# **Rapid Assessment Reference Condition Model**

The Rapid Assessment is a component of the LANDFIRE project. Reference condition models for the Rapid Assessment were created through a series of expert workshops and a peer-review process in 2004-2005. For more information, please visit www.landfire.gov. Please direct questions to helpdesk@landfire.gov.

## Potential Natural Vegetation Group (PNVG): Gulf Coast Wet Pine Savanna

**R9WPSAgu General Information** Contributors (additional contributors may be listed under "Model Evolution and Comments") Modelers Reviewers Sharon Hermann hermasm@auburn.edu Carl Nordman carl nordman@natureserve .org **Vegetation Type General Model Sources Rapid Assessment Model Zones** ✓ Literature Grassland California Pacific Northwest ✓ Local Data Great Basin South Central **Dominant Species\***  Expert Estimate Great Lakes ✓ Southeast ARBE7 Northeast S. Appalachians PIPA2 LANDFIRE Mapping Zones Southwest Northern Plains 55 N-Cent.Rockies

## **Geographic Range**

Gulf coast wet pine savannah occurs along the lower Gulf coastal plain from north central Florida to eastern Louisiana.

## **Biophysical Site Description**

This PNVG occurs as wet woodlands or savannas growing on wet mineral soils, that are seasonally flooded (late winter to early spring) at least 2-3 times per decade.

## **Vegetation Description**

Gulf coast savannahs are characterized by a very sparse canopy dominated by longleaf pine (Pinus palustris), sometimes mixed with sparsely scattered cypress (Taxodium spp.) and/or slash pine (Pinus elliottii). In Mississippi in the mid-1800's, Hilgard described this PNVG as having scattered stunted longleaf (25 feet tall and generally less than 4 in dbh) with spacing of 50 feet between trees. There is generally little

shrubby understory on reference condition sites, but a variety of hardwoods begin to encroach with infrequent and/or dormant season fire. The ground cover is dense and generally diverse. Grasses such as wiregrass (Aristida beyrichiana) (in the eastern part of the range), toothache grass (Ctenium aromaticum), and dropseeds (Sporobolus spp.), and grass-like species (such as Cyperus spp., Juncus spp., Fimbristylis spp. And Dichromena spp.) are dominate. Forbs, including many species of carnivorous plants, orchids, and composites are common and highly diverse, however, legumes are rarely present. The ground cover exhibits one of the highest small-scale (m2) species richness levels recorded for any habitat-type, worldwide. Unlike many other types of long-leaf pine communities, the distribution of trees in usually not strongly patchy. Instead, most trees are isolated, even as young individuals.

\*Dominant and Indicator Species are from the NRCS PLANTS database. To check a species code, please visit http://plants.usda.gov.

46

#### **Disturbance Description**

Gulf coast wet pine savannahs experience frequent growing season surface fires, every 2-5 years, that generally burn across large expanses. The fires are usually low intensity overall, but will occasionally kill young individuals, and rarely kill older trees. Because this PNVG was originally very open, with only sparse trees, lightning and wind may have been major sources of tree mortality. A major effect of burning is to minimize the coverage of hardwood species (especially species such as black titi [Cliftonia monophylla]) that encroach from wetter adjoining areas. Periods of inundation may have been the dominant factor in keeping hardwoods in drier areas from encroaching. The primary disturbance dynamic is the gap phase regeneration of longleaf pine. The model classes are small patches widely dispersed on the landscape. A secondary dynamic (closed vs. open path) is the invasion of shrubs and hardwood trees in patches that escape fire. Once shrubs are established, they slightly decrease the probability of fire, but increase the probability that fires will kill the canopy pines. Once established, shrubs are not easily eliminated by single fires, but may sometimes be eliminated by multiple fires. We have simulated this by using mosaic fire to represent the last of a series of surface fires that eliminates invading hardwoods without killing canopy pines.

This vegetation type occupies much less of its original area and is now considered a habitat type of special concern due to the lack of fire and/or alteration of the hydrology. Many of the larger original areas have been permanently degraded by bedding (in attempts to establish pine plantations), and ditching or tiling to create drier areas for many types of uses including pastures and sod farms. Past establishment of plowed fire lanes may also slowly degrade the habitat by altering hydrology. Lack of fire has degraded much of the remaining areas. Uncharacteristic vegetation types include even-aged canopy stands in which age structure has been increased in density and/or homogenized by logging activities. Scattered longleaf have been replaced with dense stands of loblolly or slash pine. In addition, there are many areas where shrubs have become dense due to inadequate burning, and examples where the grass dominated ground cover has been lost due to soil disturbance or past canopy closure.

## Adjacency or Identification Concerns

This PNVG is distinguished from other longleaf pine-dominated communities by the presence of wetland herbs and shrubs and seasonally fluctuating hydrology that, in some years, ranges from inundation to excessive drying that may produce cracking in the soil. It does not include the Gulf Coast flatwoods sites with saw palmetto (Serenoa repens) as a common species. Existing patches of savanna may be adjacent to large areas of Class B and E. Slight rises above the elevation of the savanna support mesic flatwoods. Wetter areas are pond cypress (Taxodium ascendens) or gum (Nyssa spp.) sloughs. Also hypericum (Hypericum spp.) ponds are found within this community.

#### **Scale Description**

## Sources of Scale Data Literature Local Data Expert Estimate

Natural lighting initiated fires may have been on the scale of 50 - 50,000 acres, depending on conditions. The larger fires likely burned through savanna, flatwoods, cypress ponds, and may have crossed narrow sloughs if they were dry. The size of savanna patches was probably on the scale of 100 to 2,000 acres, but areas could have had more savanna separated by wet sloughs.

#### **Issues/Problems**

The VDDT model and description of Southern (Gulf Coast) Wet Pine Savanna was developed by Sharon Herman in 2004 and reviewed 3/7/2005 by Carl Nordman. The model was modified to reduce the frequency of fire in Class E, which resulted in Class E being 3% of the landscape rather than less than 1%. When the model is run without fire nearly all the vegetation comes out as Class B and Class E. This occurs on much of the current landscape, which is not managed with prescribed fire. Historical fire size (minimum, maximum, and average) was based on conjecture by Carl Nordman.

## **Model Evolution and Comments**

Suggested reviewers - William Platt (LSU), Guy Anglin (USFS - Florida NFs Supervisors Office), Jean Huffman (FL DEP), Ann Johnson (FNAI) SWPS is the original FRCC code.

Several changes were made to the VDDT model as a result of the editorial review to ensure the information in the Modeltracker description and the model were the same. These changes included:

1. In classes A, B, C, and E, changing the age range of the classes to match the database description.

2. In Class A, changing the time since disturbance in the alternative successional pathway from A to B from 8 years to 15 years to match the starting age of Class B.

- 3. In Class A, changing the relative age for replacement fire event maintaining the class to -15 years.
- 4. In Class B, changing the primary succession pathway from B to E.
- 5. In Class B, removing the alternative successional pathway to E.

6. In Class D, changing the alternative succession pathway from B to E to correspond with the age class of the trees.

These changes resulted in minimal changes to the percentages contained within each class. Class E did increase from 1% originally to 7%. The overall fire frequencies did not change.

## Succession Classes

Succession classes are the equivalent of "Vegetation Fuel Classes" as defined in the Interagency FRCC Guidebook (www.frcc.gov).

Class A 10 %	Indicator Species* and Canopy Position	Structure	lifeform)	
Early1 All Structures	ARBE7 Lower	0	Min	Max
Description	CTAR Lower	Cover	0%	100 %
Class A includes scattered pine	CITAR Lower	Height	Tree Regen <5m	Tree Short 5-9m
regeneration up to 15 years old or		Tree Size	e Class   Sapling >4.5ft;	<5"DBH
no pine regeneration because no mast year has occurred since the gap opened. The native grassy ground cover is dominated by grass or grass-like species. Tree cover ranges between 0 to 25%. Replacement means death of the longleaf pines as single trees or small clumps. Most replacement fires occur in the earliest stage (class A). Older trees are very resilient to fire.	Upper Layer Lifeform ☐ Herbaceous ☐ Shrub ✓ Tree Fuel Model 2	Height a The do compo 25-100	ayer lifeform differs from and cover of dominant li ominant lifeform is the onent. Canopy closure 0% and is composed o 0.5-0.9m tall.	feform are: e herbaceous e ranges between

Class B 3	%	Indicator S Canopy Po	Species* and osition	Structure Data (for upper layer lifeform)				
Mid1 Closed		ILGL	Middle			Min	Max	
		ILCO	Middle	Cover		25 %	100 %	
Description		CLMO2	Middle	Height	Tree	Regen <5m	Tree Medium 10-24m	
Class B is charac scattered pines 1	2	CLW02	Midule	Tree Size	e Class	Medium 9-21"	DBH	
with a substantia mid-story hardw	1		ver Lifeform Daceous			form differs fror er of dominant l	n dominant lifeform. ifeform are:	
-		⊡Shru ✔Tree		canopy	closur		shrubs with a -100%, and heights f 1-2.9m and a	

maximum of greater than 3m.

Fuel Model 7

hardwood/shrub cover is greater

than 50%. Canopy pine cover generally is less than 25%. A

secondary dynamic (closed vs. open path) is the invasion of shrubs and hardwood trees in patches that

Once shrubs are established, they slightly decrease the probability of fire, but increase the probability that fires will kill the canopy pines. Once established, shrubs are not easily eliminated by single fires, but may sometimes be eliminated by multiple fires. We have

simulated this by using mosaic fire to represent the last of a series of surface fires that eliminates

invading hardwoods without killing

escape fire.

canopy pines.

Class C	35 %	Indicator Canopy P	Species* and osition	Structure	<u>lifeform)</u>		
		ARBE7 Lower				Min	Max
Mid1 Open				Cover	0%		25 %
Description			Lower	Height	Tree Short 5-9m		Tree Medium 10-24m
	udes scattered pines 15- There are few			Tree Size	e Class	Medium 9-21"D	ВН
hardwoods a due to freque cover is dom grass-like sp	vears old. There are fewIwoods and only sparse shrubs to frequent fire. The ground er is dominated by grass and s-like species. The canopy cover generally is less thanUpper Layer Lifeform □ Herbaceous □ Shrub ✓ Tree		Upper layer lifeform differs from dominant lifeform. Height and cover of dominant lifeform are: The dominant lifeform is the herbaceous component. Canopy closure ranges between 25-100% and is composed of medium height herbs, 0.5-0.9m tall.				
		<u>Fuel Mo</u>	<u>del</u> 2				

Class D 45 %	Indicator Canopy P	Species* and osition	Structure Data (for upper layer lifeform)				
Late1 Open	ARBE7	Lower			Min	Max	
Description	10		Cover	0%		25 %	
			Height	Tree Short 5-9m		Tree Medium 10-24m	
Class D includes scattered canopy pines 75 or more years old, with			Tree Size	Class Large 21-33"DB		Н	
w hardwoods and only sparse Upper Layer Lifefor rubs due to frequent fire. Grass			Upper layer lifeform differs from dominant lifeform. Height and cover of dominant lifeform are:				
and grass-like species dominate the ground cover. The canopy pine cover generally is less than 25%.	1 cover. The canopy pine $\checkmark$ Tree		The dominant lifeform is the herbaceous component. Canopy closure ranges between 25-100% and is composed of medium height				

#### Fuel Model 2

than 50%. The canopy pine cover generally is less than 25%. A secondary dynamic (closed vs. open path) is the invasion of shrubs and hardwood trees in patches that escape fire. Once shrubs are established, they slightly decrease the probability of fire, but increase the probability that fires will kill

the canopy pines. Once

canopy pines.

established, shrubs are not easily eliminated by single fires, but may sometimes be eliminated by multiple fires. We have simulated this by using mosaic fire to represent the last of a series of surface fires that eliminates

invading hardwoods without killing

Class E 7%	Indicator Species* and Canopy Position		Structure Data (for upper layer lifeform)				
Late1 Closed	-				Min	Max	
	CLMO2	Mid-Upper	Cover		25%	100 %	
Description	ILGL	Middle	Height	Tree	Short 5-9m	Tree Medium 10-24m	
Class E is characterized by canopy	ILCO	Middle	Tree Size Class Medium 9-21"D		BH		
pines 75 or more years old, with a				0.400	integration y 21 B		
substantial component of	Upper La	ver Lifeform	Upper I	aver lifet	form differs from	dominant lifeform.	
hardwoods and/or shrubs in either	Her	baceous	Listente en el servere ef els estre est life fermes e				
the overstory or understory. Forbs							
and grasses are sparse. The	$\mathbf{V}_{\mathrm{Tree}}$						
hardwood/shrub cover is greater	Eucl Me						

herbs, 0.5-0.9m tall.

Fuel Model 4

Disturbances

Non-Fire Disturbances Modeled ☐ Insects/Disease ✓ Wind/Weather/Stress ☐ Native Grazing ✓ Competition ☐ Other: ☐ Other:	Fire Regime Group:1I: 0-35 year frequency, low and mixed severityII: 0-35 year frequency, replacement severityIII: 35-200 year frequency, low and mixed severityIV: 35-200 year frequency, replacement severityV: 200+ year frequency, replacement severityV: 200+ year frequency, replacement severity							
Historical Fire Size (acres) Avg: 5000 Min: 50 Max: 50000	<i>Fire Intervals (FI):</i> Fire interval is expressed in years for each fire severity class and for all types of fire combined (All Fires). Average FI is the central tendency modeled. Minimum and maximum show the relative range of fire intervals, if known. Probability is the inverse of fire interval in years and is used in reference condition modeling. Percent of all fires is the percent of all fires in that severity class. All values are estimates and not precise.							
		Avg Fl	Min FI	Max Fl	Probability	Percent of All Fires		
Sources of Fire Regime Data	Replacement	165	10	500	0.00606	2		
Literature	Mixed	500			0.002	1		
Local Data	Surface	3	1	10	0.33333	98		
Expert Estimate	All Fires 3 0.34139							
References								

Brown, James K. and Smith, Jane Kapler, eds. 2000. Wildland fire in ecosystems: effects of fire on flora. Gen. Tech. Rep. RMRS-GTR-42-vol. 2. Ogden, UT: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Research Station. 257 p.

Schmidt, Kirsten M., Menakis, James P., Hardy, Colin C., Hann, Wendel J. and Bunnell, David L. 2002. Development of coarse-scale spatial data for wildland fire and fuel management. Gen. Tech. Rep. RMRS-GTR-87. Fort Collins, CO: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Research Station. 41 p. + CD.

U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Research Station, Fire Sciences Laboratory (2002, December). Fire Effects Information System, [Online]. Available: http://www.fs.fed.us/database/feis/.