Air Traffic System Requirements Service (ARS), Mission Analysis Division (ARX-200)

Mission Analysis Status

April 16, 1999

Section 1: Mission Analysis/Mission Need Statements Section 2: Special Projects Section 3: MNS Completed & Transitioned to Investment Analysis Section 4: Preliminary Analysis/ Discussion/ On Hold/ Pending

Section 1 - Mission Analysis/ Mission Needs statements

LOB MNS MNS TITLE/DESCRIPTION/STATUS & PHASE

POINTS OF CONTACT P

PRIORITY

<u>#</u>	<u>#</u>					
ATS	326	Title:	ADS-B	ARX-200	Jim Rowlette 493 - 0669	HIGH
		Descripti	on: New GPS based technology is being developed which can provide	AFE 430	Joe Sciortino 493-0246	
		_	surveillance capability.	AFS-430 AIR-130	Garett Livack 267-7954 Jerry Anderson	
		Status:	Final comments incorporated in MNS; MNS sent to RTCA for review	AIX-130 ASD-410	Evan Soffer	
		olaluoi	and comment (4/22/99js)	ASD-130	Brent Phillips	
				AND-470	Gene Wong 267-5339	
				ARR-100	Chris Overmoe	
				ATO-410	Keith Dutch	
TS	XXX	Title:	Airport Cable Loop (Fiber Optic) Sustain	ARX-200	Julia Frasure 493-0672	LOW
		Descripti			Amy Bennett 366-9935	
		opportunity for addressing an aging signal cable infrastructure. Aging control	Volpe	Paul Piorier (617) 494-		
					2204	
			cable infrastructure poses an impending threat to the security and safety of	ANI-30	Edgar Calderon	
			the NAS.	ANI-30	Matt Sibert 493-6532	
		Status:	Phase V. Evaluating interviews taken at ORF, RIC, PHF, Norfolk Detached	ANS-600	Lawrence Hawkins 267- 9435	
			Staff NIMS, IAD, DCA, LGA, EWR, JFK and LIB SMO. Modify MNS by	AOS-100	9435 Frank Kulesa 267-9732	
			4/30/99. (4/22/99, alb)	ANS-600	Drew Denton 267-7834	
				ANS-600	Pedro Bracero 267-7808	
				ARW-300	Robert Pierce 366-6793	
				ANS-600	Joy Fenton 554-8438	
				ANS-600	Renee Thomas 554-8438	
				ARN-300	Tim Wallace 493-0698	

Phases of Mission Analysis:

ш

 Phase I:
 Service and Operations Analysis

 Phase II:
 Initial Needs Analysis and Acceptance

 Phase III:
 ATS Needs Validation

 Phase IV:
 Trend and Cost Analysis

 Phase V:
 Review and Coordination

 Phase VI:
 Agency Review and Coordination

Priorities:

High:	Potential for loss of life, immediate impact on safety or imminent impact on safety or imminent widespread adverse
	affect on capacity; results in substantial cost savings, benefits, or productivity; or is politically sensitive.
Medium:	Directly related to near/mid term safety issues or potential to have a limited adverse affect on capacity in the near
	term, or results in significantly cost savings, benefits, or productivity, or has the potential for political ramifications.
Low:	Any relation to safety or capacity is long term (10-15 years), offers limited or no cost savings, benefits, or increases in
	productivity, and has no political impact.

Notes: New MNS Shaded.

- Status Updates/Changes in BOLD TYPE.

ETD - Estimated Transition Date (Transition to ARR for Investment Analysis)

— Priority based on mission analysis acceptance criteria priority definition (follows MNS listing)

Mission Analysis Completions/Transitions Noted for 3 months then transferred to separate file.

April 16, 1999

Section 1: Mission Analysis/Mission Need Statements Section 2: Special Projects Section 3: MNS Completed & Transitioned to Investment Analysis Section 4: Preliminary Analysis/ Discussion/ On Hold/ Pending

Section 1 - Mission Analysis/ Mission Needs statements

LOB MNS MNS TITLE/DESCRIPTION/STATUS & PHASE

POINTS OF CONTACT PRIORITY

<u>#</u>	<u>#</u>					
ATS	XXX	 Title: Flight Safety Certification/ Aircraft Acquisition Programs Description: This mission need involves the revalidation of CIP M-11 for MNS 107 Aircraft Acquisition Program and CIP M-12 MNS 60 Aircraft Related Equipment Program. Status: Phase III In progress. Phase II Complete. Continue coordination and preparation for first IRT meeting scheduled for 4/27. Planned completion by 9/99 (4/22/99ra) 	ARX-200 ARX-200 AVN-7 AVN-7 ASW-206 AMQ-340 PASS	Russ Augspurg Patricia Walker Ray Gambill Roger Stickley Euel Henry Carolyn Hudson Phil Bradley	366-0608 493-0661 954-4011 954-9810 222-5203 954-7884 366-4381	Medium

Phases of I	<u>Mission Analysis:</u>	Priorities:	
Phase I:	Service and Operations Analysis	High:	Potential for loss of life, immediate impact on safety or imminent impact on safety or imminent widespread adverse
Phase II:	Initial Needs Analysis and Acceptance		affect on capacity; results in substantial cost savings, benefits, or productivity; or is politically sensitive.
Phase III:	ATS Needs Validation	Medium:	Directly related to near/mid term safety issues or potential to have a limited adverse affect on capacity in the near
Phase IV:	Trend and Cost Analysis		term, or results in significantly cost savings, benefits, or productivity, or has the potential for political ramifications.
Phase V:	Review and Coordination	Low:	Any relation to safety or capacity is long term (10-15 years), offers limited or no cost savings, benefits, or increases in
Phase VI:	Agency Review and Coordination		productivity, and has no political impact.

Notes: New MNS Shaded.

- Status Updates/Changes in BOLD TYPE.

ETD - Estimated Transition Date (Transition to ARR for Investment Analysis)

— Priority based on mission analysis acceptance criteria priority definition (follows MNS listing)

Mission Analysis Completions/Transitions Noted for 3 months then transferred to separate file.

April 16, 1999

Section 1: Mission Analysis/Mission Need Statements Section 2: Special Projects Section 3: MNS Completed & Transitioned to Investment Analysis Section 4: Preliminary Analysis/ Discussion/ On Hold/ Pending

Section 1 - Mission Analysis/ Mission Needs statements

LOB MNS MNS TITLE/DESCRIPTION/STATUS & PHASE

POINTS OF CONTACT

PRIORITY

<u>#</u>	<u>#</u>				
ATS	333	 Title: Seismic Safety Re-mediation Program Description: This program involves life safety of personnel and sustainment of air traffic operations in the event of seismic activity as well as risk mitigation by (structural and nonstructural) re-mediation of occupied operational facilities. Status: Phase IV. Phases II and III have been completed. The existing ROM cost estimate is being reviewed for validity. The initial IRT meeting was held 4/20 and resulted in some actions to exchange and review information and begin drafting sections of the mission need statement. The next meeting is 5/3. Planned completion is 8/99 (4/22/99pw) 	ARX-200 ARX-200 ARR-100 ANS-400 ANS-240 ANS-300 ANS-400 ATX-200 ATX-200 AAS-200 AAS-200 AAS-200 ARX-200 PASS NATCA ASO-471 ANI-30 ANI-840 ASU-140	Doris Turner 267-7973 Bill Kaplan 493-4361 Ed Mittelstedt 493-4327 Singh Colan 267-3072 Bob Chalfant 267-3072 Mary Wingard 493-4301 Bob Geranis 267-9326 David Cross 267-7784 Jerry Weber 646-2321 Phil Bradley 366-4382 Sterling Swan 493-0301	Medium

Phases of Mission Analysis:		Priorities:	
Phase I:	Service and Operations Analysis	High:	Potential for loss of life, immediate impact on safety or imminent impact on safety or imminent widespread adverse
Phase II:	Initial Needs Analysis and Acceptance		affect on capacity; results in substantial cost savings, benefits, or productivity; or is politically sensitive.
Phase III:	ATS Needs Validation	<u>M</u> edium:	Directly related to near/mid term safety issues or potential to have a limited adverse affect on capacity in the near
Phase IV:	Trend and Cost Analysis		term, or results in significantly cost savings, benefits, or productivity, or has the potential for political ramifications.
Phase V:	Review and Coordination	Low:	Any relation to safety or capacity is long term (10-15 years), offers limited or no cost savings, benefits, or increases in
Phase VI:	Agency Review and Coordination		productivity, and has no political impact.

Notes: New MNS Shaded.

#

#

- Status Updates/Changes in BOLD TYPE.

ETD - Estimated Transition Date (Transition to ARR for Investment Analysis)

— Priority based on mission analysis acceptance criteria priority definition (follows MNS listing)

Mission Analysis Completions/Transitions Noted for 3 months then transferred to separate file.

Air Traffic System Requirements Service (ARS), Mission Analysis Division (ARX-200)

Mission Analysis Status

April 16, 1999

Section 1: Mission Analysis/Mission Need Statements Section 2: Special Projects Section 3: MNS Completed & Transitioned to Investment Analysis Section 4: Preliminary Analysis/ Discussion/ On Hold/ Pending

Section 1 - Mission Analysis/ Mission Needs statements

LOB MNS MNS TITLE/DESCRIPTION/STATUS & PHASE

POINTS OF CONTACT

PRIORITY

ATS	XXX	 Title: Tower Display Integration Program Description: This effort involves an assessment of the Tower environment. The impetus was the proliferation of displays in the tower and TRACON environments. Initial focus is on human factors from the computer-human-interface and the stand point of space congestion. PRB direction (7/20) is to focus priority on an Information Display System (IDS) and the Tower/TRACON Electronic Drop Tube (EDT). Beyond these two short-term efforts, PRB direction is to complete a phased mission analysis for the domain. Status: Phase VI Tower IRT met 4/20. The team completed the report on the findings of current IDS systems in use in the NAS. This report will be presented to the PRB on 4/ 26. Tower Integrated Display MNS is being drafted.(4/23/99cc) 	ARX-200 ATO-400 ARR-100 ARU-200 ARW-300 ANS AUA ASD-120 NAATS: NATCA	Cyndi Deyoe 493-0340 Joe Sciortino 493-0246 Sam Moore 267-9210 Phillip LaBar 366-0301 Bob Fitzpatrick 366-1229 Alden Murray Don Robertt 267-3917 Mike Bateman Michael. McVeigh 358- 5187 Jeff Barnes 493-0174 Wes Stoops	MEDIUM

Phases of Mission Analysis:		Priorities:	
Phase I:	Service and Operations Analysis	High:	Potential for loss of life, immediate impact on safety or imminent impact on safety or imminent widespread adverse
Phase II:	Initial Needs Analysis and Acceptance		affect on capacity; results in substantial cost savings, benefits, or productivity; or is politically sensitive.
Phase III:	ATS Needs Validation	Medium:	Directly related to near/mid term safety issues or potential to have a limited adverse affect on capacity in the near
Phase IV:	Trend and Cost Analysis		term, or results in significantly cost savings, benefits, or productivity, or has the potential for political ramifications.
Phase V:	Review and Coordination	Low:	Any relation to safety or capacity is long term (10-15 years), offers limited or no cost savings, benefits, or increases in
Phase VI:	Agency Review and Coordination		productivity, and has no political impact.

Notes: New MNS Shaded.

#

#

ETD - Estimated Transition Date (Transition to ARR for Investment Analysis)

Mission Analysis Completions/Transitions Noted for 3 months then transferred to separate file.

Section 1: Mission Analysis/Mission Need Statements Section 2: Special Projects Section 3: MNS Completed & Transitioned to Investment Analysis Section 4: Preliminary Analysis/ Discussion/ On Hold/ Pending

Section 2 - Special Projects

Phases of Mission Analysis:		Priorities:	
Phase I:	Service and Operations Analysis	High:	Potential for loss of life, immediate impact on safety or imminent impact on safety or imminent widespread adverse
Phase II:	Initial Needs Analysis and Acceptance		affect on capacity; results in substantial cost savings, benefits, or productivity; or is politically sensitive.
Phase III:	ATS Needs Validation	Medium:	Directly related to near/mid term safety issues or potential to have a limited adverse affect on capacity in the near
Phase IV:	Trend and Cost Analysis		term, or results in significantly cost savings, benefits, or productivity, or has the potential for political ramifications.
Phase V:	Review and Coordination	Low:	Any relation to safety or capacity is long term (10-15 years), offers limited or no cost savings, benefits, or increases in
Phase VI:	Agency Review and Coordination		productivity, and has no political impact.

Notes: New MNS Shaded.

- Status Updates/Changes in BOLD TYPE.

ETD - Estimated Transition Date (Transition to ARR for Investment Analysis)

— Priority based on mission analysis acceptance criteria priority definition (follows MNS listing)

Mission Analysis Completions/Transitions Noted for 3 months then transferred to separate file.

April 16, 1999

Section 1: Mission Analysis/Mission Need Statements Section 2: Special Projects

Section 3: MNS Completed & Transitioned to Investment Analysis

Section 4: Preliminary Analysis/ Discussion/ On Hold/ Pending

Section 2 - Special Projects

Begin:	Title: ILS Mission Analysis		Virginia Volk 366-6939	HIGH
7/15/98			Larry Reed (CGH) 366-4313	
1710/00		ARX-200	Stephen Crossetti 493-0671	
	2015 to determine what gaps exist.		Cheryl Veney 267-9591	
	Status: Clearing documents for publication. (4/23	3/99VV) AOS-240	Donald Megehee (405)-954-5131	
			Dick Caldwell (405) 954-5198	
			Stan Bradley (405) 954-5198	
			George Leal (405) 954-5198	
		APP-410	Steve Urlass 267-8821	
			Larry Kiernan 267-8784	
			Doug Campbell 267-8587	
		ANS-700	Doug Spandou (NISC) 646-2197	
			Frank Schmidt (NISC) 646-5300	
			Dennis Hughes(NISC) 646-5300	
		ARN-200	Franklin Patrick 493-0673	
			Trevor Henry 493-0701	
			Art Jackson (SLI) (703) 730-2442	
			Donald Lampkins (OCI) 366-	
		9146		
		ASD-140	Dave Olsen 358-5440	
			Robert Anoll (SETA) 651-2290	
		AOP-300	Nick Kozak 267-7875	
			Tom O'Keefe 267-7868	
		AML-652	Judy Martin (405) 954-7453	
			Robert Bonanni 267-8761	
			Kelvin Solco(817) 222-4211	
		ANI/ASO	Dave Gigowski	
		AND-740	Gary Skillicorn 493-4747	
			Calvin Miles 493-4763	
			Mike Flynn 493-4758	
f Mission Analysi	Ilysis: Priorities:			
		ial for loss of life, immediate impact on safety or immir		wi

Phase I:	Service and Operations Analysis	High:	Potential for loss of life, immediate impact on safety or imminent impact on safety or imminent widespread adverse
Phase II:	Initial Needs Analysis and Acceptance		affect on capacity; results in substantial cost savings, benefits, or productivity; or is politically sensitive.
Phase III:	ATS Needs Validation	<u>M</u> edium <u>:</u>	Directly related to near/mid term safety issues or potential to have a limited adverse affect on capacity in the near
Phase IV:	Trend and Cost Analysis		term, or results in significantly cost savings, benefits, or productivity, or has the potential for political ramifications.
Phase V:	Review and Coordination	Low:	Any relation to safety or capacity is long term (10-15 years), offers limited or no cost savings, benefits, or increases in
Phase VI:	Agency Review and Coordination		productivity, and has no political impact.

Notes: New MNS Shaded.

Status Updates/Changes in BOLD TYPE.

-XXX - MNS Number will be assigned after ARX-200 Acceptance Criteria Completed

ETD - Estimated Transition Date (Transition to ARR for Investment Analysis)

Priority based on mission analysis acceptance criteria priority definition (follows MNS listing)

Mission Analysis Completions/Transitions Noted for 3 months then transferred to separate file.

April 16, 1999

Section 1: Mission Analysis/Mission Need Statements Section 2: Special Projects Section 3: MNS Completed & Transitioned to Investment Analysis

Section 4: Preliminary Analysis/ Discussion/ On Hold/ Pending

Section 2 - Special Projects

AOA	Begin: 4/10/98 End:	Title:Separation Standards (NAS Modernization Task Force Item 18)Description:NMTF-AI#18: Separation Standards & CapacityStatus:IRT has finalized written report and action plan. Waiting to brief ATS-	ARX-200 ARX-200 ARX-100 ARX-100	Virginia Volk 366-6939 Larry Reed (CGH) 366-4313 Pilar Madera 493-0664 Rich Acosta 366-8546	HIGH
	02/99	1. Clearing documents for publication. (4/23/99 vv)	ASC-100	Frank Soloninka 267-9340 Nick Johnson 267-5767	
			ASD-130	Steve Bradford 358-5224	
				Brent Phillips 358-5311 Lee Brown (MITRE/CAASD)	
				(703) 883-7618	
			ASD-140	Ann Tedford 358-5432 Jack Nulty (SETA) 651-2365	
			ARU-100	Geoff Shearer 366-6573	
			ACT-500	Brian Colamosca 609-485- 6603	
				John Van der Veer (609) 485-	
			AFS-405	5645 Spyder Thomas 267-3726	
			AAR-100	Mark Rodgers 493-4611	
			ATO-140 AT0-410	Wayne MacKenzie 267-5789 Mitch Grossberg 493-4030	
			ATA-200	Frank Loy 267-9320	
			AEE-120	Steve Roseman Jake Plante 267-5594	
			_	Emily Barnett 493-5594	
			NATCA SUPCOM	Bruce Ferguson 493-0254 Mark Penn	
			50. 00M	Raymond E. Newman	

Phases of Mission Analysis:

Phase I:	Service and Operations Analysis
Phase II:	Initial Needs Analysis and Acceptance
Phase III:	ATS Needs Validation
Phase IV:	Trend and Cost Analysis
Phase V:	Review and Coordination
Phase VI:	Agency Review and Coordination

Priorities:

High:	Potential for loss of life, immediate impact on safety or imminent impact on safety or imminent widespread adverse
	affect on capacity; results in substantial cost savings, benefits, or productivity; or is politically sensitive.
<u>M</u> edium <u>:</u>	Directly related to near/mid term safety issues or potential to have a limited adverse affect on capacity in the near
	term, or results in significantly cost savings, benefits, or productivity, or has the potential for political ramifications.
Low:	Any relation to safety or capacity is long term (10-15 years), offers limited or no cost savings, benefits, or increases in
	productivity, and has no political impact.

Notes: New MNS Shaded.

ETD - Estimated Transition Date (Transition to ARR for Investment Analysis)

— Priority based on mission analysis acceptance criteria priority definition (follows MNS listing)

Mission Analysis Completions/Transitions Noted for 3 months then transferred to separate file.

April 16, 1999

Section 1: Mission Analysis/Mission Need Statements Section 2: Special Projects Section 3: MNS Completed & Transitioned to Investment Analysis

Section 4: Preliminary Analysis/ Discussion/ On Hold/ Pending

Section 2 - Special Projects

ATS	NA	 Title: ATS Special Project NAS Infrastructure Sustainment Priorities Description: ATS-1 has requested the development of a comprehensive package that clearly describes our most critical NAS Infrastructure sustain needs of today. The FAA Administrator may use the package when briefing the Department, Budget Office, and Congress). The first draft is due to ARS-1, AAT-1, and AAF-1 by February 26th. The package needs to make a compelling and defensible case (withstand critical review) on the current/ most critical capability shortfalls of the NAS infrastructure. It has to be in plain language, precise and to the point, sound bites, supported by available data, well organized, a repeatable story, and can transmit a sense of urgency to the audience. Expected products include a concise report with executive summary, briefing charts, and possible testimony posters. 	ARX-200	Stephen Crossetti Cyndi Deyoe Joe Sciortino	493-0671 493-0340 493-0246	HIGH
		Status: Phase VI Executive briefing package is completed. Version 1.0 is being printed and will be distributed.(4/23/99cc)				

Phases of Mission Analysis:		Priorities:	
Phase I:	Service and Operations Analysis	High:	Potential for loss of life, immediate impact on safety or imminent impact on safety or imminent widespread adverse
Phase II:	Initial Needs Analysis and Acceptance		affect on capacity; results in substantial cost savings, benefits, or productivity; or is politically sensitive.
Phase III:	ATS Needs Validation	Medium:	Directly related to near/mid term safety issues or potential to have a limited adverse affect on capacity in the near
Phase IV:	Trend and Cost Analysis		term, or results in significantly cost savings, benefits, or productivity, or has the potential for political ramifications.
Phase V:	Review and Coordination	Low:	Any relation to safety or capacity is long term (10-15 years), offers limited or no cost savings, benefits, or increases in
Phase VI:	Agency Review and Coordination		productivity, and has no political impact.

Notes: New MNS Shaded.

- ETD Estimated Transition Date (Transition to ARR for Investment Analysis)
- -------Priority based on mission analysis acceptance criteria priority definition (follows MNS listing)
- Mission Analysis Completions/Transitions Noted for 3 months then transferred to separate file.

April 16, 1999

Section 1: Mission Analysis/Mission Need Statements Section 2: Special Projects Section 3: MNS Completed & Transitioned to Investment Analysis

Section 4: Preliminary Analysis/ Discussion/ On Hold/ Pending

Section 2 - Special Projects

ATS	330	 Title: Small Aircraft Transportation System (SATS) Description: The National Aeronautics and Space Administration (NASA) has sought FAA's partnership in the mission analysis of the need for a hub infrastructure for commercially leased small business aircraft and personal use general aviation. Aspects of this are evolving, affordable aircraft technology that will increase commercial commuter and personal aircraft use. This is a forward-looking analysis of a future and separate aviation market likely to expand first along high tech/business corridors. Status: Phase III. Distributed draft Mission Needs Statement version .04 to the entire Integrated Requirements Team and interested parties. Briefed ATS program directors. Working ROM. (4/22/99 ht) 	ARX-200	H. T. Parker 493-0668 Bob Locke 493-XXXX Paul Gross 646-2310 Jerry Weber 646-2321	HIGH
-----	-----	--	---------	--	------

Phases of Mission Analysis:		Priorities:	
Phase I:	Service and Operations Analysis	High:	Potential for loss of life, immediate impact on safety or imminent impact on safety or imminent widespread adverse
Phase II:	Initial Needs Analysis and Acceptance		affect on capacity; results in substantial cost savings, benefits, or productivity; or is politically sensitive.
Phase III:	ATS Needs Validation	Medium:	Directly related to near/mid term safety issues or potential to have a limited adverse affect on capacity in the near
Phase IV:	Trend and Cost Analysis		term, or results in significantly cost savings, benefits, or productivity, or has the potential for political ramifications.
Phase V:	Review and Coordination	Low:	Any relation to safety or capacity is long term (10-15 years), offers limited or no cost savings, benefits, or increases in
Phase VI:	Agency Review and Coordination		productivity, and has no political impact.

Notes: New MNS Shaded.

- Status Updates/Changes in BOLD TYPE.
- ETD Estimated Transition Date (Transition to ARR for Investment Analysis)
- -------Priority based on mission analysis acceptance criteria priority definition (follows MNS listing)
- Mission Analysis Completions/Transitions Noted for 3 months then transferred to separate file.

Section 1: Mission Analysis/Mission Need Statements Section 2: Special Projects Section 3: MNS Completed & Transitioned to Investment Analysis Section 4: Preliminary Analysis/ Discussion/ On Hold/ Pending

Section 3 - MNSs Completed & Transitioned

LOB #	<u>MNS</u> #	MNS TITLE & STATUS	<u>JRC#1</u> Date	Trans to	Originator POC	ARX POC	POC
ATS	<u>#</u> 309	En route (and Oceanic) Domain	11/06/9 7	11/07/97	ARU-100 Jack Van Zandt	Patricia Walker	Randy Ficklin ARR
ATS	316	Facilities Risk Management	08/05/9 7	09/24/97	ANS-400 Juaida Norrell	Dave Hartnett	Phillip LaBar ARR
ATS	320	Voice Switching Capability for Flight Service Stations Investment analysis activity in progress.	08/05/9 7	09/24/97	ARU-300 Bill Howard	Patricia Walker	Randy Ficklen ARR
ATS	322	FAA Telecommunications Infrastructure Investment Analysis Team meeting held on 5/14/98	05/06/9 8	05/07/98	AFR-300 Tim Wallace	Julia Frasure	Tammye Jenkins ARR
ATS	323	Airport Surface Movement Enhancement and Runway Incursion Prevention MNS Approved by JRC on 5/28; transitioned to IA 5/29	05/28/9 8	05/29/98	ATO-102 Dwayne Dupon	Cynthia Deyoe	Mike Wagner ARR Chris Overmoe
ATS	325	Asset Supply Chain Management MNS approved by JRC on 8/28; transitioned to IA 8/27	08/21/9 8	09/10/98	AFZ-500, Connie Vinck AMC, Ken Frengs AML, Bob Oborsky	Julia Frasure	Phillip Bergstrom ARR
ATS	137	Next Generation Communication [Revalidation]	05/05/9 8	05/06/98	ASR-200 Don Willis	Julia Frasure	Randy Ficklen ARR
ATS	XXX	Bahamas Modernization Plan (Special Project) Begin: 5/16/97 End: 5/16/98	NA	NA	ASO	Dave Hartnett	Chris Overmoe ARR

Phases of Mission Analysis:

 Phase I:
 Service and Operations Analysis

 Phase II:
 Initial Needs Analysis and Acceptance

 Phase III:
 ATS Needs Validation

 Phase IV:
 Trend and Cost Analysis

 Phase V:
 Review and Coordination

 Phase VI:
 Agency Review and Coordination

Priorities:

 High:
 Potential for loss of life, immediate impact on safety or imminent impact on safety or imminent widespread adverse affect on capacity; results in substantial cost savings, benefits, or productivity; or is politically sensitive.

 Medium:
 Directly related to near/mid term safety issues or potential to have a limited adverse affect on capacity in the near term, or results in significantly cost savings, benefits, or productivity, or has the potential for political ramifications.

 Low:
 Any relation to safety or capacity is long term (10-15 years), offers limited or no cost savings, benefits, or increases in productivity, and has no political impact.

Notes: New MNS Shaded.

- Status Updates/Changes in BOLD TYPE.

ETD - Estimated Transition Date (Transition to ARR for Investment Analysis)

Priority based on mission analysis acceptance criteria priority definition (follows MNS listing)

April 16, 1999 Section 3: MNS Completed & Transitioned to Investment Analysis

		Section 1: Mission Analysis/Mission Need Statements			inary Analysis/ Discuss		2
ATS	XXX	Grand Canyon National Park SFRA Operational Concept (Special Project) Begin: 3/9/98 End: 3/13/98	NA	NA	ATA-400: Reggie Matthews (for National Park Service)	Virginia Volk	ATA
ATS	XXX	Chicago TRACON Project Mission Analysis Document Begin: 3/1/98 End: 5/8/98	NA	5/8/98	AGL-503 Bob Everson, 847- 294-7402	Virginia Volk	Chris Overmoe ARR
ATS	XXX	Aeronautical Data Link System (ADLS) (revalidation as a result of NMTF Action Item) (see CPDLC)	NA	5/20/98	Lockett Yee 358-5088	Virginia Volk	Frank Cote ARR
ATS	XXX	New York TRACON Infrastructure Analysis	NA	9/17/98	AAT	Cyndi Deyoe	AAT
ATS	XXX	Planned View Display (PVD)/ Interface Buffer Adapter Generator (IBAG)	NA	9/25/98	ATO-1 Jeff Griffith	Cyndi Deyoe	ARR
ATS	XXX	Power Systems	NA	11/12/98	ANS-600 Drew Denton	Cyndi Deyoe	Phil Bradley ARR
ATS	NPI- 86	Revalidation of the Gulf of Mexico Mission Need Statement (GOMP)	NA	3/31/99	ATO	H. T. Parker	ARR
ATS	ХХХ	Weather Message Switching Center Replacement (WMSCR)	NA	3/31/99	ATO	H.T. Parker	ARR
ATS	XXX	International Compatibility for all NAS	NA	9/14/98	AAT	Cyndi Deyoe	Pat Millspaw ARU

Phases of Mission Analysis:

 Phase I:
 Service and Operations Analysis

 Phase II:
 Initial Needs Analysis and Acceptance

 Phase III:
 ATS Needs Validation

 Phase IV:
 Trend and Cost Analysis

 Phase V:
 Review and Coordination

 Phase VI:
 Agency Review and Coordination

Priorities:

 High:
 Potential for loss of life, immediate impact on safety or imminent impact on safety or imminent widespread adverse affect on capacity; results in substantial cost savings, benefits, or productivity; or is politically sensitive.

 Medium:
 Directly related to near/mid term safety issues or potential to have a limited adverse affect on capacity in the near term, or results in significantly cost savings, benefits, or productivity, or has the potential for political ramifications.

 Low:
 Any relation to safety or capacity is long term (10-15 years), offers limited or no cost savings, benefits, or increases in productivity, and has no political impact.

Notes: New MNS Shaded.

- Status Updates/Changes in BOLD TYPE.

ETD - Estimated Transition Date (Transition to ARR for Investment Analysis)

Section 1: Mission Analysis/Mission Need Statements

Priority based on mission analysis acceptance criteria priority definition (follows MNS listing)

— Mission Analysis Completions/Transitions Noted for 3 months then transferred to separate file.

Section 1: Mission Analysis/Mission Need Statements Section 2: Special Projects Section 3: MNS Completed & Transitioned to Investment Analysis Section 4: Preliminary Analysis/ Discussion/ On Hold/ Pending

Section 4 - Preliminary Analysis / Discussion/On 'Hold'/& Inactive

LOB# MNS# MNS TITLE/DESCRIPTION/STATUS & PHASE

POINTS OF CONTACT

PRIORITY

ATS	237	Title: Central Florida [Metroplex] Large TRACON	ARX-200 Bob Lock 493-XXXX	Pending/
		 Description: To be in accordance with the FAA's Acquisition Management System, a revalidation of the MNS for the Central Florida Large TRACON (CLT) Program is needed. Status: Phase I. This project has been placed on hold by the PRB, pending results of airspace study. On Hold (tbd) 	ATA-200 Frank Loy 267-9320 ARN-100 John Babich 493-0709 DAB ATCT Burt Willis (904)-266-3902 ORL ATCT Steve Dye (407) 240-4536 PASS Rick Honsa (407) 240-4536	Hold
ATS	232	Title:NAS Regional Center Logistical Support ServicesDescription:This was a revalidation of a logistics support service contract that expired in 1999.Status:Phase: V + On Hold (tbd)	ARX-200Patricia Walker 493-0661ANSRita Estrada-Cavallini 267-9528ACE-55Steve Switzer (816) 426-3401ARR-100Bill Baumann 366-8073	Pending/ Hold
ATS	XXX	Title:Operation Data Management System (ODMS)Description:A request for revalidation of the current MNS was received. ODMS involves enhancement and integration of existing systems to be linked together into one operating environment.Status:Phase III.On Hold. (tbd)	ARX-200TBD (H. T. Parker 493-0668)ATA-100Rodger DeanAIT-200Jaime FigueroaARR-100Phil LaBar	Pending/ Hold

Phases of Mission Analysis:

Phase I:	Service and Operations Analysis
Phase II:	Initial Needs Analysis and Acceptance
Phase III:	ATS Needs Validation
Phase IV:	Trend and Cost Analysis
Phase V:	Review and Coordination
Phase VI:	Agency Review and Coordination

Priorities:

High:	Potential for loss of life, immediate impact on safety or imminent impact on safety or imminent widespread adverse
-	affect on capacity; results in substantial cost savings, benefits, or productivity; or is politically sensitive.
Medium:	Directly related to near/mid term safety issues or potential to have a limited adverse affect on capacity in the near
	term, or results in significantly cost savings, benefits, or productivity, or has the potential for political ramifications.
Low:	Any relation to safety or capacity is long term (10-15 years), offers limited or no cost savings, benefits, or increases in
	productivity, and has no political impact.

Notes: New MNS Shaded.

- Status Updates/Changes in BOLD TYPE.

ETD - Estimated Transition Date (Transition to ARR for Investment Analysis)

Priority based on mission analysis acceptance criteria priority definition (follows MNS listing)

Mission Analysis Completions/Transitions Noted for 3 months then transferred to separate file.

Section 1: Mission Analysis/Mission Need Statements Section 2: Special Projects Section 3: MNS Completed & Transitioned to Investment Analysis Section 4: Preliminary Analysis/ Discussion/ On Hold/ Pending

Phases of Mission Analysis:		Priorities:	
Phase I:	Service and Operations Analysis	High:	Potential for loss of life, immediate impact on safety or imminent impact on safety or imminent widespread adverse
Phase II:	Initial Needs Analysis and Acceptance		affect on capacity; results in substantial cost savings, benefits, or productivity; or is politically sensitive.
Phase III:	ATS Needs Validation	Medium:	Directly related to near/mid term safety issues or potential to have a limited adverse affect on capacity in the near
Phase IV:	Trend and Cost Analysis		term, or results in significantly cost savings, benefits, or productivity, or has the potential for political ramifications.
Phase V:	Review and Coordination	Low:	Any relation to safety or capacity is long term (10-15 years), offers limited or no cost savings, benefits, or increases in
Phase VI:	Agency Review and Coordination		productivity, and has no political impact.

Notes: New MNS Shaded.

- Status Updates/Changes in BOLD TYPE.

ETD - Estimated Transition Date (Transition to ARR for Investment Analysis)

— Priority based on mission analysis acceptance criteria priority definition (follows MNS listing)

Mission Analysis Completions/Transitions Noted for 3 months then transferred to separate file.