Appendix K Imputations

Following data imputations described here, variables were reviewed and revised, if necessary, to adjust for inconsistencies with other known data. Therefore, the "after imputation" distributions may differ from the final distributions in the restricted-use data file.

As described in Section 5.4, data for 23 variables were imputed statistically, mostly using the weighted hot deck procedure. This appendix shows the imputation classes and sorting variables for all of the variables imputed by the hot deck approach, as well as the other imputation procedures that were used. As presented in table 5.4, the variables are listed in the order in which the imputations were performed.

(1)	Variable Name:	AGE
	Description:	Student age as of December 31, 1999
	Data Used in Imputations:	Study respondents (61,767)
	Number Missing:	343 (0.56%)
	Imputation Classes:	A cross-classification of
	Student type ¹	
	Undergraduate/graduat	e level
	Dependency status	
	Student marital status	
	Fall attendance status	
(2)	Variable Name:	GENDER
	Description:	Student gender
	Data Used in Imputations:	Study respondents (61,767)
	Number Missing:	959 (1.55%). 817 (1.32%) imputed programmatically, matching
		first names to those of study respondents with non-missing
		gender; 62 (0.10%) imputed by means of name/gender
		recognition; 80 (0.13%) imputed by means of hot deck.
	Imputation Classes:	Race ² (simplified)
	Sorting Variables:	
	Hispanic indicator	
	Student type	
(3)	Variable Name:	CITIZEN2
	Description:	Student citizenship
	Data Used in Imputations:	Study respondents (61,767)
	Number Missing:	2,408 (3.90%)
	Imputation Classes:	A cross-classification of
	Federal student aid rec	eipt status
	Student type	
	Sorting Variables:	
	Institution control	
	Institution level of inst	ruction
	Race (simplified)	

¹A student was classified as either an undergraduate, graduate, or first-professional student

² Study respondents were placed in one of five categories, one category for each of the five races. Whenever a study respondent's response was some multiple configuration of races, the most "minority" race (the one race within the configuration with the fewest respondents) was assigned. This hierarchy, from most "minority" to least, was American Indian, Pacific Islander, Asian, black, and white.

(4)	Variable Name: Description: Data Used in Imputations: Number Missing: Imputation Classes: OBE region ³ Federal student aid rece Percent of Hispanics at Sorting Variable:	HISPANIC Indicator of Hispanic ethnicity Study respondents (61,767) 3,087 (5.00%) A cross-classification of eipt status institution (categorical)
	First name	
(5a)	Variable Name: Description:	RACE An intermediary variable allowing for a full racial pattern of all possible multiple-listings of races (31 possible values). This variable was formed from the variables that were individual race indicators: R2WHITE, R2BLACK, R2ASIAN, R2ISLAND, and R2INDIAN. After RACE was imputed, the variables R2WHITE, R2BLACK, R2ASIAN, R2ISLAND, and R2INDIAN were logically assigned from the values of RACE.
	Data Used in Imputations:	Study respondents (61,767)
	Number Missing:	4,968 (8.04%).
	These missing values w response for any of the who marked at least on sufficiently complete se for each of the 5 racial Imputation classes: Student type Percent of blacks at ins Percent of Hispanics at Percent of Asian/Pacifi Percent of American In Sorting Variables: Hispanic indicator	vere those for study respondents who had given no positive five racial indicators. The assumption here is that respondents e racial category with a positive indication have given a elf-profile even if other racial categories were left missing. Details indicator variables are described below. A cross-classification of titution (categorical) institution (categorical) c islanders at institution (categorical) dians at institution (categorical)
	OBE region	(continuous)
(5b)	Variable Name: Description: Data Used in Imputations: Number Missing: Imputation Procedure:	R2WHITE White race indicator Study respondents (61,767) 5,005 (8.10%) Logically imputed from the value of RACE: 1 if RACE had value of white; 0 otherwise
(5c)	Variable Name: Description: Data Used in Imputations: Number Missing: Imputation Procedure:	R2BLACK Black race indicator Study respondents (61,767) 5,147 (8.33%) Logically imputed from the value of RACE: 1 if RACE had value of black: 0 otherwise
(5d)	Variable Name:	R2ASIAN

 3 Alaska and Hawaii were placed in the region for outlying areas, along with Puerto Rico 438

	Description: Data Used in Imputations: Number Missing: Imputation Procedure:	Asian race indicator Study respondents (61,767) 5,178 (8.38%) Logically imputed from the value of RACE: 1 if RACE had value of Asian; 0 otherwise
(5e)	Variable Name: Description: Data Used in Imputations: Number Missing: Imputation Procedure:	R2ISLAND Pacific Islander race indicator Study respondents (61,767) 5,178 (8.38%) Logically imputed from the value of RACE: 1 if RACE had value of Pacific Islander; 0 otherwise
(5f)	Variable Name: Description: Data Used in Imputations: Number Missing: Imputation Procedure:	R2INDIAN American Indian race indicator Study respondents (61,767) 5,172 (8.37%) Logically imputed from the value of RACE: 1 if RACE had value of American Indian; 0 otherwise
(6)	Variable Name: Description: Data Used in Imputations: Number Missing: Imputation Classes: CPS record indicator Fall enrollment status Student type Age (categorical) ⁴ Sorting Variable: Age	SMARITAL Student marital status Study respondents (61,767) 5,032 (8.15%) 10 CHAID segments defined by cross-classifications of
(7)	Variable Name: Description: Data Used in Imputations: Number Missing: Imputation Classes: Black race indicator Gender Fall enrollment status Student marital status Hispanic indicator	ANYDEP Dependents indicator Study respondents (61,767) 9,179 (14.86%) 8 CHAID segments defined by cross-classifications of

⁴ Three broad age categories were defined: 1) less than or equal to 23 years; 2) between 24 and 29 years, inclusive; and 3) greater than 29 years of age

(8a)	Variable Name:	DEPEND
	Description:	Dependency status indicator (2 levels)
	Data Used in Imputations:	Study respondents (61,767)
	Number Missing:	3,969 (6.43%)
	Imputation Procedure:	

Missing values were imputed based on age, student marital status, and whether or not the respondent has any dependents. A person was considered an "Independent" if he/she had any dependents (ANYDEP=1), or if he/she was at least 24 years of age (AGE ge 24), or if he/she was married or separated (SMARITAL is (2 or 3)), or if he/she was a graduate or first-professional student (STUTYPE5 is (2 or 3)). Otherwise, the student was considered to be a "Dependent."

(8b)	Variable Name:	DEPEND2
	Description:	Dependency status indicator (3 levels)
	Data Used in Imputations:	Study respondents (61,767)
	Number Missing:	9,447 (15.29%)
	Imputation Procedure:	

Missing values were imputed based on the values of the first dependency status indicator (DEPEND) and the indicator of any dependents (ANYDEP). If a study respondent has already been identified as independent by DEPEND, and he/she has dependents, then DEPEND2 will indicate whether or not he had any dependents.

(9)	Variable Name: Description: Data Used in Imputations: Number Missing: Imputation Classes: Federal aid receipt indi Student marital status	ATTEND Fall attendance status Study respondents for whom FALL >0 (51,232) 691 (1.35%) A cross-classification of ccator
	Sorting Variable: Age	
(10)	Variable Name:	HSDEG
	Description:	Indicator and type of high school degree
	Data Used in Imputations:	Study respondents (61,767)
	Number Missing:	5,772 (9.34%)
	Imputation Classes: Citizenship Student type Institution level of inst	A cross-classification of ruction
	Sorting Variables:	
	Institution highest leve Age	l of offering

Race (simplified)

(11)	Variable Name: Description: Data Used in Imputations: Number Missing: Imputation Classes: Dependency status indi Hispanic indicator Fall attendance status CPS record indicator	LOCALRES Local residence Study respondents (61,767) 10,704 (17.33%) 8 CHAID segments defined from cross-classifications of icator (2 levels)
	Dependents indicator Citizenship Student marital status Sorting Variable: Age	
(12)	Variable Name: Description: Data Used in Imputations: Number Missing:	NDEPEND Number of dependents Study respondents (61,767) 11,328 (18.34%) Of study respondents with dependents (ANYDEP=1), there were 4,673 missing (29.98% of 15,586). Of study respondents who reported no dependents (ANYDEP=0), there were 6,655 missing NDEPEND values (14.41% of 46.181)
	Imputation Procedure: Hot deck imputation w ANYDEP=1. In this g zero. Since this NDEP these respondents inap Of the 46,181 study re NDEPEND values. Al Imputation Classes: Student marital status Age (categorical)	as implemented for all missing NDEPEND values for which roup, there were 853 respondents with an NDEPEND value of PEND value was inconsistent with the ANYDEP value, it made propriate donors. Thus, they were eliminated from the donor base. respondents for which ANYDEP=0, there were 6,655 with missing 1 of these were logically set to zero. A cross-classification of

Gender

Student type (Graduate students were collapsed into a single group with first-professional students)

Sorting Variables:

Age

CPS record indicator Institution level of instruction

(13)	Variable Name: Description: Data Used in Imputations: Number Missing: Imputation Classes: Institution highest level Race ⁵ (simplified) Age (categorical) Sorting Variables: Age Race	PMARITAL Parents' marital status Study respondents, dependents (26,167) 3,582 (13.69%) A cross-classification of of offering
(14)	Variable Name: Description: Data Used in Imputations: Number Missing: Imputation Classes: Parents' marital status Institution highest level Race ⁵ (simplified) Sorting Variables: Age Race	PFAMNUM Parent family size Study respondents, dependents (26,167) 3,582 (13.69%) A cross-classification of of offering
(15)	Variable Name: Description: Data Used in Imputations: Number Missing: Imputation Classes, 1 st Stage: Parent income category Parent marital status Imputation Classes, 2nd Stage Pell grant status Parent marital status Citizenship Hispanic indicator Parent family size	DEPINC Parents' income Study respondents, dependents (26,167) overall: 10,503 (40.14%) 1 st stage: 6,901 (48.29% of 14,292 dependents reporting parents' income category) 2 nd stage: 3,602 (18.96% of dependents not imputed in 1 st stage) A cross-classification of from student reports (if reported) : 9 CHAID segments defined by cross-classifications of

⁵Study respondents were placed in one of five categories, one category for each of the five races. Whenever a study respondent's response was some multiple configuration of races, the most "minority" race (the one race within the configuration with the fewest respondents) was assigned. This hierarchy, from most "minority" to least, was American Indian, Pacific Islander, Asian, Black, and White.

Sorting Variables:

Parents' highest education Race

NOTE: The imputation for parent income was performed in two stages. The first stage imputed for students who reported their parents' income category but the actual parents' income amount was missing. The first stage used a cross-classification of parent income category and parent marital status as the imputation classes among students who reported their parents' income category. The second stage imputed for students who did not report both their parents' income category and actual parent's income. The second stage imputed the remaining missing values where several variables were used to define the imputation classes, including parent marital status, which was also used as an imputation class in the first stage. In both stages, parents' highest education and race were used as the sorting variables.

(16)	Variable Name:	HSGRADYY
	Description:	High school graduation year
	Data Used in Imputations:	Study respondents, high school degree or certificate (61,058)
	Number Missing:	8,416 (13.78%)
	Imputation Procedure:	

It was assumed HSGRADYY was not missing for respondents who had indicated that they had no HS diploma or GED or certificate. All others were divided into two groups: those who had received an HS diploma (HSDEG=1; there were 7,554 of these) and those remaining (862). For the first group, high school graduation year was modeled as a function of age using simple linear regression. The model was

GradYr= 2017.305787 - 1.001766*Age

Graduation year was rounded to the nearest whole year, and it was not allowed to exceed the year 2000, which was the most recent year of an existing study respondent. (There were seven study respondents, aged 15 or 16, whose graduation years were set to the year 2000 in this manner). The modeling utilized only observations with non-missing age and HS graduation year for those which had indicated HSDEG=1 (49,673). The R² was 0.994559, and the MSE was 0.423. The earliest year set in this way was 1931 for two study respondents, both 86 years of age.

The remaining missing values were imputed using weighted hot deck procedures.

Imputation classes: A cross-classification of

Type of high school degree

Age (categorical)

Sorting Variable:

Age

(17)	Variable Name:	INDEPINC
	Description:	Student s income
	Data Used in Imputations:	Study respondents, independents (35,600)
	Number Missing:	8,761 (24.61%)
	Imputation Classes:	54 CHAID segments defined by cross-classifications of
	CPS record indicator	
	Pell grant status	
	Dependents indicator	
	Stafford loan status	
	Student marital status	
	Age (categorical)	
	Local residence	
	Institution level of inst	ruction
	Hispanic indicator	
	Institution control	
	Region	
	Attendance status	
	Asian race indicator	
	Citizenshin	
	Gender	
	Student type	
	Sorting Variables:	
	Attendance status ⁶	
	Age	
(18)	Variable Name:	EFC4
(-)	Description:	Expected family contribution
	Data Used in Imputations:	Study respondents (61,767)
	Number Missing:	29,086 (47.1 percent) total; Specifically, 10,207 (39.5 percent)
	_	dependents, 10,743 (55.0 percent) independents without
		dependents, and 8,136 (49.5 percent) independents with
		dependents.
	Imputation procedure:	
	Records with a recorde	d value (EFC1) were divided into the three
	categories of EFC form	hula types, and separate regression equations
	were developed.	
	For both types of indep	pendent students the variables used for the
	estimation were:	
	Student total income	
	Student marital status	
	Student family size	
	Student number in coll	ege
	Dummy variable for to	tal income of \$75,000 or more

⁶ Attendance status was used as a sort variable in addition to defining CHAID segments because attendance status was an important variable for determining student's income and it was not included in all CHAID segments.

For dependent students the variables were:

Parent total income Parent income squared Parent family size Parent number in college Parent marital status Dummy variable for total income of \$75,000 or more

Logistic regression was used to predict whether or not the student fell into the zero EFC group. If the estimated probability was below .5, the case was estimated to have a non-zero EFC. If the value was greater than or equal to .5, the case was estimated to have a zero EFC. For the non-zero cases, an OLS based regression formula was used to estimate the EFC. The adjusted R squared values for the OLS regressions were .69 for dependent students, .59 for independent students without dependents, and .60 for independent students with dependents.

The correlation coefficients between estimated and actual EFC were:

Dependent	.85
Independent/no dependents	.72
Independent/with dependents	.78

For independent students, about 70 percent of the predicted values were within one thousand dollars of the actual value for the EFC. The results for dependent students were less satisfactory, with only about 28% of the values within one thousand dollars.

Table K-1.—Distribution of	imputed v	variables	before an	nd after i	mputatio	'n						
		All stu	idents			Underg	raduates		9	raduates/firs	t-professional	S
	Before im	putation	After imp	outation	Before im	nputation	After im	putation	Before im	putation	After im	putation
	Sample size	Percent estimate ¹	Sample size	Percent estimate ¹	Sample size	Percent estimate ¹						
Age					(
19 or younger	8,975	19.32	9,030	19	8,929	22.30	8,980	22	46	0.66	50	
20 to 23	22,285	31.43	22,420	32	21,187	34.83	21,310	35	1,098	10.20	1,110	10
24 to 29	13,456	20.09	13,510	20	8,924	17.01	8,940	17	4,532	39.35	4,570	39
30 to 39	9,385	15.76	9,440	16	6,048	13.93	6,060	14	3,337	27.20	3,380	27
40 or older	7,323	13.40	7,360	13	4,622	11.93	4,640	12	2,701	22.58	2,730	23
Gender												
Male	25,611	43.57	26,030	44	20,514	43.74	20,780	44	5,097	42.49	5,250	43
Female	35,197	56.43	35,740	56	28,755	56.26	29,150	56	6,442	57.51	6,590	57
Citizenship												
U.S. citizen	54,212	92.23	56,350	92	44,907	93.03	46,410	93	9,305	87.05	9,930	87
Resident	2,555	4.60	2,640	5	2,193	4.80	2,270	5	362	3.29	380	б
Visa	2,592	3.18	2,780	3	1,195	2.17	1,260	2	1,397	9.66	1,520	10
D 44 4 1 7 1 4 4 7												
Hispanic	6.502	11.60	6.810	12	5.723	12.32	5.970	12	677	7.12	830	7
Not Hispanic	52,178	88.40	54,960	88	41,731	87.68	43,960	88	10,447	92.88	11,000	93
Race		10 55	000 11		75 950		010 01	רר	c 1 C 0	C3 EE	0100	
White Dial: A friend American	44,1/1 6 607	10.77	41,020 720		400,00 727 2	26.01	010,00		0512 054	200	9,010	
Black Of Alfican American	0,0075	12.48	067,1	71	600,0 601 6	10.01	0,210	دا م	406 1251	0.00	1,050	ر م
Asian Amoricon Indion or Alacha Matino	0/0,c	0.04	4,000	0 -	77477	0.00	7,120	0 -	1,200 52	9.99 72.0	000,1	I0 ≉
Nuclical mutal of Alaska Nauve Native Hawaiian or Dacific Islander	256	0.67	290		201 201	0.51	230 230		75 55	040	00	t #
Multiple races	1,671	3.11	1,880	- m	1,379	3.18	1,560	ι ω	292	2.74	320	ŝ
Studiant morital status												
Single	42,752	74.74	46,150	74	36,774	77.73	39,600	77	5.978	56.53	6,560	56
Married	13,109	23.77	14,710	25	8,494	20.68	9,540	22	4,615	42.62	5,170	43
Separated	874	1.49	006	1	774	1.59	800	1	100	0.85	110	1
Any domondonts												
	38.673	72.20	45.330	72	32.304	73.37	37.430	73	6.369	64.97	7.890	99
Yes	13,915	27.80	16,440	28	10,549	26.63	12,500	27	3,366	35.03	3,940	34
Denendency status – two level												
Dependent Indanandant	23,192 34.606	40.10 50 00	26,170 35,600	43 57	22,839 23 176	46.62 53.38	25,810 24 120	49 51	353 11 480	3.14 06.86	350 11 480	3
Independent	04,000	UK.KC	000,00	10	071,02	00.00	24,12U	10	11,400	70.00	11,400	71

aputation—Continued	
puted variables before and after im	
: K-1.—Distribution of im	

	als	mputation	Percent estimate ¹	3 34 34	51 24 24	6 4 - 0 % #	7 87 6	37 40 3 3	78 22	8 8 8 7 7 7 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8
	st-profession	After ii	Sample size	350 7,540 3,940	5,500 2,230 2,200	10,990 130 180 490 50	830 10,350 650	1,410 1,470 800 130	290 60	20 130 120 60 10
	raduates/firs	nputation	Percent estimate ¹	3.79 61.18 35.03	51.30 24.32 24.38	93.86 1.24 1.65 2.85 0.40	7.31 87.16 5.53	34.14 41.36 21.48 3.03	78.22 21.78	7.62 33.52 36.50 16.78 2.21 3.38
	9	Before in	Sample size	353 6,016 3,366	5,439 2,194 2,170	8,976 106 146 358 41	729 8,453 453	1,054 1,226 672 102	292 61	19 129 123 61 12
		putation	Percent estimate ¹	49 24 27	65 20 15	92 1 = 1 = 1	16 60 24	35 35 32 32	72 28	26 26 21 21 23 23 23 23 23 23 23 23 24 24 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25
nued	raduates	After im	Sample size	25,810 11,620 12,500	31,230 6,300 3,780	46,240 2,230 180 630	9,030 30,180 10,730	4,940 3,990 2,540 310	19,060 6,760	2,060 6,810 8,430 5,300 1,960 1,260
n-Conti	Undergr	oputation	Percent estimate ¹	51.63 21.51 26.86	64.53 20.16 15.32	92.16 5.14 0.30 1.30 1.09	16.77 58.39 24.84	35.78 36.50 24.58 3.13	72.26 27.74	8.17 25.54 32.30 20.83 7.82 5.35
mputation		Before in	Sample size	22,839 9,197 10,549	30,809 6,198 3,731	42,947 2,079 161 574 607	8,044 24,570 8,814	2,931 2,803 1,875 250	16,407 5,825	1,786 5,850 7,269 4,531 1,712 1,084
d after ii		putation	Percent estimate ¹	43 29 28	63 21 17		15 64 22	36 36 37	72 28	26 8 21 23 8 5 8
before an	dents	After im	Sample size	26,170 19,160 16,440	36,720 8,530 5,980	57,230 2,360 360 1,110 710	9,860 40,530 11,380	6,350 5,460 3,340 440	19,350 6,820	2,080 6,940 8,550 1,970 1,270
ariables l	All stu	putation	Percent estimate ¹	44.96 27.05 28.00	62.68 20.74 16.58	92.37 4.65 0.47 1.50 1.01	15.43 62.47 22.10	35.46 37.45 23.98 3.11	73.94 26.06	7.99 26.47 32.73 20.33 7.63
mputed v		Before im	Sample size	23,192 15,213 13,915	36,248 8,392 5,901	51,923 2,185 307 932 648	8,773 33,023 9,267	3,985 4,029 2,547 352	16,699 5,886	1,805 5,979 7,392 4,592 1,724 1,093
Table K-1.—Distribution of i				Dependency status – three level Dependent Independent without dependents Independent with dependents	Fall attendance status Full-time Half-time Less than half-time	High school degree indicator and type Diploma GED Certificate Foreign None	Local residence On campus Off campus With parents	Number of dependents 1 2 3 or 4 5 or more	Parent marital status Married Not married	Parent family size 2 3 4 5 6 7 or more

Table K-1.—Distribution of	imputed v	ariables	before an	<u>d after in</u>	nputation		nued					
		All stu	idents			Undergr	aduates		5	raduates/firs	t-professional	s
	Before im	putation	After imj	putation	Before im	putation	After im	putation	Before in	nputation	After im	outation
	Samıla eiza	Percent actimata ¹	Samla ciza	Percent estimate ¹	Samila siza	Percent	Somulo cizo	Percent	Samıla eiza	Percent	Samıla eiza	Percent estimate ¹
Doroute' income ²		commanc	pambre size	commune	oampre size	commanc	Dampic size	commanc	oampre suze	contrate	output size	countarc
Latents income Less than \$20,000	2.771	17.69	3.420	13	2.748	18.24	3.400	13	23	6.96	20	7
\$20 000-\$39 999	3.619	23.10	5.210	21	3.574	24.45	5.160	21	45	12.83	50	13
\$40,000-\$59,999	3,212	20.51	5,430	22	3,141	21.04	5,360	22	71	19.39	70	19
860,000-879,999	2,558	16.33	4,500	17	2,497	15.88	4,440	17	61	20.06	60	20
880,000-899,999	1,572	10.04	3,080	11	1,522	9.26	3,030	11	50	12.64	50	13
\$100,000 or more	1,932	12.33	4,530	16	1,829	11.12	4,430	16	103	28.11	100	28
11 into the second seco												
111gli school gladuation year 1999 or 2000	5.187	12.84	5.490	12	5.158	14.52	5.460	14	29	0.62	30	#
1998	4,806	11.98	5,180	11	4,788	13.59	5,160	13	18	0.30	30	#
1997	4,218	10.16	4,610	10	4,193	11.51	4,570	11	25	0.34	40	#
1996	6,983	9.18	7,560	6	6,929	10.36	7,480	10	54	0.58	80	1
1991-1995	14,602	22.14	17,000	22	11,967	20.91	13,570	21	2,635	31.15	3,430	31
1981-1990	9,631	18.33	12,160	20	6,123	15.50	7,390	17	3,508	38.93	4,770	40
1980 or before	7,215	15.36	9,060	16	4,665	13.62	5,650	15	2,550	28.08	3,410	28
Student's income												
Less than \$10,000	7,367	22.81	8,360	19	5,712	23.67	6,440	20	1,655	20.10	1,920	17
\$10,000-\$19,999	5,466	19.78	6,850	18	4,048	21.44	4,960	19	1,418	14.61	1,890	15
\$20,000-\$29,999	3,628	14.94	5,010	15	2,650	16.05	3,610	16	978	11.47	1,410	12
\$30,000-\$39,999	2,588	10.78	3,740	12	1,729	10.90	2,500	12	859	10.40	1,240	11
\$40,000-\$49,999	1,889	7.85	2,870	6	1,184	7.62	1,860	6	705	8.58	1,010	6
\$50,000 or more	5,901	23.84	8,760	27	3,036	20.32	4,750	24	2,865	34.83	4,010	36
Expected family contribution												
, 80	9,310	28.49	11,960	19	8,010	28.06	10,060	20	1,300	31.42	1,900	16
\$1-\$1,500	5,100	15.61	5,740	9	4,554	15.95	5,020	10	546	13.20	720	9
\$1,501-\$3,500	4,702	14.39	6,950	11	4,093	14.34	5,660	11	609	14.72	1,290	11
\$3,501-\$7,500	5,442	16.65	11,820	19	4,722	16.54	9,360	19	720	17.40	2,460	21
\$7,501-\$15,500	4,934	15.10	13,180	21	4,289	15.03	10,450	21	645	15.59	2,730	23
\$15,501-\$22,500	1,535	4.70	6,400	10	1,373	4.81	4,940	10	162	3.92	1,450	12
\$22,501 or greater	1,658	5.07	5,730	6	1,503	5.27	4,440	6	155	3.75	1,290	11