Solution Structure of Enzyme IIA^{Chitobiose} from the N,N'-Diacetylchitobiose Branch of the Escherichia coli **Phosphotransferase System***

Received for publication, December 20, 2004, and in revised form, January 13, 2005 Published, JBC Papers in Press, January 14, 2005, DOI 10.1074/jbc.M414300200

Chun Tang‡, David C. Williams, Jr.‡, Rodolfo Ghirlando§, and G. Marius Clore‡1

From the Laboratories of ‡Chemical Physics and §Molecular Biology, NIDDK, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, Maryland 20892-0520

The solution structure of trimeric Escherichia coli enzyme IIA^{Chb} (34 kDa), a component of the N,N'-diacetylchitobiose/lactose branch of the phosphotransferase signal transduction system, has been determined by NMR spectroscopy. Backbone residual dipolar couplings were used to provide long range orientational restraints, and long range $(|i - j| \ge 5 \text{ residues})$ nuclear Overhauser enhancement restraints were derived exclusively from samples in which at least one subunit was ¹⁵N/¹³C/²H/(Val-Leu-Ile)-methyl-protonated. Each subunit consists of a three-helix bundle. Hydrophobic residues lining helix 3 of each subunit are largely responsible for the formation of a parallel coiled-coil trimer. The active site histidines (His-89 from each subunit) are located in three symmetrically placed deep crevices located at the interface of two adjacent subunits (A and C, C and B, and B and A). Partially shielded from bulk solvent, structural modeling suggests that phosphorylated His-89 is stabilized by electrostatic interactions with the side chains of His-93 from the same subunit and Gln-91 from the adjacent subunit. Comparison with the x-ray structure of Lactobacillus lactis IIA^{Lac} reveals some substantial structural differences, particularly in regard to helix 3, which exhibits a 40° kink in IIA^{Lac} versus a 7° bend in IIA^{Chb}. This is associated with the presence of an unusually large (230-Å³) buried hydrophobic cavity at the trimer interface in IIA^{Lac} that is reduced to only 45 Å³ in IIA^{Chb}.

The bacterial phosphotransferase system (PTS)¹ is a prototypical signal transduction network in which translocation

¶ To whom correspondence should be addressed: Laboratory of Chemical Physics, Bldg. 5, Rm. B1-30I, NIDDK, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, MD 20892-0520. Tel.: 301-496-0782; Fax: 301-496-0825; E-mail: mariusc@intra.niddk.nih.gov.

of sugars across the cytoplasmic membrane is coupled to phosphorylation (1-4). There are four branches of the pathway corresponding to four classes of enzymes II, glucose, mannose, mannitol, and lactose/chitobiose, which bear no sequence similarity to one another and for the most part no structural similarity either (2-4). The initial steps of the PTS are common to all branches of the pathway and involve the transfer of a phosphoryl group from phosphoenolpyruvate to the histidine phosphocarrier protein, HPr, via the intermediary enzyme I. Subsequently the phosphoryl group is transferred to sugar-specific enzymes II. Each enzyme II consists of two cytoplasmic domains, IIA and IIB, and a transmembrane sugar permease IIC, which may or may not be covalently linked. IIA accepts the phosphoryl group from HPr and donates it to IIB; IIC catalyzes the transport of the sugar across the membrane and its phosphorylation by IIB. Structures of many of the individual cytoplasmic components of the PTS have been solved by either NMR (5-11) or crystallography (12-22), and more recently we have reported the solution NMR structures of a number of protein-protein complexes of the PTS (23-26). As part of our continuing structural work on the PTS, we present the solution structure of Escherichia coli N,N'-diacetylchitobiose-specific enzyme IIA (IIA^{Chb}) using multidimensional NMR spectroscopy.

The E. coli N,N'-diacetylchitobiose-specific enzymes II (II^{Chb}) are part of the lactose/chitobiose branch of the PTS (27). The A. B. and C components of II^{Chb} are encoded by a single operon and expressed as individual proteins (27). NMR (8, 9) and crystal structures of E. coli IIB^{Chb} have been solved and bear surprising similarity to the structure of $IIB^{Mannitol}$ (11), as well as to that of the low molecular weight eukaryotic protein tyrosine phosphatases (28, 29), despite the absence of any significant sequence identity. No structure, however, has been determined as yet for E. coli IIA^{Chb}. The analogous system in Lactobacillus and Staphylococcus comprises the lactose-specific enzymes II (II^{Lac}) (30, 31). The crystal structure of IIA^{Lac} from Lactobacillus lactis has been solved and been shown to consist of a symmetric homotrimer (18): each subunit comprises three antiparallel helices; the trimer interface consists of a parallel coiled-coil formed by the C-terminal helix from each subunit; and the trimer interface is partially stabilized by a metal ion coordinated to the side chains of three buried, symmetry-related, aspartate residues, one from each subunit. The crystal structure of IIA^{Lac}, however, displays a highly unusual feature in the form of a very large (230-Å³), completely buried cavity at the trimer interface that is associated with the presence of a $\sim 40^{\circ}$ kink in helix 3 and accommodates the heavy atom derivative trimethyl lead acetate (18). E. coli IIA^{Chb} and L. lactis IIA^{Lac} share 35% sequence identity and 63% amino acid similarity with no gaps or insertions (Fig. 1). In addition,

^{*} This work was supported in part by the AIDS Targeted Anti-Viral Program of the Office of the Director of the National Institutes of Health (to G. M. C.). The costs of publication of this article were defrayed in part by the payment of page charges. This article must therefore be hereby marked "advertisement" in accordance with 18 U.S.C. Section 1734 solely to indicate this fact.

The atomic coordinates and experimental NMR restraints (code 1WCR) have been deposited in the Protein Data Bank, Research Collaboratory for Structural Bioinformatics, Rutgers University, New Brunswick, NJ (http://www.rcsb.org/).

¹ The abbreviations used are: PTS, phosphotransferase system; Chb, N,N'-diacetylchitobiose; HPr, histidine-containing phosphocarrier protein; IIA^{Chb}, IIB^{Chb}, and IIC^{Chb}, A, B, and C domains, respectively, of the N,N'-diacetylchitobiose transporter II^{Chb}; IIA^{Chb*}, double mutant of IIA^{Chb} comprising a 13-residue deletion at the N-terminus and an Asp to Leu mutation at position 92 (of the double mutant): NOE, nuclear Overhauser effect; HSQC, heteronuclear single quantum coherence; TROSY, transverse relaxation optimized spectroscopy; r.m.s., root mean square.




FIG. 1. Sequence alignment of *E. coli* **IIA**^{Chb} and *L. lactis* **IIA**^{Lac}. The residue shown at position 92 of IIA^{Chb} is that of the IIA^{Chb}-NA13D92L double mutant (IIA^{Chbs}) used for structural studies; both wild-type IIA^{Chb} and *L. lactis* IIA^{Lac} have an Asp residue at this position. The N-terminal 13 residues that were deleted from wild-type IIA^{Chb} are colored in *green*; residue 92, the site of the engineered Asp to Leu mutation, is colored in *bold red*. Identical residues between IIA^{Chb} and IIA^{Lac} are shaded in *yellow*, closely similar ones are in *magenta*, and remotely similar ones are in *cyan*.

binding of divalent cations to E. coli IIA^{Chb} significantly enhances its thermostability relative to the apoform, increasing in the order Mg^{2+} , Cu^{2+} , and Ni^{2+} (33). It has also been reported, on the basis of analytical ultracentrifugation data, that $E. \ coli \ \text{IIA}^{\text{Chb}}$ is dimeric in solution (34) in clear contrast to the trimeric state of IIA^{Lac} (18). Since the three symmetrically related active site histidines in IIA^{Lac} are located in a crevice formed by the interface of two adjacent subunits (18), this result would imply that the surface topology of the active sites and the spatial relationships of side chains within the active sites are very different in IIA^{Lac} and IIA^{Chb}. If true, this would be highly unexpected given the close sequence and functional relationship between IIA^{Lac} and IIA^{Chb}. Intrigued by this discrepancy, we initiated our own investigation of the oligomerization state and three-dimensional solution structure of E. coli IIA^{Chb}.

EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES

Protein Purification and Mutagenesis-The plasmid for wild-type E. coli IIA^{Chb} was a kind gift from Dr. Saul Roseman (The Johns Hopkins University, Baltimore, MD). The plasmid was propagated in TOP10 cells, and IIA^{Chb} was expressed in BL21-Star cells (Invitrogen) at 37 °C. After induction with isopropyl β -D-thiogalactopyranoside, cells were harvested and microfluidized in 10 mM Tris·HCl, pH 7.5, buffer. The protein was purified using a DEAE-Sepharose anion-exchange column followed by a preparative Superdex-75 size exclusion column (Amersham Biosciences). IIA^{Chb} was denatured with 6 M guanidine HCl solution (pretreated with Chelex; Bio-Rad) at pH 3 and incubated at 37 °C overnight to remove residual phosphoryl groups on the protein. The pH of the denatured protein solution was then raised by the addition of 1 M Tris-HCl buffer. The protein solution was then dialyzed against either 10 mM sodium phosphate buffer (pH 6.5) or 10 mM Tris·HCl buffer (pH 8) containing 100 μ M divalent cation to remove guanidine HCl and refold the protein; seven metal ions, Mg^{2+} , Ca^{2+} , Mn^{2+} , Co^{2+} , Ni^{2+} , Cu^{2+} , and Zn^{2+} , were tested individually, yielding seven different samples. Excess metal ions in each metal-loaded protein sample were washed away by extensive buffer exchange in a concentrator, and the protein was further concentrated.

The N-terminal deletion mutation (IIA^{Chb}-N Δ 13) in which the first 13 residues were removed and the subsequent point mutation, IIA^{Chb}-N Δ 13/D92L, were introduced using the QuikChange mutagenesis kit (Stratagene, La Jolla, CA). The primers were designed according to the manufacturer's instructions. The sequences of the two mutants (IIA^{Chb}-N Δ 13 and IIA^{Chb}-N Δ 13/D92L) were confirmed by DNA sequencing (Davis Sequencing, Davis, CA). The two mutant proteins were purified using the same procedure described above for the wild-type protein. Typically 50 mg of protein were obtained from a 1-liter culture. The masses of the unlabeled proteins (wild type, N Δ 13, and N Δ 13/D92L) were confirmed by electrospray ionization mass spectrometry. The double mutant N Δ 13/D92L is referred to hereafter as IIA^{Chb*}.

(Ile/Leu/Val)-methyl-protonated and otherwise fully deuterated

IIA^{Chb}-N Δ 13/D92L (abbreviated as ILV-IIA^{Chb*}) was expressed based on the protocol described previously (35). Briefly cells were grown in M9 minimal medium prepared in D₂O with [²H₇, ¹³C₆]glucose and [¹⁵N]NH₄Cl as the carbon and nitrogen sources, respectively. 80 mg of α -[¹³C₅, ³-²H₁]ketoisovalerate and 50 mg of α -[¹³C₄, ³, ³-²H₂]ketobutyrate (Cambridge Isotopes, Andover, MA) were added into 1 liter of culture 45 min prior to induction at an $A_{600 \text{ nm}}$ of \sim 0.6. After induction with isopropyl β -D-thiogalactopyranoside, cells were grown under vigorous shaking for another 4 h before harvesting. Purified in H₂O buffer, the protein sample carries protons only at backbone and side-chain amides, γ -methyls of Val residues, and δ -methyl(s) of Ile/Leu residues.

Light Scattering—Static light-scattering data were collected using analytical size exclusion chromatography on a Superdex-75 column (Amersham Biosciences) in tandem with DAWN EOS light scattering and refractive index detectors (Wyatt Technology, Santa Barbara, CA). 100 μ l of 75 μ g of protein was applied to the pre-equilibrated Superdex-75 column at a flow rate of 0.5 ml/min at room temperature (20 °C). The running buffer consisted of either 10 mM sodium phosphate buffer, pH 6.5, or 10 mM Tris·HCl buffer, pH 7.5, containing 0.02% NaN₃, 1 mM methionine, and 300 mM NaCl. The protein elution profile was monitored by the refractive index detector, and light-scattering measurements were made every 4 μ l for a total elution volume of 20 ml. The data were analyzed using the manufacturer's proprietary software.

The translational diffusion coefficient of the IIA^{Chb}-N Δ 13/D92L double mutant (IIA^{Chb*}) was determined from autocorrelation analysis of quasielastically scattered light. Autocorrelation functions were collected on a BI-9000 AT autocorrelator (Brookhaven Instruments, Long Island, NY) at an angle of 90° with sampling times ranging from 0.5 μ s to 10 ms. The diffusion coefficient, $D_{20,w}$, was derived from autocorrelation functions of 10 independent measurements using the software provided by Brookhaven Instruments. The corresponding Stokes radius, R_s , was calculated using the equation $R_s = kT/6\pi\eta D_{20,w}$ where η represents the solvent viscosity, T is the absolute temperature, and k is the Boltzmann constant. The predicted translational diffusion coefficient and Stokes radius for the crystal structure of L. lactis IIA^{Lac} (18) were calculated using the program HYDROPRO (36).

NMR Data Collection and Analysis-All NMR samples were prepared in 10 mM sodium phosphate buffer, pH 6.5, containing 0.02% NaN₃ and 1 mM methionine. NMR spectra were collected at 30 °C on Bruker DMX500, DMX600, DRX600, DMX750, and DRX800 spectrometers equipped either with x,y,z-shielded gradient triple resonance probes or z-shielded gradient triple resonance cryoprobes. Spectra were processed with the NMRPipe/nmrDraw suite (37) and analyzed using the PIPP/CAPP/STAPP package (38). Sequential resonance assignments were derived from analysis of transverse relaxation optimized (TROSY)-based triple resonance three-dimensional NMR experiments (39-42), including HNCO, HN(CO)CA, HNCA, HNCB, and HN(CO)CB recorded on the ILV-IIA^{Chb*} sample. Nearly complete side-chain assignments were obtained from analysis of a three-dimensional HCCH-TOCSY experiment recorded on a ¹³C, ¹⁵N-labeled IIA^{Chb*} sample. A triple resonance CBCA(CO)NH was also recorded on the ¹³C,¹⁵N-labeled IIA^{Chb*} sample to obtain ${}^{13}C\alpha/{}^{13}C\beta$ chemical shifts free of the

The Journal of Biological Chemistry

ibc

offsets resulting from perdeuteration.

Backbone ϕ/ψ torsion angle restraints were derived from backbone ¹H, ¹⁵N, and ¹³C chemical shifts using the program TALOS (43). Sidechain torsion angle restraints were derived from ${}^{3}J_{\rm NC\gamma}$, ${}^{3}J_{\rm C'C\gamma}$, and ${}^{3}J_{\rm CaC}\delta$ coupling constants measured using quantitative *J* correlation experiments (44).

Intersubunit nuclear Overhauser enhancements (NOEs) were obtained from a three-dimensional ¹³C-separated/¹²C-filtered NOE spectrum collected on a sample comprising a 1:1 mixture of unlabeled IIA^{Chb*} and labeled ILV-IIA^{Chb*} (42). To ensure complete mixing of the subunits, the 1:1 mixture of the labeled and unlabeled proteins was denatured in 6 M guanidine HCl and then refolded into the NMR buffer (10 mM sodium phosphate buffer, pH 6.5, 0.02% NaN₃, and 1 mM methionine). Intramolecular long range NOEs were obtained from four dimensional ¹³C/¹⁵N-separated and ¹³C/¹⁵C-separated NOE spectra collected on the ILV-IIA^{Chb*} sample. As methyl-methyl NOE interactions in the unfiltered NOE spectra contain both intra- and intermolecular information, care was taken to ensure that assigned intramolecular NOE cross-peaks did not appear in the three-dimensional ¹³C-separated NOE spectrum. NOEs involving backbone amide protons were obtained from a three-dimensional ¹⁵N-separated NOE spectrum.

Backbone $^{1}\!D_{\rm NH}, \,^{1}\!D_{\rm NC'},$ and $^{1}\!D_{\rm CaC'}$ residual dipolar couplings were obtained from the difference between the $^{1}\!J$ scalar couplings measured in dilute liquid crystalline (15 mg/ml phage pf1 (45, 46)) and isotropic (water) media. $^{1}\!J_{\rm NH}$ and $^{1}\!J_{\rm NC'}$ couplings were measured from the splittings in three-dimensional HNCO-TROSY-based experiments (47), and $^{1}\!J_{\rm CaC'}$ couplings were derived from an intensity-modulated HN(CO)CA-TROSY experiment (48).


Structure Calculation—NOE-derived interproton distance restraints were classified into distance ranges of 1.8–2.7, 1.8–3.3, 1.8–5.0, and 1.8–6 Å, corresponding to strong, medium, weak, and very weak NOE cross-peak intensities, respectively. An additional 0.5 Å was added to the upper distance bound of distance restraints involving methyl groups (0.5 Å per methyl group), and 0.2 Å was added to the upper bounds for strong and medium NOE restraints involving amide protons. Non-stereospecifically assigned methyl protons and ambiguous intermolecular NOEs were represented by a (Σr^{-6})^{-1/6} sum. The error ranges used for the torsion angle restraints (which are represented by square-well potentials) are $\pm 20^{\circ}$ for the backbone ϕ/ψ angles within helical regions and $\pm 20^{\circ}$ for χ_1 and $\pm 30^{\circ}$ for χ_2 side-chain torsion angles. χ_1 restraints for aliphatic side chains that are not in the *t* rotamer and not experiencing rotamer averaging (${}^{3}J_{C_{\rm VN}} < 1.0$ Hz) were set to 0 \pm 80°.

Structures were calculated using a well established protocol (49-52) from the experimental NMR restraints by simulated annealing in torsion angle space (53) using the program Xplor-NIH (54). The coordinates of the three subunits were restrained to their average positions (after best fitting) by a non-crystallographic symmetry restraint. The non-bonded contacts in the target function were represented by a quartic van der Waals repulsion term (49) supplemented by multidimensional torsion angle (55) and backbone hydrogen bonding (56) data base potentials of mean force. A radius of gyration restraint was used to ensure optimal packing (57). Structure figures were generated with the programs VMD-XPLOR (58) and Pymol (59). Reweighted atomic density probability maps were calculated from the structure ensemble as described previously (60). Modeling of the phosphorylated state was carried out by manually docking a phosphoryl group in the vicinity of the N ϵ -1 atom of the active site His-89 of the restrained regularized mean structure in VMD-XPLOR followed by regularization using Xplor-NIH, keeping all coordinates fixed with the exception of the side chain of His-89 and the phosphoryl group.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Engineering a Monodisperse Trimer—¹H-¹⁵N heteronuclear single quantum coherence (HSQC) correlation spectra of ¹⁵Nlabeled IIA^{Chb} in both the apoform and loaded with diverse divalent cations, including Mg²⁺, Ca²⁺, Mn²⁺, Co²⁺, Ni²⁺, Cu²⁺, and Zn²⁺, are all broad and poorly dispersed, indicative of chemical exchange between various states. Of these various samples, Ni²⁺-charged IIA^{Chb} displayed the best spectrum (Fig. 2A), suggesting slightly more favorable exchange dynamics, consistent with the prior observation that Ni²⁺ has the largest effect in enhancing the thermostability of IIA^{Chb} (33).


Ni²⁺-charged IIA^{Chb} was then subjected to light-scattering analysis. The intensity of light scattered by a molecule is directly proportional to molar mass; thus static light scattering



Downloaded from www.jbc.org at National Institutes of Health Library on December 4, 2006

FIG. 2. NMR spectral characterization of IIA^{Chb} wild-type and mutant proteins. ¹H-¹⁵N HSQC spectra of ¹⁵N-labeled wild-type IIA^{Chb} loaded with Ni²⁺ (*A*), the ¹⁵N-labeled IIA^{Chb}-N Δ 13 deletion mutant loaded with Ni²⁺ (*B*), and the ¹⁵N-labeled IIA^{Chb}-N Δ 13/D92L double mutant (IIA^{Chb}*) (*C*). Outlying cross-peaks in the spectrum shown in *A* that match closely to those in *C* are tentatively assigned in *A* and *B* and are labeled in all three spectra. In addition, the cross-peak position for the C-terminal residue, Ala-116, is essentially identical for all three constructs and is located at 130.9 ppm in the ¹⁵N dimension (not shown).

used in tandem with analytical size exclusion chromatography can measure the average molecular weight of the eluted fractions on the fly. Light-scattering analysis reveals that wildtype Ni²⁺-IIA^{Chb} is a mixture of many species of different molecular masses ranging from 35 to 70 kDa, and there is no predominant species of defined molecular weight in the mixture (Fig. 3A, *blue symbols*). This result fully accounts for the poor quality of the ¹H-¹⁵N correlation spectrum of Ni²⁺-IIA^{Chb} (Fig. 2A). Significantly, even at the leading edge of the gel filtration peak where the protein concentration is only ~140



The Journal of Biological Chemistry

ibc

FIG. 3. Static and dynamic light scattering of IIA^{Chb} wild-type and mutant proteins. A and B, size exclusion column elution profiles of IIA^{Chb} proteins characterized by steady state light scattering and refractive index. The magnitude of the refractive index is proportional to the total protein concentration (represented by filled circles and *lines*): a value of 0.01 for the refractive index is equivalent to ~ 0.012 mg/ml protein. The average molecular masses of the eluted fractions determined by static light scattering are represented by filled triangles. Unless otherwise noted, all the samples were prepared in 10 mM sodium phosphate buffer, pH 6.5, containing 300 mM NaCl, 1 mM methionine, and 0.02% NaN $_3$. The sequence-dependent molecular mass composition of wild-type and variant IIA^{Chb} proteins is analyzed in A. The data for wild-type IIA^{Chb} loaded with Ni²⁺, the IIA^{Chb}-N∆13 deletion mutant loaded with Ni²⁺, and the IIA^{Chb}-N Δ 13/D92L double mutant (IIA^{Chb*}) are displayed in *blue*, green and red, respectively. The IIA^{Chb}-N Δ 13/D92L double mutant (IIA^{Chb*}) behaves as a homogeneous, monodisperse trimer. Note that additional data points are plotted for the wildtype IIA^{Chb} light-scattering data before the signal is completely buried in the noise. The influence of buffer composition on the molecular weight composition of the IIA^{Chb}-N Δ 13 deletion mutant is shown in *B*. Data for the Ni^{2+} -loaded protein in phosphate buffer (same as in A for comparison) and in Tris buffer (10 mM Tris HCl, pH 7.5, 300 mM NaCl, 1 mM methionine, and 0.02% NaN₃) are shown in green and purple, respectively; data for the apoprotein (sample stripped of Ni²⁺) in phosphate buffer is shown in *orange*. C, dynamic light-scattering measurements performed on the IIA^{Chb}-N Δ 13/D92L double mutant (IIA^{Chb*}). The average values of the normalized autocorrelation function obtained from 10 individual experiments, corresponding to a total collection time of 12 min, are shown as open circles, and the best second cumulant fit is shown as a solid line through the experimental data points.

nM, the molecular mass never falls below 3 times the monomer molecular mass (12,747.7 Da), which is the molecular mass of a trimer. Further, as increasing concentration promotes higher order oligomerization, our light-scattering results are not con-

To obtain a homogeneous and monodisperse sample suitable for structural studies, we constructed a series of IIA^{Chb} variants using rational mutagenesis. We first noted that (a) the N-terminal 11 residues of IIA^{Chb} are not present in *L. lactis* IIA^{Lac} (Fig. 1), (b) the N-terminal two residues of IIA^{Lac} have high B-factors in the crystal structure (18), and (c) the secondary structure of the first 13 residues of IIA^{Chb} is predicted to be unstructured (PredictProtein, cubic.bioc.columbia.edu/predictprotein/). On this basis we constructed a deletion mutant of IIA^{Chb} lacking the N-terminal 13 residues, IIA^{Chb}-N Δ 13 (Fig. 1). The light-scattering profile for Ni^{2+} -loaded IIA^{Chb}-N Δ 13 is also a mixture of different molecular mass species ranging from 35 to 70 kDa (Fig. 3A, green symbols). Unlike full-length IIA^{Chb}, however, the IIA^{Chb}-N Δ 13 sample contains a major species with a molecular mass of ~ 35 kDa, 3 times the monomer molecular mass. Thus, $IIA^{Chb}-N\Delta 13$ is a mixture comprising a predominant trimeric species in conjunction with high molecular weight aggregates. Judging from the gel filtration profile quantified by refractive index, the trimeric species makes up over 90% of the total protein. The trimer is in equilibrium with the high molecular weight aggregates as a sample drawn from the trimer peak yields an identical elution profile when loaded again on the gel filtration column. Consistent with the lightscattering analysis, the ¹H-¹⁵N HSQC spectrum of ¹⁵N-labeled IIA^{Chb}-N Δ 13 in the presence of Ni²⁺ displays far better resolved peaks than that of the wild-type protein (Fig. 2B).

In addition to improving the thermostability of IIA^{Chb}-N Δ 13, the presence of Ni²⁺ paradoxically promotes protein aggregation. Ni²⁺-charged IIA^{Chb}-N∆13 prepared in Tris buffer (Fig. 3B, blue) yields a similar light-scattering profile to that prepared in phosphate buffer (Fig. 3B, green) characterized by a major species of \sim 35 kDa. However, the molecular mass of the high order aggregates in Tris buffer extends beyond 100 kDa, indicative of more extensive aggregation. The difference is likely due to the weak metal cation affinity of phosphate that scavenges Ni²⁺ from solution and reduces metal-induced nonspecific aggregation. In contrast, metalstripped IIA^{Chb}-N Δ 13 contains no predominant species of defined molecular weight (Fig. 3B, orange symbols). This observation confirms the importance of metal cations in stabilizing the trimer interface, presumably in the same fashion as that observed in the crystal structure of L. lactis IIA^{Lac} where a metal ion is coordinated by three symmetry-related buried aspartate side chains, one from each subunit (18). As such, the role of divalent metal cations is double edged: they promote trimer formation but simultaneously induce a small degree of nonspecific protein aggregation.

To circumvent the dual behavior of metal cations, we introduced an Asp to Leu mutation in IIA^{Chb}-NΔ13 at the site (residue 92) corresponding to the buried aspartate involved in metal ion coordination in IIA^{Lac}. Since Leu and Asp have similarly branched side chains, the Asp \rightarrow Leu mutation should eliminate the need for a metal cation at the trimer interface by substituting hydrophobic methyl-methyl interactions in place of the metal cation. To test this hypothesis, we conducted light-scattering measurements on the IIA^{Chb}-NΔ13/D92L double mutant (IIA^{Chb*}). The light-scattering profile shows a single species at 33.5 kDa (Fig. 3A, red symbols) in excellent agreement with the calculated molecular mass of 33.7 kDa for a IIA^{Chb*} trimer (11,241.1 Da per subunit). Unlike the IIA^{Chb}-N Δ 13 deletion mutant, the choice of buffer (phosphate versus Tris) does not have any impact on the molecular weight of the sample (data not shown). To further corroborate these results,

dynamic light scattering on IIA^{Chb*} was also carried out, and a single exponential autocorrelation profile was obtained that fits to a single species with a diffusion coefficient $D_{20,w}$ of 8.1 ± 0.2×10^{-7} cm²·s⁻¹ and a Stokes radius of 26.5 ± 0.6 Å (Fig. 3C). These measured values are in very close agreement with those calculated (36) from the crystal structure (18) of trimeric IIA^{Lac} (8.28 × 10⁻⁷ cm²·s⁻¹ and 25.9 Å, respectively).

Consistent with the light-scattering results, ¹⁵N-labeled IIA^{Chb*} displays a relatively well resolved ¹H-¹⁵N HSQC spectrum: the peaks have reasonable linewidths for a \sim 34-kDa protein, and the number of cross-peaks is close to that expected for a symmetric trimer of 103 residues per subunit (Fig. 2C). Moreover some of the outlying cross-peaks in the ¹H-¹⁵N HSQC spectrum of wild-type IIA^{Chb} (Fig. 2A) match closely to those of IIA^{Chb}-N Δ 13 (Fig. 2B) and IIA^{Chb*} (Fig. 2C), demonstrating that the structures remain largely intact despite the mutations introduced. In addition, titration of unlabeled HPr results in a systematic perturbation in the chemical shifts for a subset of cross-peaks in the ¹H-¹⁵N HSQC spectrum of IIA^{Chb*} (data not shown), indicative of a specific interaction between HPr and IIA^{Chb*}. Finally ¹H-¹⁵N correlation spectroscopy and mass spectrometry indicate that IIA^{Chb*} is readily phosphorylated upon incubation with phosphoenolpyruvate and catalytic amounts of enzyme I and HPr (data not shown), indicating the functional relevance of IIA^{Chb*}. Hence the current experimental data lead one to a single conclusion: wild-type IIA^{Chb} is a mixture of aggregates of different molecular weight species, whereas the double mutant IIA^{Chb*}, containing both the Nterminal deletion and the Asp \rightarrow Leu point mutation, yields a homogenous, monodisperse trimeric form suitable for structural studies. The discrepancy with previous work in which it was proposed that wild-type II^{Chb} is a dimer on the basis of analytical ultracentrifugation studies (34) may possibly be due to the unusually high centrifugation speeds (>35,000 rpm) used (www.beckman.com/resourcecenter/labresour-ces/sia/ pdf/rotor_speed_equilibrium.pdf).

Methyl-based NOEs for Structure Determination-On the basis of the above results, all structural NMR work was carried out on the IIA^{Chb}-NΔ13/D92L double mutant IIA^{Chb}*. Many of the NMR experiments were carried out on a ²H/¹⁵N/¹³C/(Ile-Leu-Val)-methyl-protonated sample, denoted as ILV-IIA^{Chb*}. Perdeuteration lowers the proton density and slows the overall transverse relaxation rates for larger proteins, while fast rotation and 3-fold degeneracy give methyl protons a further edge in relaxation properties (35). Moreover, as hydrophobic side chains are often involved in protein tertiary folding, retaining methyl protons still yields a large number of long range interresidue NOEs (|i - j| > 5) in a large system. The necessity of such a labeling strategy was dictated by the fact the ~34-kDa IIA^{Chb*} trimer is essentially all helical with the long axes of the helices approximately parallel to the principal axis of the diffusion tensor.

Three primary sources of NMR structural restraints were used in the structure determination: NOE-derived interproton distance restraints, backbone and side-chain torsion angle restraints, and orientational restraints in the form of backbone one-bond residual dipolar couplings. All assigned long range (|i - j| > 5) NOE-derived interproton distance restraints, both intra- and intersubunit, involve methyl groups and were derived from multidimensional NOE spectra recorded on samples in which at least one subunit was $^{15}N/^{13}C/^{2}H/(Val-Leu-Ile)$ -methyl-protonated. A summary of the structural statistics is provided in Table I, and a best fit superposition of the backbone atoms of the final ensemble of 100 simulated annealing structures is shown in Fig. 4A. Despite the fact that no attempt was made to assign the majority of non-methyl-based NOEs, the

structures calculated from the experimental restraints are of high quality in terms of both coordinate precision and structure quality indicators (Table I). As such, the current approach therefore demonstrates the feasibility of obtaining high resolution NMR structures using predominantly methyl-based NOEderived interproton distance restraints in conjunction with torsion angle and orientational dipolar coupling restraints. This approach should also be applicable to even larger systems where it becomes increasingly difficult to obtain high quality spectra on a uniformly ${}^{13}C$, ${}^{15}N$ -labeled sample due to even faster proton relaxation (61).

Overall Structure of IIA^{Chb*}—The solution structure of IIA^{Chb*} reveals that the three subunits of the homotrimer are arranged in a parallel fashion with the cross-section making an equilateral triangle (Fig. 5A, right-hand panel). Consistent with the 3-fold symmetry, the residual dipolar couplings measured for the backbone N-H, N-C', and $C\alpha$ -C' vectors of the ordered residues fit to a fully axial symmetric alignment tensor, and the principal axis of alignment tensor coincides with the 3-fold symmetry axis of the trimer (62). Each subunit of the $IIA^{\rm Chb}*$ trimer comprises a three-helix bundle with an up-down topology in which helix 2 is antiparallel to helices 1 and 3. The three-helix bundle displays a small degree of left-handed supercoiling. Each helix has a span of nearly 30 residues (α 1, residues 17–43; $\alpha 2$, residues 47–73; and $\alpha 3$, residues 85–113). The N-terminal 3 residues and C-terminal 2 residues are unstructured in solution (Fig. 4B) and are located on opposite sites of the protein. A tight turn (residues 44-46) connects helices 1 and 2, while an 11-residue flexible loop (residues 74-84), located in close proximity to the N terminus, bridges helices 2 and 3. These flexible/disordered regions are characterized by small heteronuclear $^{15}N-\{^{1}H\}$ NOE values (≤ 0.6) and low backbone coordinate precision (Fig. 4B). The functional and/or structural role of the flexible loop is unclear, but it is possible that its interaction with additional unstructured residues at the N terminus of wild-type IIA^{Chb} may be responsible for aggregation of the wild-type protein, rendering it unsuitable for structural studies.

Helix 3, which is arranged directly around the 3-fold symmetry axis, is primarily responsible for the assembly of the IIA^{Chb*} trimer via formation of a parallel coiled-coil structure (Fig. 5). Lining the trimer interface formed by helix 3 are a series of hydrophobic residues that include Leu-85, Val-88, Leu-92 (which is an Asp in wild-type IIA^{Chb}), Met-95, Leu-99, Leu-103, Leu-107, Leu-110, and Leu-114 (Fig. 5A). Inspection of the coiled-coil helices reveals the characteristic "knobs-intoholes" packing (63), in particular for the well defined Leu side chains, reminiscent of a leucine zipper structure (Fig. 5C). Unusual for a coiled-coil structure, the top view of the central helices reveals a slight right-handed twist (Fig. 5A, right-hand panel) (64, 65). Thus, to compensate for the lack of extensive left-handed supercoiling, the interacting hydrophobic residues do not strictly follow the alternating 4-3 heptad repeat of a canonical coiled-coil structure. About 25% of the total accessible surface area, 1917 Å² per subunit (total of 5751 Å²) is buried at the trimer interface. Thus the IIA^{Chb*} trimer is expected to be very stable, and the structure is consistent with the observation that wild-type IIA^{Chb} behaves as a trimer at low (~140 nm) concentrations (Fig. 2A).

The interface between the adjacent subunits, A and C, C and B, and B and A, forms a deep depression on the surface of the molecule that is partially shielded from bulk solvent. The depressed surface comprises residues from helices 1 and 3 in one subunit (subunits A, B, or C) and from helices 2 and 3 in the other (subunits C, B, or A, respectively). Referenced with respect to helix 3, the N-terminal half of the crevice is formed by

Experimental restraints	$\langle SA \rangle$	$(SA)_r$		
r.m.s. deviations from experimental restraints ^a				
Distances (Å) (1290)	0.008 ± 0.002	0.010		
Torsion angles (°) (729)	0.21 ± 0.05	0.41		
¹³ C α chemical shifts (ppm) (300)	1.12 ± 0.03	1.19		
$^{13}C\beta$ chemical shifts (ppm) (285)	0.64 ± 0.02	0.69		
Residual dipolar coupling <i>R</i> -factors $(\%)^b$				
${}^{1}D_{\rm NH}$ (252)	7.0 ± 0.1	7.1		
${}^{1}D_{\rm NC'}$ (255)	17.4 ± 0.9	15.2		
${}^{2}D_{\rm CaC'}(249)$	16.1 ± 1.0	17.7		
Ramachandran map analysis ^c				
Percentage of residues in most favored region	93.5 ± 1.7	91.2		
Percentage of residues in additionally allowed regions	5.7 ± 1.6	6.7		
Number of bad contacts per 100 residues ^c	4.5 ± 1.6	7.4		
Deviations from idealized covalent geometry				
Bonds (Å)	0.001 ± 0	0.004		
Angles (°)	0.39 ± 0.02	0.59		
Improper torsions (°)	0.58 ± 0.04	0.75		
Coordinate precision $(Å)^d$				
Backbone (N, $C\alpha$, C')	0.37 ± 0.09			
All heavy atoms	0.84 ± 0.14			


^{*a*} (SA) are the final 100 simulated annealing structures. (SA)_{*i*} is the restrained regularized mean structure derived from the mean coordinates obtained by averaging the coordinates of the 100 simulated annealing structures best fitted to each other (with respect to residues 13–73 and 83–114 of all three subunits simultaneously). The number of terms (on a trimer basis) for the various restraints is given in parentheses. None of the structures exhibits interproton distance violations >0.3 Å or torsion angle violations >5°. There are 828 interproton distance restraints comprising 246 sequential (|i - j| = 1), 258 medium range ($1 < |i - j| \le 5$), and 90 long range (|i - j| > 5) intramolecular restraints and 234 intersubunit restraints. The intermolecular restraints are treated as ambiguous (*i.e.* no distinction can be made *a priori* for a NOE from subunit A to subunit B or C) by means of a (Σr^{-6})⁻¹⁶ sum representation. In addition, 462 distance restraints for 231 (77 per subunit) backbone hydrogen bonds within the three helices were also used. The torsion angle restraints comprise 255 ϕ , 255 ψ , and 219 side-chain χ restraints.

^b The dipolar coupling *R*-factor, R_{dip} , which scales between 0 and 100%, is defined as the ratio of the r.m.s. deviation between observed and calculated values to the expected r.m.s. deviation if the vectors were randomly distributed, given by $[2D_a^2(4+3\eta^2)/5]^{1/2}$ where D_a is the magnitude of the principal component of the alignment tensor and η is the rhombicity (71). For a trimer the alignment tensor is always axially symmetric ($\eta = 0$). The value of D_a^{NH} , derived from the distribution of normalized dipolar couplings (74), is -12.1 Hz.

^c Calculated with the program PROCHECK (75). The dihedral angle *G*-factors for the ensemble of simulated annealing structures are 0.64 \pm 0.05, 0.86 \pm 0.05, 0.54 \pm 0.09, and 0.21 \pm 0.14 for ϕ/ψ , χ_1/χ_2 , χ_1 , and χ_3/χ_4 , respectively. The WHATIF first and second generation packing scores (76) for the restrained regularized mean structure are 2.10 and 3.52, respectively; values larger than -0.5 and 0, respectively, are considered to represent high quality structures.

 d The precision of the coordinates is defined as the average atomic r.m.s. difference between the individual 100 simulated annealing structures and the corresponding mean coordinates obtained by best fitting residues 17–73 and 83–114 of all three subunits simultaneously. Residues 14–16, 74–82, and 115–116 are disordered in solution.

FIG. 4. Structure of IIA^{Chb*}. A, stereoview of a best fit superposition of the backbone (N, $C\alpha$, and C') atoms of the final 100 simulated annealing structure of the IIA^{Chb*} double mutant. The three subunits A, B, and C are colored in red, blue, and green, respectively. Best fitting was carried out with respect to the ordered residues, 17-63 and 83-114, of all three subunits. $B, C\alpha$ coordinate precision and steady-state ¹⁵N-{¹H} NOE represented by a bar graph and red solid circles, respectively, as a function of residue number. Precision is defined as the average atomic r.m.s. difference between the 100 individual simulated annealing structures and the mean coordinate positions. The secondary structure is delineated at the *bottom* of the figure.



hydrophobic and non-polar side chains, whereas several charged residues spot the C-terminal half of the depression (Fig. 6A). Since the buried surface between the adjacent subunits in a coiled-coil trimer is larger than that in a dimer, it has been noted that the corresponding sites are often enriched for

hydrophobic residues (66). In fact, charged residues of opposite signs located at positions e and g of the heptad helical repeat are one of the determinants for coiled-coil dimer formation (67, 68). Thus, with charged residues located deep in the surface crevice, a possible stabilization mechanism for the IIA^{Chb} tri-

11776





FIG. 5. Topology of IIA^{Chb*} and details of the trimer interface. A, ribbon diagram representation showing two views, parallel (left panel) and orthogonal (right panel) to the long axes of the helices with the three subunits, A, B, and C, colored in red, blue, and green, respectively. Hydrophobic side chains at the trimer interface are also shown as *bonds* in the respective color of the subunit. The active site histidine (His-89) is shown in *purple*. Note that the side-chain conformation for residue Met-95 is not well defined due to insufficient experimental restraints. The three α -helices are labeled in the *right-hand panel*. B, stereoview showing a close-up of the central helices (helix $\alpha 3$ from each subunit) at the trimer interface illustrating the leucine coiled-coil structure. The conformational space sampled by the leucine side chains (residues 99, 103, 107, 110, and 114) is represented by an isosurface of the reweighted atomic probability density map calculated from the final ensemble of 100 simulated annealing structures and drawn at a threshold of 20% (green grid). The backbone of residues 98-115 and the side-chain coordinates of the restrained regularized mean structure are shown as blue tubes and red bonds, respectively.

mer would involve balancing of charges. Indeed pairs of counterions are formed between the following pairs of side-chains residues: Arg- 32^{A} and Glu- 102^{C} , Lys- 43^{A} and Glu- 106^{C} , Lys- 43^{A} and Glu- 109^{C} , Lys- 51^{C} and Glu- 112^{C} , Arg- 101^{C} and Asp- 55^{C} , and Lys- 113^{C} and Glu- 109^{C} . In contrast to the dimeric coiled-coil, the interacting charged residues are not restricted to the coiled-coil-forming helices (*i.e.* helix 3). Thus the attractive coulombic interactions between the partially buried charged residues actually further stabilize the IIA^{Chb*} trimer.

The Active Site—The active site histidine, His-89, is phosphorylated at the N ϵ -2 position by HPr (33). His-89 is located near the N terminus of helix 3, surrounded by predominantly hydrophobic residues. We modeled a phosphoryl group bonded to the N ϵ -2 atom of His-89 using idealized covalent geometry (Fig. 6B). It is worth noting that, due to steric hindrance, His-89 (irrespective of rotameric state about the χ_1 and χ_2 side-chain torsion angles) cannot be phosphorylated at the N δ -1 position. Deep in the pocket, the negative charge of the phosphoryl group bonded to His-89^A is neutralized by (a) the positive dipole associated with the N terminus of helix 3; (b) a potential electrostatic interaction with the side chain of protonated His-93^A whose N ϵ -2 atom is on average \sim 4 Å away from








FIG. 6. Surface representation of the depression between the two adjacent subunits of the IIA^{Chb*} trimer. A, the bottom half of the depression (*i.e.* closest to the C terminus) features a network of electrostatic interactions. Positively and negatively charged side chains are colored in *blue* and *red*, respectively; tyrosine and histidine are colored in *purple*. B, structural model for phosphorylated IIA^{Chb*}. The phosphoryl group (shown in *red*) bonded to the Ne-2 of the active site histidine (His-89 of subunit A, shown in *purple*) was modeled in standard geometry. Neighboring residues in the crevice are also depicted: these include His-65 (colored in *purple*) and Gln-91 (shown in *cyan*) of subunit C and His-93 of subunit A (colored in *purple*). In B, the side chains of interest are represented by *bonds*, and the molecular surface etched behind the displayed side chains is colored in *orange*. In both panels the subunit of origin (subunit A or subunit C) is indicated in the residue labeling by a *superscript*.

the oxygen atoms of the phosphoryl group (Fig. 6A), reminiscent of the situation in IIA^{Glc} (69); and (c) a potential hydrogen bond between the phosphoryl group and the carboxyamide group of Gln-91^C of the adjacent subunit, which is less than 3 Å away (Fig. 6B). It has been speculated in the case of L. lactis IIA^{Lac} that His-65^C (in the current numbering scheme) is also involved in the stabilization of the phosphorylated state by forming a histidine triad (18). In the structure of IIA^{Chb*}, however, His-65^C is more than 8 Å away from the phosphoryl group of His-89^A. It is possible that an interaction between the side chains of His-65[°] and Gln-91[°] serves to optimally orient the side chain of Gln-91[°] for hydrogen bonding with the phosphoryl group on His-89^A. In addition, sequence conservation of His-65 in L. lactis IIA^{Lac} and E. coli IIA^{Chb} (Fig. 1) may suggest that His-65 is possibly involved in protein-protein interactions with HPr and/or IIB^{Chb}. Thus, we suggest that partial sequestration of the phosphoryl group from bulk solvent coupled with electrostatic and/or hydrogen bonding interactions with the positive helix dipole and neighboring residues in the deep active site pocket are involved in stabilizing the phosphohistidine of IIA^{Chb}.


Comparison of the Structures of E. coli IIA^{Chb*} and L. lactis IIA^{Lac} —Both the crystal structure of L. lactis IIA^{Lac} (18) and

The Journal of Biological Chemistry

ibc

code 1E2A).

FIG. 7. Comparison of the NMR structure of *E. coli* IIA^{Chb*} and the crystal structure of L. lactis IIA^{Lac}. A and B, ribbon diagrams showing best fit superpositions of the restrained regularized mean structure of IIA^{Chb*} (blue) and L. lactis IIA^{Lac} (red). Two views, orthogonal and parallel to the long axes of the helices, are shown in A and B, respectively, with the trimer displayed in A and an individual subunit in B. Best fitting was carried out with respect to the backbone atoms of helices 1 (residues 17-43) and 2 (residues 47-73) of all three subunits in A and with respect to helix 1 (residues 17-43) and the N-terminal half of helix 2 (residues 47-63) in B. For clarity, only the helices are shown in A, and the disordered N- and C-terminal residues are omitted in B. The side chains of Leu-92 of IIA^{Chb*} and the equivalent Asp of IIA^{Lac} are represented as bonds in A, and their $C\gamma$ atom positions are 1.02, 0.92, and 0.92 Å apart for subunits A, B, and C, respectively. The three subunits are indicated in A, and the three helices of a single subunit are labeled in B. Note that the distance between the C-terminal end of helix 2 and the N-terminal end of helix 3 is significantly longer in IIA^{Lac} (~ 21 Å) than in IIA^{Chb} (~ 15 Å), yet the number of residues in the loop connecting helices 2 and 3 is 3 residues fewer in IIA^{Lac}. This may account for the observation that this loop is ordered in IIA^{Lac} but disordered in IIA^{Chb} C, buried hydrophobic cavity (green) in IIA^{Chb*} (left-hand panel) and the crystal structure of L. lactis IIA^{Lac} (right-hand panel). The backbone atoms of residues 95-111 of the three subunits are depicted as tubes (blue), and the side chains of residues 99, 100, 103, and 107 are displayed in red as *bonds* (numbering scheme of IIA^{Chb*}). The cavity was calculated and displayed as a surface (green) using the program GRASP (32). The volume of the cavity in IIA^{Chb*} is 45 Å³ and lined by the side chains of Leu-103 and Leu-107; the volume of the cavity of IIA^{Lac} is 230 Å³ and lined by the side chains of Leu-99, Leu-100, Val-103, and Leu-107. D, comparison of the intersubunit interactions between helix 1 (subunit A) and helix 3 (subunit C) at the site of the kink in helix 3 (Glu-102) observed in the NMR structure of IIA^{Chb*} and the x-ray structure of IIA^{Lac}. The backbone (blue for IIA^{Chb*} and red for IIA^{Lac}) is displayed as a tube, and the side chains (cyan for IIA^{Chb*} and *purple* for IIA^{Lac}) are displayed as bonds. The coordinates for the crystal structure of L. lactis IIA^{Lac} are taken from Ref. 18 (Protein Data Bank



the NMR structure of *E. coli* IIA^{Chb*} are trimeric with identical overall topologies. Thus, the trimeric nature of *E. coli* IIA^{Chb*} fulfills its sequence and functional homology to *L. lactis* IIA^{Lac}. Indeed the bottom half of the depressed surface between the adjacent A and C subunits of IIA^{Lac} is also spotted with charged residues. Comparison of the sequences of IIA^{Lac} and IIA^{Chb} (Fig. 1) reveals that the charged residues (Fig. 6A) are either conserved (Arg-32, Lys-40, and Glu-112), conservatively substituted (Arg-101 Lys, Glu-102 \rightarrow Asp), or subject to simultaneous compensatory substitutions involving ion pairs (Lys-43 \rightarrow Glu and Glu-106 \rightarrow His pair, and Lys-51 \rightarrow Asp and Glu-112 \rightarrow Lys pair). Thus, the total net charge in the surface crevice is always kept close to zero, thereby eliminating repulsive and desolvation effects that could potentially destabilize the trimer. There are some small differences in the extent of the helices

between the two structures: helix 1 has 3 additional residues at its N terminus, helix 2 has 3 additional residues at its C terminus, and helix 3 is 2 residues shorter at its C terminus in IIA^{Lac} relative to IIA^{Chb*}. The shorter loop connecting helices 2 and 3 in IIA^{Lac} relative to IIA^{Chb*} (8 *versus* 11 residues) coupled with the longer distance between the C terminus of helix 2 and the N terminus of helix 3 in IIA^{Lac} relative to IIA^{Chb} (~21 *versus* ~15 Å) and the additional presence of a proline residue in the IIA^{Lac} loop may account for the observation that this loop is ordered in the crystal structure of IIA^{Lac} with *B*-factors less than 40 Å², whereas it is highly disordered for IIA^{Chb*} in solution (see Fig. 4).

Excluding the disordered regions (residues 14–16, 74–84, and 115–116), the backbone atomic r.m.s. difference between the crystal structure of IIA^{Lac} and the NMR structure of

Solution Structure of E. coli IIA^{Chb}

TABLE 11			
Structural comparison of the	helices of the NMR structure of IIA ^{Chb*}	and the crystal structure of IIA^{Lac}	

Helix^a	N-H dipolar coup	N-H dipolar coupling R-factor, $R_{ m dip}^{ m NH\ b}$	
	IIA ^{Lac} (x-ray)	$\mathrm{IIA}^{\mathrm{Chb}_{st}}~(\mathrm{NMR})^{c}$	Backbone r.m.s. difference
	%		Å
$\alpha 1 + \alpha 2 + \alpha 3$	37.5	7.3	1.95
$\alpha 1 + \alpha 2^{N}$	20.1	7.5	1.17
$\alpha 1 + \alpha 2$	24.0	6.9	1.54
$\alpha 2 + \alpha 3$	43.2	7.2	2.15
$\alpha 1 + \alpha 3$	42.0	7.8	2.03
α1	22.4	7.5	1.41
$\alpha 2$	25.4	6.2	1.63
$\alpha 2^{N}$	15.5	7.4	0.52
$\alpha 2^{\rm C}$	35.9	2.7	1.56
α3	54.5	8.1	2.30
$\alpha 3^{N}$	47.5	9.3	2.46
$\alpha 3^{\rm C}$	50.3	6.2	1.29

^{*a*} Helices $\alpha 1$, $\alpha 2$, and $\alpha 3$ comprise residues 17–43, 47–73, and 85–113, respectively. The crystal structure of IIA^{Lac} displays a bend (~27°) and kink (~40°) in helices 2 (centered around residues 64 and 65) and 3 (centered around residues 102 and 103), respectively: the N-terminal halves of helices 2 ($\alpha 2^{N}$) and 3 ($\alpha 3^{N}$) comprise residues 47–63 and 85–101, respectively; the C-terminal halves of helices 2 ($\alpha 2^{C}$) and 3 ($\alpha 3^{C}$) comprise residues 66–73 and 104–113, respectively. The R_{dip}^{MH} values for helix 2 exclude residues 66 and 67 of subunit B and residue 65 of subunit C, which yield anomalously high fitting errors to the IIA^{Lac} crystal structure; if all the N-H dipolar couplings of helix 2 are fitted to the IIA^{Lac} crystal structure, R_{dip}^{MH} is increased to 37.1%. The backbone atomic (N, C α , and C') r.m.s. differences relate to the restrained regularized mean NMR structure of IIA^{Chb*} and the crystal structure (Protein Data Bank code 1E2A) of IIA^{Lac}.

^b The definition of the dipolar coupling *R*-factor is given in Footnote b in Table I.

^c Restrained regularized mean structure.

IIA^{Chb*} is 1.94 Å for the trimer (Fig. 7A) and 1.71 \pm 0.04 Å for the individual subunits (Fig. 7B). These differences are substantial and outside the coordinate errors of the two structures. A large contribution to the atomic r.m.s. difference originates from helix 3 (Fig. 7B). Thus, the backbone atomic r.m.s. difference between the two structures for residues 17-73 (helices 1 and 2 and the turn connecting them) is reduced to 1.06 \pm 0.16 Å per subunit. From the perspective of the trimer interface, it is worth noting that when helices 1 and 2 of all three subunits are superimposed, the $C\gamma$ atom of Leu-92 in IIA^{Chb*} (mutated from an Asp in the wild-type protein) is less than 1 Å from the $C\gamma$ atom of the corresponding Asp of IIA^{Lac} (Fig. 7A). This clearly supports the hypothesis that the buried Asp-79 in wildtype IIA^{Chb} stabilizes the trimer by coordinating a divalent cation in the same fashion as in IIA^{Chb} and further demonstrates that the Asp to Leu point mutation has minimal impact on the structure. Note that the equivalent mutation in L. lactis IIA^{Lac} does not result in any significant structural perturbation in the crystal structure (Protein Data Bank code 2E2A) relative to that of the wild-type (Protein Data Bank code 1E2A; Ref. 18) with a C α atomic r.m.s. difference of only 0.87 Å (0.66 Å for the helices) between the two sets of coordinates.

In the crystal structure of L. lactis IIA^{Lac}, helix 3 exhibits a large 40° kink centered around residues 102 and 103 (Figs. 7B and C). This results in the presence of a very large $(230-\text{\AA}^3)$, completely buried cavity in the crystal structure (Fig. 7C, righthand panel) in which the heavy atom derivative, trimethyl lead acetate, is located (18). The cavity in IIA^{Lac} is entirely hydrophobic and lined by the side chains of Leu-99, Leu-100, Val-103, and Leu-107 (using the current numbering scheme, Fig. 1). In contrast, the NMR structure of IIA^{Chb*} exhibits only a 7° bend at the same location, and the volume of the corresponding cavity is reduced to 45 $Å^3$ (Fig. 7C, left-hand panel). This smaller cavity in IIA^{Chb*} is also entirely hydrophobic and lined by the side chains of Leu-103 and Leu-107. The differences related to cavity size and the kinking of helix 3 are associated with concomitant structural differences in helix 2, which exhibits a bend of 27° centered around residues 64 and 65 in IIA^{Lac} compared with only 18° in IIA^{Chb*} (Fig. 7B).

The question immediately arises as to whether these differences are real and can be readily discerned from the NMR data. NOE-derived interproton distance restraints are limited to distances less than 5-6 Å and hence cannot distinguish a bent

from a straight helix. Dipolar couplings, however, provide very sensitive long range information in the form of orientation of atomic vectors relative to the alignment tensor that can be used to quantitatively ascertain structural differences between the solution and crystal states. In this case, since the alignment tensor is axially symmetric, the orientational information is restricted to the angle between atomic vectors and the principal axis of the alignment tensor, which coincides with the 3-fold symmetry axis of the trimer. The crystal structure of IIA^{Lac} was solved at 2.3-Å resolution, and one would therefore expect a dipolar coupling *R*-factor, R_{dip}^{NH} (70), of around 15–20% for the N-H backbone dipolar couplings (24, 25, 71, 72). The overall $R_{
m dip}^{
m NH}$ factor for all the three helices of the IIA^{Lac} trimer is 38% compared with 22% for helix 1, 25% for helix 2, and 55% for helix 3 (Table II). One can therefore conclude that whereas the path and orientation of helix 1 in the IIA^{Lac} and IIA^{Chb*} trimers are essentially the same, the difference in kink angle for helix 3 is real. With respect to helix 2 of IIA^{Lac}, the R_{dip}^{NH} factor for the N-terminal half $(\alpha 2^{\rm N}, \text{ residues } 47-63)$ is 16% compared with 36% for the C-terminal half ($\alpha 2^{\rm C}$, residues 66–73), which clearly indicates that the difference in bend angle for helix 2 in $IIA^{Ch\bar{b}*}$ and IIA^{Lac} results in a different orientation of the C-terminal half of helix 2 between the two proteins. This is reflected in the much smaller backbone atomic r.m.s. difference between IIA $^{\rm Chb*}$ and IIA $^{\rm Lac}$ for helices $\alpha 1$ plus $\alpha 2^{\rm N}$ (1.17 Å for the trimer) than for helices $\alpha 1$ plus $\alpha 2^{C}$ (1.72 Å for the trimer).

A comparison of the intersubunit interactions involving residue 102 of helix 3, the site of the 40° kink in IIA^{Lac}, reveals a probable source of the principal structural differences between IIA^{Chb*} and IIA^{Lac} (Fig. 7D). In IIA^{Chb*}, Glu-102 of subunit C forms a potential salt bridge with Arg-32 and a hydrogen bond with the hydroxyl group of Tyr-36 located in helix 1 of subunit A. All three side chains are partially buried and located in the deep surface crevice at the intersubunit interface formed by helices 1 and 3 (Fig. 6A). Moreover the guanidino group of Arg-32 is directed toward the protein interior, and neutralization of its positive charge is probably important for structural integrity. Glu-102 and Tyr-36 are substituted in IIA^{Lac} by two shorter side chains, aspartate and leucine. The location of the carboxylate group of Asp-102 of IIA^{Lac} (current numbering scheme) is essentially identical to that of Glu-102 of IIA^{Chb*} so that the salt bridge with Arg-32 is preserved, and the methyl groups of Leu-36 pack against the β -methylene group of Asp102. Because the side chains of aspartate and leucine are ~ 1.5 and 3.5 Å shorter, respectively, than those of glutamate and tyrosine, a compensatory displacement of the backbone of helix 3, in the form of a kink centered at residue 102, occurs (Fig. 7D), thereby creating a large internal hydrophobic cavity at the trimer interface of IIA^{Lac} formed by helix 3 from the three subunits (Fig. 7C). Presumably the interactions involving Asp-102, Arg-32, and Leu-36 in IIA^{Lac} coupled with the need to neutralize the partially buried positive charge associated with the guanidino group of Arg-32 compensate for any energetic loss that may accompany the creation of the cavity.

Concluding Remarks-We have determined the solution structure of a double mutant of IIA^{Chb} from the N,N'-diacetylchitobiose branch of the E. coli PTS signal transduction pathway. Wild-type IIA^{Chb} is composed of a mixture of aggregates ranging in size from a trimer to high order oligomers, thereby precluding its structural characterization. Using rational mutagenesis on the basis of the crystal structure of the homologous enzyme IIA^{Lac} from L. lactis, we constructed a double mutant IIA^{Chb}-NA13/D92L (IIA^{Chb}*) that forms a well behaved homogeneous, monodisperse trimer. As the three symmetrically related active sites of IIA^{Chb} are located at the interface of two adjacent subunits (A and C, C and B, and B and A), the recognition surface for the upstream (HPr) and downstream (IIB^{Chb}) interaction partners would be significantly different from that in the previously proposed dimer of IIA^{Chb} (34). Thus, although it has been noted that mutation of just a few residues can switch the oligomerization state of a coiledcoil protein between dimeric and trimeric forms (73), the quaternary structure of E. coli IIA^{Chb} is preserved despite sequence differences with respect to L. lactis IIA^{Lac} (Fig. 1). In this sense, the functional role of enzyme IIA^{Chb} as a member of the lactose/chitobiose branch of the PTS, that is its interactions with other components of this signaling network, goes hand in hand with structural conservation of a trimeric oligomerization state. Finally the present NMR structure of IIA^{Chb*} sets the stage for future NMR structural studies of the 65-70-kDa IIA^{Chb*}-HPr and IIA^{Chb*}-IIB^{Chb} complexes for which the methyl-based NOE approach combined with backbone dipolar couplings used in this study is likely to find considerable utility.

The Journal of Biological Chemistry

ibc

Acknowledgments—We thank Drs. Junji Iwahara and Mengli Cai for helpful discussions, Dr. In-Ja Byeon for assistance with light-scattering measurements, Drs. Dan Garrett and Frank Delaglio for software and technical support, and Dr. Saul Roseman for the gift of the wild-type IIA^{Chb} plasmid. This study utilized the high performance computational capabilities of the Biowulf PC/Linux cluster at the National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, MD (biowulf.nih.gov).

REFERENCES

- 1. Kundig, W., Ghosh, S., and Roseman, S. (1964) Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 52, 1067-1074
- 2. Postma, P. W., Lengeler, J. W., and Jacobson, G. R. (1993) Microbiol. Rev. 57, 543-594
- 3. Robillard, G. T., and Broos, J. (1999) Biochim. Biophys. Acta 1422, 73-104 4. Siebold, C., Flükiger, K., Beutler, R., and Erni, B. (2001) FEBS Lett. 504,
- 104 111Wittekind, M., Rajgopal, P., Cranchini, B. R., Reizer, J., Saier, M. H., and
- Klevit, R. E. (1992) Protein Sci. 1, 1363–1376 6. Kalbitzer, H. R., and Hengstenberg, W. (1993) Eur. J. Biochem. 216, 205–214
- van Nuland, N. A. J., Hangyi, I. W., van Shaik, R. C., Berendsen, H. J. C., van Gunsteren, W. F., Scheek, R. M., and Robillard, G. T. (1994) J. Mol. Biol. 237, 544-559
- 8. Ab, E., Schuurman-Wolters, G. K., Reizer, J., Saier, M. H., Dijkstra, M., Scheek, R. M., and Robillard, G. T. (1997) Protein Sci. 6, 304-314
- Ab, E., Shuurman-Walters, G. K., Nijlant, D., Dijkstra, K., Saier, M. H., Robillard, G. T., and Scheek, R. M. (2001) J. Mol. Biol. 308, 993-1009
- Garrett, D. S., Seok, Y.-J., Liao, D.-I., Peterkofsky, A., Gronenborn, A. M., and Clore, G. M. (1997) Biochemistry 36, 2517–2530
- 11. Legler, P. M., Cai, M., Peterkofsky, A., and Clore, G. M. (2004) J. Biol. Chem. **279,** 39115–39121
- 12. Liao, D.-I., Kapadia, G., Reddy, P., Saier, M. H., Reizer, J., and Herzberg, O. (1991) Biochemistry 30, 9538-9594
- Worthylake, D., Meadow, N. D., Roseman, S., Liao, D.-I., Herzberg, O., and Remington, S. J. (1991) Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 88, 10383-10386
- 14. Herzberg, O., Reddy, P., Sutrina, S., Saier, M. H., Reizer, J., and Kapadia, G.

- (1992) Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 89, 2499–2503
 15. Jia, Z., Quail, J. W., Waygood, E. B., and Delbaere, L. T. (1993) J. Biol. Chem. **268**, 22490–22501
- 16. Liao, D.-I., Silverton, E., Seok, Y.-J., Lee, B. R., Peterkofsky, A., and Davies, D. R. (1996) Structure 4, 861-872
- 17. Nunn, R. S., Markovic-Housley, Z., Genovesio-Taverne, G., Flükiger, K., Rizkallah, P. J., Jansonius, J. N., Schirmer, T., and Erni, B. (1996) J. Mol. Biol. 259, 502-511
- 18. Sliz, P., Engelmann, R., Hengstenberg, W., and Pai, E. F. (1997) Structure (Lond.) 5, 775–788
- 19. Van Montfort, R. L., Pijning, T., Kalk, K. H., Reizer, J., Saier, M. J., Thunnissen, M. M., Robillard, G. T., and Dijkstra, B. W. (1997) Structure (Lond.) 5, 217 - 225
- 20. Van Montfort, R. L., Pijning, T., Kalk, K. H., Hangyi, I. W., Kouwijzer, M. L. C. E., Robillard, G. T., and Dijkstra, B. W. (1998) Structure (Lond.) 6, 377-388
- 21. Schauder, S., Nunn, R. S., Lanz, R., Erni, B., and Schirmer, T. (1998) J. Mol. Biol. 276, 591-602
- 22. Orniss, G. L., Erni, B., and Schirmer, T. (2003) J. Mol. Biol. 327, 1111-1119 23. Garrett, D. S., Seok, Y.-J., Peterkofsky, A., Gronenborn, A. M., and Clore, G. M. (1999) Nat. Struct. Biol. 6, 166-173
- 24. Wang, G., Louis, J. M., Sondej, M., Seok, Y.-J., Peterkofsky, A., and Clore, G. M. (2000) EMBO J. 19, 5635-5649
- 25. Cornilescu, G., Lee, B. R., Cornilescu, C., Wang, G., Peterkofsky, A., and Clore, G. M. (2002) J. Biol. Chem. 277, 42289-42298
- 26. Cai, M., Williams, D. C., Wang, G., Lee, B. R., Peterkofsky, A., and Clore, G. M. (2003) J. Biol. Chem. 278, 25191-25206
- 27. Keyhani, N. O., and Roseman, S. (1997) Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 94, 14367-14371
- 28. Zhang, M., Van Etten, R. L., and Stauffacher, C. V. (1994) Biochemistry 33, 11097-11105
- 29. Su, X.-D., Taddei, N., Stefani, M., Ramponi, G., and Nordlund, P. (1994) Nature 370, 575-578
- 30. Hays, J. B., Simoni, R. D., and Roseman, S. (1973) J. Biol. Chem. 248, 941-956 31. de Vos, W. M., Boerrigter, I., van Rooyen, R. J., Reiche B., and Hengstenberg, W. (1990) J. Biol. Chem. 265, 22554-22560
- 32. Nicholls, A., Sharp, K. A., and Honig, B. (1991) Proteins 17, 297-309
- 33. Keyhani, N. O., Boudker, O., and Roseman, S. (2000) J. Biol. Chem. 275,
- 33091-33101 34. Keyhani, N. O., Rodgers, M. E., Demeler, B., Hansen, J. C., and Roseman, S.
- (2000) J. Biol. Chem. **275**, 33110–33115 35. Goto, N. K., Gardner, K. H., Mueller, G. A., Willis, R. C., and Kay, L. E. (1999)
- J. Biomol. NMR 13, 369-374 36. Garcia de la Torre, J., Huertas, M. L., and Carrasco, B. (2000) Biophys. J. 78, 719 - 730
- 37. Delaglio, F., Grzesiek, S., Vuister, G. W., Zhu, G., Pfiefer, J., and Bax, A. (1995) J. Biomol. NMR 6, 277-293
- 38 Garrett, D. S., Powers, R., Gronenborn, A. M., and Clore, G. M. (1991) J. Magn. Reson. 95, 214-220
- 39. Clore, G. M., and Gronenborn, A. M. (1991) Science 252, 1390-1399
- 40. Bax, A., and Grzesiek, S. (1993) Acc. Chem. Res. 26, 131-138
- Yang, D. W., and Kay, L. E. (1999) J. Biomol. NMR 14, 273-276 41.
- 42. Clore, G. M., and Gronenborn, A. M. (1998) Trends Biotechnol. 16, 22-34
- 43. Cornilescu, G., Delaglio, F., and Bax, A. (1999) J. Biomol. NMR 13, 289-302
- Bax, A., Vuister, G. W., Grzesiek, S., Delaglio, F., Wang, A. C., Tschudin, R., 44.
- and Zhu, G. (1994) Methods Enzymol. 239, 79-105 45. Clore, G. M., Starich, M. R., and Gronneborn, A. M. (1998) J. Am. Chem. Soc. 120, 10571-10572
- 46. Hansen, M. R., Hanson, P., and Pardi, A. (2000) Methods Enzymol. 317, 220 - 240
- 47. Yang, D., Venters, R. A., Mueller, G. A., Choy, W. Y., and Kay, L. E. (1999) J. Biomol. NMR 14, 333–343
- 48. Jaroniec, C. P., Ulmer, T. S., and Bax, A. (2004) J. Biomol. NMR 30, 181-194 49. Nilges, M., Gronenborn, A. M., Brünger, A. T., and Clore, G. M. (1988) Protein
- Eng. 2, 27–38 50. Garrett, D. S., Kuszewski, J., Hancock, T. J., Lodi, P. J., Vuister, G. W.,
- Gronenborn, A. M., and Clore, G. M. (1994) J. Magn. Reson. B 104, 99-103 51. Kuszewski, J., Qin, J., Gronenborn, A. M., and Clore, G. M. (1995) J. Magn.
- Reson, B 106, 92-96 52. Clore, G. M., Gronenborn, A. M., and Tiandra, N. (1988) J. Magn. Reson. 131.
- 159 162
- 53. Schwieters, C. D., and Clore, G. M. (2001) J. Magn. Reson. 152, 288-302
- 54. Schwieters, C. D., Kuszewski, J., Tjandra, N., and Clore, G. M. (2003) J. Magn. Reson. 160, 65-73
- 55. Clore, G. M., and Kuszewski, J. (2002) J. Am. Chem. Soc. 124, 2866-2867
- 56. Grishaev, A., and Bax, A. (2004) J. Am. Chem. Soc. 126, 7281-7292
- 57. Kuszewski, J., Gronenborn, A. M., and Clore, G. M. (1999) J. Am. Chem. Soc. 121. 2337-2338
- 58. Schwieters, C. D., and Clore, G. M. (2001) J. Magn. Reson. 149, 239-244 59. DeLano, W. L. (2002) The PyMOL Molecular Graphics System, DeLano Scientific. San Carlos, CA
- 60. Schwieters, C. D., and Clore, G. M. (2002) J. Biomol. NMR 23, 221-225
- 61. Tugarinov, V., and Kay, L. E. (2004) J. Am. Chem. Soc. 126, 9827-9836
- 62. Al-Hashimi, H. M., Bolton, P. J., and Prestegard, J. H. (2000) J. Magn. Reson. **142,** 153–158
- 63. Chothia, C. (1984) Annu. Rev. Biochem. 53, 537-572
- 64. Lupas, A. (1996) Trends Biochem. Sci. 21, 375-382
- 65. Harbury, P. B., Plecs, J. J., Tidor, B., Alber, T., and Kim, P. S. (1998) Science **282,** 1462–1467
- 66. Woolfson, D. N., and Alber, T. (1995) Protein Sci. 4, 1596-1607
- 67. Zeng, X., Zhu, H., Lashuel, H., and Hu, J. C. (1997) Protein Sci. 6, 2218-2226
- 68. McClain, D. L., Binfet, J. P., and Oakley, M. G. (2001) J. Mol. Biol. 313,

Downloaded from www.jbc.org at National Institutes of Health Library on December 4, 2006

- 373–383
 69. Pelton, J. G., Torchia, D. A., Meadow, N. D., and Roseman, S. (1993) Protein Sci. 2, 543–558

- Sci. 2, 343–353
 Clore, G. M., and Garrett, D. S. (1999) J. Am. Chem. Soc. 121, 9008–9012
 Bax, A., Kontaxis, G., and Tjandra, N. (2001) Methods Enzymol. 339, 127–174
 Williams, D. C., Cai, M., and Clore, G. M. (2004) J. Biol. Chem. 279, 1449–1457
- Harbury, P. B., Zhang, T., Kim, P. S., and Alber, T. (1993) Science 262, 1401–1407
- 74. Clore, G. M., Gronenborn, A. M., and Bax, A. (1998) J. Magn. Reson. 133, 216-221
- 75. Laskowski, R. A., MacArthur, M. W., Moss, D. S., and Thornton, J. M. (1993) J. Appl. Crystallogr. 26, 283–291
 Vriend, G., and Sander, C. (1993) J. Appl. Crystallogr. 26, 47–60

jbc