### Immigrant Visa Overseas (IVO) System

### 1. 1. Contact Information

Department of State Privacy Coordinator Margaret P. Grafeld Bureau of Administration Information Sharing Services Office of Information Programs and Services

### 2. System Information

- (a) Date PIA was completed:12/1/2008
- (b) Name of system: Immigrant Visa Overseas (IVO) System
- (c) System acronym: IVO
- (d) IT Asset Baseline (ITAB) number: 817
- (e) System description:

IVO provides automated support to the adjudication of an immigrant or a diversity visa to individuals wishing to come to the United States with the intent of permanent residence. IVO also provides for the administration of federal law and regulations that govern the issuance or refusal of either visa type. IVO is a case record and maintenance application used at overseas posts to review, and complete the visa adjudication. IVO's main processes are:

- Immigrant visa (IV) case processing, name clearance (through interfaces with name check applications), fingerprint and facial recognition clearance (thourgh interfaces with IDENT, IAFIS, etc.), adjudication, visa issuance, and refusal recording and tracking.
- Visa allocation management
- Biometric data collection (such as fingerprints and images for facial recognition)
- Automated tracking, scheduling and reporting of applicant interviews and medical exams.
- Internal fraud control, workload statistic management for post and Fraud Prevention Program managers
- Waiver processing
- (f) Reason for performing PIA:
  - New system
  - Significant modification to an existing system
  - To update existing PIA for a triennial security re-certification
  - PIA Information Review
- (g) Explanation of modification (if applicable): N/A.
- (h) Date of previous PIA (if applicable): 4/24/2007
- Privacy Impact Assessment: IVO December 2008

### 3. Characterization of the Information

The system:

- Does NOT contain PII. If this is the case, you must only complete Section 13.
- Does contain PII. If this is the case, you must complete the entire template.

# a. What elements of PII are collected and maintained by the system? What are the sources of the information?

IVO primarily collects data on foreign nationals as part of the U.S. immigrant visa application process. As such, the information provided by the immigrant visa applicant is considered a visa record subject to confidentiality requirements under section 222(f) of the Immigration and Nationality Act (INA).

Because immigrant visa applicants themselves are not U.S. persons (that is, U.S. citizens or legal permanent residents), they are not covered by the provisions of the Privacy Act. However, IVO records may include PII about persons associated with the applicant who are US citizens or legal permanent residents. This PII data may include the following: U.S. sponsor's name; date of birth; place of birth; telephone numbers; address; gender; language used; relationships; occupation; employment information; employer information; aliases; biometric data; alien registration number; marital status; nationality; final U.S. address; passport number and other passport issuance information; national identification; arrival date; and duration of stay information.

The source of information is the subject of the record; relatives, such as parents; sponsors; and attorneys/agents representing applicants.

### b. How is the information collected?

The information is collected from various sources such as visa application, passport, corroborating documentation and in-person interviews.

#### c. Why is the information collected and maintained?

The information is collected to determine the eligibility of foreign nationals who have applied or are applying for a visa to immigrate to the United States.

#### d. How will the information be checked for accuracy?

Accuracy of the information on an immigrant visa application is the responsibility of the applicant and IVO users. Quality checks are conducted against the submitted documentation at every stage and administrative policies minimize instances of inaccurate data.

# e. What specific legal authorities, arrangements, and/or agreements define the collection of information?

- Immigration and Nationality Act (INA) of 1952 (P.L. 82-414) and amendments
- Anti-Drug Abuse Act of 1988 (P.L. 100-690)
- Immigration Act of 1990 (P.L. 101-649)

Privacy Impact Assessment: IVO December 2008

- Illegal Immigration Reform and Immigration Responsibility Act of 1996 (P.L. 104-208)
- Omnibus Consolidated Appropriations Act, 1997 (P.L. 104-208)
- Legal Immigration Family Equity "LIFE" Act (P.L. 106-553)
- USA PATRIOT Act of 2001 (P. L. 107-56)
- Enhanced Border Security and Visa Entry Reform Act of 2002 (P.L. 107-173)

# f. Privacy Impact Analysis: Given the amount and type of data collected, discuss the privacy risks identified and how they were mitigated.

Due to strict security controls that are required to be in place before operation of the system, no identified privacy risks are associated with this system. The controls are subject to rigorous testing, formal certification and accreditation. Authority to operate is authorized by the Chief Information Officer (CIO) for DoS. Security controls are reviewed annually and the system is certified and accredited every three years or sooner if significant or major changes are made to the existing application. Only authorized users with a need to know are granted access to IVO.

### 4. Uses of the Information

#### a. Describe all uses of the information.

IVO is used to supply information for name checks, fingerprint matching, and other searches to verify the identity of the applicant and to help determine if the applicant is suitable for travel and immigration to the United States. Consular officers use the information to make a determination whether to grant an IV.

Data can be retrieved in IVO by keyword searches such as applicant name, alien registration number, case number, and/or by barcode scanning.

Issuance and refusal information is shared with DHS including name, DOB, gender and visa information such as issuance or refusal date and visa foil number.

# b. What types of methods are used to analyze the data? What new information may be produced?

IVO generates a variety of reports for statistical and management purposes.

# c. If the system uses commercial information, publicly available information, or information from other Federal agency databases, explain how it is used.

Visa applicant data such as photo, fingerprint, proof of birth, birth place, other identifying documents, existing passports provided by visa applicant and/or foreign authorities is used to effectively identify the visa applicant.

Under the Hague Convention on Protection of Children and Co-operation in Respect of Intercountry Adoption, the DoS Office of Children issues is responsible for monitoring and overseeing the accreditation or approval of adoption service providers that want to perform adoption services with other countries party to the Convention. The names of accredited or

approved Adoption Service Providers are then forwarded to post to be used in a drop down field in IVO for the user to select.

#### d. Is the system a contractor used and owned system?

IVO is a government-owned system. It is supported by contract employees, some of whom are located at contractor-owned facilities. Direct-hire U.S. government employees have the sole responsibility for adjudicating IV applications to determine if applicants are entitled for IV issuance.

All employees and contractors must pass annual computer security briefing and Privacy Act briefings from DOS and/or the contract employer. All contracts contain approved Federal Acquisition Regulation (FAR) Privacy Act clauses.

#### e. Privacy Impact Analysis: Describe the types of controls that may be in place to ensure that information is handled in accordance with the above uses.

User access to information is restricted according to job responsibilities and requires managerial level approvals. Access control lists permit categories of information and reports that are to be restricted. Security Officers determine the access level needed by a user (including managers) to ensure it correlates to the user's particular job function and level of clearance. The Department of State's Consular Shared Tables (CST) application is used to maintain user accounts and user roles for the IVO application. Mandatory annual security/privacy training is required for all authorized users including security training and regular refreshment training.

### 5. Retention

#### a. How long is information retained?

Record retention varies depending upon the type of records. Files of closed cases are disposed in accordance with published DoS record schedules as approved by the National Archives and Records Administration.

# b. Privacy Impact Analysis: Discuss the risks associated with the duration that data is retained and how those risks are mitigated.

All physical records containing personal information are maintained in secured file cabinets or in restricted areas, to which access is limited to authorized personnel only. Access to computerized files is password-protected and under the direct supervision of the system manager. When records have reached their retention end-date, they are immediately retired or destroyed in accordance with the National Archive and Records Administration (NARA) rules.

### 6. Internal Sharing and Disclosure

# a. With which internal organizations is the information shared? What information is shared? For what purpose is the information shared?

IVO information is shared with authorized DoS consular officers and staff that may be handling a legal, technical or procedural question resulting from an application for a US visa. Application case data, previous case history, adoption information, visa allocations,

issuance and refusal statistics, workload statistics and lookout data are shared internally to perform immigrant visa functions and services.

# b. How is the information transmitted or disclosed? What safeguards are in place for each sharing arrangement?

Information is shared by secure transmission methods permitted under DOS policy for the handling and transmission of sensitive but unclassified (SBU) information. An Interface Control Document (ICD) and Memo of Understanding (MOU) are used to define and disclose transmission formats via OpenNet. All physical records are maintained in secured file cabinets or in restricted areas to which access is limited to authorized personnel and contractors. Access to electronic data is protected by passwords and is directly under the supervision of system managers. Additionally, audit trails to monitor computer usage and access to files are monitored. Finally, training highlighting proper data handling and privacy and security issues is administered regularly.

# c. Privacy Impact Analysis: Describe risks to privacy from internal sharing and disclosure and describe how the risks are mitigated.

Access to information is controlled by application access controls. Management Control Reports identify actions of authorized users and allow management to review daily activity. User training at the application level is delivered annually in accordance with internal DoS regulations.

### 7. External Sharing and Disclosure

# a. With which external organizations is the information shared? What information is shared? For what purpose is the information shared?

IVO data is shared via datasharing arrangements. Applicant fingerprints, photo and personal data are sent to DHS for the purpose of checking the applicant's fingerprint information against DHS databases and establishing a record within DHS's Automated Biometric Identification (IDENT) system. IVO issuance data is forwarded to DHS for use at US ports of entry to verify the validity of the visa. IVO also transmits applicant fingerprints and personal data to the FBI fingerprint system for the purpose of checking to determine if the person has a criminal record that would have an effect on visa eligibility.

# b. How is the information shared outside the Department? What safeguards are in place for each sharing arrangement?

IVO data is replicated from the databases at each post to the CCD. When the CCD receives fingerprint requests or visa issuance data, the CCD forwards the information to the Department's datashare applications.

Each data sharing arrangement with federal agency partners is covered by a written agreement in the form of a Memorandum of Understanding or exchange of letters as well as technical documentation including an interface control document and interagency security agreement. Data is sent through encrypted lines.

# c. Privacy Impact Analysis: Describe risks to privacy from external sharing and disclosure and describe how the risks are mitigated.

Vulnerabilities and risk are mitigated through the system's certification process. National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST) recommendations are strictly adhered to in order to ensure any risk is addressed through the user-authorization process.

#### 8. Notice

The system:

Contains information covered by the Privacy Act.

Provide number and name of each applicable system of records.

(visit www.state.gov/m/a/ips/c25533.htm for list of all published systems):

• Visa Records, State-39

Does NOT contain information covered by the Privacy Act.

#### a. Is notice provided to the individual prior to collection of their information?

The application forms explain the reason for the information collection, how the information will be used, and potential outcome of not providing information.

The application form provides a statement that the information collected is protected by section 222(f) of INA. INA section 222(f) provides that visa issuance and refusal records shall be considered confidential and shall be used only for the formulation, amendment, administration, or enforcement of the immigration, nationality, and other laws of the United States. Certified copies of visa records may be made available to a court which certifies that the information contained in such records is needed in a case pending before the court.

Also, notice is provided in the System of Records Notice Visa Records, State-39.

### b. Do individuals have the opportunity and/or right to decline to provide information?

Information is given voluntarily by the applicants and with their consent, by family members and other designated agents.

Individuals who voluntarily apply for a U.S. visa must supply all the requested information, and may not decline to provide part or all the information required, if they wish visa services.

# c. Do individuals have the right to consent to limited, special, and/or specific uses of the information? If so, how does the individual exercise the right?

Applicants may decline to provide information; otherwise, they have no right to limit the use of the information (consistent with the system's disclosed purposes and uses).

#### d. Privacy Impact Analysis: Describe how notice is provided to individuals and how the risks associated with individuals being unaware of the collection are mitigated.

The information provided by the applicant is considered a visa record subject to confidentiality requirements under section 222(f) of the Immigration and Nationality Act (INA). The information provided on the form and in the SORN regarding visa records fully explain how the information may be used by the Department and how it is protected.

### 9. Notification and Redress

### a. What are the procedures to allow individuals to gain access to their information and to amend information they believe to be incorrect?

The information in IVO is considered a visa record subject to confidentiality requirements under INA 222(f).

Visa applicants may change their information at any time prior to submission of the application to the Consulate or Embassy. Once that is done, applicants may make changes only by filing a new application with the Department or correcting the information during the course of a visa interview. The Department will release the following information to a visa applicant upon request and this guidance is available to the public in 9 FAM 40.4:

(1) Correspondence previously sent to or given to the applicant by the post;

(2) Civil documents presented by the applicant and

(3) Visa applications and any other documents, including sworn statements, submitted by the applicant to the consular officer in the form in which they were submitted, i.e., with any remarks or notations by U.S. Government employees deleted.

IVO information may also be protected in accordance with provisions of the Privacy Act of 1974 (5 U.S.C. 552a), and individuals may request access to or correction of their PII pursuant to FOIA or the Privacy Act, as appropriate.

Procedures for notification and redress are published in the Privacy Act SORN, and in rules published at 22 CFR 171.31 informing the individual regarding how to inquire about the existence of records, how to request access to the records, and how to request amendment of a record. Certain exemptions to Privacy Act provisions for notification and redress may exist for visa records on grounds pertaining to law enforcement, in the interest of national defense and foreign policy if the records have been properly classified, and to carry out protective responsibilities under 18 U.S.C. 3056. These exemptions are published as agency rules at 22 CFR 171.32.

# b. Privacy Impact Analysis: Discuss the privacy risks associated with notification and redress and how those risks are mitigated.

To the extent information in IVO may be Privacy Act-covered, the notification and redress mechanisms offered to individuals are reasonable and adequate in relation to the system's stated purpose and uses and its applicable legal requirements. Therefore this category of privacy risk is appropriately mitigated in IVO.

### **10. Controls on Access**

# a. What procedures are in place to determine which users may access the system and the extent of their access? What monitoring, recording, and auditing safeguards are in place to prevent misuse of data?

Internal access to IVO is limited to authorized DOS users that have a justified need for the information in order to perform official duties. To access the system, authorized users must be an authorized user of the DOS' unclassified network. Access to IVO requires a unique user account assigned by a supervisor. Each authorized user must sign a user access agreement before being given a user account. The authorized user's supervisor must sign

the agreement certifying that access is needed to perform official duties. The user access agreement includes rules of behavior describing the individual's responsibility to safeguard information and prohibited activities (e.g. curiosity browsing). Completed applications are also reviewed and approved by the Information System Security Officer (ISSO) prior to assigning a logon. The level of access for the authorized user restricts the data that may be viewed and the degree to which data may be modified. A system use notification ("warning banner") is displayed before logon is permitted, and recaps the restrictions on the use of the system. Activity by authorized users is monitored, logged, and audited.

User access to information is restricted according to job responsibilities and requires managerial level approvals. Access control lists permit categories of information and reports that are to be restricted. Security Officers determine the access level needed by a user (including managers) to ensure it correlates to the user's particular job function and level of clearance. The Department of State's Consular Shared Tables (CST) application is used to maintain user accounts and user roles for the IVO application. Mandatory annual security/privacy training is required for all authorized users including security training and regular refreshment training.

# b. What privacy orientation or training for the system is provided authorized users?

All users must pass computer security and privacy awareness training prior to receiving access to the system and must complete annual refresher training to retain access.

# c. Privacy Impact Analysis: Given the sensitivity of PII in the system, manner of use, and established access safeguards, describe the expected residual risk related to access.

Access control lists, which define who can access the system, and at what privilege level, are regularly reviewed, and inactive accounts are promptly deleted. Additionally, system audit trails are regularly analyzed and reviewed to deter and detect any unauthorized activity. (An audit trail provides a record of all functions authorized users perform--or may attempt to perform.)

### 11. Technologies

#### a. What technologies are used in the system that involves privacy risk?

IVO does not use any technology known to elevate privacy risk.

# b. Privacy Impact Analysis: Describe how any technologies used may cause privacy risk, and describe the safeguards implemented to mitigate the risk.

Since IVO does not use any technology known to elevate privacy risk, standard robust safeguards are determined to be at the very minimum satisfactory in this application.

### 12. Security

#### What is the security certification and accreditation (C&A) status of the system?

DoS operates IVO in accordance with information security requirements and procedures required by federal law and policy to ensure that information is appropriately safeguarded

Privacy Impact Assessment: IVO December 2008

and protected. DoS has conducted a risk assessment of the system to identify appropriate security controls to protect against risk, and implemented controls. DoS performs routine monitoring, testing, and evaluation of security controls to ensure that the controls continue to fully function. In accordance with the Federal Information Security Management Act (FISMA) provision for the triennial recertification of this system, IVO was certified and accredited for 36 months to expire on August 31, 2010.