Electric Market National Overview



Weekly U.S. Electric Generation Output and Temperatures



Financial Trading on ICE



Source: Derived from ICE data. ICE on-peak swaps (financial) volume include monthly, dual monthly, quarterly, and calendar year contracts traded for each month.

Renewable Energy Portfolio Standards (RPS)



Notes: Alaska has no RPS; DG is distributed generation; * Iowa has a goal of 1,000 MW of wind by 2010 **Sources**: Derived from data in: EEI, EIA, LBNL, PUCs, State legislative tracking services, Database of State Incentives for Renewables and Efficiency, and the Union of Concerned Scientists.

Renewable Energy Portfolio Standards

- A Renewable Portfolio Standard (RPS) requires a percent of energy sales or installed capacity to come from renewable resources.
- 26 states and D.C. have renewable energy standards.
- Five states have enacted renewable goals without financial penalties:
 - Utah enacted a goal in April. It includes incentives for energy efficiency and carbon capture, but has no non-compliance penalties.
 - Vermont changed the nature of its goal, specifying 25% renewable energy by 2025, especially using VT farms and forests.
- 54% of U.S. load is located in states with a renewable energy purchase obligation; an additional 6% is in states with a renewable energy goal.

- States revisit earlier RPS goals:
 - Maryland doubled its renewable resources requirement to 20% by 2022; a companion bill created a Strategic Energy Fund for short-term rate relief and long-term investments in energy efficiency, renewable energy and climate change programs. The funds will come from the auction of CO₂ allowances under RGGI.
 - Maine's Governor signed a bill setting a goal of 2 GW from wind by 2015 and 3 GW by 2020.
 - New Jersey has an initiative in its draft Master Energy Plan to develop up to 1 GW of offshore wind.
- Eleven states include energy efficiency in their RPS or renewable goals; more are considering energy efficiency additions or companion bills.

Energy Efficiency Resource Standards (EERS)



Abbreviations: CHP – Combined heat & power; DR - demand response; DSM - demand side management; EE - energy efficiency; E&G: electric and gas utilities; RPS: Renewable Portfolio Standard **Sources:** ACEEE, EPA, Regulatory Assistance Project, Union of Concerned Scientists, State legislative sites, trade press

Energy efficiency part of an RPS rule or goal Voluntary standards (in or out of RPS) Energy efficiency goal proposed / being studied

EERS by regulation or law (separate from RPS)

Energy Efficiency Resource Standards (EERS)

- An energy efficiency resource or portfolio standard (EERS) aims to reduce or flatten electric load growth through energy efficiency measures.
- Goals may specify reductions in energy (MWh), demand (MW), or both.
- Twenty states have energy efficiency standards or goals; thirteen include energy efficiency as part of a renewable portfolio standard or goal.
 - Five states added an EERS in 2007: Minnesota, Virginia, North Carolina, Connecticut, and Illinois.
 - States that enacted significant energy efficiency legislation (standards or goals) in 2008 include: New Mexico, Vermont, Maryland, Utah, Ohio, Florida, and New Jersey.
- At least fourteen states include demand response as a means to reduce consumption or peak load, including: CA, FL, ID, IL, ME, MD, NJ, NM, OH, OK, PA, UT, VA, and VT.
- A number of states have successfully used decoupling mechanisms for gas distribution utilities' tariffs to encourage energy efficiency. Ohio's law includes decoupling for electric utilities; many others are studying its adoption.

- Ohio enacted energy efficiency standards as part of its hybrid restructuring bill, SB 221:
 - It set an overall energy reduction goal of at least 22% by the end of 2025
 - It set a 7.75% peak demand reduction requirement for electric distribution utilities by the end of 2018.
 - It advocates revenue decoupling for electric and gas utilities to promote energy efficiency.
- Florida's omnibus energy bill includes multiple measures to promote energy efficiency:
 - the PSC must set goals to increase the efficiency of energy consumption, to reduce growth rates of electric consumption, and to reduce growth of weather-sensitive peak demand.
 - It should also promote cost-effective demand- and supply-side efficiency and conservation programs.
 - It may allow efficiency investments in generation, transmission, and distribution, as well as in customer efficiencies.
 - It may allow IOUs to earn additional return on equity for exceeding EE and conservation goals

Central Appalachian and Powder River Basin Coal Prices



Source: Derived from *Bloomberg* data.

SO₂ and NO_x Allowance Spot Prices



Growth of U.S. Installed Wind Capacity (MW)



Midwest includes: II, IA, KS, MI, MN, MS, NE, ND, OH, OK, SD, WI East includes: ME, MA, NH, NJ, NY, PA, RI, TN, VT, WV

Source: American Wind Energy Association (AWEA)

2007 Review of Wind Generation

- Installed wind capacity grew 5,244 MW from 11,603 MW in 2006 to 16,818 MW in 2007, a 45% increase.
- More new wind capacity was added in 2007 than any prior year:.
- Just over half of new capacity 2,704 MW was installed in states with the highest wind potential. 59 percent of that – 1,588 MW – was in Texas.
- Installed capacity grew 150% from 2004 to 2007, while:
 - the number of states (including D.C.) with a renewable portfolio standard grew from 21 to 27, and
 - the wind production tax credit did not lapse.

- The top five states by capacity added in 2007 were: Texas (1,618 MW), Colorado (776), Illinois (592), Oregon (447), and Minnesota (405). Texas moved into 1st place in installed wind capacity in 2006, passing long-time leader California.
- The top 10 states by cumulative installed capacity have 14,366 MW of wind, or 85% of U.S. capacity. Nine of them had a Renewable Portfolio Standard (RPS) in 2007.
- The rapid growth of wind generating capacity has led to a backlog in many interconnection queues. The Commission held a Technical Conference on December 11, 2007 (AD08-2-000) to re-examine the Large Generator Interconnection Rule. Many ISO/RTOs reported that the queuing procedures specified by Order 2003 impede the timely interconnection of wind resources.