Rapid Assessment Reference Condition Model

The Rapid Assessment is a component of the LANDFIRE project. Reference condition models for the Rapid Assessment were created through a series of expert workshops and a peer-review process in 2004-2005. For more information, please visit www.landfire.gov. Please direct questions to helpdesk@landfire.gov.

0 - m - m - 1 lmf - m - + i -

Potential Natural Vegetation Group (PNVG):

R5GCPP

West Gulf Coastal Plain Pine -- Uplands + Flatwoods

General Information							
Contributors (additional contributors may be listed under "Model Evolution and Comments")							
Modelers		Reviewers					
Maria Melnechuk	mseamon@tnc.org	In worshop review					
Mike Melnechuk	mmelnechuk@tnc.org	Doug Zollner	dzollner@1	tnc.org			
Doug Zollner	dzollner@tnc.org						
Vegetation Type General Model Sources		Rapid Assessment Model Zones					
Forested	✓ Literature		alifornia	Pacific Northwest			
Dominant Species* PITA	Local Data	G	reat Basin	South Central			
	✓ Expert Estimate	Great Lakes		Southeast			
PIEC	LANDFIRE Mapping Zones		ortheast	S. Appalachians			
OUERC	37		orthern Plains	Southwest			
ANDRO2		N-	-Cent.Rockies				
11.21.32	44						
	45						

Geographic Range

This PNVG lies in Arkansas, Louisiana, Texas, and SE Oklahoma. The West Gulf Coastal Plain Pine-Hardwood Forest type is found over a large area of the South Central model zone. It is the predominate vegetation system over most of the Upper West Gulf Coastal Plain ecoregion with smaller incursions into the southern Interior Highlands (Ecological Classification CES203.378). The flatwoods communities represent predominately dry flatwoods of limited areas of the inland portions of West Gulf Coastal Plain. (Ecological Classification CES203.278)

Biophysical Site Description

This PNVG was historically present on nearly all uplands in the region except on the most edaphically limited sites (droughty sands, calcareous clays, and shallow soil barrens/rock outcrops). Such sites are underlain by loamy to fine-textured soils of variable depths. These are upland sites on ridgetops and adjacent side slopes, with moderate fertility and moisture retention. (Ecological Classification CES203.378). The flatwoods PNVG is usually found on nonriverine, Pleistocene high terraces. Soils are fine-textured and hardpans may be present in the subsurface. The limited permeablility of these soils contributes to shallowly perched water tables during portions of the year when saturated to very dry, a conditions sometimes referred to elsewhere as xerohydric. Saturation occurs not from overbank flooding but typically whenever precipitation events occur. Local topography is a complex of ridges and swales, often in close proximity to one another. Ridges tend to be much drier than swales, which may hold water for varying periods of time (Ecological Classification CES203.278). Lower levels are flooded at varying frequencies. These terraces are often topographically flat. Clayey subsoils lead to formation of permanent and semi-permanent wetlands. Mima mounds are also present in some situations. The Deweyville Terrace Pine Flatwoods (DPFW) also lie within this type. Pine flatwoods generally occur on the middle and highest Deweyville terraces in the study area, on Guyton and Pheba soils. The lower (and younger) Deweyville surfaces that occur below 26 m (87 ft.) mean sea level (msl) are subject to Ouachita or Saline River

flooding at least once every ten years, on average, but their wetland character is primarily maintained by precipitation. Above 26 m msl, precipitation is the sole source of wetland hydrology in the pine flatwoods. Guyton soils occur in units of 10 to 400 ha. These soils are level and poorly drained. Guyton silt loam soils have water tables within 30 cm of the surface during the winter and early spring. Topographically lower areas of Guyton also experience periodic flooding during the winter and spring. On the higher Deweyville terraces, pine flatwoods occur primarily on Pheba silt loam, which has a seasonal water table perched above the fragipan during periods of high rainfall. The fragipan restricts water movement and root penetration. Since higher Deweyville sublevels are flatter and more poorly drained than the lower sublevels, they are marginal for pine flatwoods except on topographically higher rises of Pheba soil. This is in contrast to the Prairie Terrace Pine Flatwoods, where the higher sublevels are more dissected and better drained.

Vegetation Description

This PNVG consists of forests and woodlands dominated by Pinus echinata and/or Pinus taeda in combination with a host of dry to dry-mesic site hardwood species at lesser prevelance (e.g., Quercus spp., Liquidambar styraciflua, Carya spp.). Overall this system may have supported relatively low levels of vascular plant species diversity. This system has undergone major transformations since European settlement of the region (e.g., coversion of PNV to pine plantations). (Ecological Classification CES203.378). Within both ridges and swales there is a vegetation variability relating to soil texture and moisture and disturbance history. Driest ridges support Pinus taeda and Quercus stellata; more mesic ridges have Pinus taeda with Quercus alba and species of Symplocus tinctoria and Viburnum dentatum. (Ecological Classification CES203.278) The vegetation of the flatwoods is dominated by loblolly pine with willow oak in wetter flats and southern red oak (Quercus falcata) and post oak (Quercus stellata) on welldrained surfaces. Shortleaf pine (Pinus echinata) can occupy some part of the canopy and sub-canopy in the northern part of range, while longleaf pine (Pinus palustris) can occupy some part of the canopy and subcanopy in the southern part of the range. In a few places, such as near Goldonna, Louisiana, these three pines will co-occupy the canopy. Depending on disturbance history, sub-canopy species can include recruitment species from the canopy, as well as mockernut hickory (Carya alba), black hickory (Carya texana), sweetgum (Liquidambar styraciflua), slippery elm (Ulmus rubra) sassafras (Sassafras albidum), white ash (Fraxinus americana), and black gum (Nyssa sylvatica). Mid-story and shrub species include those listed above as well as flowering dogwood (Cornus florida), red maple (Acer rubrum), Mexican plum (Prunus mexicana), sourwood (Oxydendrum arboreum), wax myrtle (Myrica cerifera), French mulberry (Callicarpa americana), rusty blackhaw (Viburnum rufidulum), various hawthorns (Crataegus spp.), Maleberry (Lyonia ligustrina), various blueberries and huckleberries (Vaccinium spp.), various hollies (Ilex spp.), winged sumac (Rhus copallina), and sweetleaf (Symplocos tinctoria). Vines include poison ivy (Toxicodendron radicans), Virginia creeper (Parthenocissus quinquefolia), yellow jasmine (Gelsemium sempervirens), and greenbriars (Smilax spp.). The ground layer flora of the PPFW is dramatically different from that of the DPFW, with a large number of prairie species occurring only in PPFW. Frequency of herbs and graminoids is directly correlated with disturbance, especially fire. In the presence of fire this diversity can be very high. Common herbs and grasses include little bluestem (Andropogon scoparius), broomsedge (Andropogon virginicus), big bluestem (Andropogon gerardi), split-beard bluestem (Andropogon ternarius), spangle-grasses (Chasmanthium laxum and C. sessiliflorum), three-awn grasses (Aristida spp.), panic grasses (Dichanthilium acuminatum, D. boscii, D. commutatum, Panicum virgatum, P. anceps, D. rigidulum and others), sunflowers (Helianthus hirsutus, H. angustifolius, and others), goldenrods (Solidago rugosa, Solidago odora, and others), blazingstars (Liatris spicata, L. pycnostachya, L. squarrosa, L. squarrulosa, L. aspera and others), rosinweeds (Silphium integrifolium, S. asteriscus), partridge berry (Mitchella repens), beggarticks (Desmodium glutinosum, D. paniculatum, D. rotundifolium, D. marilandicum, D. viridiflorum and others), and Lespedeza (Lespedeza procumbens).

Disturbance Description

This PNVG is fire regime group 1. Naturally this system had frequent fire dominated by low intensity surface fire with occasional mixed fire in drought years and rare stand replacement fires in extreme dry years. Infrequent, mild surface fires would occur in the system; however, they would not alter species composition or structure. Drought and moist cycles play a strong role interacting with both fire frequency and intensity. Native ungulate grazing plays a small role in replacement where buffalo herds concentrated, but generally maintained systems. Insect outbreaks (southern pine beetle), ice storm damage and windthrow are also important disturbance factors.

Adjacency or Identification Concerns

The PNVG meets the oak-hickory-pine type PNV along the southwestern edge of the Interior Highlands ecoregion (map zone44), and there may be some integration of this type into the lower areas of the Ouachita Mountains. Also integrates with the bottomland hardwood systems of the MSRAP ecoregion (map zone45) along the eastern border of the PNV. Southern areas of the PNV may need to be reclassified as a separate longleaf pine-dominated PNV.

Scale Description

Sources of Scale Data 🔽 Literature 🗌 Local Data 🔽 Expert Estimate

Landscape is adequate in size to contain natural variation in vegetation and disturbance regime. The landscape was historically a very large and relatively contiguous area broken by smaller areas of pine flatwoods, bottomland sloughs and swamps, blackland prairies, saline barrens, and river systems (e.g., Red River floodplain).

Issues/Problems

The area was not mapped for the coarse scale or by Kuchler. The PNVG may need to be separated into two PNVGs: a Pine Flatwoods community which occurs on Pleistocene river terraces throughout the coastal plain and an Upland Pine/hardwood community. We have combined them for this PNVG because it may be difficult to map them separately. The PNVG is separate from the lower West Gulf Coastal Plain forest types, which tend to be longleaf pine-dominated systems. Many ecologically significant systems are present in the PNVG that are not large enough to map at this scale (sandhills, saline prairies, blackland prairies, nepheline-syenite glades and outcrops, etc.).

Model Evolution and Comments

Tom Foti, Doug Zollner, Roger Fryar, Ron Masters, East Texas.

Succession Classes

Succession classes are the equivalent of "Vegetation Fuel Classes" as defined in the Interagency FRCC Guidebook (www.frcc.gov).

Class A 15%	Indicator Species* and		Structure Data (for upper layer lifeform)			
Early1 All Structures	Canopy Positionandro2LowerpitaUpper		Min			Max
Description			Cover Height	0 % Shrub Medium 1.0-2.9m		100 % Tree Regen <5m
0-15 years. Pine/oak regeneration and grass/forb regrowth. Pinus taeda, Pinus echinata, Quercus spp., mixed hardwood shrubs, various Andropogon spp., Carex spp., and forbs with weedy component dominate the understory.	piec Upper querc Middle Upper Layer Lifeform □Herbaceous ☑Shrub □Tree Fuel Model 3		Tree Siz	<i>e Class</i> layer life	Seedling <4.5ft	dominant lifeform.

Class B 10% Mid1 Closed Description 15-40 years. Mid-development class. Dominated by Pinus spp and mixed hardwood trees and shrubs. Dense overstory and midstory. Sparse understory with little to no herbaceous component.	Indicator Species* and Canopy Position pita Upper piec Upper querc Mid-Upper Upper Layer Lifeform ☐ Herbaceous ☐ Shrub ☑ Tree Fuel Model 7	Structure Data (for upper layer lifeform) Min Max Cover 70 % 100 % Height Tree Short 5-9m Tree Medium 10-24m Tree Size Class Pole 5-9" DBH Upper layer lifeform differs from dominant lifeform. Height and cover of dominant lifeform are: Structure Data (for upper layer lifeform) Min Max Cover 20 % 70 % Height Tree Short 5.0m Tree Medium 10.24m			
<i>Class C</i> 25% Mid1 Open <u>Description</u> 15-40 years. Mid-development class. Open canopy dominated by Pinus spp and fire-tolerant oak species. Open overstory and limited midstory. Continuous herbaceous component.	Indicator Species* and Canopy Position piec Upper pita Upper querc Mid-Upper querc Lower Upper Layer Lifeform □Herbaceous □Shrub ✓ Tree				
Class D 40% Late1 Open Description 40-500 years. Mature open canopy mixed pine/mixed hardwood woodland to savanna. Depending on soil properties, pine or oak may be dominant canopy species. Very limited midstory (mixed hardwoods, little pine regen). Well developed herbaceous understory governed by canopy closure. Made up of diverse grass and forb species.	Indicator Species* and Canopy Position piec Upper pita Upper querc Upper andro2 Lower Upper Laver Lifeform □ Herbaceous □ Shrub ✓ Urree Image: Color of the structure Image: Color of the structure 1 Image: Color of the structure 1	Structure Data (for upper layer limit Min Cover 20 % Height Tree Tall 25-49m Tree Size Class Very Large >33" Upper layer lifeform differs from Height and cover of dominant life	Max 70 % Tree Giant >50m DBH dominant lifeform.		

Class E 10%

Late1 Closed Description

40-500 years. Mature closed canopy loblolly pine/mixed hardwood forest. Dense midstory (mixed hardwoods, little pine regen). Sparse shade-tolerant herbaceous understory. Mesic, seepage, and swale areas.

Indicator Species* and
Canopy PositionPITAUpperqualUppercornuLow-MidcarexLower

Structure Data (for upper layer lifeform)

		Min	Max			
Cover		70%	100 %			
Height	Tree M	edium 10-24m	Tree Tall 25-49m			
Tree Size Class		Large 21-33"DB	Н			

Upper Layer Lifeform

☐ Herbaceous ☐ Shrub ☑ Tree Upper layer lifeform differs from dominant lifeform. Height and cover of dominant lifeform are:

Fuel Model 8

Disturbances						
Non-Fire Disturbances Modeled	Fire Regime C	Group:	1			
 ✓ Insects/Disease ✓ Wind/Weather/Stress □ Native Grazing □ Competition □ Other: □ Other: 	I: 0-35 year frequency, low and mixed severity II: 0-35 year frequency, replacement severity III: 35-200 year frequency, low and mixed severity IV: 35-200 year frequency, replacement severity V: 200+ year frequency, replacement severity					
Historical Fire Size (acres) Avg: 10000 Min: 1000 Max:50000	<i>Fire Intervals (FI):</i> Fire interval is expressed in years for each fire severity class and for all types of fire combined (All Fires). Average FI is the central tendency modeled. Minimum and maximum show the relative range of fire intervals, if known. Probability is the inverse of fire interval in years and is used in reference condition modeling. Percent of all fires is the percent of all fires in that severity class. All values are estimates and not precise.					
		Avg Fl	Min FI	Max FI	Probability	Percent of All Fires
Sources of Fire Regime Data	Replacement	100	50	200	0.01	4
✓ Literature	Mixed	100			0.01	4
 Local Data	Surface	4	4	10	0.25	93
Expert Estimate	All Fires	4			0.27	
References						

Disturbances

Foti, T.L. 1974. Natural Divisions of Arkansas. In Arkansas Natural Area Plan. Arkansas Department of Planning, Little Rock. Pp 11-34.

Jurney, D., R. Evans, J. Ippolito, and V. Bergstrom. 2004. The role of wildland fire in portions of southeastern North America. Pages 95-116 in R. T. Engstrom and W. J. de Groot (eds). 22nd Tall Timbers Fire Ecology Conf. Proceedings. Kanaskas, Alberta.

Klimas, C.V. (1999). Classification and Functions of Arkansas Wetlands. Arkansas Multi-Agency Wetland Planning Team (file report).

Masters, R. E. 1991. Effects of fire and timber harvest on vegetation and cervid use on oak -pine sites in Oklahoma Ouachita Mountains. Pages 168-176. In S. C. Nodvin and T. A. Waldrop, (eds.). Fire and the environment: ecological and cultural perspectives. Proc. Of an international symposium. USDA For. Serv. Gen. Tech. Rep. SE-69. Southeast For. Exp. Sta., Asheville, N.C.

^{*}Dominant and Indicator Species are from the NRCS PLANTS database. To check a species code, please visit http://plants.usda.gov.

Masters, R. E. 1991. Effects of timber harvest and prescribed fire on wildlife habitat and use in the Ouachita Mountains of eastern Oklahoma. Ph.D. Thesis, Oklahoma State Univ. Stillwater. 351 pp.

Masters, R. E., and D. M. Engle. 1994. BEHAVE-evaluated for prescribed fire planning in mountainous oak-shortleaf pine habitats. Wildlife Society Bulletin 22:184-191.

Masters, R. E., D. M. Engle, and R. Robinson. 1993. Effects of timber harvest and periodic fire on soil chemical properties in the Ouachita Mountains. Southern Journal of Applied Forestry 17:139-145.

Masters, R. E., R. L. Lochmiller, and D. M. Engle. 1993. Effects of timber harvest and periodic fire on white-tailed deer forage production. Wildlife Society Bulletin 21:401-411.

Masters, R. E., R. L. Lochmiller, S. T. McMurry, and G. A. Bukenhofer. 1998. Small mammal response to pine-grassland restoration for red-cockaded woodpeckers. Wildlife Society Bulletin 28:148-158.

Masters, R. E., J. E. Skeen, and J. A. Garner. 1989. Red-cockaded woodpecker in Oklahoma; an update of Wood's 1974-77 Study. Proc. Okla. Acad. Sci. 69:27-31.

Masters, R. E., J. E. Skeen, and J. Whitehead. 1995. Preliminary fire history of McCurtain County Wilderness Area and implications for red-cockaded woodpecker management. Pages 290-302 in D. L. Kulhavy, R. G. Hooper, and R. Costa. (eds.). Red-cockaded woodpecker: Species recovery, ecology and management. Center for Applied Studies, Stephen F. Austin University, Nacogdoches, TX.

Masters, R. E., C. W. Wilson, D. S. Cram, G. A. Bukenhofer, and R. L. Lochmiller. 2002. Influence of ecosystem restoration for red-cockaded woodpeckers on breeding bird and small mammal communities. Pages 73-90 in W. M. Ford, K. R. Russell, and C. E. Moorman, editors. In The role of fire in non-game wildlife management and community restoration: traditional uses and new directions: proceedings of a special workshop. Annual Meeting of The Wildlife Society, Nashville, Tenn. USDA For. Ser. Northeast Research Station. General Technical Report NE- 288.

NatureServe. 2005. International Ecological Classification Standard: Terrestrial Ecological Classifications. NatureServe Central Databases. Arlington, VA USA Data current as of January 13, 2005.

Reynolds, E.T., Allen, E.T., May, T.L., and Weems, T.A., USDA, Soil Conservation Service, (1985). Soil Survey of Morehouse Parish, Louisiana. pp 24-168.

Saucier, R.T. 1994. Geomorphology and Quaternary geologic history of the Lower Mississippi Valley, Volume 1, U.S. Army Engineer Waterways Experiment Station, Vicksburg, Mississippi. 364 p.

Saucier, R.T. and L.M. Smith. 1986. Geomorphic mapping and Landscape classification of the Ouachita and Saline River valleys, Arkansas. Archeological Assessments Report No. 51. 11 p. plus maps.

Smith, E.B. 1988. An atlas and annotated list of the vascular plants of Arkansas. Privately published. 489 p.

Wackerman, A.E. 1929. Why prairies in Arkansas and Louisiana? Jour. For. 27: 726-734.

Personal Communication

^{*}Dominant and Indicator Species are from the NRCS PLANTS database. To check a species code, please visit http://plants.usda.gov.

Foti, Tom, Arkansas Natural Heritage Commission, personal communication.

Zollner, Douglas, The Nature Conservancy-Arkansas Field Office, personal communication.