

United States of America

OCCUPATIONAL SAFETY AND HEALTH REVIEW COMMISSION

1120 20th Street, N.W., Ninth Floor Washington, DC 20036-3419

> Phone: (202) 606-5400 Fax: (202) 606-5050

Fax: (

SECRETARY OF LABOR

Complainant,

v.

LU MAR ENTNERPRISES
Respondent.

OSHRC DOCKET NO. 95-1059

NOTICE OF DOCKETING OF ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE'S DECISION

The Administrative Law Judge's Report in the above referenced case was docketed with the Commission on July 3, 1996. The decision of the Judge will become a final order of the Commission on August 2, 1996 unless a Commission member directs review of the decision on or before that date. ANY PARTY DESIRING REVIEW OF THE JUDGE'S DECISION BY THE COMMISSION MUST FILE A PETITION FOR DISCRETIONARY REVIEW. Any such petition should be received by the Executive Secretary on or before July 23, 1996 in order to permit sufficient time for its review. See Commission Rule 91, 29 C.F.R. 2200.91.

All further pleadings or communications regarding this case shall be addressed to:

Executive Secretary Occupational Safety and Health Review Commission 1120 20th St. N.W., Suite 980 Washington, D.C. 20036-3419

Petitioning parties shall also mail a copy to:

Daniel J. Mick, Esq. Counsel for Regional Trial Litigation Office of the Solicitor, U.S. DOL Room S4004 200 Constitution Avenue, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20210

If a Direction for Review is issued by the Commission, then the Counsel for Regional Trial Litigation will represent the Department of Labor. Any party having questions about review rights may contact the Commission's Executive Secretary or call (202) 606-5400.

FOR THE COMMISSION

Date: July 3, 1996

Ray H. Darling, Jr. Executive Secretary

DOCKET NO. 95-1059 NOTICE IS GIVEN TO THE FOLLOWING:

Patricia Rodenhausen, Esq. Regional Solicitor Office of the Solicitor, U.S. DOL 201 Varick, Room 707 New York, NY 10014

Joseph W. Rufolo JW Rufolo and Associates, Inc. Six Moyse Place Edison, NJ 08820

Irving Sommer Chief Administrative Law Judge Occupational Safety and Health Review Commission One Lafayette Centre 1120 20th St. N.W., Suite 990 Washington, DC 20036 3419

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA OCCUPATIONAL SAFETY AND HEALTH REVIEW COMMISSION

SECRETARY OF LABOR,

Complainant,

v.

Docket No. 95-1059

LUMAR ENTERPRISES, INC.,

Respondent.

Appearances:

Nancee Adams-Taylor, Esq. U.S. Department of Labor New York, New York

For the Secretary

James W. Rufolo J.W. Rufolo & Associates Edison, New Jersey

For the Respondent

Before: Chief Judge Irving Sommer

DECISION AND ORDER

This is a proceeding under Section 10(c) of the Occupational Safety and Health Act of 1970, 29 U.S.C. 651 et seq. (the Act) to review citations issued by the Secretary of Labor pursuant to Section 9(a) of the Act, and the proposed assessment of penalties therein issued, under Section 10(a) of the Act.

Following an inspection of respondent's business site in Woodbridge, N.J. the Secretary of Labor issued two citations charging serious violations of the standard at 29 CFR 1926.21(b)(6)(I), 29 CFR 1926.651(c)(2), 29 CFR 1926.651(g)(1)(I) and wilful violation of the standard at 29 CFR 1926.651(h)(1) and 29 CFR 1926(a)(1). The respondent timely contested the citations. Following the filing of a complaint and answer and pursuant to a notice of hearing, the case came on to be heard in New York, N.Y. on November 30, 1995, December 1, 1995 and April 24, 1996. No jurisdictional

issues are in dispute, the parties having pleaded sufficient facts to establish that the respondent is subject to the Act and the Commission has jurisdiction of the parties and of the subject matter.

DISCUSSION

At the continued hearing held on April 24, 1996, the parties, through diligent efforts of counsel with the assistance of the Court achieved a settlement and spread said agreement on the record at the proceeding. The statements of settlement made at the hearing have been reduced to a written stipulated settlement.

ORDER

The terms and conditions of the stipulated settlement, incorporated herein in its entirety, are approved.

IRVING SOMMER

Chief Judge

DATED:

iiii - 2 1998

Washington, D.C.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

OCCUPATIONAL SAFETY & HEALTH REVIEW COMMISSION

ROBERT B. REICH, Secretary of Labor, : United States Department of Labor,

: OSHRC Docket

Complainant,

No. 95-1095

v.

LU MAR ENTERPRISES, INC.,

Respondent.

. x-----

ORDER

Respondent in OSHRC Docket No. 95-1095 by a letter dated June 6, 1995 contested two (2) citations issued to it by Complainant on May 17, 1995. In that letter, Respondent also contested the penalties proposed by Complainant for the citations.

An executed Stipulated Settlement has been received from the parties, and this Stipulation addresses all matters at issue between the parties in this proceeding. The Stipulation having been read and considered it is hereby

ORDERED: (1) That the terms of the Stipulated Settlement are approved and incorporated as part of this Order; and

(2) That this Order, pursuant to Section 12(j) of the Act, 29 U.S.C. § 661(j), will become the final order of the Commission at the expiration of 30 days from the date of docketing by the Executive Secretary, unless within that time a member of the Commission directs that it be reviewed.

Dated this 2nd day of

____, 1996.

Washington, D.C.

SO ORDERED:

Irving Sommer

Chief Judge, Occupational Safety & Health Review Commission



United States of America

OCCUPATIONAL SAFETY AND HEALTH REVIEW COMMISSION

1120 20th Street, N.W., Ninth Floor Washington, DC 20036-3419

> Phone: (202) 606-5400 Fax: (202) 606-5050

Fax: (

SECRETARY OF LABOR

Complainant,

v.

LU MAR ENTNERPRISES
Respondent.

OSHRC DOCKET NO. 95-1059

NOTICE OF DOCKETING OF ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE'S DECISION

The Administrative Law Judge's Report in the above referenced case was docketed with the Commission on July 3, 1996. The decision of the Judge will become a final order of the Commission on August 2, 1996 unless a Commission member directs review of the decision on or before that date. ANY PARTY DESIRING REVIEW OF THE JUDGE'S DECISION BY THE COMMISSION MUST FILE A PETITION FOR DISCRETIONARY REVIEW. Any such petition should be received by the Executive Secretary on or before July 23, 1996 in order to permit sufficient time for its review. See Commission Rule 91, 29 C.F.R. 2200.91.

All further pleadings or communications regarding this case shall be addressed to:

Executive Secretary Occupational Safety and Health Review Commission 1120 20th St. N.W., Suite 980 Washington, D.C. 20036-3419

Petitioning parties shall also mail a copy to:

Daniel J. Mick, Esq. Counsel for Regional Trial Litigation Office of the Solicitor, U.S. DOL Room S4004 200 Constitution Avenue, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20210

If a Direction for Review is issued by the Commission, then the Counsel for Regional Trial Litigation will represent the Department of Labor. Any party having questions about review rights may contact the Commission's Executive Secretary or call (202) 606-5400.

FOR THE COMMISSION

Date: July 3, 1996

Ray H. Darling, Jr. Executive Secretary

DOCKET NO. 95-1059 NOTICE IS GIVEN TO THE FOLLOWING:

Patricia Rodenhausen, Esq. Regional Solicitor Office of the Solicitor, U.S. DOL 201 Varick, Room 707 New York, NY 10014

Joseph W. Rufolo JW Rufolo and Associates, Inc. Six Moyse Place Edison, NJ 08820

Irving Sommer Chief Administrative Law Judge Occupational Safety and Health Review Commission One Lafayette Centre 1120 20th St. N.W., Suite 990 Washington, DC 20036 3419

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA OCCUPATIONAL SAFETY AND HEALTH REVIEW COMMISSION

SECRETARY OF LABOR,

Complainant,

v.

Docket No. 95-1059

LUMAR ENTERPRISES, INC.,

Respondent.

Appearances:

Nancee Adams-Taylor, Esq. U.S. Department of Labor New York, New York

For the Secretary

James W. Rufolo J.W. Rufolo & Associates Edison, New Jersey

For the Respondent

Before: Chief Judge Irving Sommer

DECISION AND ORDER

This is a proceeding under Section 10(c) of the Occupational Safety and Health Act of 1970, 29 U.S.C. 651 et seq. (the Act) to review citations issued by the Secretary of Labor pursuant to Section 9(a) of the Act, and the proposed assessment of penalties therein issued, under Section 10(a) of the Act.

Following an inspection of respondent's business site in Woodbridge, N.J. the Secretary of Labor issued two citations charging serious violations of the standard at 29 CFR 1926.21(b)(6)(I), 29 CFR 1926.651(c)(2), 29 CFR 1926.651(g)(1)(I) and wilful violation of the standard at 29 CFR 1926.651(h)(1) and 29 CFR 1926(a)(1). The respondent timely contested the citations. Following the filing of a complaint and answer and pursuant to a notice of hearing, the case came on to be heard in New York, N.Y. on November 30, 1995, December 1, 1995 and April 24, 1996. No jurisdictional

issues are in dispute, the parties having pleaded sufficient facts to establish that the respondent is subject to the Act and the Commission has jurisdiction of the parties and of the subject matter.

DISCUSSION

At the continued hearing held on April 24, 1996, the parties, through diligent efforts of counsel with the assistance of the Court achieved a settlement and spread said agreement on the record at the proceeding. The statements of settlement made at the hearing have been reduced to a written stipulated settlement.

ORDER

The terms and conditions of the stipulated settlement, incorporated herein in its entirety, are approved.

IRVING SOMMER

Chief Judge

DATED:

iiii - 2 1998

Washington, D.C.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

OCCUPATIONAL SAFETY & HEALTH REVIEW COMMISSION

ROBERT B. REICH, Secretary of Labor, : United States Department of Labor,

: OSHRC Docket

Complainant,

No. 95-1095

v.

LU MAR ENTERPRISES, INC.,

Respondent.

. x-----

ORDER

Respondent in OSHRC Docket No. 95-1095 by a letter dated June 6, 1995 contested two (2) citations issued to it by Complainant on May 17, 1995. In that letter, Respondent also contested the penalties proposed by Complainant for the citations.

An executed Stipulated Settlement has been received from the parties, and this Stipulation addresses all matters at issue between the parties in this proceeding. The Stipulation having been read and considered it is hereby

ORDERED: (1) That the terms of the Stipulated Settlement are approved and incorporated as part of this Order; and

(2) That this Order, pursuant to Section 12(j) of the Act, 29 U.S.C. § 661(j), will become the final order of the Commission at the expiration of 30 days from the date of docketing by the Executive Secretary, unless within that time a member of the Commission directs that it be reviewed.

Dated this 2nd day of

____, 1996.

Washington, D.C.

SO ORDERED:

Irving Sommer

Chief Judge, Occupational Safety & Health Review Commission