EPA/540/R-08/005a September 2008

Demonstration of Steam Injection/Extraction Treatment of a DNAPL Source Zone at Launch Complex 34 in Cape Canaveral Air Force Station

Final Innovative Technology Evaluation Report

Appendix H: Economic Analysis Information

Appendix H

Economic Analysis Information

This appendix details the cost assessment for the application of the pump and treat (P&T) system for containment of a DNAPL source at Launch Complex 34, for a source zone that is the same size as the Steam Plot. Because the groundwater flow in this area is generally to the northeast, the DNAPL source could be contained by installing one or more extraction wells on the northeast side of the Steam Plot. The life cycle cost of a pump-and-treat system can be compared to the cost of DNAPL source removal using chemical oxidation, as described in Section 7 of the main report.

Experience at previous sites indicates that the most efficient long-term P&T system is one that is operated at the minimum rate necessary to contain a plume or source zone (Cherry et al., 1996). Table H-1 shows a preliminary size determination for the P&T system. The P&T system should be capable of capturing the groundwater flowing through a cross-section that is approximately 50 ft wide (width of Steam Plot) and 40 ft deep (thickness of surficial aquifer). Because capture with P&T systems is somewhat inefficient in that cleaner water from surrounding parts of the aquifer may also be drawn in, an additional safety factor of 100% was applied to ensure that any uncertainties in aquifer capture zone or DNAPL source characterization are accounted for. An extraction rate of 2 gallon per minute (gpm) is found to be sufficient to contain the source.

One advantage of low groundwater extraction rates is that the air effluent from stripping often does not have to be treated, as the rate of volatile organic compound (VOC) discharge to the ambient air is often within regulatory limits. The longer period of operation required (at a low withdrawal rate) is more than offset by higher efficiency (lower influx of clean water from outside the plume), lower initial capital investment (smaller treatment system), and lower annual operations and maintenance (O&M) requirements. Another advantage of a containment type P&T system is that, unlike source removal technologies, it does not require very extensive DNAPL zone characterization.

H.1.1 Capital Investment for the P&T System

The P&T system designed for this application consists of the components shown in Table H-2. Pneumatically driven pulse pumps, which are used in each well, are safer than electrical pumps in the presence of trichloroethylene (TCE) vapors in the wells. This type of pump can sustain low flowrates during continuous operation. Stainless steel and Teflon[™] construction ensure compatibility with the high concentrations (up to 1,100 mg/L TCE) of dissolved solvent and any free-phase DNAPL that may be expected. Extraction wells are assumed to be 40 ft deep, 2 inches in diameter, and have stainless steel screens with polyvinyl chloride (PVC) risers.

The aboveground treatment system consists of a DNAPL separator and air stripper. Very little free-phase solvent is expected and the separator may be disconnected after the first year of operation, if desired. The air stripper used is a low-profile tray-type air stripper. As opposed to conventional packed towers, low-profile strippers have a smaller footprint, much smaller height, and can handle large air:water ratios (higher mass transfer rate of contaminants) without generating significant pressure losses. Because of their small size and easy installation, they are more often used in groundwater remediation. The capacity of the air stripper selected is much higher than 2 gpm, so that additional flow (or additional extraction wells) can be handled if required.

The high air:water ratio ensures that TCE (and other minor volatile components) are removed to the desired levels. The treated water effluent from the air stripper is discharged to the sewer. The air effluent is treated with a catalytic oxidation unit before discharge.

The piping from the wells to the air stripper is run through a 1-ft-deep covered trench. The air stripper and other associated equipment are housed on a 20-ft \times 20-ft concrete pad, covered by a basic shelter. The base will provide a power drop (through a pole transformer) and a licensed electrician will be used for the power hookups. Meters and control valves are strategically placed to control water and air flow through the system.

The existing monitoring system at the site will have to be supplemented with seven long-screen (10-foot screen) monitoring wells. The objective of these wells is to ensure that the desired containment is being achieved.

H.1.2 Annual Cost of the P&T System

The annual costs of P&T are shown in Table H-3 and include annual operation and maintenance (O&M) and monitoring. Annual O&M costs include the labor, materials, energy, and waste disposal cost of operating the system and routine maintenance (including scheduled replacement of seals, gaskets, and O-rings). Routine monitoring of the stripper influent and effluent is done through ports on the feed and effluent lines on a monthly basis. Groundwater monitoring is conducted on a quarterly basis through seven monitoring wells. All water samples are analyzed for PCE and other chlorinated volatile organic compound (CVOC) by-products.

H.1.3 Periodic Maintenance Cost

In addition to the routine maintenance described above, periodic maintenance will be required, as shown in Table H-3, to replace worn-out equipment. Based on manufacturers' recommendations for the respective equipment, replacement is done once in 5 or 10 years. In general, all equipment involving moving parts is assumed will be replaced once every 5 years, whereas other equipment is changed every 10 years.

H.1.4 Present Value (PV) Cost of P&T

Because a P&T system is operated for the long term, a 30-year period of operation is assumed for estimating cost. Because capital investment, annual costs, and periodic maintenance costs occur at different points in time, a life cycle analysis or present value analysis is conducted to estimate the long-term cost of P&T in today's dollars. This life cycle analysis approach is recommended for long-term remediation applications by the guidance provided in the Federal Technologies Roundtable's *Guide to Documenting and Managing Cost and Performance Information for Remediation Projects* (United States Environmental Protection Agency [U.S. EPA], 1998). The PV cost can then be compared with the cost of faster (DNAPL source reduction) remedies.

$$PV_{P\&T costs} = \sum \frac{Annual Cost in Year t}{(1+r)^{t}}$$
 (Equation H-1)

$$PV_{P\&T costs} = Capital Investment + \frac{Annual Cost in Year 1}{(1+r)^{1}} + \frac{Annual Cost in Year n}{(1+r)^{n}}$$
(Equation H-2)

Table 4 shows the PV calculation for P&T based on Equation 1. In Equation 1, each year's cost is divided by a discount factor that reflects the rate of return that is foregone by incurring the cost. As seen in Equation 2, at time t = 0, which is in the present, the cost incurred is the initial capital investment in equipment and labor to design, procure, and build the P&T system. Every year after that, a cost is incurred to operate and maintain the P&T system. A real rate of return (or discount rate), r, of 2.9% is used in the analysis as per recent U.S. EPA guidance on discount rates (U.S. EPA, 1999). The total PV

cost of purchasing, installing, and operating a 1-gpm P&T source containment system for 30 years is estimated to be **\$1,406,000** (rounded to the nearest thousand).

Long-term remediation costs are typically estimated for 30-year periods as mentioned above. Although the DNAPL source may persist for a much longer time, the contribution of costs incurred in later years to the PV cost of the P&T system is not very significant and the total 30-year cost is indicative of the total cost incurred for this application. This can be seen from the fact that in Years 28, 29, and 30, the differences in cumulative PV cost are not as significant as the difference in, say, Years 2, 3, and 4. The implication is that, due to the effect of discounting, costs that can be postponed to later years have a lower impact than costs that are incurred in the present.

As an illustration of a DNAPL source that may last much longer than the 30-year period of calculation, Figure H-1 shows a graphic representation of PV costs assuming that the same P&T system is operated for 100 years instead of 30 years. The PV cost curve flattens with each passing year. The total PV cost after 100 years is estimated at \$2,188,000.

Figure H-1. P&T System Costs - 100 years



Item	Value	Units	Item	Value	Units
Width of DNAPL zone, w	50	ft	Hyd. conductivity, K	40	ft/d
Depth of DNAPL zone, d	40	ft	Hyd. gradient, I	0.0007	ft/ft
Crossectional area of					
DNAPL zone, a	2000	sq ft	Porosity, n	0.3	
Capture zone required	187	cu ft/d	Gw velocity, v	0.093333	ft/d
Safety factor, 100%	2				
Required capture zone	373	cu ft/d	GPM =	1.9	gpm
			Number of wells to achieve		
Design pumping rate	2	gpm	capture	1	
Pumping rate per well	2	gpm			
TCE conc. in water near			TCE allowed in discharge		
DNAPL zone	100	mg/L	water	1	mg/L
Air stripper removal					
efficiency required	99.00%				
TCE in air effluent from					
stripper	2.4	lbs/day	TCE allowed in air effluent	6	lbs/day

Table H-1. Pump & Treat (P&T) System Design Basis

Table H-2. Capital Investment for a P&T System at Launch Complex 34, Cape Canaveral (continued)

O&M Cost for P&T Sytem					
Annual Operation &					
Maintenance					
Engineer	80	hrs	\$85	\$6,800	Oversight
					Routine operation; annual cleaning of air
					stripper trays, routine replacement of parts;
Technician	500	hrs	\$40	\$20,000	any waste disposal
Replacement materials	1	ea	\$2,000	\$2,000	Seals, o-rings, tubing, etc.
Electricity	52,560	kW-hrs	\$0.10	\$5,256	8 hp (~6 kW) over 1 year of operation
Fuel (catalytic oxidizer	2,200	10E6 Btu	\$6.00	\$13,200	
Sewer disposal fee	525,600	gal/yr	\$0.00152	\$799	
Carbon disposal	2		\$1,000	\$2,000	
			\$ 22	Aaaa	30 gal drum; DNAPL, if any; haul to
Waste disposal	1	drum	\$80	\$200	incinerator
IOTAL				\$50,255	
Appual Monitoring					
	12	emple	\$120	\$1.440	Verify air stripper loading: monthly
	12	зпріз	φ120	ψι,++0	Discharge quality confirmation: monthly:
Air stripper effluent	14	smols	\$120	\$1.680	CVOC analysis: MS_MSD
Monitoring wells	34	smpls	\$120	\$4,080	5 wells: quarterly: MS_MSC
Sampling materials	1	ea	\$500	\$500	Miscellaneous
<u> </u>		•	\$ 000	\$000	Quarterly monitoring labor (from wells) only:
					weekly monitoring (from sample ports)
Technician	64	hrs	40	\$2,560	included in O&M cost
Engineer	40	hrs	85	\$3.400	Oversight; guarterly report
TOTAL				\$7.200	
				+ ,	
TOTAL ANNUAL COST				\$57,455	
Periodic Maintenance,					
Every 5 years					
Pulse pumps	4	ea	\$595	\$2,380	As above
Air compressor	1	ea	\$645	\$645	As above
Air stripper feed pump	1	ea	\$460	\$460	As above
Blower	1	ea	\$1,650	\$1,650	As above
Catalyst replacement	1	ea	\$5,000	\$5,000	
Stripper sump pump	1	ea	\$130	\$130	As above
	1	ea	\$1,000	\$1,000	Estimate
	40	hrs	\$40	\$1,600	Labor
TOTAL				\$12,865	
Periodic Maintenance				\$70,320	
Every 10 years					
Air stripper	1	ea	\$9.400	\$9.400	As above
Catalytic oxidize	1	ea	\$16,000	\$16,000	Major overhaul
Water flow meters	1	ea	160	\$160	As above
Air flow meter	1	ea	175	\$175	As above
Technician	40	hrs	\$40	\$1,600	Labor
Miscellaneous materials	1	ea	\$1.000	\$1.000	Estimate
TOTAL			+ 1,000	\$28.335	
TOTAL PERIODIC				,	
MAINTENANCE COSTS				\$98,655	

Table H-2. Capital Investment for a P&T System at Launch Complex 34, Cape Canaveral

ltem	# units		Unit Price	Cost	Basis
Design/Procurement	# unite		Ontrinoo		
Engineer	160	hrs	\$85	\$13,600	+
Drafter	80	hre	\$40	\$3,200	
	160	hre	ψ 1 0 \$82	\$13,200 \$13,600	
Contingency	100	1113	\$00 \$10,000	\$10,000	10% of total canital
TOTAL	1	ea	φ10,000	\$10,000	
		+		\$30,400	+
Dumping system			<u> </u>		
Pumping system			ļ		Direct 40 th door 20 foot SS porcor: DV/C
	1		¢5 000	¢5 000	2-Incn, 40 IT deep, 30-1001 55 Screen, FVC,
Extraction wens	1	еа	\$0,000	φο,υυυ	Includes installation
					2.1 gpm max., 1.66 OD for 2-incn wells,
			* 505	* 505	handles solvent contact; pneumatic; with cheo
Pulse pumps	1	ea	\$595	\$595	Valves
Controllers	1	ea	\$1,115	\$1,115	Solar powered or 110 v; with pilot vaive
			*••••	* 0.45	100 psi (125 psi max), 4.3 cfm continuous
Air compressor	1	ea	\$645	\$645	duty, oil-less; 1 hp
Miscellaneous tittings	1	ea	\$5,000	\$5,000	Estimate
L			<u>î</u>	\$ 500	1/2-inch OD, chemical resistant; well to
Tubing	150	ft	\$3	\$509	surface manifold
TOTAL	ļ		[]	\$12,864	
	ļ		ļ		
Treatment System					
Piping	150	ft	\$3	\$509	chemical resistant
Trench	1	day	\$320	\$320	ground surface
					125 gal; high grade steel with epoxy lining;
DNAPL separarator tank	1	ea	\$120	\$120	conical bottom with discharge
Air stripper feed pump	1	ea	\$460	\$460	0.5 hp; up to 15 gpm
					0.5 inch, chemical resistant; feed pump to
Piping	50	ft	\$3	\$170	stripper
Water flow meter	1	ea	\$160	\$160	Low flow; with read out
Low-profile air stripper with		T			
control panel	1	ea	\$9,400	\$9,400	1-25 gpm, 4 tray; SS shell and trays
Pressure gauge	1	ea	50	\$50	SS; 0-30 psi
Blower	1	ea	\$1,650	\$1,650	5 hp
Air flow meter	1	ea	\$175	\$175	Orifice type; 0-50 cfm
Stack	10	ft	\$2	\$20	2 inch, PVC, lead out of housing
Catalytic Oxidizer	1	ea	\$65,000	\$65,000	
Carbon	2	ea	\$1,000	\$2,000	
Stripper sump pump	1	ea	\$130	\$130	To sewer
Misc. fittings, switches	1	ea	\$5,000	\$5,000	Estimate (sample ports, valves, etc.)
TOTAL				\$85,163	
Site Preparation					
					20 ft x 20 ft with berm; for air stripper and
Conctrete pad	400	sq ft	\$3	\$1,200	associated equipment
Berm	80	ft	\$7	\$539	
					230 V, 50 Amps; pole transformer and
Power drop	1	ea	\$5,838	\$5,838	licensed electrician
·					Verifv source containment; 2-inch PVC with
Monitoring wells	5	wells	\$2,149	\$10.745	SS screens
Sewer connection fee	1	ea	\$2,150	\$2,150	
Sewer pipe	300	ft	\$10	\$3,102	
			·	¥-)	20 ft x 20 ft: shelter for air stripper and
Housing	1	ea	\$2.280	\$2,280	associated equipment
TOTAL			, , , -	\$25,854	
		+		+	1
Installation/Start Up of Treat	ment Svs	tom	<u> </u>	L	1
Engineer	60	hre	\$85	\$5 100	l abor
Technician	200	hre	\$40	\$8,000	Labor
TOTAL	200	1113	ψ+υ	¢13 100	Labor
101712				φ13,100	
		VECTMENT		¢467.004	_
IUTAL CA	APITAL IN				

		P&T	
			Cumulative PV of
Year	Annual Cost *	PV of Annual Cost	Annual Cost
0	\$167,381	\$167,381	\$167,381
1	\$57,455	\$55,836	\$223,217
2	\$57,455	\$54,262	\$277,479
3	\$57,455	\$52,733	\$330,212
4	\$57,455	\$51,247	\$381,459
5	\$70,320	\$60,954	\$442,413
6	\$57,455	\$48,399	\$490,811
7	\$57,455	\$47,035	\$537,846
8	\$57,455	\$45,709	\$583,556
9	\$57,455	\$44,421	\$627,977
10	\$98,655	\$74,125	\$702,102
11	\$57,455	\$41,953	\$744,054
12	\$57,455	\$40,770	\$784,825
13	\$57,455	\$39,621	\$824,446
14	\$57,455	\$38,505	\$862,951
15	\$70,320	\$45,798	\$908,749
16	\$57,455	\$36,365	\$945,114
17	\$57,455	\$35,340	\$980,454
18	\$57,455	\$34,344	\$1,014,798
19	\$57,455	\$33,376	\$1,048,174
20	\$98,655	\$55,694	\$1,103,868
21	\$57,455	\$31,521	\$1,135,389
22	\$57,455	\$30,633	\$1,166,022
23	\$57,455	\$29,770	\$1,195,792
24	\$57,455	\$28,931	\$1,224,723
25	\$70,320	\$34,411	\$1,259,134
26	\$57,455	\$27,323	\$1,286,457
27	\$57,455	\$26,553	\$1,313,010
28	\$57,455	\$25,805	\$1,338,814
29	\$57,455	\$25,077	\$1,363,892
30	\$98,655	\$41,846	\$1,405,738

Table H-3. Present Value of P&T System Costs for 30 years of operation

* Annual cost in Year zero is equal to the capital investment.

Annual cost in other years is annual O&M cost plus annual monitoring cost Annual costs in Years 10, 20, and 30 include annual O&M, annual monitoring, and periodic maintenance

 Table H-4. Present Value of P&T System Costs for 100 years of operation

	P&T				
		PV of			
	Annual	Annual	Cumulative PV		
Year	Cost *	Cost	of Annual Cost		
0	\$167,381	\$167,381	\$167,381		
1	\$57,455	\$55,836	\$223,217		
2	\$57,455	\$54,262	\$277,479		
3	\$57,455	\$52,733	\$330,212		
4	\$57,455	\$51,247	\$381,459		
5	\$70,320	\$60,954	\$442,413		
6	\$57,455	\$48,399	\$490,811		
7	\$57,455	\$47,035	\$537,846		
8	\$57,455	\$45,709	\$583,556		
9	\$57,455	\$44,421	\$627,977		
10	\$98,655	\$74,125	\$702,102		
11	\$57,455	\$41,953	\$744,054		
12	\$57,455	\$40,770	\$784,825		
13	\$57,455	\$39,621	\$824,446		
14	\$57,455	\$38,505	\$862,951		
15	\$70,320	\$45,798	\$908,749		
16	\$57,455	\$36,365	\$945,114		
17	\$57,455	\$35,340	\$980,454		
18	\$57,455	\$34,344	\$1,014,798		
19	\$57,455	\$33,376	\$1,048,174		
20	\$98,655	\$55,694	\$1,103,868		
21	\$57,455	\$31,521	\$1,135,389		
22	\$57,455	\$30,633	\$1,166,022		
23	\$57,455	\$29,770	\$1,195,792		
24	\$57,455	\$28,931	\$1,224,723		
25	\$70,320	\$34,411	\$1,259,134		
26	\$57,455	\$27,323	\$1,286,457		
27	\$57,455	\$26,553	\$1,313,010		
28	\$57,455	\$25,805	\$1,338,814		
29	\$57,455	\$25,077	\$1,363,892		
30	\$98,655	\$41,846	\$1,405,738		
31	\$57,455	\$23,684	\$1,429,422		
32	\$57,455	\$23,016	\$1,452,438		
33	\$57,455	\$22,368	\$1,474,806		
34	\$57,455	\$21,737	\$1,496,543		
35	\$70,320	\$25,855	\$1,522,398		
36	\$57,455	\$20,529	\$1,542,927		
37	\$57,455	\$19,951	\$1,562,878		
38	\$57,455	\$19,388	\$1,582,266		
39	\$57,455	\$18,842	\$1,601,108		
40	\$98,655	\$31,442	\$1,632,550		
41	\$57,455	\$17,795	\$1,650,345		
42	\$57,455	\$17,293	\$1,667,638		
43	\$57,455	\$16,806	\$1,684,444		
44	\$57,455	\$16,332	\$1,700,777		

Table H-4. Present Value of P&T System Costs for 100 years of operation (Continued)

		P&T	
		PV of	
	Annual	Annual	Cumulative PV
Year	Cost *	Cost	of Annual Cost
45	\$70,320	\$19,426	\$1,720,203
46	\$57,455	\$15,425	\$1,735,628
47	\$57,455	\$14,990	\$1,750,618
48	\$57,455	\$14,568	\$1,765,186
49	\$57,455	\$14,157	\$1,779,343
50	\$70,320	\$16,839	\$1,796,182
51	\$57,455	\$13,370	\$1,809,552
52	\$57,455	\$12,994	\$1,822,545
53	\$57,455	\$12,627	\$1,835,173
54	\$57,455	\$12,271	\$1,847,444
55	\$70,320	\$14,596	\$1,862,040
56	\$57,455	\$11,590	\$1,873,630
57	\$57,455	\$11,263	\$1,884,893
58	\$57,455	\$10,946	\$1,895,838
59	\$57,455	\$10,637	\$1,906,475
60	\$98,655	\$17,750	\$1,924,225
61	\$57,455	\$10,046	\$1,934,271
62	\$57,455	\$9,763	\$1,944,034
63	\$57,455	\$9,488	\$1,953,522
64	\$57,455	\$9,220	\$1,962,742
65	\$70,320	\$10,967	\$1,973,709
66	\$57,455	\$8,708	\$1,982,417
67	\$57,455	\$8,462	\$1,990,879
68	\$57.455	\$8.224	\$1,999,103
69	\$57,455	\$7,992	\$2,007,095
70	\$98,655	\$13,337	\$2,020,432
71	\$57,455	\$7,548	\$2,027,980
72	\$57.455	\$7.335	\$2.035.315
73	\$57.455	\$7,129	\$2.042.444
74	\$57.455	\$6.928	\$2.049.372
75	\$70.320	\$8.240	\$2.057.612
76	\$57.455	\$6.543	\$2.064.154
77	\$57.455	\$6.358	\$2.070.513
78	\$57,455	\$6,179	\$2,076,692
79	\$57,455	\$6.005	\$2,082,697
80	\$98,655	\$10,020	\$2 092 717
81	\$57,455	\$5 671	\$2,098,389
82	\$57,455	\$5,511	\$2,000,000
83	\$57 455	\$5,356	\$2 109 256
84	\$57 455	\$5 205	\$2 114 461
85	\$70 320	\$6 101	\$2,120,653
86	\$57 455	\$4 916	<u>\$2,120,000</u>
87	\$57 155	\$ <u>4</u> 777	\$2 120,000 \$2 120 216
88	\$57 155	Ψ ⁻ , / / / \$4 6/2	\$2 121 QRD
80	\$57 ASS	Ψ 1 ,0 1 3 \$1 512	\$2 120 501
09	φ07,400	⊅ 4,51∠	JZ, I39,301

		P&T	
		PV of	
	Annual	Annual	Cumulative PV
Year	Cost *	Cost	of Annual Cost
90	\$98,655	\$7,529	\$2,147,029
91	\$57,455	\$4,261	\$2,151,291
92	\$57,455	\$4,141	\$2,155,432
93	\$57,455	\$4,024	\$2,159,456
94	\$57,455	\$3,911	\$2,163,367
95	\$70,320	\$4,652	\$2,168,019
96	\$57,455	\$3,694	\$2,171,712
97	\$57,455	\$3,590	\$2,175,302
98	\$57,455	\$3,488	\$2,178,790
99	\$57,455	\$3,390	\$2,182,180
100	\$98,655	\$5,657	\$2,187,837

Table H-4. Present Value of P&T System Costs for 100 years of operation (Continued)