National Transportation Safety Board	N	TSB ID:	ANC97MA1	61	Aircraft Regist	Aircraft Registration Number: N408GV			
FACTUAL REPORT	00	ccurrenc	e Date: 04/10	)/1997	Most Critical I	njury: Fa	ital		
AVIATION	Oc	ccurrenc	e Type: Accio	lent	Investigated B	Investigated By: NTSB			
Location/Time				·					
Nearest City/Place	State	ate Zip Code Local Time Time Zone							
WAINWRIGHT	AK	99	782	2030	ADT				
Airport Proximity: Off Airport/Airstrip	Distance	From La	Inding Facility:		•	•			
Aircraft Information Summary							1		
Aircraft Manufacturer			Model/Series	6			Type of Aircraft		
Cessna			208B	/208B			Airplane		
Revenue Sightseeing Flight: No			Air M	Medical Transpo	rt Flight: No				
Narrative									
Brief narrative statement of facts, conditions and circumstan HISTORY OF FLIGHT On April 10, 1997, about 2030 . Inc., as Flight 502, collid Alaska. The commercial pil destroyed. Instrument meteor instrument flight rules (IFR plan. The regularly scheduled at 1955 and was destined for W. Code of Federal Regulations (CF According to transcripts, st. Administration (FAA), a perss Flight Service Station (FSS) briefing for the North Slop meteorological conditions (IM the FSS in person to determ (VMC). [At the time of the passenger-carrying operations in	ces pertinent Alaska ed wit: ot and rologic ) flig: d commu ainwrig: R) Part atement on ide: at 081 e." C) at t: mine if accid n singl	to the accident of the second dayling all of all of all of the second day is a second day if the second day is a second day is a second day if the second day is a secon	dent/incident: ght time, e frozen four passe onditions lan, but d irline pas The flight nd record ing himse the mornin FSS briefe crow Airpo yeather wo Federal A ine airpla	N408GV, opera Arctic Ocean ngers were fa prevailed. eparted with senger flight was operatin s (attached) lf as the pil g of the acci r provided a rt. The pilc uld improve t viation Regul nes during IM	ted by Hagel while maneuw tally injure The pilot h a visual fli had departe g under the provided h ot of N408GV dent and req briefing that ot continued to visual met ations (FAR)	and Avering ed and nad pre- ght ru ed from provis by the v telep nuested to tel eorolo prohi	viation Services, near Wainwright, the airplane was eviously filed an ales (VFR) flight a Barrow, Alaska, sions of Title 14 Federal Aviation bhoned the Barrow d a " standard cribed instrument lephone and visit ogical conditions bbited commercial		
At 1231, the pilot telephoned the FSS again and asked if there were " any changes in the weather?" The briefer again reported that the visibility at Barrow was below VFR minimums. About one hour later, the pilot telephoned a third time and told the FSS briefer that VMC were being reported by a local automated weather observation station (AWOS). Sky conditions at Barrow were reported as scattered clouds at 200 feet with a visibility of 10 miles. The pilot then filed an instrument flight rules (IFR) flight plan for a proposed 20-minute flight to Wainwright. The flight plan called for a cruising altitude of 4,000 feet with six hours of fuel on board and a departure time of 1430 local time.							ny changes in the minimums. About at VMC were being hs at Barrow were Lot then filed an Wainwright. The el on board and a nt " back down s] soon as I call		
again?" After the briefer responded that it had, the pilot stated: "Shoot [as] soon as I call the passengers the darned stuff comes down." The briefer replied that "VFR flights still no recommended" and told the pilot that the reported visibility at Barrow was one and one-half mil with an indefinite ceiling of 300 feet overcast. The pilot asked the briefer " if it's legal The briefer stated: "Well I don't know. I don't delve into the legal or no-legal area. You hav to decide." Thirty-six minutes later, at 1440, the pilot called the FSS again and was told that the ceilin remained at 300 feet overcast. At 1600, the pilot personally visited the FSS and obtained a abbreviated weather briefing. The reported weather conditions had not changed. After casual							That the ceiling and obtained an area. After casually		

National Transportation Safety Board	NTSB ID: ANC97MA161			
FACTUAL REPORT	Occurrence Date: 04/10/1997			
AVIATION ETYBON	Occurrence Type: Accident			

talking with the briefer, the pilot left the FSS. He then called the FSS four more times at 1645, 1703, 1733, and 1853. Each time he was told by the FSS briefer that the weather conditions had remained the same.

At 1920, the pilot called the FSS once more. The briefer told the pilot "... VFR flight still not recommended," and that the ceiling had risen to 500 feet overcast with a visibility of 7 miles. The pilot responded with: "Okay, that's fine. I guess I'm going to Wainwright." The pilot then boarded the passengers and began the flight.

At 1952, the pilot contacted the FSS by radio and requested a "taxiing advisory." The briefer replied that the "...weather in the Barrow Class E surface area is below VFR minimas. Air traffic control clearance is required to depart the surface area. Say intentions." The pilot requested and was granted a special VFR clearance out of the Barrow Class E surface area. He also filed and activated a VFR flight plan to Wainwright.

At 1958, the pilot reported that he was clear of the Class E surface area. This was his last recorded radio transmission with the FSS.

The Safety Board requested and received recorded radar data from the U.S. Air Force for the time and location of the accident. According to recorded radar data (raw data attached), a radar target was tracking from Barrow toward Wainwright (plot of data attached) beginning about 30 minutes before the accident. The radar track began near Barrow at 2002 and ended near Wainwright at 2021. The recorded track of the target never deviated from 243 degrees during the entire period of recorded radar coverage. The recorded ground speed of the target varied between 135 and 145 knots during the majority of the track, and then gradually increased to 157 knots as the target descended toward Wainwright. The recorded altitude values initially varied between 4,000 feet and 5,000 feet msl, and then gradually decreased to 2,200 feet as the target approached Wainwright and disappeared below radar coverage.

According to a representative of the U.S. Air Force's 611th Communication Flight in Anchorage, Alaska, the recorded values for latitude, longitude, speed, and heading from the radar equipment are considered reliable and accurate; however, the altitude values have a tolerance of plus and minus 3,000 feet due to the characteristics of the radar and the lack of a mode-C signal from the target. The radar data is a computer compilation of data extracted from three Air Force FPS-117 long range, three-dimensional Alaska radar antenna sites located in Barrow, Olitok, and Cape Lisburne. According to the Air Force representative, the radar has a usable range of 200 miles and utilizes a FYQ-93 computer processor.

According to a Wainwright ground agent employed part-time by Hageland Aviation Services (statements attached), the pilot contacted him by radio about 2030 to report that the flight was inbound for landing. The ground agent stated that he was outside on the ramp of the Wainwright Airport at the time. He stated that he was communicating with the pilot via a portable marine radio that was tuned to "Marine 83." He stated that the normal procedure was to communicate with Hageland pilots via the marine radio, not the aviation radio, for improved communications.

The ground agent stated that he heard an airplane make two passes over the Wainwright Airport. During the first pass, the ground agent could hear the airplane, but he could not see it. The ground agent stated that the airplane approached the airport "... from the north" and was "... above the weather." During the second approach, the ground agent remembered that the airplane flew "... from the south heading north," and the airplane was flying "... parallel to the runway." He stated that he "... could see definitely the windows and tail of the airplane" as it flew overhead during the second pass, but "... there was weather" between him and the airplane.

The ground agent stated that he told the pilot via radio that the weather was overcast and the visibility was about 1/4-mile to the east with a "half a sock" of wind. At the time the ground

National Transportation Safety Board	NTSB ID: ANC97MA161			
FACTUAL REPORT	Occurrence Date: 04/10/1997			
AVIATION ETYBON	Occurrence Type: Accident			

agent heard the airplane directly overhead during the first approach, the pilot radioed something similar to: "I can't see the village. I'm going down south to make another run through."

The ground agent remembered that as the pilot flew overhead during the second pass, the pilot announced that he could not see the airport and he was "going to go back." The ground agent stated that he could see the outline of the airplane in the haze, about 500 to 600 feet above the ground, on a northerly heading, and one-eighth of a mile laterally from the center line of the runway. The ground agent stated that the propeller sounded like the airplane was at landing speed.

The ground agent stated that the pilot immediately responded with a "good bye" statement, and he "...picked up no weird noises [from the airplane and ] no frustration in [the pilot's] communications... no excitement." About 10 seconds later, he radioed to the pilot in order to ask him when he would be returning again. He received no response from the pilot. The ground agent stated that he may have asked the pilot a second time, but he is not sure. He never heard from the pilot again. Another ground witness, a resident of Wainwright who was employed as a part-time ticket agent for another operator, reported (statement attached) that he was in his home near the Wainwright Airport on the evening of the accident. He stated that he heard the Hageland ground agent over his citizens band radio talking to other villagers. He heard that the Hageland airplane was going to be landing at Wainwright, so he "... turned up the volume" on his airport scanner. "A few minutes later," the resident heard "clicking" over the airport radio and surmised that the pilot was attempting to activate the pilot-controlled lighting at the Wainwright Airport.

The resident stated that he then attempted to contact the pilot via his airport radio to tell the pilot that he "... didn't want [the pilot] to land..." because the weather was "pretty bad." The resident attempted to contact the pilot "four or five times," and he tried three different aviation frequencies; he never received a response from the pilot.

The resident remembered that he heard the airplane fly over his house on two separate occasions. He stated that it flew "... from the north... coming up over the village toward the airport" on the "first pass." The airplane then sounded like it "turned left." On the "second pass," he thought that the airplane "... sounded like he was higher." He also stated that the engine sounded "normal" during both passes, and that "about 10 minutes passed" between the first and second "passes."

The North Slope Borough Search and Rescue Unit was subsequently notified of an emergency locator transmitter (ELT) signal near Wainwright. The airplane wreckage was located 4.3 nautical miles northwest of Wainwright in the partially frozen Arctic Ocean the following day. The accident occurred during the hours of daylight near the following coordinates: 70 degrees, 42.2 minutes North and 160 degrees, 05.4 minutes West.

#### PERSONNEL INFORMATION

The pilot, male, age 41, possessed a commercial pilot certificate containing ratings for single-engine land, single-engine sea, multiengine land, and instrument airplanes. He also held a flight instructor airman certificate containing a rating for single engine land airplanes. According to FAA records, the pilot was issued an FAA First Class Medical Certificate on July 1, 1996, with the limitation that he "...shall wear correcting lenses while exercising the privileges of his/her airman certificate."

An examination of Hageland's pilot training records and daily flight logs (excerpts attached) indicate that the pilot had accumulated a total of about 3,660 hours of flight time at the time of the accident, most of which was accrued in single engine, piston-powered airplanes. The records further indicate that the pilot accumulated 299 hours of total instrument time.

The records further indicate that pilot had flown a total of about 60 hours in the Cessna 208B, all

ARANSP National Transportation Safety Board	NTSB ID: ANC97MA161			
FACTUAL REPORT	Occurrence Date: 04/10/1997			
AVIATION ETYBON	Occurrence Type: Accident			

of which were accrued during a 60-day period preceding the accident. No evidence was found to indicate that the pilot had ever flown any aircraft other than a single or multiengine, piston-powered airplane prior to his experience in the turbine-powered Cessna 208B.

The pilot was hired and began training at Hageland Aviation Services on February 2, 1997, about two months prior to the accident. He had been previously employed as a pilot by another 14 CFR Part 135 operator in Barrow for about five months prior to his resignation from that operator in order to become employed by Hageland Aviation Services. During his previous employment, he flew the single-engine, piston-powered Cessna 207. After being hired by Hageland, the pilot was subsequently sent to FlightSafety International in Wichita, Kansas, for a one-week pilot initial training course in the Cessna 208B. (At the time of the pilot's training, Hageland Aviation Services held an FAA exemption that authorized the use of FlightSafety International for the training and checking of pilots.)

After receiving 6.5 hours of Cessna 208B flight simulator training, the pilot satisfactorily completed an FAA Part 135 Airmen Competency/Proficiency Check (FAA Form 8410-3 attached) on February 14, 1997, in Wichita. The final FlightSafety check ride was 1.5 hours in duration and included instrument procedures and approaches.

The pilot returned to Barrow and began initial operating experience (IOE) in the Cessna 208B on February 24, 1997. He accumulated 13.8 hours and 13 landings during IOE, and satisfactorily completed the experience required by the FAA on February 27, 1997. During the next six weeks, according to Pilot Daily Flight Log sheets, the pilot exclusively flew the accident airplane on VFR revenue flights to villages along Alaska's North Slope, including Wainwright, on an almost daily basis.

According to the FAA, the pilot had no previous accidents; however, a review of the pilot's history of enforcement actions revealed a pending enforcement action for failure to remove a tail stand prior to flight in the accident airplane in March 1997.

In an interview with the Safety Board, the chief pilot of Hageland Aviation Services reported that he went to Barrow after the tail stand incident to take corrective action. The chief pilot stated that he was more concerned with the pilot's failure to check for damage after landing with the tail stand attached, than with the initial failure to remove it prior to flight. The chief pilot stated that after this incident, the company made a change to their checklist to prevent a similar incident from happening again. The chief pilot also stated that he had a long talk with the pilot of the accident aircraft and severely chastised him. He also reassured the pilot that he was doing a "good job," that his job was not in jeopardy, and that the pilot must "slow down."

The chief pilot stated that he considered the accident pilot to be an above average airman with above average judgement. He also stated the pilot may have felt self-induced pressure, or pressure from the passengers to conduct a flight under adverse conditions, but that the company did not pressure him.

In an interview with the Safety Board, Hageland Aviation Service's director of operations was asked if he felt there was any pressure on the pilot to conduct flights under adverse conditions. The director stated that all of the pilots are paid a salary and are not paid by the hour, and that the company stresses safety. He stated that there was no pressure from the company to fly because the pilots are paid whether they fly or not. The director also stated that the pilot may have felt some pressure to conduct the accident flight from the passengers and also from the pilot's own desire to perform satisfactorily for the company.

In an interview with the Safety Board, the pilot's wife stated that she had also been hired by Hageland Aviation Services in February 1997. She stated that she and her husband worked as a team and that her duties included performing the office work at the Barrow base. The pilot's wife

TRANSPORT	NTSB ID: ANC97MA161								
FACTELAL REPORT	Occurrence Date: 04/10/1997								
AVIATION									
VETYBONS	Occurrence rype. Accident								
Narrative (Continued)									
stated that working as a team was new for them. She stated that the pilot had been working all winter in Barrow, and that he would routinely arrive home about 1900 every evening.									
The pilot's wife stated that she and her husband were being paid a salary and there was no pressure to fly. She also stated that there was no pressure from the U.S. Post Office to fly by-pass mail, and that the by-pass mail had never been taken away from them. She stated that the payments to carry the mail often made up the difference (profit) after the passenger revenue.									
The pilot's wife said that the pilot's normal sleep pattern was to go to sleep around 2200 or 2230 at night, and then awaken about 0730 the following morning. She stated that there appeared to be nothing unusual about the pilot on the day of the accident and that he was well-rested.									
The pilot's wife stated that the that there were ample communicat the pilot's complaints about his pre	pilot viewed Hageland Aviation S tions and support from management evious employer was that there wa	Services as a "good company," and nt. She also stated that one of as not enough company support.							
The pilot's wife stated that the accident. Three of the four passes morning flight. She stated that The fourth passenger was a non-re- in the day.	here were two scheduled flights engers aboard the accident flight they had waited all day to go a evenue company employee who had o	s to Wainwright on the day of the t were scheduled to depart on the and were anxious to leave Barrow. decided to go to Wainwright later							
The FSS briefer, whom the pilot Safety Board. He stated that he pilot appeared to be feeling we Wainwright. He also stated tha Hageland Aviation Services, and abo stated that the pilot also appear and that the pilot made no mention airplane.	The FSS briefer, whom the pilot visited on the day of the accident, was also interviewed by the Safety Board. He stated that he enjoyed meeting and talking with the pilot. He stated that the pilot appeared to be feeling well and was not exhibiting any anxiety or stress about rushing to Wainwright. He also stated that the pilot expressed his pleasure about his new employment with Hageland Aviation Services, and about how he enjoyed flying "on salary" rather than per flight. He stated that the pilot also appeared to be pleased that he was now joined by his family in Barrow, and that the pilot made no mention of any problems with his personal health or the condition of the								
AIRCRAFT INFORMATION The aircra the Cessna Aircraft Company in 1995 Gussic Ventures of Anchorage, Ala airplane was powered by a singl horsepower.	AIRCRAFT INFORMATION The aircraft, N408GV, a Cessna model 208B "Caravan I," was manufactured by the Cessna Aircraft Company in 1995. Upon delivery from the factory, it was sold and registered to Gussic Ventures of Anchorage, Alaska, who then leased it to Hageland Aviation Services, Inc. The airplane was powered by a single Pratt & Whitney PT6A-114A turboprop engine rated at 675 shaft horsepower.								
The accident airplane was equipp According to the operator, four of accident flight, and the remaini accident airplane also carried a car	The accident airplane was equipped with the capability of seating up to nine passengers. According to the operator, four of the passenger seats would have been installed at the time of the accident flight, and the remaining five seats would have been stored inside the airplane. The accident airplane also carried a cargo pod located underneath the belly of the airplane.								
According to receipts and logs (c accident airplane received 175.8 interviewed by a representative refueler reported that both tanks we	According to receipts and logs (copies attached) obtained from the fueling service in Barrow, the accident airplane received 175.8 gallons of Jet-A fuel at 1055 on the day of the accident. When interviewed by a representative of the North Slope Borough (record of interview attached), the refueler reported that both tanks were "topped off" with fuel.								
The airplane's published maximum The takeoff weight of the airpla investigators to be 9,109 pounds balance records, known fuel load, of baggage receipts (copies attached operator one day after the accide recovered):	gross takeoff weight was 8,750 ane on the morning of the acciden s, using the following data o occupant weights provided by the a), and by-pass mail cargo weight ent (cargo manifest documents to	D pounds in non-icing conditions. In twas calculated by Safety Board derived from available weight and FAA and the North Slope Borough, ights as reported verbally by the verify the cargo weight were not							
	EACTUAL DEDODT AVIATION	Page 1d							

National Transportation Safety Board	NTSB ID: ANC97MA161						
FACTUAL REPORT	Occurrence Date: 04/10/1997						
<b>ÁVIATION</b>	Occurrence Type: Accident						
Narrative (Continued)							
Empty weight of airplane 4,92 Pilot & Passengers 994 lbs (By-pass mail) 660 lbs. (verbally	26 lbs. Usable fuel on board ( 5. Baggage (in 7 reported)	322 gallons) 2,224 lbs. nside airplane) 315 lbs. Cargo					
Total Weight at Takeoff 9,119 pou	unds						
The Safety Board estimated that during the accident flight, which takeoff weight at the time of the Insufficient information was avail compartment and cargo pod; therefor of gravity of the airplane at take with an icing equipment package pneumatic deicing boots on the win The package also included an effect electrical windshield anti-ice par system, and inertia separator. Th its landing gear struts or carg anti-ice panel was installed at the	the airplane would have consume the left the airplane at least is accident, providing that the re- ilable regarding the specific re, the Safety Board was unable eoff or during the accident. certified for flight in icing of ngs, wing struts, horizontal stal lectrically-heated propeller bla hel, an electrical pitot/static bla he airplane was not equipped with go pod. The Safety Board could time of the accident.	d no more than 200 pounds of fuel 169 pounds over its maximum gross eported cargo weight is accurate. loading locations of the baggage to adequately estimate the center The airplane was equipped conditions. The package included bilizer, and vertical stabilizer. ade anti-ice boots, a detachable, heat system, a standby electrical h any ice protection equipment on d not determine if the windshield					
The airplane was equipped for f Apollo model 820C Global Positic use only." The airplane was also ec	Elight into IMC. The airplane wa oning System (GPS) receiver. The quipped with a Bendix/King KFC-1	as also equipped with a II Morrow e receiver was placarded for "VFR 50 autopilot.					
Hageland maintained the airplane as per an Approved Aircraft Inspection Program (AAIP) in accordance with FAR Part 135.419, and FAA Operations Specifications Part D73. The AAIP was developed from the Cessna Aircraft Company's Recommended Maintenance Program for the Cessna 208B aircraft. This AAIP is comprised of 12 "Phase" inspections, one accomplished each 200 flight hours, with "Mini" (abbreviated) inspections accomplished at 200 flight hour intervals, such that an inspection is accomplished each 100 flight hours. The entire aircraft is inspected with the accomplishment of any four consecutive phase inspections, such that the entire aircraft is inspected three times during the 12 phase inspections. Hageland also utilized a computer-based tracking and reporting system for tracking the status of inspections and components on all of their Cessna 208B aircraft.							
The most recent maintenance record 1,694.7 hours of total time on the a Mini check with no unresolved disc	rds for the airframe and the eng e airframe (TTAF). The record o crepancies.	ine were dated March 26, 1997, at entries indicated compliance with					
The airplane was equipped with model number is 3000-1 and is of METEOROLOGICAL CONDITIONS	an ELT, manufactured by Pointe: an FAA Technical Standard Orde:	r, Inc., Tempe, Arizona. The ELT r (TSO) C91 type design.					
According to a Meteorological Fac specialist, the following pertinent	ctual Report (attached) prepared aviation routine weather report:	by a Safety Board meteorological s were recorded for Barrow:					
[Local time 1950] ceiling 500 feet ••-9.6 degrees C; altimeter setting	Winds 120 degrees at 11 km overcast; temperature -8.6 de 30.56 inches of Hg.	nots; visibility 7 miles; egrees					
[Local time 2058] ceiling 500 feet ••-10.4 degrees C; altimeter setting	Winds 150 degrees at 12 km overcast; temperature -9.6 de g 30.54 inches Hg. 8/8 stratus.	nots; visibility 7 miles; egrees					
The Meteorological Factual Report	also describes a pilot report	that was recorded at 1802, about					
	FACTUAL REPORT - AVIATION	Page 1e					

National Transportation Safety Board	NTSB ID: ANC97MA161	
FACTUAL REPORT	Occurrence Date: 04/10/1997	
AVIATION ETYBON	Occurrence Type: Accident	

two and one-half hours prior to the accident. The pilot report indicated that the crew of a DC-6 airplane, descending toward Barrow, observed a cloud ceiling of 300 feet overcast with the top of cloud layer at 1,000 feet. The pilot also reported that he picked up "light" ice while on final approach to Barrow.

The Meteorological Factual Report also documents satellite images that "... show clouds along a straight line route from [Barrow] to [Wainwright]" about the time of the accident. The report further documents that the following area forecast for the Arctic Slope coastal area was issued at 1745 on the day of the accident: "Temporarily [Tempo] ceilings below 1,000 feet visibility below 3 miles in mist. Otherwise... scattered clouds at 500 feet and scattered clouds at 20,000 feet... Turbulence... None significant... Ice and freezing level... None significant. Freezing level above the surface." The area forecast was valid until 0600 on the morning after accident for Wainwright and Barrow.

No SIGMETs were issued or had been valid for the area of Northern Alaska at the time of the accident. One AIRMET was valid, and it reported: "Temporary ceilings below 1,000 feet and visibility below 3 miles in mist. Cloud tops to 1,000 feet." AERODROME AND GROUND FACILITIES

The Wainwright Airport consists of a single gravel runway, oriented 040-220 degrees magnetic, with no air traffic control or aircraft ground services. The field elevation is 30 feet above mean sea level (msl). Runway 4-22 is 4,500 feet long and 90 feet wide. Its extended centerlines are marked with blue 55-gallon drums. According to the FAA, about 800 air taxi operations were reported at the airport in 1996.

At the time of the accident, the airport was served by a published GPS non-precision approach to both Runway 4 and Runway 22. According to the terminal approach procedure diagram (attached), the minimum descent altitude (MDA) for an approach to Runway 4 is published as 560 feet msl, or 523 feet above the ground (when using an altimeter setting obtained from Barrow). If the runway environment is not visible at the MDA, the published procedure calls for the execution a missed approach procedure involving an immediate, straight-out climb to 2,000 feet msl on runway heading. There were no other published instrument approaches to the airport other than the two GPS approaches. Runway 4 is also served by Medium Intensity Runway Lighting (MIRL). The MIRL is pilot-activated by keying a microphone on a frequency of 122.9 megahertz. The microphone must be keyed at least three times in rapid succession to activate the runway lights at the lowest setting. Keying five or seven times increases the lighting intensity. Upon activating the lights, the lights will remain illuminated for about 15 minutes, unless additional keying occurs. Runway 4 was also served by a Visual Approach Slope Indicator (VASI) lights. No anomalies were reported with the airport lighting immediately before, during or immediately following the accident.

There is no official weather reporting capability at the Wainwright Airport.

## WRECKAGE AND IMPACT INFORMATION

On the morning of April 11, one day prior to the Safety Board's arrival at the accident site, representatives of the North Slope Borough photographed and videotaped the accident site immediately after its discovery. They then began to disturb the wreckage in order to recover the airplane occupants. The wreckage was examined at the accident site by Safety Board investigators on April 12, 1997. During the Safety Board's examination, the ice began to break up beneath the wreckage and the investigators were forced to abandon the wreckage after about three hours of documentation and component retrieval. The retrieved components included the propeller, autopilot controller/computer, and navigation/communication transceivers (except the GPS receiver which was not found). The remainder of the wreckage subsequently sank in the Arctic Ocean and was never recovered.

National Transportation Safety Board	NTSB ID: ANC97MA161	
FACTUAL REPORT	Occurrence Date: 04/10/1997	
AVIATION	Occurrence Type: Accident	
Narrative (Continued)		
The Safety Board utilized the N	North Slope Borough's documentat:	on as part of its investigation.

The safety Board utilized the North Slope Borough's documentation as part of its investigation. The wreckage was initially found about 4.3 nautical miles northwest of Wainwright. It was lodged in shifting, broken ice and was found partially submerged in a nose-down attitude. Its longitudinal axis was aligned along a northerly heading. Prior to its movement by search and rescue personnel, the majority of the airframe remained intact and was lying upright within the confines of its preimpact dimensions.

The fuselage structure was substantially damaged. The forward portion of the fuselage structure, beginning at the wing attach points, was buried into the ice on an angle of about 60 degrees from the horizon. The ice thickness was about 12 inches. The remainder of the airframe was resting about 30 degrees downward as referenced from the horizon. Small pieces of debris, the pitot tube and the left tire were found distributed along a 100-foot path to the northwest of the airframe. The deice windshield was not recovered (the operator reported that the windshield would have normally been installed). No evidence of fire or in-flight structural failure was found.

The engine remained attached to the forward portion of the fuselage. A visual examination of the engine mounts did not reveal any evidence of preimpact twisting or damage. A visual examination of the exterior of the engine also did not reveal any evidence of preimpact catastrophic mechanical failure. The propeller had separated from the engine flange. The flange was bent and its mounting bolt holes exhibited evidence of gouging and stretching.

A Fiberglas cargo pod was installed on the belly of the fuselage. The pod was crushed beneath the fuselage and had disintegrated. Cargo debris was found in the area of the disintegration. The cargo was not recovered or weighed.

Both wings remained attached to the fuselage and were substantially damaged. The right wing received more impact damage than the left wing. The entire span of the right wing's leading edge received "accordion" crush damage aftward and was curled upward about 60 degrees from its chord line. The entire span of the left wing's leading edge also received "accordion" crush damage aftward and was curled upward about 60 degrees from its chord line. The entire span of the left wing's leading edge also received "accordion" crush damage aftward and was curled upward; however, the upward curl decreased along the outboard span. The left wing's leading edge exhibited less upward curl than the right wing.

The tailcone and empennage remained attached to the airframe, but were bent towards the right about 60 degrees from the longitudinal axis of the airframe as viewed aft to forward. The right side of the tailcone structure had buckled just aft of the cargo door frame. The left horizontal stabilizer, left elevator, vertical stabilizer, and rudder were not damaged; however, the outboard halves of the right horizontal stabilizer and elevator were crushed aftward and upward. The rudder, including its balance weight, was secured to the vertical stabilizer.

The deice boots for the horizontal stabilizers were found intact, except for the outboard portion of the right stabilizer boot that was impact damaged. An examination of the boots revealed that some ice had adhered to portions of the boots as well as to the unprotected portions of their adjacent leading edges. The ice was mostly clear and reached a maximum thickness of about 1/4-inch. The deice boots for the vertical stabilizer were also intact and exhibited evidence of some ice accretion that was similar to the ice found on the stabilizers. About a 1/4-inch layer of ice was also found along the entire unprotected leading edge of an antenna mounted on the right side of the vertical stabilizer. The deice boots from the wings and wing struts were severely damaged due to impact forces.

The airplane had fixed tricycle landing gear. Both main landing gear spring steel struts remained attached to the fuselage and were bent rearward. The right strut was bent aft more than the left strut. The nose landing gear was crushed underneath the fuselage.

No evidence of a preimpact flight control malfunction was found. All of the flight control surfaces were accounted for at the accident site. Flight control cable continuity could not be

TRANSPO	
National Transportation Safety Board	
FACTUAL REPORT	
AVIATION	
ETYBOR	

NTSB ID: ANC97MA161 Occurrence Date: 04/10/1997 Occurrence Type: Accident

# Narrative (Continued)

verified due to the disturbance of the wreckage prior to the Safety Board's arrival. The exposed portion of the elevator trim tab actuator was measured to be 1.5 inches in length, which corresponds to an elevator trim tab setting of 10 degrees tab down (maximum deflection is 15 plus/minus 2 degrees up or down) according to technical information provided by Cessna. The actuator was intact and undamaged. The rudder trim system in the cockpit was destroyed. There is no rudder trim tab installed on the airplane. The exposed portion of the aileron trim tab actuator, located near the right wingtip, was measured to be 1.75 inches in length. This was reported by Cessna to correspond to an aileron trim tab setting of 10 degrees tab down (left wing up trim - maximum deflection is 15 plus/minus 2 degrees up or down). Both wing flaps were attached to their respective wings and received impact damage. They were both found in the retracted position relative to the chordline of the wing structure. The electrically-driven flap jackscrew actuator could not be accessed at the accident site.

The power lever, fuel condition lever, and propeller control lever were mangled and crushed into their pedestal and a determination of their preimpact position could not be made; however, they were all aligned laterally with each other. The emergency power lever was found in the "NORMAL" position.

The majority of the flight instruments and cockpit gauges were impact-damaged. Some of the instruments had been torn from their brackets and their glass faces were shattered. The airspeed indicator needle was broken. The radio altimeter indicated 300 feet. The vertical speed indicator read 2,200 feet per minute descent.

The heading indication on the horizontal situation indicator (HSI), found in the left cluster of flight instruments, read 128 degrees. The left-side directional indicator was partially crushed and read about 120 degrees. The heading indication of the right-side directional indicator read 013 degrees. The HSI and left-side directional indicator are powered by an electrically-driven gyro, while the right-side directional indicator is powered by a vacuum-driven gyro. The left-side attitude indicator, powered by a vacuum-driven gyro, indicated a 10-degree nose up pitch attitude and a left bank. The right-side attitude indicator, powered by an electrically-driven gyro, indicated a 10-degree nose down pitch attitude and a 30-degree right bank. The gyros could not be extracted and examined at the accident site.

The instrument gauge needles for engine oil temperature, interturbine temperature, torque, propeller revolutions per minute (rpm), and gas generator rpm (Ng) percent all read zero. The fuel flow gauge needle read 320 pounds per hour.

All cockpit anti-ice/deice switches and controls were found in the "OFF" position.

The circuit breaker panel was intact, but received some impact damage. All of the circuit breakers were found in the retracted position, except for the Generator Field breaker, which was extended in the "tripped" position. The breaker panel was bent in the area of this breaker. The propeller anti-ice and windshield anti-ice were partially retracted. The volt/ammeter selector switch was found in the "ALT" position. The alternator load gauge read zero amps. The standby power switch was found in the "OFF" position.

The overhead panel containing the fuel selector handles for the right and left wing tanks had been torn from the airplane. The selector valve linkages were attached to the handles, but had separated from the selector valves. MEDICAL AND PATHOLOGICAL INFORMATION

An autopsy was performed on the pilot by Dr. Michael T. Probst, M.D., of the Alaska State Medical Examiner Office, Anchorage, Alaska, on April 14, 1997. According to the autopsy report, the cause of death was cited as: "Multiple impact injuries." No evidence that was consistent with pilot incapacitation was noted.



mid-deice area, and the second about 12 inches inboard from the tip end. The tip end was twisted towards low pitch. An approximate 2-inch gouge, curled toward the face side of the blade, was located on the leading edge outboard of the deice boot. Smaller nicks and gouges were located on the leading edge midspan and near the tip end. No evidence of a blade-to-clamp rotation/slippage was found.

The spinner dome was crushed and deformed rearward and inward around the blades. Deformation of the dome was opposite to propeller rotation. The spinner bulkhead was deformed rearward, nearly 90 degrees, between the no. 1 and no. 2 blade positions.

Examination of Communication/Navigation Transceivers

On June 24, 1997, the Safety Board disassembled and inspected (report attached) the airplane's communication/navigation transceivers at AlliedSignal (formerly Bendix/King) in Olathe, Kansas. Both units received severe impact damage and could not be powered up. The non-volatile memory circuit chips were extracted from the transceivers and placed in a test unit. The test unit was powered up and the following frequencies were displayed:

TRANSCEIVER No. 1 (Bendix/King KX-165, s/n 55532): In-use communication frequency -127.77 (pilot "chat" frequency for the local area) Stand-by communication frequency - 122.80 (Wainwright Airport CTAF) In-use navigation frequency - 116.20 (Barrow VOR frequency) Stand-by navigation frequency - 109.10 (Barrow localizer frequency for Runway 6)

TRANSCEIVER No. 2 (Bendix/King KX-165, s/n 55599): In-use communication frequency -123.60 (Barrow Airport CTAF/FSS) Stand-by communication frequency - 122.80 (Wainwright Airport CTAF) In-use navigation frequency - 109.10 (Barrow localizer frequency for Runway 6) Stand-by navigation frequency - 116.20 (Barrow VOR frequency)

National Transportation Safety Board	NTSB ID: ANC97MA161	
FACTUAL REPORT	Occurrence Date: 04/10/1997	
AVIATION	Occurrence Type: Accident	
Narrative (Continued)		

#### Examination of Autopilot Components

On June 24, 1997, the Safety Board disassembled and inspected (report attached) the airplane's autopilot flight computer/controller (Bendix/King KC-192) at AlliedSignal in Olathe, Kansas. The unit received severe impact damage; no reliable switch positions could be obtained. The unit was connected to a KFC-150 autopilot system test harness and powered. None of the annunciators were functional, but the system passed pre-flight test normally. All autopilot and flight director modes engaged and the system responded correctly to roll and pitch commands. The pitch and roll rate monitors were tested and found to be functional. Automatic pitch trim and manual electric trim functions were normal, and the autopilot disconnect/trim interrupt functioned correctly. All servo clutches engaged and disengaged normally with autopilot mode cycle. The control wheel steering (CWS) mode functioned normally.

The autopilot mode annunciator bulbs were intact and non-functional. The flight director bulb was examined under a microscope. The examination revealed that the light bulb filament was broken with and exhibited no stretching. This observation was confirmed by the Safety Board's materials laboratory (report attached) in Washington DC. According to AlliedSignal, the autopilot system requires that the flight director mode to be engaged in order to allow the autopilot mode to be engaged.

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

Background and Safety Record of the Operator

At the time of the accident, Hageland Aviation Services was based in St. Mary's, Alaska, and had been in a period of expansion. The company began in 1985 and has about 115 employees. They were authorized to conduct nine or less scheduled and on-demand passenger and cargo operations. The airline operates from eight Alaska towns and maintained their fleet in three Alaska towns. Hageland Aviation Service's fleet consisted of 28 aircraft, including four Cessna 402C multiengine airplanes, one Beech E-18 multiengine airplane, 20 single-engine reciprocating Cessna airplanes, and three turbine-powered Cessna 208Bs.

According to Safety Board records, airplanes operated by Hageland Aviation Services were involved in 13 accidents from 1985 through April 1998. Three of the accidents involved fatalities.

# Release of Aircraft Wreckage

All aircraft wreckage, except for the propeller and selected navigation/communication equipment, was released to Mr. Dennis Cork, Insurance Adjuster, Professional Adjusters of Alaska, Inc., Anchorage, Alaska, on April 14, 1997. Mr. Cork was representing the registered owner of the airplane at the time of this release. The remaining components were subsequently released to Mr. Derrill Fulkerson, Claims Manager, AIG Aviation, Los Angeles, California, on March 3, 1998. Mr. Fulkerson was representing the registered owner of the airplane at the time of this release.

National Transportation Safety Board	NTS	B ID: AN	C97MA161						
FACTUAL REPORT	Осси	urrence Da	te: 04/10/1997		]				
ÁVIATION	Occi	urrence Tv	pe: Accident						
Janding Eacility/Approach Information									
Airport Name		Airport ID	: Airport Elevation	Run	way Used	Runwav	Lenath	Run	wav Width
		,portiz	Ft. MSL 0						,
		<u> </u>							
Runway Surface Type:									
Runway Surface Condition:									
Approach/Arrival Flown: NONE									
VFR Approach/Landing: None									
Aircraft Information									
Aircraft Manufacturer		Mod	lel/Series			:	Serial Numb	oer	
Cessna		208	<u>38 /2088</u>				208B0455	)	
Airworthiness Certificate(s): Normal									
Landing Gear Type: Tricycle									
Amateur Built Acft? No Number of Seat	:s: 5	Certi	Certified Max Gross Wt. 8750 LBS Num				Number of E	Ingines	s: 1
Engine Type: Turbo Prop		Engine I P&W	Aanufacturer: Model/Series: PT6A-114A					Rated Power: 675 HP	
- Aircraft Inspection Information		<del></del>		1					
Type of Last Inspection		Date of L	ast Inspection	nce Last Inspec	Airfra	ame To	otal Time		
		03/199	7		irs	,	1740 Hours		
- Emergency Locator Transmitter (ELT) Information									
ELT Installed?/Type Yes /		ELT Operated? Yes ELT Aided in Locating Accident Site? Yes							
Owner/Operator Information									
Registered Aircraft Owner		Stree	t Address P.O. BOX 22	20610					
GUSSIC VENTURES		City State Zip						Zip Code	
		ANCHORAGE AK 99522							99522
Operator of Aircraft		Stree	P.O. BOX 19	95;ST. M	IARYS AIRPO	RT			
HAGELAND AVIATION SERVICES		City		- , -			St	ate	Zip Code
			ST. MARYS						99659
Operator Does Business As:				0	perator Designa	ator Cod	le: EPUA		
Air Carrier Operating Certificate(s) Commuter Air	Carrier								
Operating Certificate:			Operator Certif	icate:					
Regulation Flight Conducted Under: Part 135: Air	Taxi & C	ommuter							
Type of Flight Operation Conducted: Unknown;Sc	heduled;	Domesti	c; Passenger/Cargo						
FACTUAL REPORT - AVIATION Page 2									

National Transportation	Safety Board	1	NTSB ID:	ANC97M	A161							
FACTUAL RI	EPØRT		Occurren	ce Date: 04	4/10/1997							
AVIATI	ION		Occurren		ccident							
ETYBO	124		Occurrent		coldent							
First Pilot Information												
Name					City				State	Date of	f Birth	Age
On File					On File				On File	On Fi	ile	41
Sex: M Seat Occupied: Left Occupational Pilot? Civilian Pilot								Ce	rtificate Nu	mber: Or	n File	
Certificate(s): Fligh	nt Instructor	; Commerci	al					-1				
Airplane Rating(s): Mult	i-engine La	nd; Single-e	ngine Land	; Single-er	igine Sea							
Rotorcraft/Glider/LTA: Non	e											
Instrument Rating(s): Airp	lane											
Instructor Rating(s): Airpl	lane Single-	engine										
Current Biennial Flight Revie	ew?											
Medical Cert Class 1	Medica	al Cert. Statu	s: Valid Me	dicalw/ w	aivers/lim		Da	te of L	ast Medical	Exam: (	7/1996	
- Flight Time Matrix	All A/C	This Make and Model	Airplane Single Engine	Airplane Mult-Engine	Night	Ac	Instrument	Simulated	Rotorcra	ft	Glider	Lighter Than Air
Total Time	3660	60	2560	480	60	2	300	300				
Pilot In Command(PIC)	3460	60	2120	480	60	D	300	300				
Instructor												
Instruction Received												
Last 90 Days		60	60									
Last 30 Days		40	40									
Last 24 Hours		4	4									
Seatbelt Used? Yes	Shou	ulder Harness	Used? Yes		Тох	Toxicology Performed? Yes Sec				Second F	Pilot? No	1
Flight Plan/Itinerary					•				•			
Type of Flight Plan Filed: VI	FR											
Departure Point					Sta	te	Airport I	dentifie	er Dep	oarture Ti	me	Time Zone
BARROW					AK		BRW		tifier Departure Time 1955			ADT
Destination					Sta	te	Airport I	port Identifier				
Same as Accident/Incide	ent Location						AWI					
Type of Clearance: None					·			_				
Type of Airspace: Class	G											
Weather Information												
Source of Wx Information:												
Flight	Service Sta	tion										
			FACTUAI	REPORT	- AVIATI	ON						Page 3
1												-

National Transportation Safety Board FACTUAL REPORT				NTSB ID: ANC97MA161									
				Occurrence Date: 04/10/1997									
AVIATION				Occurrence Type: Accident					1				
Weather Information													
WOF ID Observation Time Time Zone				WOF Elevation WOF Distance From Accident Site						Direction F	Direction From Accident Site		
ABR 2058 ADT				44 Ft.	MSL				73 NM	73 NM		90 Deg. Mag.	
Sky/Lowest Cloud Condition: Unknown						0 Ft. AGL			Condition of	Condition of Light: Day			
Lowest Ceiling: Overcast				500 Ft.	AGL	Visibility: 7			SM	SM Altimeter: 30.00 "Hg			
Temperature: 16 °C Dew Point:				14 °C Weather			r Conditions at Accident Site:						
Wind Direction: 150 Wind Speed:			beed: 11	11 Wind Gusts:									
Visibility (RVR): 0 Ft. Visibility (RVV			y (RVV)	) 0 SM									
Precip and	l/or Obscuration:												
Aircraft Damage: Destroyed				Aircraft Fire: None					Aircraft Exr	olosio	n None		
Aircrait Damage. Destroyed Aircrait Fire: None Aircrait Explosion None													
	mmory Motrix	Fotol	Sorious	Mino		Nono	ΤΟΤΑΙ						
- Injury Sul		1	Senous		"	None	TOTAL	1					
Second	d Pilot	· ·						4					
Student Pilot													
Flight li	nstructor							1					
Check	Pilot												
Flight E	Ingineer												
Cabin A	Attendants												
Other C	Crew							1					
Passer	igers	4						4					
- TOTAL A	ABOARD -	5						5					
Other C	Ground	0		0	0			0					
- GRANE	D TOTAL -	5		0	0			5					
FACTUAL REPORT - AVIATION Page 4													

National Transportation Safety Board	NTSB ID: ANC97MA161								
FACTUAL REPORT	Occurrence Date: 04/10/1997								
AVIATION	Occurrence Type: Accident								
Administrative Information									
Investigator-In-Charge (IIC)									
JEFFREY B. GUZZETTI									
Additional Persons Participating in This Accident/Incident Investigation:									
VICTORIA E ANDERSON WASHINGTON, DC									
FRED LEEPER WICHITA, KS									
ROGER W STALLKAMP PIQUA, OH									
RON J TWETO ST. MARYS, AK									