

#### 10:45 – 12:00 Regional Enforcement and Compliance Program Challenges

Moderator:	Ms. Jane Nishida, Senior Environmental Institutions Specialist
	World Bank

- Thailand: Dr. Supat Wangwongwatana, Deputy Director General Pollution Control Department
- Philippines: Mr. Luciano Hornilla, Regional Director Department of Environment and Natural Resources, EMB Region 4-A

India: Dr. Dipankar Saha, Head, Agra Project Office India Central Pollution Control Board

12:00 – 1:30 Lunch at Monthathip I Room (Ground Floor)

#### 1:30 – 2:00 Guiding Principles of Reform of Enforcement Agencies

Mr. Eugene Mazur and Ms. Angela Bularga Environment Directorate Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD)

#### 2:00 – 3:30 Panel I: Permitting, Monitoring and Inspection Policies and Practices

- Moderator: Mr. Davis Jones, Environmental Scientist, U.S. EPA
- Thailand: Development of New Self-Monitoring and Self-Reporting Regulations Mr. Burachatr Akkaraporn, Environmental Inspector Pollution Control Department
- Philippines: Use of Inspection Checklists for Strengthening Compliance Monitoring and Enforcement Mr.Virgilio Fabronero, Chief, Pollution Control Division Department of Environment and Natural Resources, EMB Region 6

#### Discussion

#### 3:30 – 3:45 Coffee Break

#### 3:45 – 5:15 Panel 2: Strengthening Institutional Arrangements and Decentralization

- Moderator: Ms. Angela Bularga, Environment Directorate, OECD
- Sri Lanka: Regionalization of Central Environment Authority Ms. Manel Jayamanna, Director General, Central Environmental Authority
- China: Strengthening Provincial and Local Level Enforcement Capabilities Mr. Chen Shanrong, Deputy Director General, State Environmental Protection Administration

Discussion

#### 5:15 – 5:30 **Conclusion and Wrap-up** Dr. Supat Wangwongwatana, Deputy Director General, Pollution Control Department

#### DAY 2: THURSDAY, OCTOBER 28, 2004

8:30 – 8:45 Review of Day I and Overview for Day 2

Mr. Winston Bowman, Regional Coordinator, US-AEP

#### 8:45 – 10:15 Panel 3: Enforcement Actions and Responses

- Moderator: Ms. Mamie Miller, Office of Enforcement and Compliance, U.S. EPA
- Philippines: Strengthening Enforcement Response in Laguna de Bay Mr. Ceazar Natividad, Laguna Lake Development Authority, Department of Environment and Natural Resources
- India: Public Interest Litigation in India Mr.Amarjit Singh Chandhiok, Sr.Vice President, Asia Pacific Jurist Association

Discussion

10:15 - 10:30 Coffee Break

## 10:30 – 12:00 Panel 4: Promoting Compliance Through Economic Instruments and Incentive Based Approaches

Moderator:	Mr. Benoit LaPlante, Senior Environmental Economist, US-AEP

- Indonesia: Promoting Compliance through Public Disclosure Mrs. Hermien Roosita, Assistant Deputy, Ministry of Environment
- Vietnam: Developing Vietnam's Pollution Charge System Mrs. Nguyen Thi Kim Dzung, Ministry of Planning and Investment

#### Discussion

12:00 – 1:30 Lunch at Ratana-Kosin Room (Second Floor)

## 1:30 – 2:00 Strategies for Developing National and Regional Indicators: Measuring Performance of Compliance and Enforcement Programs

Mr. Kenneth Markowitz, INECE Secretariat Ms. Mamie Miller, Office of Enforcement and Compliance, U.S. EPA

#### 2:00 – 2:30 Compliance and Enforcement Networks: Building Practitioner & Program Capacity

Mr. Kenneth Markowitz, INECE Secretariat Ms. Angela Bularga, Environment Directorate, OECD/REPIN Secretariat Mr. Mihail Dimovski, Program Manager, BERCEN Secretariat

#### 2:30 – 3:45 Small Group Discussions: Strategies for Developing an Asian Compliance and Enforcement Network

#### Facilitators: Mr. Kenneth Markowitz, INECE, and Mr. Mark Kasman, ADB Mr. Eugene Mazur and Ms. Angela Bularga, OECD/REPIN Mr. Mihail Dimovski, BERCEN, and Ms. Jane Nishida, WB Mr. Davis Jones and Ms. Mamie Miller, U.S. EPA

3:45 – 4:00 Coffee Break

#### 4:00 – 5:00 Plenary Discussion: Report out Proposed Recommendations for an Asian Network

Moderators: Mr. Paul Violette, US-AEP Mr. Kenneth Markowitz, INECE

5:00 – 5:15 Conclusion and Wrap-up

Dr. Supat Wangwongwatana, Deputy Director General, Pollution Control Department

5:30 – 8:30 **Reception** at Ratana-Kosin Room (Second Floor)

# list of participants

# appendix 2

#### CHINA

#### Dr. Sun Zhenshi

Deputy Director General State Environmental Protection Administration (SEPA) Bureau of Environmental Protection Inspection I 15 Nan Xiao Jie, Xi Zhi Men Nei Da Jie Beijing 100035 PR China Tel/Fax: (86) 10 661 693 32 szs@12369.gov.cn

#### Mr. Chen Shanrong

Deputy Director General State Environmental Protection Administration (SEPA) Bureau of Environmental Protection Inspection 15 Nan Xiao Jie, Xi Zhi Men Nei Da Jie Beijing 100035 PR China Tel/Fax: (86) 10 661 693 32

#### Mr. Li Guangqun

Deputy Director Jiangsu Provincial Environmental Supervisor General Team Division of Environmental Supervision Jiangsu Provincial Environmental Protection Bureau No. 241 Fenghuang Xi Jie, Nanjing City Jiangsu Province, PR China Tel: (86) 25 865 575 09 Fax: (86) 25 865 575 10

#### Mr. Ge Chazhong

Associate Professor CRAES - Chinese Academy for Environmental Planning, Chinese Research Academy of Environmental Sciences No. 8 Dayangfang St, Chaoyang District Beijing 100012 PR China Tel: (86) 10 849 152 60 Fax: (86) 10 849 159 95 gecz@craes.org.cn , chazhongge@hotmail.com

#### INDIA

#### Hon. Mr. Justice Vijender Jain

Delhi High Court 12 Asoka Road New Delhi, India Tel: 91 11 2338 3007 Fax: 91 11 2338 3375 Dr. Dipankar Saha Scientist/Head of Agra Project Office India Central Pollution Control Board (CPCB) Ministry of Environment & Forests 4 Dholpur House, Agra -1 India Tel: 91 0 941 226 0942 cpcbagra@sancharnet.in

#### Mr. Amarjit Singh Chandhiok

Senior Vice President Asia Pacific Jurist Association A-382 Defence Colony New Delhi 110 024 India Tel: 91 11 2433 0496, 2433 0796, 2433 7996 Fax: 91 11 2433 0596

#### Mr. Pradeep Bakshi

Asia Pacific Jurist Association A-382 Defence Colony New Delhi 110 024 India Tel: 91 11 2433 0496, 2433 0796, 2433 7996 Fax: 91 11 2433 0596

#### Mr.Anil Sapra

Asia Pacific Jurist Association A-382 Defence Colony New Delhi 110 024 India Tel: 91 11 2433 0496, 2433 0796, 2433 7996 Fax: 91 11 2433 0596

#### **INDONESIA**

#### Mrs. Hermien Roosita

Assistant Deputy of Manufacturing Infrastructure and Service Affairs Ministry of Environment JI.DI. Panjaitan Kav. 24 Building A, 5<sup>th</sup> Floor Jakarta Timur 13410 Indonesia Tel/Fax: (6221) 85 90 4932 hermienroosita@yahoo.com

#### Mr. Antonius Sardjanto

Assistant Deputy of Manufacturing Infrastructure and Service Affairs Ministry of Environment Subdivision Head of Implementation Analysis JI.DI. Panjaitan Kav. 24 Building A, 5<sup>th</sup> Floor Jakarta Timur 13410 Indonesia Tel/Fax: (6221) 85 90 4932

#### Ms. Sri Parwati Murwani Budisusanti

Head of Sub Division for Verification Assistant Deputy for Agriculture and Forestry The Ministry of Environment A Building, 5th Floor, Jl. D.I. Panjaitan Kav 24 Jakarta 13410 Indonesia Tel/Fax : (6221) 851 7257 budisusanti@menlh.go.id

#### Mrs. Cicilia Sulastri

Deputy of Law Enforcement Ministry of Environment Division Head of Public Complaint JI.DI. Panjaitan Kav. 24 Building A, 5<sup>th</sup> Floor Jakarta Timur 13410 Indonesia Tel/Fax: (6221) 85 90 4926

#### Mrs.Vinda Damayanti

Staff of Assistant Deputy of Manufacturing Infrastructure and Service Affairs Ministry of Environment JI.DI. Panjaitan Kav. 24 Building A, 5<sup>th</sup> Floor Jakarta Timur 13410 Indonesia Tel/Fax: (6221) 85 90 4932

#### PHILIPPINES

#### Mr. Virgilio Fabronero

Chief, Pollution Control Division Environmental Management Bureau Region 6 Department of Environment and Natural Resources P.Aquino Drive Port Area Iloilo City, Philippines Tel/Fax: (63 33) 336 9910 Cell: (63 91) 7302 3332 virfabronero@yahoo.com embr6@yahoo.com

#### Mr. Ceazar H. Natividad

Engineer Laguna Lake Development Authority Department of Environment and Natural Resources Capitol Compound, Shaw Blvd Pasig City 1600 Philippines Tel: (63 2) 635 6682 Fax: (63 2) 635 6680 czar\_natividad@yahoo.com

#### **SRI LANKA**

#### Ms. Manel Jayamanna

Director General Central Environmental Authority 407/31, Samagi Mawatha Udahamulla, Nugegoda Sri Lanka Tel: 94 11 287 2359 Cell: 94 777 480 204 Fax: 94 11 287 2608 manelj@cea.lk

#### Dr. Azeez M. Mubarak

Director/CEO Industrial Technology Institute 363 Bauddaloka Mawatha Colombo 7 Sri Lanka Tel: 94 11 269 1614 Fax: 94 11 268 6567 muba@iti.lk

#### THAILAND

#### Mr. Petipong Pungbun Na Ayudhya

Permanent Secretary Ministry of Natural Resources and Environment 92 Soi Phahonyothin 7 Phaholyothin Road Sam Sen Nai, Phayathai District Bangkok 10400 Thailand Tel: (662) 278 8544 Fax: (662) 278 8545

#### Mr. Chalermsak Wanichsombat

Deputy Permanent Secretary Ministry of Natural Resources and Environment 92 Soi Phahonyothin 7 Phaholyothin Road Sam Sen Nai, Phayathai District Bangkok 10400 Thailand Tel: (662) 298 2789

#### Dr. Supat Wangwongwatana

Deputy Director General Pollution Control Department 92 Soi Phahonyothin 7 Phaholyothin Road Sam Sen Nai, Phayathai District Bangkok 10400 Thailand Tel: (662) 298 2152 Fax: (662) 298 2153 supat.w@pcd.go.th

#### Mrs. Chantana Bharkthongsook

Director, Inspection and Enforcement Division Pollution Control Department 92 Soi Phahonyothin 7 Phaholyothin Road Sam Sen Nai, Phayathai District Bangkok 10400 Thailand Tel: (662) 298 2626 Fax: (662) 298 2596 chantana.b@pcd.go.th

#### Mr. Suphavit Piamphongsant

Inspector General Ministry of Natural Resources and Environment 92 Soi Phahonyothin 7 Phaholyothin Road Sam Sen Nai, Phayathai District Bangkok 10400 Thailand Tel: (662) 278 8567-8 Fax: (662) 278 8568 suphavit\_p@monre.go.th suphavit\_p@yahoo.com

#### Mr. Burachatr Akkaraporn

Environmental Inspector Pollution Control Department 92 Soi Phahonyothin 7 Phaholyothin Road Sam Sen Nai, Phayathai District Bangkok 10400 Thailand Tel: (662) 298 2624 Fax: (662) 298 2596 burachatr@pcd.go.th

#### Ms. Umarporn Charusombat

Environmental Inspector Pollution Control Department 92 Soi Phahonyothin 7 Phaholyothin Road Sam Sen Nai, Phayathai District Bangkok 10400 Thailand Tel: (662) 298 2624 Fax: (662) 298 2597 cumarporn@hotmail.com

#### Mr. Weerachart Nipattasuk

Environmental Inspector Pollution Control Department 92 Soi Phahon Yothin 7 Phaholyothin Road Sam Sen Nai, Phayathai District Bangkok 10400 Thailand Tel: (662) 298 2624 Fax: (662) 298 2598 nipatta@yahoo.com

#### Mr. Warapong Tungkittiplakorn

Environmental Inspector Pollution Control Department 92 Soi Phahonyothin 7 Phaholyothin Road Sam Sen Nai, Phayathai District Bangkok 10400 Thailand Tel: (662) 298 2624 Fax: (662) 298 2599

#### Ms. Opal Pakrobboon

Environmental Inspector Pollution Control Department 92 Soi Phahonyothin 7 Phaholyothin Road Sam Sen Nai, Phayathai District Bangkok 10400 Thailand Tel: (662) 298 2555 Fax: (662) 298 2601

#### Ms. Chompoonoot Lohitanon

Environmental Officer 7 Pollution Control Department 92 Soi Phahonyothin 7 Phaholyothin Road Sam Sen Nai, Phayathai District Bangkok 10400 Thailand Tel: (662) 298 2497

#### Ms. Sasivimon Naewphong

Environmental Officer 6 Pollution Control Department 92 Soi Phahonyothin 7 Phaholyothin Road Sam Sen Nai, Phayathai District Bangkok 10400 Thailand Tel: (662) 298 2442

#### Ms. Phitinun Oratai

Environmental Officer 6 Pollution Control Department 92 Soi Phahonyothin 7 Phaholyothin Road Sam Sen Nai, Phayathai District Bangkok 10400 Thailand Tel: (662) 298 2218

#### Ms. Phanit Ratasuk

Environmental Officer 6 Pollution Control Department 92 Soi Phahonyothin 7 Phaholyothin Road Sam Sen Nai, Phayathai District Bangkok 10400 Thailand Tel: (662) 298 2219

#### Ms. Anuda Tawatsin

Environmental Official 5 Pollution Control Department 92 Soi Phahonyothin 7 Phaholyothin Road Sam Sen Nai, Phayathai District Bangkok 10400 Thailand Tel: (662) 298 2555 Fax: (662) 298 2600

#### Ms. Piyavadee Limvoranusorn

Environmental Officer 5 Pollution Control Department 92 Soi Phahonyothin 7 Phaholyothin Road Sam Sen Nai, Phayathai District Bangkok 10400 Thailand Tel: (662) 298 2339 Fax: (662) 298 2357 emission@pcd.go.th

#### Ms. Orrawan Manoonwong

Environmental Officer 5 Pollution Control Department 92 Soi Phahonyothin 7 Phaholyothin Road Sam Sen Nai, Phayathai District Bangkok 10400 Thailand Tel: (662) 298 2334 Fax: (662) 298 2357 emission@pcd.go.th

#### Ms. Jiranun Hempoonsert

Environmental Officer 5 Pollution Control Department 92 Soi Phahonyothin 7 Phaholyothin Road Sam Sen Nai, Phayathai District Bangkok 10400 Thailand Tel: (662) 298 2497

#### Ms. Pimjai Sawadisara

Environmental Official Pollution Control Department 92 Soi Phahonyothin 7 Phaholyothin Road Sam Sen Nai, Phayathai District Bangkok 10400 Thailand Tel: (662) 298 2623 Fax: (662) 298 2603

#### Mr. Jirasak Tongsungnern

Environmental Officer Pollution Control Department 92 Soi Phahonyothin 7 Phaholyothin Road Sam Sen Nai, Phayathai District Bangkok 10400 Thailand Tel: (662) 298 2624

#### Mr. Aphipong Satapong

Environmental Officer Pollution Control Department 92 Soi Phahonyothin 7 Phaholyothin Road Sam Sen Nai, Phayathai District Bangkok 10400 Thailand Tel: (662) 298 2624 Fax: (662) 298 2599

#### Mr. Suchin Sangkhapong

Legal Officer 8 Pollution Control Department 92 Soi Phahonyothin 7 Phaholyothin Road Sam Sen Nai, Phayathai District Bangkok 10400 Thailand Tel: (662) 298 2504

#### Ms. Duangrak Trongmethirat

Legal Official Pollution Control Department 92 Soi Phahonyothin 7 Phaholyothin Road Sam Sen Nai, Phayathai District Bangkok 10400 Thailand Tel: (662) 298 2516 Fax: (662) 298 2603 duangrak.t@pcd.go.th

#### Ms. Sukhuma Wichitwongwan

Legal Official Pollution Control Department 92 Soi Phahonyothin 7 Phaholyothin Road Sam Sen Nai, Phayathai District Bangkok 10400 Thailand Tel: (662) 298 2516 Fax: (662) 298 2602 sukhuma.w@pcd.go.th

#### Mr. Halem J. Marican

Environmental Official 7 Regional Environmental Office 11 Ministry of Natural Resources and Environment Pol Larn Street, Nai Muang, Muang Nakhon Rachasima 30000 Thailand Tel: (6644) 242 818 Fax: (6644) 243 480 admin@reo11.net

#### Mr. Thananchai Wannasook

Environmental Official 6 Regional Environmental Office 11 Ministry of Natural Resources and Environment Pol Larn Street, Nai Muang, Muang Nakhon Rachasima 30000 Thailand Tel: (6644) 242 818 Fax: (6644) 243 480 admin@reo11.net

#### Ms. Chayanun Suvanamas

Legal Officer 5 Office of Secretary The Ministry of Natural Resources and Environment 92 Soi Phahonyothin 7 Phaholyothin Road Sam Sen Nai, Phayathai District Bangkok 10400 Thailand

#### Mr.Vichai Vivitasevi

Presiding Justice The Supreme Court 6 Rajchadamnern Nai Avenue Bangkok 10200 Thailand Tel: (662) 225 1474 Fax: (662) 226 4383 Vivitsevi@hotmail.com

#### Mr. Pongdej Wanichkittikul

Chief Judge of the Office of the President of the Supreme Court The Supreme Court 6 Rajchadamnern Nai Avenue Bangkok 10200 Thailand Tel: (662) 938 3583 Fax: (662) 541 2760 Juris001@anet.net.th

#### Mr. Chonnapat Winyawat

Deputy Provincial Public Prosecutor Office of Ayuttaya Provincial State Atorrney Office of the Attorney-General Asia Rd, T. Klongsuanplu Ayuttaya 13000 Thailand Tel: (66 35) 346 013-4 Fax: (66 35) 356 013

#### Dr. Amnat Wongbandit

Associate Professor of Environmental Law Faculty of Law, Thammasat University 2 Thanon Phrachan Bangkok 10200 Thailand Tel: (662) 613 2155 Fax: (662) 224 9421 awongban@yahoo.com

#### Mr. Tinakorn Paikeaw

Legalist Department of Industrial Works Rama 6 Rd, Ratchathewi Bangkok 10400 Thailand Tel: (662) 202 3994 Fax: (662) 202 3997

## $a^2$

#### Ms. Kullaporn Nokchan

Member of the Environmental Sub-Committee The Law Society of Thailand 7/89 Mansion 10, Rajdamnern Klang Avenue Bovonnivet, Phranakorn Bangkok 10200 Thailand Tel: (662) 629 1430 Cell: (661) 841 8807 Fax: (662) 282 9907-8 k\_nokchan@hotmail.com

#### Mr. Chaweng Chao

Executive Environment Committee The Federation of Thai Industries Queen Sirikit National Convention Center Zone C, 4th Flr, 60 New Rachadapisek Rd Klong Toey, Bangkok 10110 Thailand Tel: (662) 345 1000 Fax: (662) 229 4941-2

#### Mr. Kriangsak Lertvuthinunt

Director of Safety and Occupational Health I The Industrial Estate Authority of Thailand 618 Nikhom Makkasan Rd, Rachathevi Bangkok 10400 Thailand Tel: (662) 253 0561 Fax: (662) 252 9273

#### Mr. Chansak Tikantakanak

Forestry Official 7 Provincial Natural Resources and Environmental Office Samut Prakarn City Hall, Suthi Phirom Rd Muang, Samut Prakarn, Thailand Tel: (662) 389 5229 Cell: (669) 511 2587

#### Ms. Nipa Jearpattaranon

Environmental Scientist The Industrial Estate Authority of Thailand 618 Nikhom Makkasan Rd, Rachathevi Bangkok 10400 Thailand Tel: (662) 253 0561 Fax: (662) 252 9273 nipaieat@yahoo.com

#### Mr. Rintawat Sombutsiri

Engineer 6 Department of Industrial Works Rama 6 Rd, Ratchathewi Bangkok 10400 Thailand Tel/Fax: (662) 202 3964 r\_sombutsiri@yahoo.com

#### Ms. Boonsom Lewsrivilai

Scientist Department of Industrial Works Rama 6 Rd, Ratchathewi Bangkok 10400 Thailand Tel: (662) 202 4141 Fax: (662) 202 4170

#### Ms. Sunaree Dinlakanont

Scientist Department of Industrial Works Rama 6 Rd, Ratchathewi Bangkok 10400 Thailand Tel: (662) 202 3963 Fax: (662) 202 4170 sunaree@diw.go.th

#### VIETNAM

#### Mr. Luong Khanh Hoang

Head of Environmental Inspector MoNRE 83 Nguyen Chi Thanh Street Hanoi, Vietnam Tel: (84 4) 773 5092 Cell: (849) 1327 0178 Fax: (84 4) 835 9221

#### Dr. Nguyen Ngoc Sinh

General Secretary Vietnam Association for Conservation of Nature and Environment (VACNE) 9/Fl,Vietnam Trade Union Hotel 14 Tran Binh Trong Hoan Kiem, Hanoi Vietnam Tel: (84-4) 942 0279 Fax: (84-4) 822 3189

#### Mr. Hoang Danh Son

Head of Environmental Management Division Quang Ninh DoNRE Hon Gai, Quang Ninh Vietnam Fax: (843) 383 5641

#### Mr. Nguyen Dinh Tuan

Director Vietnam EPA 23 Tran Phu, Dist 5 Ho Chi Minh City,Vietnam Tel: (848) 835 2238 Cell: (849) 380 3120 Fax: (848) 835 2240

#### Mrs. Nguyen Thi Kim Dzung

Central Institute for Economic Management Ministry of Planning and Investment 68 Phan Dinh Phung Street Hanoi, Vietnam Tel: (84 4) 080 4445 Cell: (84 9) 309 6269 Fax: (84 4) 845 6795 Kdung@ciem.org.vn

#### **U.S. GOVERNMENT**

#### Mr. Darryl N. Johnson

Ambassador to Thailand U.S. Embassy Embassy of the United States of America 120-122 Wireless Road Bangkok 10330 Thailand Tel: (662) 205 4000

#### Mr. James Waller

Regional Environmental Affairs Officer (Southeast Asia Pacific) State Department Embassy of the United States of America 120-122 Wireless Road Bangkok 10330 Thailand Tel: (662) 205 4712 Fax: (662) 254 2839 WallerJM@state.gov

#### Mr. Dan Kiefer

Regional Environmental and Health Assistant U.S. Embassy Embassy of the United States of America 120-122 Wireless Road Bangkok 10330 Thailand Tel: (662) 205 4367 Fax: (662) 254 2839 KieferDA@state.gov

#### Ms. Mamie Miller

Chief, Air Compliance Branch Compliance Assurance Division Environmental Protection Agency Ariel Rios Building 1200 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W. Washington, DC 20460 United States Tel: (202) 504 5962 Miller.mamie@epa.gov

#### Mr. Davis Jones

Environmental Scientist International Compliance Assurance Division Environmental Protection Agency Ariel Rios Building I 200 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W. Washington, DC 20460 United States Tel: (202) 564-6035 Fax: (202) 564 0073 Jones.davis@epa.gov

#### Mr. Winston Bowman

Regional Coordinator US-AEP USAID/RDMA Diethelm Tower A Suite 1001-4, 93/1 Wireless Road Bangkok 10330 Thailand Tel: (662) 263 7465 Fax: (662) 263 7499 wbowman@usaid.gov

#### Mr. Orestes Anastasia

Thailand Program Manager US-AEP USAID/RDMA Diethelm Tower A Suite 1001-4 93/I Wireless Road Bangkok 10330 Thailand Tel: (662) 263 7468 Fax: (662) 263 7499 oanastasia@usaid.gov

## $a^2$

#### Mr. Nathan Sage

Vietnam Program Manager US-AEP U.S. Embassy/Hanoi Rose Garden Tower 6 Ngoc Khanh Street Room 330 Ba Dinh District Hanoi SR Vietnam Tel: (84 4) 831 4607 Fax: (84 4) 831 4635 nsage@usaid.gov

#### Mr. Saengroaj Srisawaskraisorn

Regional Program Development Specialist US-AEP USAID/RDMA Diethelm Tower A Suite 1001-4, 93/1 Wireless Road Bangkok 10330 Thailand Tel: (662) 263 7464 Fax: (662) 263 7499 ssrisawas@usaid.gov

#### Ms. Piyachatr Pradubraj

Thailand Development Specialist US-AEP USAID/RDMA Diethelm Tower A Suite 1001-4 93/1 Wireless Road Bangkok 10330 Thailand Tel: (662) 263 7464 Fax: (662) 263 7499 ppradubraj@usaid.gov

#### INTERNATIONAL ORGANIZATIONS AND DONORS

#### Ms. Angela Bularga

Project Manager, Compliance Assurance OECD/REPIN Non-Member Countries Division Environment Directorate OECD 2, rue Andre-Pascal 75775 Paris Cedex 16, France Tel: (331) 452 49863 Fax: (331) 452 49671 Angela.BULARGA@oecd.org

#### Mr. Eugene Mazur

Project Manager, Policy Instruments OECD/REPIN Non-Member Countries Division Environment Directorate OECD 2, rue Andre-Pascal 75775 Paris Cedex 16, France Tel: (331) 452 47692 Fax: (331) 452 49671 Eugene.MAZUR@oecd.org

#### Mr. Mihail Dimovski

Project Manager/Lawyer BERCEN/REC 2000 Szentendre Ady Endre ut 9-11 2000 Szentendre Hungary Tel: (36-26) 504 000 ext. 305 Fax: (36-26) 311 294 Mdimovski@rec.org

#### Mr. Ken Markowitz

Secretariat, International Network for Environmental Compliance and Enforcement (INECE) 2141 Wisconsin Avenue NW, Suite D2 Washington, DC 20007 United States Tel: (202) 338 1300 Fax: (202) 338 1810 ken@inece.org

#### Mr. Patchamuthu Illangovan

Senior Environmental Specialist The World Bank 14th Floor, Diethelm Tower A 93/1 Wireless Road Bangkok 10330 Thailand Tel: (66 2) 256 7792 ext. 321 Fax: (662) 256 7794-5 pillangovan@woldbank.org

#### Ms. Jane Nishida

Senior Environmental Institutions Specialist The World Bank 1818 H Street, N.W. Washington, DC 20433 United States Tel: (202) 473 1000 Fax: (202) 477 6391 jnishida@worldbank.org

#### Mr. Mark Kasman

Environmental Management Specialist Asian Development Bank 6 ADB Avenue, Mandaluyong City 1550 Philippines Tel: (632) 632 6934 Fax: (632) 636 2444 mkasman@adb.org

#### **RESOURCE PERSONS**

#### Mr. Benoit Laplante

Senior Environmental Economist US-AEP/ PADCO 410 De Bourges Apartment 4 Lavel, Quebec, Canada H7N 5A2 Tel: 301 933 4565 benoit\_laplante@hotmail.com benoit@laplante.org

#### Mr. Paul Violette

Senior Policy Advisor US-AEP/PADCO SG Tower, 5th Floor, Unit 506 161/1 Soi Mahadlek Luang 3 Rajdamri Rd, Patumwan Bangkok 10330 Thailand Tel: (662) 651 8826 ext. 121 Fax: (662) 651 8864 violette@asianet.co.th

#### Ms. Elizabeth Rosan Kirkwood

Environmental Attorney US-AEP/PADCO SG Tower, 5th Floor, Unit 506 161/1 Soi Mahadlek Luang 3 Rajdamri Rd, Patumwan Bangkok 10330 Thailand Tel: (662) 651 8826 ext. 120 Fax: (662) 651 8864 kirkwood@asianet.co.th

#### **Ms. Watcharee Limanon**

Legal Specialist US-AEP/PADCO SG Tower, 5th Floor, Unit 506 161/1 Soi Mahadlek Luang 3 Rajdamri Rd, Patumwan Bangkok 10330 Thailand Tel: (662) 651 8826 ext. 119 Fax: (662) 651 8864 wlimanon@asianet.co.th

#### Mr. James L. Kho

Environmental Attorney US-AEP/PADCO Kho Agsaoay Benavidez and Matammu Law Offices 65 West Point St. Cubao, Quezon City 1109 Tel: (639) 20 914 4889 James.kho@aya.yale.edu

#### Ms. Parichatt Krongkant

Program Officer US-AEP/ IIE Maneeya Center North, 6<sup>th</sup> Floor 518/3 Ploenchit Road, Pathumwan Bangkok 10330 Thailand Tel: (662) 652 0653 Fax: (662) 652 0633 parichatt@bkk.iie.org

#### Mr. Dhepthai Watanaronachai

Program Coordinator US-AEP/IIE Maneeya Center North, 6<sup>th</sup> Floor 518/3 Ploenchit Road, Pathumwan Bangkok 10330 Thailand Tel: (662) 652 0653 Fax: (662) 652 0633 dhepthai@bkk.iie.org

#### Ms. Uamdao Noikorn

Program Coordinator US-AEP/PADCO SG Tower, 5th Floor, Unit 506 161/1 Soi Mahadlek Luang 3 Rajdamri Rd, Patumwan Bangkok 10330 Thailand Tel: (662) 651 8826 ext. 116 Fax: (662) 651 8864 unoikorn@asianet.co.th

United States Agency for International Development USAID Regional Development Mission/Asia 10th Floor, Diethelm Tower A 93/I Wireless Road Bangkok 10330 Thailand Tel: +662 263 7465 Fax: +662 263 7499