APPENDICES

Task Force Ratings

The tables of ratings on the following pages were developed for the U.S. Preventive Services Task Force using the methodology adapted from the Canadian Task Force on the Periodic Health Examination^a and described in Chapter ii. For this edition of the *Guide*, the Task Force developed ratings for all of the topics examined.

The Task Force graded the *strength of recommendations* for or against preventive interventions as follows.

Strength of Recommendations

- A: There is good evidence to support the recommendation that the condition be specifically considered in a periodic health examination.
- B: There is fair evidence to support the recommendation that the condition be specifically considered in a periodic health examination.
- C: There is insufficient evidence to recommend for or against the inclusion of the condition in a periodic health examination, but recommendations may be made on other grounds.
- D: There is fair evidence to support the recommendation that the condition be excluded from consideration in a periodic health examination.
- E: There is good evidence to support the recommendation that the condition be excluded from consideration in a periodic health examination.

Determination of the quality of evidence (i.e., "good," "fair," "insufficient") in the strength of recommendations was based on a systematic consideration of three criteria: the burden of suffering from the target condition, the characteristics of the intervention, and the effectiveness of the intervention as demonstrated in published clinical research. Effectiveness of the intervention received special emphasis. In reviewing clinical studies, the Task Force used strict criteria for selecting admissible evidence and placed emphasis on the quality of study designs. In grading the *quality of evidence*, the Task Force gave greater weight to those study designs that, for methodologic reasons, are less subject to bias and inferential error. The following rating system was used.

^a Canadian Task Force on the Periodic Health Examination. The periodic health examination. Can Med Assoc J 1979;121:1193–1254.

Quality of Evidence

I: Evidence obtained from at least one properly randomized controlled trial.

- II-1: Evidence obtained from well-designed controlled trials without randomization.
- II-2: Evidence obtained from well-designed cohort or case-control analytic studies, preferably from more than one center or research group.
- II-3: Evidence obtained from multiple time series with or without the intervention. Dramatic results in uncontrolled experiments (such as the results of the introduction of penicillin treatment in the 1940s) could also be regarded as this type of evidence.
- III: Opinions of respected authorities, based on clinical experience; descriptive studies and case reports; or reports of expert committees.

Well-designed and well-conducted meta-analyses were also considered, and were graded according to the quality of the studies on which the analyses were based (e.g., Grade I if the meta-analysis pooled properly randomized controlled trials).

An exact correlation does not exist between the strength of the recommendation and the level of evidence, i.e., Level I evidence did not necessarily lead to an "A" grade, nor did an "A" grade require Level I evidence. For example, there may have been evidence of good quality that did not prove that an intervention is effective (e.g., mammography in women under age 50, which received a "C" recommendation). On the other hand, an "A" recommendation was given to screening for cervical cancer with Papanicolaou testing, based on burden of suffering and Level II evidence supporting the effectiveness of the intervention. For many preventive services, there is insufficient evidence to determine whether or not routine intervention will improve clinical outcomes ("C" recommendation). A variety of different circumstances can result in a "C" recommendation: available studies are not adequate to determine effectiveness (e.g., insufficient statistical power, unrepresentative populations, lack of clinically important endpoints, or other important design flaws); high-quality studies have produced conflicting results; evidence of significant benefits is offset by evidence of important harms from intervention; or studies of effectiveness have not been conducted. As a result, lack of evidence of effectiveness does not constitute evidence of ineffectiveness. Chapter ii provides further information about the methodology used to develop the body of this report.

Table 1. Screening for Asymptomatic Coronary Artery Disease

| Intervention | Level of Evidence | Strength of Recommendation |
|--|-------------------|----------------------------|
| Routine resting, ambulatory, or exercise electrocardiography in middle-aged or older persons | II-2 | С |
| Routine resting electrocardiography in healthy children, adolescents, or young adults, including those undergoing pre- participation sports physicals | III | D |

Table 2. Screening for High Blood Cholesterol and Other Lipid Abnormalities

| Intervention | Level of Evidence | Strength of Recommendation |
|---|-------------------|----------------------------|
| Routine measurement of total serum or blood cholesterol | | |
| Men aged 35-65 yr | I, II-2 | В |
| Women aged 45–65 yr | II-2 | В |
| Persons aged > 65 yr | II-2 | C |
| Children, adolescents, young adults | II-2 | C |
| Routine measurement of HDL-C | II-2, III | C |
| Routine measurement of triglycerides | II-2 | С |

Table 3. Screening for Hypertension

| Intervention | Level of Evidence | Strength of Recommendation |
|--|-------------------|----------------------------|
| Periodic blood pressure measurement in persons aged 21 yr | I | A |
| Measurement of blood pressure in children and adolescents during office visits | II-2, II-3, III | В |

Table 4. Screening for Asymptomatic Carotid Artery Stenosis

| Intervention | Level of Evidence | Strength of Recommendation |
|--|-------------------|----------------------------|
| Routine carotid ultrasound or auscultation for carotid bruits in older persons | I, II-2 | С |

Table 5. Screening for Peripheral Arterial Disease

| Intervention | Level of Evidence | Strength of Recommendation |
|---|-------------------|----------------------------|
| Routine history-taking for classic claudication, palpation of peripheral pulses, ultrasound, or other noninvasive tests in older persons | III | D |

Table 6. Screening for Abdominal Aortic Aneurysm

| Intervention | Level of Evidence | Strength of Recommendation |
|------------------------------|-------------------|----------------------------|
| Routine abdominal palpation | II-2 | C |
| Routine abdominal ultrasound | II-2 | C |

Table 7. Screening for Breast Cancer

| Intervention | Level of Evidence | Strength of Recommendation |
|--|-------------------|----------------------------|
| Routine mammogram every 1-2 yr with or | | |
| without annual clinical breast exam | | |
| Women aged 40-49 yr | I | С |
| 50–69 yr | I, II-2 | Α |
| 70–74 yr | I, II-3 | С |
| 75 yr | III | С |
| Annual clinical breast exam without | | |
| periodic mammograms | | |
| Women aged 40–49 yr | III | С |
| 50–59 yr | I | С |
| 60 yr | III | С |
| Routine breast self-exam | I, II-2, III | C |

Table 8. Screening for Colorectal Cancer

| Intervention | Level of Evidence | Strength of Recommendation |
|---|-------------------|----------------------------|
| Annual fecal occult blood testing of persons aged 50 yr and older | I, II-1, II-2 | В |
| Routine sigmoidoscopy in persons aged 50 yr and older | II-2, II-3 | В |
| Routine digital rectal exam | III | C |
| Routine barium enema | III | С |
| Routine colonoscopy | III | С |

Table 9. Screening for Cervical Cancer

| Intervention | Level of Evidence | Strength of Recommendation |
|---|-------------------|----------------------------|
| Regular Pap testing in women who are or have been sexually active and who have a cervix | II-2, II-3 | A |
| Discontinuation of regular Pap testing in women aged >65 yr | III | С |
| Routine cervicography or colposcopy Routine testing for HPV infection | III III | C C |

Table 10. Screening for Prostate Cancer

| Intervention | Level of Evidence | Strength of Recommendation |
|---|----------------------|----------------------------|
| Routine digital rectal exam Routine prostate-specific antigen or other | II-2 I, II-2, III | D D |
| serum tumor markers Routine transrectal ultrasound | II-2, III | D |

Table 11. Screening for Lung Cancer

| Intervention | Level of Evidence | Strength of Recommendation |
|--|-------------------|----------------------------|
| Routine chest x-ray or sputum cytology | I, II-1, II-2 | D |

Table 12. Screening for Skin Cancer--Including Counseling to Prevent Skin Cancer

| Intervention | Level of Evidence | Strength of Recommendation |
|---|-------------------|----------------------------|
| | Screening | |
| Total body skin exam by primary care clinicians | II-3, III | С |
| Periodic skin self-exam | II-3, III | С |
| Primary Prevention | | |
| Sun avoidance or use of protective clothing by high-risk* persons | II-2 | В |
| Routine use of sunscreens | I, II-2 | С |
| Clinician counseling to increase the use of sun protection measures | III | С |

^{*}See relevant chapter for definition of high risk.

Table 13. Screening for Testicular Cancer

| Intervention | Level of Evidence | Strength of Recommendation |
|--|-------------------|----------------------------|
| Routine self-exam or physician exam of the testes in men | III | С |

Table 14. Screening for Ovarian Cancer

| Intervention | Level of Evidence | Strength of Recommendation |
|---|-------------------|----------------------------|
| Routine pelvic exam, ultrasound, or serum tumor markers General female population High-risk* women | II-3, III III | D C |

Table 15. Screening for Pancreatic Cancer

| Intervention | Level of Evidence | Strength of Recommendation |
|--|-------------------|----------------------------|
| Routine abdominal palpation, ultrasound, or serum tumor markers | III | D |

Table 16. Screening for Oral Cancer

| Intervention | Level of Evidence | Strength of Recommendation |
|--|-------------------|----------------------------|
| Routine oral exam by primary care clinicians | III | С |

Table 17. Screening for Bladder Cancer

| Intervention | Level of Evidence | Strength of Recommendation |
|--------------------------------------|-------------------|----------------------------|
| Routine urine dipstick or microscopy | II-2, III | D |
| Routine urine cytology | III | D |

 $[\]ensuremath{^{*}}\mbox{See}$ relevant chapter for definition of high risk.

Table 18. Screening for Thyroid Cancer

| Intervention | Level of Evidence | Strength of Recommendation |
|---|-------------------|----------------------------|
| Routine neck palpation or ultrasound General population High-risk* adults or children | II-2, III III | D C |

Table 19. Screening for Diabetes Mellitus

| Intervention | Level of Evidence | Strength of Recommendation |
|---|-------------------|----------------------------|
| Non-insulin-dependent Routine measurement of plasma glucose, glycosylated hemoglobin, or urine glucose | II-2 | С |
| Gestational Routine oral 1-hr glucose challenge test, glycosolated hemoglobin, fasting or random plasma glucose, or urine glucose | I, II-2 | С |
| <u>Insulin-dependent</u> Routine measurement of serum auto- antibodies in the general population | III | D |

Table 20. Screening for Thyroid Disease

| Intervention | Level of Evidence | Strength of Recommendation |
|--|-------------------|----------------------------|
| Routine thyroid function tests General population High-risk* persons | III I, II-3 | D C |

Table 21. Screening for Obesity

| Intervention | Level of Evidence | Strength of Recommendation |
|--|-------------------|----------------------------|
| Periodic height and weight measurements | I, II-2, II-3 | B |
| Routine determination of the waist/hip ratio | II-2 | C |

 $[\]ensuremath{^*\text{See}}$ relevant chapter for definition of high risk.

Table 22. Screening for Iron Deficiency Anemia—Including Iron Prophylaxis

| Intervention | Level of Evidence | Strength of Recommendation |
|--|---------------------|----------------------------|
| | Screening | |
| Routine hemoglobin/hematocrit | O | |
| Pregnant women at first prenatal visit | II-1, II-2 | В |
| High-risk* infants | I | В |
| High-risk* children | I | C |
| General population | I, II-1, II-2 | C |
| Repeat hemoglobin/hematocrit in pregnant women or high-risk* infants not anemic at initial testing | III | С |
| O | ary Prevention | |
| Breastfeeding and use of iron- enriched formula or food for all infants and toddlers | I, II-1, II-2, II-3 | В |
| Routine use of iron supplements | | _ |
| Healthy pregnant women | I, II-1, II-2 | C |
| Healthy infants | I, III | C |

Table 23. Screening for Elevated Lead Levels in Childhood and Pregnancy

| Intervention | Level of Evidence | Strength of Recommendation |
|---|-------------------|----------------------------|
| S | creening | |
| Routine blood lead measurement | | |
| High-risk* children | II-1, II-2, II-3 | В |
| Pregnant women | III | C |
| Prima | ary Prevention | |
| Routinely counseling families to control lead dust by repeated | II-2, III | С |
| household cleaning, or to optimize caloric, iron, and calcium intake specifically to reduce lead absorption | | |

Table 24. Screening for Hepatitis B Virus Infection

| Intervention | Level of Evidence | Strength of Recommendation |
|--|---------------------|----------------------------|
| Routine measurement of HBsAg Pregnant women | I, II-1, II-2, II-3 | A |
| High-risk* persons (to assess eligibility for vaccination) | III | C |
| General population | III | D |

 $[\]ensuremath{^{*}}\textsc{See}$ relevant chapter for definition of high risk.

Table 25. Screening for Tuberculous Infection—Including BCG Immunization

| Intervention | Level of Evidence | Strength of Recommendation |
|---|-------------------|----------------------------|
| Tuberculin skin testing of high-risk* persons | Ι | A |
| BCG vaccination of selected high-risk* infants and children | I, II-2 | В |

Table 26. Screening for Syphilis

| Intervention | Level of Evidence | Strength of Recommendation |
|---|-------------------|----------------------------|
| Routine serologic testing High-risk* persons Pregnant women | II-3 II-3 | A A |

Table 27. Screening for Gonorrhea—Including Ocular Prophylaxis in Newborns

| Intervention | Level of Evidence | Strength of Recommendation |
|---|--------------------|----------------------------|
| S | creening | |
| Routine gonorrhea culture or nonculture | O | |
| screening test | | |
| High-risk* women | II-2, III | В |
| High-risk* pregnant women | II-2 | В |
| Other pregnant women | III | С |
| High-risk* men | II-3, III | C |
| General population | III | D |
| Primary Prevention of Gon | ococcal Ophthalmic | a Neonatorum |
| Routine ophthalmic antibiotic in newborns | | A |

Table 28. Screening for Human Immunodeficiency Virus Infection

| Intervention | Level of Evidence | Strength of Recommendation |
|--|-------------------|----------------------------|
| Enzyme immunoassay, with confirmatory test | | |
| for positive results | | |
| High-risk* adolescents and adults | I, II-2 | A |
| High-risk [*] pregnant women | I, II-2 | Α |
| High-risk* infants | II-2 | В |
| Low-risk pregnant women, adolescents, | III | C |
| and adults | | |

^{*}See relevant chapter for definition of high risk.

Table 29. Screening for Chlamydial Infection—Including Ocular Prophylaxis in Newborns

| Intervention | Level of Evidence | Strength of Recommendation |
|--|-------------------|----------------------------|
| - | Screening | |
| Routine culture or nonculture screening | O | |
| test | | |
| Sexually active female adolescents and | d | |
| other high-risk* women | I, II-2, III | В |
| High-risk* pregnant women | II-2 | В |
| Other pregnant women | III | С |
| High-risk* men | II-3, III | С |
| General population | III | D |
| Primary Prevention of | Chlamydial Ophtha | almia Neonatorum |
| Routine ophthalmic antibiotic in newborn | | С |

Table 30. Screening for Genital Herpes Simplex

| Intervention | Level of Evidence | Strength of Recommendation |
|--|-------------------|----------------------------|
| Scr | eening | |
| Routine viral culture, serology, or other tests | O | |
| General population | II-3, III | D |
| Pregnant women | II-2, II-3, III | D |
| Examination of pregnant women in labor for signs of active genital HSV lesions | II-2, III | С |
| Primary Prevention o | of Neonatal Herp | es Infection |
| Routine use of systemic acyclovir in pregnant women with recurrent herpes | III | С |
| Counseling uninfected women with infected partners to use condoms or abstain from intercourse during pregnancy | III | С |

Table 31. Screening for Asymptomatic Bacteriuria

| Intervention | Level of Evidence | Strength of Recommendation |
|---|-------------------|----------------------------|
| Routine urine culture in pregnant women at 12-16 weeks' gestation | I | A |
| Routine urine dipstick for leukocyte esterase/nitrites | | |
| Pregnant women | II-2 | D |
| Diabetic women | III | С |
| Noninstitutionalized elderly women | I, II-1, II-2 | С |
| Institutionalized elders | I | E |
| School-aged girls | I | E |
| Other persons | I, II-2, III | D |
| Routine urine microscopy | II-2 | D |

^{*}See relevant chapter for definition of high risk.

Table 32. Screening for Rubella—Including Immunization of Adolescents and Adults

| Intervention | Level of Evidence | Strength of Recommendation |
|---|---------------------|----------------------------|
| Routine rubella serology or vaccination | | |
| history | | |
| Women of childbearing age | II-2, II-3, III | В |
| (including pregnant women) | | |
| Young men in high-risk* settings | II-3, III | C |
| Other men and postmenopausal | III | D |
| women | | |
| Routine rubella vaccination without | | |
| screening | | |
| Children | I, II-1, II-2, II-3 | Α |
| Nonpregnant women of childbearing age | II-2, III | В |
| Young men in high-risk* settings | II-2, III | С |
| Other men and postmenopausal women | III | D |

Table 33. Screening for Visual Impairment

| Intervention | Level of Evidence | Strength of Recommendation |
|--|-------------------|----------------------------|
| Routine testing for amblyopia and strabismus in preschool children | II-1, II-2 | В |
| Routine Snellen acuity testing in elderly persons | II-3 | В |
| Routine ophthalmoscopy by primary care clinicians in elderly persons | III | С |
| Routine vision screening in other children, adolescents, and adults | III | С |

Table 34. Screening for Glaucoma

| Intervention | Level of Evidence | Strength of Recommendation |
|---|---------------------|----------------------------|
| Routine tonometry Routine ophthalmoscopy by primary care clinicians | I, II-2, III III | C C |

 $[\]ensuremath{^{*}}\mbox{See}$ relevant chapter for definition of high risk.

Table 35. Screening for Hearing Impairment

| Intervention | Level of Evidence | Strength of Recommendation |
|--|-------------------|----------------------------|
| Periodically questioning older adults about their hearing | I, III | В |
| Routine audiometric testing in older adults | I, III | С |
| Routine hearing testing in adolescents and working age adults ¹ | III | С |
| Routine evoked otoacoustic emission testing or auditory brainstem response in newborns | II-2, III | С |
| Routine hearing testing in children aged >3 yr | II-2 | D |

 $^{^1\}mathrm{Screening}$ of workers for noise-induced hearing loss should be performed in the context of existing worksite programs and occupational medicine guidelines.

Table 36. Screening Ultrasonography in Pregnancy

| Intervention | Level of Evidence | Strength of Recommendation |
|--|-------------------|----------------------------|
| Routine midtrimester ultrasound in pregnant women | I | С |
| Routine third-trimester ultrasound in pregnant women | I | D |

Table 37. Screening for Preeclampsia

| Intervention | Level of Evidence | Strength of Recommendation |
|--|-------------------|----------------------------|
| Periodic blood pressure measurement during pregnancy, as part of routine prenatal care | II-3, III | В |

Table 38. Screening for D (Rh) Incompatibility

| Intervention | Level of Evidence | Strength of Recommendation |
|--|-------------------|----------------------------|
| Routine D (Rh) blood typing and antibody testing of pregnant women at the first visit | I, II-1, II-3 | A |
| Repeat antibody testing of all unsensitized D-negative pregnant women at 24-28 weeks' gestation Routine administration of D immuno- globulin to unsensitized D-negative women | II-1 | В |
| Postpartum | I, II-1 | Α |
| At 24-28 weeks' gestation | II-1 | В |
| After amniocentesis or induced abortion | II-1, II-3 | В |
| After CVS, other high-risk* obstetric procedures or complications | I, III | С |

Table 39. Intrapartum Electronic Fetal Monitoring

| Intervention | Level of Evidence | Strength of Recommendation |
|--|-------------------|----------------------------|
| Routine intrapartum electronic fetal monitoring Low-risk pregnancies High-risk* pregnancies | I I | D C |

Table 40. Home Uterine Activity Monitoring

| Intervention | Level of Evidence | Strength of Recommendation |
|---|-------------------|----------------------------|
| Home uterine activity monitoring Normal risk pregnancies High-risk* pregnancies | III I, II-2 | D C |

 $[\]ensuremath{^*\text{See}}$ relevant chapter for definition of high risk.

Table 41. Screening for Down Syndrome

| Intervention | Level of Evidence | Strength of Recommendation |
|---|-------------------|----------------------------|
| Offering amniocentesis or CVS to high-risk* pregnant women | * II-2 | В |
| Offering maternal serum multiple-marker testing to all pregnant women | II-2 | В |
| Offering maternal serum individual marker testing to pregnant women | II-2 | С |
| Offering midtrimester ultrasound to pregnant women | II-2, III | С |

Table 42. Screening for Neural Tube Defects —Including Folate Prophylaxis

| Intervention | Level of Evidence | Strength of Recommendation |
|--|-------------------|----------------------------|
| | Screening | |
| Offering maternal serum a-fetoprotein measurement to all pregnant women | II-2 | В |
| Offering midtrimester ultrasound to all pregnant women | I, II-2, III | С |
| Prir | nary Prevention | |
| Periconceptional folic acid 4.0 mg daily for women with previous affected pregnancy | I | A |
| Daily multivitamin or multivitamin/ multimineral containing 0.4–0.8 mg folic acid for women planning pregnal | I, II-2 | Α |
| Daily multivitamin containing 0.4 mg folic acid for women capable of pregnancy | II-2 | В |
| Dietary folate intake of 0.4 mg/day for women capable of pregnancy | II-2 | С |

Table 43. Screening for Hemoglobinopathies

| Intervention | Level of Evidence | Strength of Recommendation |
|---|-------------------|----------------------------|
| Testing for hemoglobinopathies in newborns | I, II-2 | A |
| Offering testing for hemoglobinopathies | | |
| with counseling Pregnant women at first prenatal visit | II-2, II-3, III | В |
| High-risk* adolescents and young adults | II-1, III | С |

^{*}See relevant chapter for definition of high risk.

Table 44. Screening for Phenylketonuria

| Intervention | Level of Evidence | Strength of Recommendation |
|--|-------------------|----------------------------|
| Routine measurement of phenylalanine level on dried-blood spot specimens in newborns | II-3 | A |
| Routine measurement of blood phenylalanine level in pregnant women | II-2, III | С |

Table 45. Screening for Congenital Hypothyroidism

| Intervention | Level of Evidence | Strength of Recommendation |
|--|-------------------|----------------------------|
| Routine measurement of T ₄ and/or TSH or dried-blood spot specimens in newborns | n II-3 | A |

Table 46. Screening for Postmenopausal Osteoporosis

| Intervention | Level of Evidence | Strength of Recommendation |
|---|-------------------|----------------------------|
| Routine bone densitometry in postmenopausal women | II-2, III | С |

Table 47. Screening for Adolescent Idiopathic Scoliosis

| Intervention | Level of Evidence | Strength of Recommendation |
|--|-------------------|----------------------------|
| Routine forward-bending test, visual inspection of the back, inclinometer, or other tests in adolescents | II-3, III | С |

Table 48. Screening for Dementia

| Intervention | Level of Evidence | Strength of Recommendation |
|--|-------------------|----------------------------|
| Routine use of standardized screening tests in elderly persons | III | С |

Table 49. Screening for Depression

| Intervention | Level of Evidence | Strength of Recommendation |
|--|-------------------|----------------------------|
| Routine use of standardized screening tests in primary care patients | I, II-1 | С |

Table 50. Screening for Suicide Risk

| Intervention | Level of Evidence | Strength of Recommendation |
|--|----------------------------|----------------------------|
| Routine use by primary care clinicians of direct questions or standardized screening tests in the general population | Screening I, II-2, II-3 | С |
| Primary care clinicians to recognize and treat affective disorders | ary Prevention II-3 | В |

Table 51. Screening for Family Violence

| Intervention | Level of Evidence | Strength of Recommendation |
|---|-------------------|----------------------------|
| Routine standardized interview or physical exam to detect child abuse | III | С |
| Routine standardized interview to detect elder abuse | III | С |
| Routine standardized questionnaire to detect domestic violence | II-3, III | С |

Table 52. Screening for Problem Drinking

| Intervention | Level of Evidence | Strength of Recommendation |
|---|-------------------|----------------------------|
| Routine interview or standardized question- naire to detect problem drinking Adolescents and adults Pregnant women | I, II-2 II-2 | B B |

Task Force Ratings 877

Table 53. Screening for Drug Abuse

| Intervention | Level of Evidence | Strength of Recommendation |
|---|-------------------|----------------------------|
| Routine screening with standardized questionnaires or biologic assays | III | С |

Table 54. Counseling to Prevent Tobacco Use

| Intervention | Level of Evidence | Strength of Recommendation |
|--|--------------------|----------------------------|
| Efficacy | of Risk Reduction | |
| Avoidance or cessation of tobacco use to reduce the risk of cancer, cardiovascular and respiratory diseases, adverse pregnancy and neonatal outcomes, and effects of passive smoking | II-2 | A |
| Effectiveness of Counsel | ing and Other Clir | nical Interventions |
| Clinician counseling of all patients, including pregnant women, who use tobacco to reduce or stop use | I | A |
| Nicotine patches or gum as an adjunct to counseling | I | Α |
| Clonidine as an adjunct to counseling | I | C |
| Clinician counseling of school-aged children and adolescents to avoid tobacco use | III^1 | С |

 $^{^1}$ Controlled trials have demonstrated the ability of school-based intervention programs to delay the initiation of tobacco use in children and adolescents.

Table 55. Counseling to Promote Physical Activity

| Intervention | Level of Evidence | Strength of Recommendation |
|--|-------------------------------|----------------------------|
| Efficacy of Regular physical activity to prevent coronary heart disease, hypertension, obesity, and other diseases | of Risk Reduction II-2 | A |
| Effectives Counseling patients to incorporate regular physical activity into their daily routines | ness of Counseling I, II-2 | С |

Table 56. Counseling to Promote a Healthy Diet

| Intervention | Level of Evidence | Strength of Recommendation | | |
|--|--|----------------------------|--|--|
| Efficacy of Risk Red | Efficacy of Risk Reduction in the General Population | | | |
| Limiting intake of dietary fat (especially saturated fat) | I, II-2, II-3 | A | | |
| Limiting intake of dietary cholesterol | II-2 | В | | |
| Emphasizing fruits, vegetables and grain products containing fiber | II-2, II-3 | В | | |
| Maintaining caloric balance through diet and exercise | II-2 | В | | |
| Maintaining adequate intake of dietary calcium in women | I, II-1, II-2, II-3 | В | | |
| Reducing intake of dietary sodium | II-3 | C | | |
| Increasing intake of dietary iron | II-2, II-3, III | C | | |
| Increasing intake of beta-carotene and other antioxidants | II-2, II-3 | С | | |
| Breastfeeding infants | I, II-2 | A | | |
| Effectiveness of Counseling | | | | |
| Counseling to change dietary habits | _ | | | |
| Specially trained educators | I^1 | В | | |
| Primary care clinicians | III | С | | |

¹These trials generally involved specially trained educators such as dieticians delivering intensive interventions (e.g., multiple sessions, tailored materials) to selected patients with known risk factors.

Table 57.
Counseling to Prevent Motor Vehicle Injuries

| Intervention | Level of Evidence | Strength of Recommendation | | |
|---|----------------------------|----------------------------|--|--|
| Efficacy | Efficacy of Risk Reduction | | | |
| Child safety seats, lap/shoulder belts, and motorcycle helmets | II-2, II-3 | Α | | |
| Avoidance of driving while impaired by alcohol or other drugs | II-2, II-3 | Α | | |
| Driver- and passenger-side air bags | II-2 | Α | | |
| Alteration of pedestrian behavior | II-1, II-2, II-3 | C | | |
| Effective | ness of Counseling | | | |
| Counseling parents to have their children use car safety seats or seat belts as appropriate for age | I, II-1, II-2 | В | | |
| Counseling adolescent and adult patients to use lap/shoulder belts | II-1, II-3 | В | | |
| Counseling patients to use motorcycle helmets | III | С | | |
| Counseling problem drinkers to reduce their alcohol consumption (see Ch. 52) | I | В | | |
| Counseling patients to avoid driving while impaired by alcohol or other drugs | III | С | | |
| Counseling patients and parents of child patients on safe pedestrian behavior | III | С | | |

Table 58. Counseling to Prevent Household and Recreational Injuries

| Intervention | Level of Evidence | Strength of Recommendation |
|--|---|----------------------------|
| Efficacy o | of Risk Reduction | |
| Fires and Burns Properly installed/tested smoke detectors Smoking cessation (see Ch. 54) Flame-retardant sleepwear for children Hot water heaters set to <120-130° F | II-2 II-2 II-3 II-3 | B A A |
| Drowning Four-foot, four-sided isolation fences with self-latching gates Cardiopulmonary resuscitation (CPR) | II-2 II-2, III | B B |
| training Poisonings Child-proof containers for medications Limitation of number of tablets packaged Poison-warning stickers designed for children (e.g., "Mr. Yuk" stickers) | II-3 II-3 II-1 | A A D |
| Bicycling and ATV Injuries Approved bicycle and ATV helmets Avoidance of bicycling near traffic ATVs with smaller engines and 4 wheels Training in safe bicycling behavior | II-2, II-3 II-2, III II-2 I, III | A B B C |
| Alcohol-Related Injuries Avoidance of swimming, boating, bicycling hunting, or smoking while intoxicated | II-2 | В |
| <u>Falls in Children</u> Window guards in high-risk* buildings | II-3 | Α |
| Falls in Elderly Persons Exercise, especially balance training Home-based multifactorial fall prevention interventions in high-risk* elders External hip protectors in institutionalized elderly persons | I, II-1, II-2 I, II-2 II-1 | B B C |
| Other Injury Prevention Measures (see Ch. 58 for details) | III | С |
| Effective | ness of Counseling | |
| Counseling parents of young children on measures to reduce injury risk | I, II-1, II-2, II-3 I, III | B C |
| Counseling adolescents and adults on measures to reduce injury risk Counseling problem drinkers to reduce | I, III I | В |
| alcohol consumption (see Ch. 52) Counseling elderly patients to address risk factors for falls | I | C |

 $[\]ensuremath{^{*}}\textsc{See}$ relevant chapter for definition of high risk.

Table 59. Counseling to Prevent Youth Violence

| Intervention | Level of Evidence | Strength of Recommendation |
|---|--------------------------|----------------------------|
| Effi | icacy of Risk Reduction | |
| Removal or safe storage of | II-2, II-3, III | В |
| firearms in the home | | |
| Acquisition of interpersonal - problem solving skills | II-2, III | С |
| Reduction of heavy or problem drinking | II-2, II-3, III | В |
| Reduction of illicit drug use or drug trafficking | II-2, III | С |
| Effe | ectiveness of Counseling | |
| Counseling problem drinkers to redualcohol consumption (see Ch. 52) | | В |
| Counseling on measures to reduce violence risk | III | С |

Table 60. Counseling to Prevent Low Back Pain

| Intervention | Level of Evidence | Strength of Recommendation |
|--|---------------------|----------------------------|
| Efficacy | of Risk Reduction | |
| Exercise to strengthen back or abdominal muscles or to improve overall fitness | I, II-1, II-2 | С |
| Corsets/back belts | I, II-2 | С |
| Modification of risk factors (smoking, obesity, psychological factors) | II-2, III | С |
| Effective | eness of Counseling | |
| Back pain prevention education | 8 | |
| Workplace | I, II-1, II-2 | C |
| Pregnant women | II-1 | C |
| Primary care patients | III | C |

Task Force Ratings 881

Table 61. Counseling to Prevent Dental and Periodontal Disease

| Intervention | Level of Evidence | Strength of Recommendation |
|--|--------------------|----------------------------|
| Efficacy | of Risk Reduction | |
| Regular visits to dental care provider (for services such as professionally applied topical fluorides, sealants) | I | В |
| Toothbrushing with fluoride-containing toothpaste | I, III | В |
| Dental flossing | II-1 | В |
| Avoidance of putting infants and children to bed with a bottle | II-2, III | В |
| Reduced and less frequent intake of sugary foods | II-2 | С |
| Fluoride supplementation of persons aged 16 yr, in areas with inadequate water fluoridation | II-1 | A |
| Effective | ness of Counseling | |
| Counseling patients (parents) to follow measures to reduce their (their children's) risk of oral disease | II-2, II-3 | С |

Table 62. Counseling to Prevent HIV Infection and Other Sexually Transmitted Diseases

| Intervention | Level of Evidence | Strength of Recommendation |
|--|-----------------------|----------------------------|
| Efficacy | | |
| Sexual abstinence or maintenance of mutually faithful monogamous sexual relationship | II-2 | Α |
| Regular use of condoms | II-2, II-3 | Α |
| Regular use of female barrier contraceptives and spermicides | I ¹ , II-2 | В |
| Avoidance of contaminated injection equipment | II-2 | Α |
| Effective | ness of Counseling | |
| Counseling by primary care clinicians to reduce high-risk* sexual behavior or injection drug use | I, II-2 | С |

 $^{^{1}}$ Benefit demonstrated for gonorrhea and chlamydia, but effects on HIV infection uncertain.

 $[\]ensuremath{^*\text{See}}$ relevant chapter for definition of high risk.

Table 63. Counseling to Prevent Unintended Pregnancy

| Intervention | Level of Evidence | Strength of Recommendation |
|---|------------------------------------|----------------------------|
| Sexual abstinence or regular use of contraceptives | Efficacy of Risk Reduction II-2 | A |
| | Effectiveness of Counseling | |
| Clinician counseling to improve | II-3 | В |
| the effective use of contraception | ves | |
| Clinician counseling to promote sexual abstinence among adole | escents | С |

Table 64. Counseling to Prevent Gynecologic Cancers

| Intervention | Level of Evidence | Strength of Recommendation | | |
|---|-----------------------------|----------------------------|--|--|
| | Efficacy of Risk Reduction | | | |
| Oral contraceptives to prevent ovarian and endometrial cance | II-2 er | В | | |
| Avoidance of high-risk* sexual activity, use of barrier contraceptives and spermicides to prevent cervical cancer | II-2 | A | | |
| Tubal sterilization to prevent ovarian cancer | II-2 | В | | |
| | Effectiveness of Counseling | | | |
| Counseling about measures to reduce risk of gynecologic cancers | III | С | | |

 $[\]ensuremath{^*\text{See}}$ relevant chapter for definition of high risk.

Table 65. Childhood Immunizations¹

| Intervention | Level of Evidence | Strength of Recommendation |
|--|---|----------------------------|
| Routine Chi | ildhood Immunizati | ions |
| Diphtheria Pertussis Tetanus Poliomyelitis Measles Rubella | I, II-3 | A |
| Mumps H. influenzadype b conjugate Hepatitis B Varicella | I, II-1, II-2, II-3 I, II-2, II-3 I, II-3 | A A A |
| Immunization | s for High-Riskhild | ren |
| Hepatitis A (age 2 yr) Influenza (age 6 mo) (see Ch. 66) | I, II-3 II-2 | A B |
| Pneumococcus (age 2 yr) (see Ch. 66) Immunocompetent Immunocompromised Healthy persons living in epidemic conditions | I, II-2 I, II-2 I | B C A |
| Chemoprophy Amantadine/rimantadine - for high risk* children (see Ch. 66) | ylaxis Against Influe I | enza A B |

 $^{^1\}mathrm{See}$ Ch. 25 for recommendations on the use of BCG vaccine against tuberculosis.

 $[\]ensuremath{^*\text{See}}$ relevant chapter for definition of high risk.

Table 66. Adult Immunizations—Including Chemoprophylaxis Against Influenza A

| Intervention | Level of Evidence | Strength of Recommendation | | |
|-------------------------------------|----------------------|----------------------------|--|--|
| Routine Adult Immunizations | | | | |
| Influenza (age 65 yr) | I, II-2 | В | | |
| Pneumococcus (age 65 yr) | II-2 | В | | |
| Tetanus-diphtheria | I, II-3 | Α | | |
| Hepatitis B (young adults) | I, II-3 | A | | |
| Immunizations for High-Riskdults | | | | |
| Influenza | II-2 | В | | |
| Pneumococcus | | | | |
| Immunocompetent | I, II-2 | В | | |
| Immunocompromised | I, II-2 | C | | |
| Healthy young adults living | I | A | | |
| in epidemic conditions | | | | |
| Hepatitis B | I, II-3 | A | | |
| Hepatitis A | I | В | | |
| Measles-mumps-rubella | I | A | | |
| Measles-mumps-rubella (second dose) | II-2, II-3 | В | | |
| Varicella (see Ch. 65) | I, II-3 | В | | |
| Chemoproph | ylaxis Against Influ | enza A | | |
| Amantadine/rimantadine | | | | |
| for high-risk* adults | I | В | | |

Table 67.
Postexposure Prophylaxis for Selected Infectious Diseases

| Disease | Intervention | Level of Evidence | Strength of Recommendation |
|---------------------|---|-------------------|-------------------------------|
| H. influenzaetype b | Rifampin | I, II-3 | A |
| Hepatitis A | Immune globulin | II-1 | A |
| Hepatitis B | Immune globulin/vaccine | I | A |
| N. meningitidis | Rifampin | I, II-1 | A |
| o . | Vaccine ¹ | I, II-1, II-3 | A |
| | Ceftriaxone | I | C^2 |
| Rabies | Immune globulin/ postexposure vaccine | I, II-3 | Α |
| | Preexposure vaccine in high-risk* persons | II-1 | Α |
| Tetanus | Vaccine/immune globulin | II-1, II-2, II-3 | A |

¹Persons 3 mo in serogroup A outbreaks; persons 2 yr in serogroup C, Y, and W135 outbreaks.

 $^{^2}$ The efficacy of ceftriaxone in eliminating pharyngeal carriage of meningococcus has been confirmed only for serogroup A strains.

^{*}See relevant chapter for definition of high risk.

Table 68. Postmenopausal Hormone Prophylaxis

| Intervention | Level of Evidence | Strength of Recommendation |
|--|-------------------|----------------------------|
| Routinely counseling peri- and postmenopausal women about the risks and benefits ¹ of hormone prophylaxis | I, II-2 | В |

¹Hormone prophylaxis reduces the risk of osteoporosis and coronary heart disease, but may increase the risk of endometrial and breast cancer.

Table 69.
Aspirin Prophylaxis for the Primary Prevention of Myocardial Infarction

| Intervention | Level of Evidence | Strength of Recommendation |
|--|-------------------|----------------------------|
| Routine aspirin prophylaxis Middle-aged or older men Middle-aged women | I II-2 | C C |

Table 70. Aspirin Prophylaxis in Pregnancy

| Intervention | Level of Evidence | Strength of Recommendation |
|---|-------------------|----------------------------|
| Routine aspirin prophylaxis to prevent preeclampsia | | |
| Pregnant women | I | C |
| High-risk* pregnant women | I | C |
| Routine aspirin prophylaxis to prevent intrauterine growth retardation in | | |
| high-risk* pregnant women | I, II-1 | С |

^{*}See relevant chapter for definition of high risk.