## HIGHLIGHTS OF CHART COMPARISON BETWEEN PLAN QUALIFICATION REQUIREMENTS UNDER THE CODE AND THE PRIRC

The following addendum to the chart is meant to highlight specific Code sections which contain major differences between the Code and the PRIRC. These differences have a major impact on dual-qualified plans. Especially, plans that have a Cash or Deferred Arrangement (CODA).

Code § or Administrati ve Guidance	PRIRC § or Regulation Article	Subject Matter	Comments
401(a)(3)	1165(a)(3)	Minimum Coverage Test	<ul> <li>Similar requirement that plans pass minimum coverage test.</li> <li>Technically, test is similar but not identical.</li> <li>In practice, test results can be different.</li> </ul>
401(a)(4)	1165(a)(4)	General Nondiscrimination Test on Benefits and Contributions	<ul> <li>Technically the same requirement.</li> <li>Mechanics of the test completely different (objective testing in the U.S. vs. facts-and-circumstances testing in P.R.).</li> </ul>
401(a)(17)	None	Annual Compensation Limits	No PRIRC counterpart.
401(a)(26)	None	Minimum Participation Requirements for Pension Plans	No PRIRC counterpart.

## HIGHLIGHTS OF CHART COMPARISON BETWEEN PLAN QUALIFICATION REQUIREMENTS UNDER THE CODE AND THE PRIRC

UNDER THE CODE AND THE PRIKC				
Code § or Administrati ve Guidance	PRIRC § or Regulation Article	Subject Matter	Comments	
401(k)	1165(e)	Qualified Cash or Deferred Arrangements	<ul> <li>Different Rules.</li> <li>Lower elective deferral limits IRA contributions offset of elective deferrals.</li> <li>No COLA adjustment of limits.</li> <li>No catch-up contributions.</li> <li>Technically, ADP test is similar, but due to different "HCE" definition, in practice results are different.</li> <li>No safe harbor plans.</li> </ul>	
401(l)	1165(a)(5) and Article 1165-3(c)	Permitted Disparity/Social Security Integration	<ul> <li>No specific safe harbors, only facts-and-circumstances testing.</li> <li>Potentially much broader.</li> <li>Allows for integrating-out employees.</li> </ul>	
401(m)	• None	Nondiscrimination Test for Matching and Employee Contributions/ACP Test	<ul> <li>No PRIRC counterpart.</li> <li>Contributions other than elective deferrals are tested under the general nondiscrimination test of PRIRC § 1165(a)(4).</li> <li>There is a limit on employee aftertax contributions, but it does not operate as a discrimination test.</li> </ul>	
402(g)	1165(e)(7)	Annual Limit on Elective Deferrals	<ul> <li>PR limit of lesser of 10% of compensation or \$8,000.</li> <li>\$8,000 prong is offset by contributions to P.R. tax-deferred IRAs.</li> <li>No catch-up contributions nor COLA adjustments.</li> <li>Similar procedures for correction of excess deferrals.</li> </ul>	
410(b)	1165(a)(3)	Minimum Coverage Test	Mostly similar rules, but due to different HCE definition, in practice results may differ.	
412	None	Minimum Funding Requirements	No PRIRC counterpart, but similar rules apply through ERISA § 302.	
414(q)	1165(e)(3)(E)(iii)	Definition of "Highly Compensated Employee"	<ul> <li>Different definition.</li> <li>HCEs are Employees in the top 1/3 of the compensation scale.</li> </ul>	