$D^*(2007)^0$

$I(J^P) = \frac{1}{2}(1^-)$
I, J, P need confirmation.

J consistent with 1, value 0 ruled out (NGUYEN 77).

$D^*(2007)^0$ MASS

The fit includes $D^\pm$, D^0, $D_s^\pm$, $D^{*\pm}$, D^{*0}, and $D_s^{*\pm}$ mass and mass difference measurements.

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
2006.7±0.5 OUR FIT Error includes scale factor of 1.1.			
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
2006 ±1.5	[1] GOLDHABER 77	MRK1	e^+e^-

[1] From simultaneous fit to $D^*(2010)^+$, $D^*(2007)^0$, D^+, and D^0.

$m_{D^*(2007)^0} - m_{D^0}$

The fit includes $D^\pm$, D^0, $D_s^\pm$, $D^{*\pm}$, D^{*0}, and $D_s^{*\pm}$ mass and mass difference measurements.

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
142.12±0.07 OUR FIT				
142.12±0.07 OUR AVERAGE				
142.2 ±0.3 ±0.2	145	ALBRECHT 95F	ARG	$e^+e^- \to$ hadrons
142.12±0.05±0.05	1176	BORTOLETTO 92B	CLE2	$e^+e^- \to$ hadrons
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
142.2 ±2.0		SADROZINSKI 80	CBAL	$D^{*0} \to D^0\pi^0$
142.7 ±1.7		[2] GOLDHABER 77	MRK1	e^+e^-

[2] From simultaneous fit to $D^*(2010)^+$, $D^*(2007)^0$, D^+, and D^0.

$D^*(2007)^0$ WIDTH

VALUE (MeV)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<2.1	90	[3] ABACHI 88B	HRS	$D^{*0} \to D^+\pi^-$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
<5		GOLDHABER 76B	MRK1	$e^+e^- \to D^*D^*$

[3] Assuming $m_{D^{*0}} = 2007.2 \pm 2.1$ MeV/c^2.

$D^*(2007)^0$ DECAY MODES

$\overline{D}^*(2007)^0$ modes are charge conjugates of modes below.

	Mode	Fraction (Γ_i/Γ)
Γ_1	$D^0\pi^0$	(61.9±2.9) %
Γ_2	$D^0\gamma$	(38.1±2.9) %

CONSTRAINED FIT INFORMATION

An overall fit to a branching ratio uses 3 measurements and one constraint to determine 2 parameters. The overall fit has a $\chi^2 = 0.5$ for 2 degrees of freedom.

The following *off-diagonal* array elements are the correlation coefficients $\langle \delta x_i \delta x_j \rangle / (\delta x_i \cdot \delta x_j)$, in percent, from the fit to the branching fractions, $x_i \equiv \Gamma_i/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$. The fit constrains the x_i whose labels appear in this array to sum to one.

	x_1
x_2	−100

$D^*(2007)^0$ BRANCHING RATIOS

$\Gamma(D^0\pi^0)/\Gamma_{total}$ Γ_1/Γ

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
0.619±0.029 OUR FIT					
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
0.596±0.035±0.028	858	ALBRECHT	95F	ARG	$e^+e^- \to$ hadrons
0.636±0.023±0.033	1097	[4] BUTLER	92	CLE2	$e^+e^- \to$ hadrons

$\Gamma(D^0\gamma)/\Gamma_{total}$ Γ_2/Γ

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
0.381±0.029 OUR FIT					
0.381±0.029 OUR AVERAGE					
0.404±0.035±0.028	456	ALBRECHT	95F	ARG	$e^+e^- \to$ hadrons
0.364±0.023±0.033	621	[4] BUTLER	92	CLE2	$e^+e^- \to$ hadrons
0.37 ±0.08 ±0.08		ADLER	88D	MRK3	e^+e^-
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
0.47 ±0.23		LOW	87	HRS	29 GeV e^+e^-
0.53 ±0.13		BARTEL	85G	JADE	e^+e^-, hadrons
0.47 ±0.12		COLES	82	MRK2	e^+e^-
0.45 ±0.15		GOLDHABER	77	MRK1	e^+e^-

[4] The BUTLER 92 branching ratios are not independent, they have been constrained by the authors to sum to 100%.

$D^*(2007)^0$ REFERENCES

ALBRECHT	95F	ZPHY C66 63	+Ehrlichmann+	(ARGUS Collab.)
BORTOLETTO	92B	PRL 69 2046	+Brown, Dominick+	(CLEO Collab.)
BUTLER	92	PRL 69 2041	+Fu, Kalbfleish+	(CLEO Collab.)
ABACHI	88B	PL B212 533	+Akerlof+	(ANL, IND, MICH, PURD, LBL)
ADLER	88D	PL B208 152	+Becker+	(Mark III Collab.)
LOW	87	PL B183 232	+Abachi, Akerlof, Baringer+	(HRS Collab.)
BARTEL	85G	PL 161B 197	+Dietrich, Ambrus+	(JADE Collab.)
COLES	82	PR D26 2190	+Abrams, Blocker, Blondel+	(LBL, SLAC)
SADROZINSKI	80	Madison Conf. 681	+	(PRIN, CIT, HARV, SLAC, STAN)
GOLDHABER	77	PL 69B 503	+Wiss, Abrams, Alam+	(Mark I Collab.)
NGUYEN	77	PRL 39 262	+Wiss, Abrams, Alam, Boyarski+	(LBL, SLAC) J
GOLDHABER	76B	SLAC Conf. 379		(LBL, SLAC)

Available as LBL-5534.

OTHER RELATED PAPERS

KAMAL	92	PL B284 421	+Xu	(ALBE)
TRILLING	81	PRPL 75 57		(LBL, UCB)
FELDMAN	77C	Banff Sum. Inst. 75		(SLAC)
GOLDHABER	76	PRL 37 255	+Pierre, Abrams, Alam+	(Mark I Collab.)