

83
STA
1912
△

1023

C. & G. SURVEY,
LIBRARY AND ARCHIVES
SEP 9 1912
Acc. No

1023A

Department of Commerce and Labor
COAST AND GEODETIC SURVEY

Superintendent.

State: _____

DESCRIPTIVE REPORT.

Top Sheet No. *1023^a*

LOCALITY:


191

CHIEF OF PARTY:

11-4645

1023^a

C. & G. SURVEY,
LIBRARY AND ARCHIVE
SEP 9 1912
Acc. No



Descriptive Report Revision of Topographic Sheet No 1023 1912

This revision was made from a photograph of the original topographic sheet. Points were identified on the photograph and these points with sextant and theodolite angles, and local surveys and maps, were the basis of this revision. The changes on the sheet are shown by red lines for new features, those features accepted as unchanged are shown by black lines, blue line indicates such features as have disappeared.

The contours are omitted, as they are unchanged, except in one instance, when it is indicated. The photographs did not show the contours sufficiently well to trace, this being the reason for omitting them.

Numerous houses and new roads appear. The changes being greatest close to the shore. The sandy portion of the shore line has shifted but little.

The hydrography is practically unchanged. The only change noted was the in-shore dunnage when the sandy shore has receded, at the so called Rye Harbor. The name Rye Harbor should be omitted, as there is no anchor-age for any but the smaller motor boats, and no refuge as there is only about one foot of water on the bar at low water.

E. S. Atham
Chief Surveyor

Examination of Topographic Sheets

by the

Divisions of Field Work and Field Records.

1023^a
Revision

1. Has the magnetic meridian been determined? *No*
2. Is the point occupied for the determination of magnetic meridian designated?
3. Is the approximate or rodded location of high water mark in back of mangroves shown?
4. Have navigable rivers been surveyed?
5. Is interior information given by descriptive legends or otherwise?
6. Is the inking of the sheet legible? *No*
7. Is projection properly shown?
8. Are methods of surveying fully described? *Yes*
9. Are descriptive legends given concerning conspicuous islets, objects, rocks, and other features given in blank areas?
10. Are geographic names given?
11. Is full information regarding geographic names given in the descriptive report in accordance with paragraph 557 of the Instructions for Field Work?
12. Are the names of topographic signals given?
13. Does the sheet have a neat appearance?
14. Is sufficient contouring shown, some of which could be obtained by sextant directions from boat positions?

15. Is the control good?
16. Is the sheet well laid out?
17. Is the accuracy of traverses between triangulation stations stated
in the descriptive report? *No*
18. Are the elevations of prominent rocks or islets given?
19. Are the description of reefs, as bare, awash or covered at high or
low water given?
20. Are objects useful for future surveys indicated? *No*
21. Is there a record of marking topographic stations? *No*
22. Is the character of the beach shown in various places?
23. Is the plane of reference for elevations given?
24. Is the low water line determined at important places?
25. Is there a full list of data affecting sheet given on title sheet?
.....
26. Is there a list of plane table positions? *No*
27. Are the elevations whether that of tree-top or ground indicated? .
.....
28. Does the descriptive report give date of instructions? *No*
29. Is a sketch given showing contouring of interior mountainous country
beyond limits of sheet?
30. Is the general description of the coast given?
31. Is there information about obtaining fresh water?
32. Have standard symbols for various features been used?

- 33. Is the survey complete?
- 34. Is there a note as to cultivations, roads and other improvements?
- 35. Is commercial information given in descriptive report?
- 36. Is there a list of landmarks? *no*

Remarks

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....