Anna. Rav. Environ. Resour. 2006. 31:193-237 doi: 10.1146/annanw.energy.30.050504.144321 Copyright (j): 2006 by Annal Reviews. All rights reserved First published online as a Review in Advance on August 22, 2006

#### **ENERGY-TECHNOLOGY INNOVATION**

Kelly Sims Gallagher, John P. Holdren, and Ambuj D. Sagar Science, Technology, and Public Policy Program, Belfer Center for Science and International Affairs, John F. Kennedy School of Government, Harvard University, Cambridge, Massachusetts 02138; email: kelly\_gallagher@harvard.edu, john\_holdren@harvard.edu, ambuj\_sagar@harvard.edu

Key Words climate change, energy, innovation, oil dependence, technology

Abstract Energy-technology innovation (ETI) is the set of processes leading to new or improved energy technologies that can augment energy resources; enhance the quality of energy services; and reduce the economic, environmental, or political costs associated with energy supply and use. Advances achieved through ETI have made large contributions to the improvement of the human condition over the past 100 years. Still more will be required of ETI during the decades ahead if civilization is to succeed in meeting what we believe are the three greatestenergy challenges still before it: reducing dependence on oil, drastically upgrading the energy services provided to the world's poct, and providing the energy required to increase and autain prosperity everywhere without wrecking the global climate with the emissions from fossil-fuel burning. This will require significant enhancements to ETI through deeper analysis of ETI processes, greater investments in ETI, improved innovation policies, and better coordination and partnerships across sectors and countries.

#### CONTENTS

INTRODUCTION
THE MOST DEMANDING CURRENT CHALLENGES 196
THE ENERGY-TECHNOLOGY INNOVATION PROCESS
Stages of Energy-Technology Innovation
Organization of Energy-Technology Innovation
International Aspects
MEASURING ETI INPUTS, OUTPUTS, AND OUTCOMES
Input Metrics
Output Metrics
Outcome Metrics
Qualitative Assessment
RECENT PATTERNS OF ENERGY-TECHNOLOGY-INNOVATION
ACTIVITIES
Public-Sector Energy Research, Development, and Demonstration
Private-Sector R&D
Deployment
Assessing Outputs and Outcomes

1543-5938/06/1121-0193\$20.00



# Public Energy RD&D Trends and Data

Kelly Sims Gallagher, Ph.D. Director, Energy Technology Innovation Project Kennedy School of Government, Harvard University January 9, 2007

### Public Investment Inputs into ERD&D

**Figure 2** Trends in energy research, development, and demonstration expenditures by major International Energy Agency (IEA) member governments. Data are not available before 1985 for France and also not available for Italy for 1975-1976 and 1993.



**Figure 3** Trends in energy research, development, and demonstration expenditures by major International Energy Agency (IEA) member governments, by category.



Source: IEA Energy R&D database

# Notes About the Non-IEA Data

- What is measured: U.S. DOE energy RD&D
- What is not measured: deployment (fuzzy), nonenergy fission and fusion nuclear (e.g. counterproliferation), ERD&D in other agencies
- All subsequent charts are in constant 2000 dollars
- Problems with program direction estimates
- Data source is fiscal year Statistical Table of Appropriations, two years after the fiscal year, whenever possible.

Total DOE Energy RD&D Investments FY1978-FY07 Administration Request



U.S. DOE Energy RD&D Spending FY1978-FY2007 Admin. Request



### U.S. DOE Energy RD&D FY2000-FY2007 Request



### U.S. DOE Energy RD&D FY1978-FY2006



U.S. Non-Nuclear DOE Energy RD&D Spending





### U.S. DOE Energy RD&D Spending by Category (FY1985-FY2007 Admin. Request)

Cumulative Spending on U.S. DOE Energy RD&D (FY1985-FY2006) (million 2000\$)



■ Fission ■ Fusion □ Efficiency ■ Renewables ■ Fossil (including CCT demo)

#### 2500.0 NRC Report NCEP 2001 W.J. Clinton Report PCAST Report 2004 takes office G. W. Bush Takes Office 1997 2001 2000.0 1500.0 million 2000\$ 1000.0 500.0 0.0 2005 ~9<sup>000</sup> 10000 1.0991 2004 2006 Request 1992 10,000 199° 199<sup>0</sup> 2000 2001 2002 2003 199<sup>04</sup> **Fiscal Year** □ Efficiency ■ Renewables ■ Fossil (including CCT demo) ■ Electricity T&D

### **U.S. Non-Nuclear DOE Energy RD&D With Events**

U.S. DOE Energy RD&D With Events



Composition of DOE Efficiency ERD&D (FY1978-FY2007 Admin. Request)



Composition of DOE Fossil Energy RD&D Spending (FY1978-FY2007 Admin. Request)



### Composition of Renewable Energy DOE ERD&D Spending (FY1998-FY2007 Admin. Request)



included in the Efficiency category

# **Other Important Notes**

Geothermal, hydropower, petroleum, and natural gas RD&D are all cancelled in the FY07 request

 Weatherization funding (deployment) is cut by \$91 million current dollars.
Weatherization activities help the poor install energy-efficient technologies

# **Conclusions: Specifics**

- Carbon sequestration funding at \$73 million is ridiculously low.
- It seems reasonable to zero out petroleum and natural gas funding.
- Although the percentage increases in solar, wind, and biomass investments seem impressive, their total budgets are relatively small.

# **Outcome Metrics**

**Figure 4** Trends in energy intensity for major countries. Energy values for China are presented on the right ordinate. Source: World Development Indicators online database (<u>http://web.worldbank.org/WBSITE/EXTERNAL/DATASTATISTICS/</u>) ktoe = kilotons of oil equivalent.



**Figure 5** Trends in carbon factor for major countries. Source: World Development Indicators online database (<u>http://web.worldbank.org/WBSITE/EXTERNAL/DATASTATISTICS/</u>) ktoe = kilotons of oil equivalent.



# Acknowledgements

- Data from 1978-1996 from spreadsheet by Paul de Sa and John Holdren dated May 2, 1997
- Updated by Kelly Gallagher, Ambuj Sagar, and Diane Segal, July 2004
- Updated by Kelly Gallagher in February 2005 and February 2006
- Comments from Ambuj Sagar on this presentation
- Funding from U.S. Energy Foundation

# Database is available at http://bcsia.ksg.harvard.edu/energy