# NISTIR 89-4133

# EVSIM - AN EVAPORATOR SIMULATION MODEL ACCOUNTING FOR REFRIGERANT AND ONE DIMENSIONAL AIR DISTRIBUTION

4

## Piotr A. Domanski

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE National Institute of Standards and Technology Gaithersburg, MD 20899

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Robert A. Mosbacher, Secretary NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF STANDARDS AND TECHNOLOGY Raymond G. Kammer, Acting Director



## NISTIR 89-4133

# EVSIM - AN EVAPORATOR SIMULATION MODEL ACCOUNTING FOR REFRIGERANT AND ONE DIMENSIONAL AIR DISTRIBUTION

\_\_\_\_\_

# Piotr A. Domanski

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE National Institute of Standards and Technology Galthersburg, MD 20899

Sponsored by: U.S. Department of Energy Office of Building and Community Systems Conservation and Renewable Energy Washington, DC

August 1989



U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Robert A. Mosbacher, Secretary NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF STANDARDS AND TECHNOLOGY Raymond G. Kammer, Acting Director

#### ABSTRACT

This report describes a computer model, EVSIM, of a refrigerant-to-air heat exchanger of the type used in residential air conditioning as an evaporator. The model provides performance predictions of a one-slab or two-slab evaporator for a given refrigerant enthalpy at the coil inlet, saturation temperature and superheat at the coil outlet, and at imposed one dimensional air mass flow distribution over the coil face.

The model accounts for air distribution and for complex refrigerant circuitry designs by simulating refrigerant distribution. Performance of the coil is calculated employing a tube-by-tube scheme. Performance of each tube is evaluated individually based on individual air and refrigerant mass flow rates and their respective thermodynamic states assigned for each tube. The modelling effort emphasis was on the local thermodynamic phenomena which were described by fundamental heat transfer equations and equations of state relationships among material properties.

This report includes a User's Guide and a listing written in FORTRAN 77. Due to the detailed algorithms and tube-by-tube performance evaluation scheme, mini and main frame computers are best suited for simulation studies using EVSIM. Nevertheless, the model converges on an IBM AT compatible machine within 2-6 minutes when simulating a single slab evaporator.

Key words: air conditioner; coil; evaporator; heat exchanger; modeling; simulation

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This project was sponsored by the U.S Department of Energy with M. McCabe and D. Abramson monitoring the program.

The principal investigator of the program at NIST was Dr. D.A. Didion.

iv

NUTAR AND

4.4 薛振州443

い 新聞 おんちょう お 新聞 あい

4.004

### TABLE OF CONTENTS

ABST	RACT	iii
ACKN	OWLEDGEMENTS	iv
DISC	LAIMER	vii
METR	IC CONVERSION FACTORS	vii
LIST	OF TABLES	viii
LIST	OF FIGURES	ix
LIST	OF SYMBOLS	x
1.	INTRODUCTION	1
2.	MODELING APPROACH	5
	2.1 Tube-by-tube Method	5
	2.2 Air Distribution	6
	2.3 Refrigerant Distribution	7
	2.3.1 Single-Slab Evaporator	7
	2.3.2 Two-Slab Evaporator	10
3.	MODELING OF HEAT, MASS AND MOMENTUM TRANSFER PROCESSES	12
	3.1 Heat Transfer for a Tube in a Cross-Flow	
	Arrangement	12
	3.2 Overall Heat Transfer Coefficient for a Finned-Tube	15
	3.2.1 Dry Tube	15
	3.2.2 Wet Tube	16
	3.2.3 Fin Efficiency	23
	3.3 Forced Convection Heat Transfer at the Air-Side of a	
	Plate Finned-Tube	26
	3.3.1 Flat Fins	26
	3.3.2 Wavy Fins	28
	3.3.3 Lanced Fins	30
	3 4 Forced Convection Heat Transfer Inside an External	
	Heated Tube	33
	3 4 1 Single-Phase Flow	34
	3 4 2 Two-Phase Flow with Evaporation	35
	3.5 Thermal Conductance of the Pine-to-Fin Contact	37
	3.6 Pofrigorant Program Drop in a Tube	38
	2.6.1 Cingle Phase Flow	30
	3.6.1 Single-ridse riow	30
	5.6.2 Iwo-Phase Flow with Evaporation	23
	MODEL NEDIELCATION	4.2
4.	MODEL VERIFICATION	42
5	CONCLUDING DEMADES	47
э.	CONCLUDING KERAKA	4/
۲	DEFEDENCES	40
ο.	REFERENCED	47

APPENDIX A.	Program User's Guide	51 51
	A1.1 Data Read From Data Files	51 55
	A2. Output Data	56
APPENDIX B.	Listing of the Program, EVSIM	67
APPENDIX C.	Example of Run of the Program, EVSIM	128

a ili

Li k He

. . فانتلادها

#### DISCLAIMER

In view of the presently accepted practice of the building industry in the United States and the structure of the computer software used in this project, common U.S. units of measurement have been used in this report. In recognition of the United States as a signatory to the General Conference of Weights and Measures, which gave official status to the SI system of units in 1960, appropriate conversion factors have been provided in the table below. The reader interested in making further use of the coherent system of SI units is referred to: NBS SP330, 1972 Edition, 'The International System of Units,' or E380-72, ASTM Metric Practice Guide (American National Standard 2210.1).

### METRIC CONVERSION FACTORS

Length	1 inch (in) = $25.4$ millimeters (mm) 1 foot (ft) = $0.3048$ meter (m)
Area	$1 \text{ ft}^2 = 0.092903 \text{ m}^2$
Volume	$1 \text{ ft}^3 = 0.028317 \text{ m}^3$
Temperature	F = 9/5 C + 32
Temperature Interval	$1^{\circ}F = 5/9^{\circ}C \text{ or } K$
Mass	1 pound (lb) = 0.453592 kilogram (kg)
Mass Per Unit Volume	1 lb/ft <sup>3</sup> = 16.0185 kg/m <sup>3</sup>
Energy	1 Btu = 1.05506 Kilojoules (kJ)
Specific Heat	1 Btu/[(1b)(°F)] = 4.1868 kJ/[(kg)(K)]
Gallon	$1 \text{ gallon} = 0.0037854 \text{ m}^3$

## LIST OF TABLES

1.	Results of Laboratory Tests and Simulation	43
A1.	Property Constants for Refrigerant 12 in the Input Format to Program EVSIM	58
A2.	Property Constants for Refrigerant 22 in the Input Format to Program EVSIM	59
A3.	Input Data Code for an Evaporator Assembly	60
A4.	Example of a Data File for an A-shape Coil	63
B1.	Functions and Subroutines used in EVSIM	68

1.1

a kanal sa pilika

## LIST OF FIGURES

1.	A schematic of one-slab evaporator coil	1
2.	An example of refrigerant circuitry	8
3.	Approximation method for treating a rectangular-plate fin in terms of a circular-plate fin of equal area	21
4.	Definition of dimension for calculating fin efficiency by Schmidt's method	25
5.	Geometry of a wavy fin	31
6.	Geometry of a lanced fin	33
7.	Air velocity profiles	44
8.	Simulation and test results	45
A1.	Example of circuitry specification for an A-shape coil	64
A2.	Specification of slab circuitry and dimensions	65
A3.	Example of air velocity measurement points and velocity profile.	66
B1.	Logic of the main program of EVSIM	69
B2.	Logic of the evaporator simulation subroutine, EVPHX2	70

A	= flow cross sectional area
ANNUL	= length fraction of the tube with flow quality up to .85
$\mathtt{A_f}$	= fin surface area
A <sub>p,i</sub>	= pipe inside area
A <sub>p,m</sub>	= pipe mean surface area
A <sub>o</sub>	= pipe total outside surface area
Во	$= \frac{Q}{G \cdot i_{fg}},  \text{boiling number}$
Cp	= heat capacity at constant pressure
D	= diameter
d	= indentation diameter of Vickers microhardness test, 25g load
FPI	= number of fins per inch
Fi	= fraction of refrigerant mass flow rate flowing through a given branch of refrigerant circuit
Fj	= enhancement multiplier for lanced fins
f	= friction factor
G	= mass flux
Gz	$= \frac{\text{Re} \cdot \text{Pr} \cdot \text{D}_{\text{H}}}{\text{N} \cdot \text{S}_{1}} ,  \text{Graetz number}$
g	= gravitational acceleration
gc	= 32.2 (ft $\cdot$ lb/lb <sub>f</sub> $\cdot$ s <sup>2</sup> ), dimensional constant
h	= thermal conductance
h <sub>c,o</sub>	= convection heat transfer coefficient at the exterior surface
h <sub>D</sub> ,,	= air-side mass transfer coefficient
h <sub>i</sub>	= inside tube heat transfer coefficient

х

1.11

(1) it also it

 $h_{t,f}$  = thermal conductance of the pipe-to-fin contact Ι = tube expansion interference i = enthalpy i<sub>fs</sub> = latent heat of evaporation J = 778.17 ( $lb_f \cdot ft/Btu$ ), mechanical equivalent of heat  $= \frac{h \cdot Pr^{2/3}}{G \cdot C_{p,a}}, \quad j-factor$ j  $K_f = \frac{J \cdot i_{fg} \cdot \Delta x}{I}$ , Pierre's boiling number k = thermal conductivity L = length  $= \frac{h_{c,o}}{h_{D,o} \cdot C_{p,a}}, \quad \text{Levis number}$ Le = width of a strip in a lanced fin 1,  $= \left(\frac{2 \cdot h}{k_{r} \cdot t}\right)^{0.5}, \quad \text{fin parameter, or molecular weight}$ M m = mass flow rate = number of tube depth rows N = number of strips in the enhanced zone area in a lanced fin n<sub>s</sub> P = pressure Q = heat transfer rate, or coil capacity  $=\frac{\mu \cdot C_p}{1-}$ , Prandtl number Pr = equivalent fin tip radius R  $= \frac{\mathbf{G} \cdot \mathbf{D}}{\mu}, \quad \text{Reynolds number}$ Re

R <sub>i</sub>	= resistance to flow offered by a given branch refrigerant circuit
R'	= condensation rate per unit width of a fin
r	= $0.5 \cdot D_o$ , outside radius of a tube
s <sub>1</sub>	= tube spacing in air flow direction (depth row pitch)
Sp	= fin pattern depth for a wavy fin, per Figure 5
S <sub>s</sub>	= length of a strip of a lanced fin
St	= tube spacing normal to air flow
S	= spacing between adjacent fins
T	= temperature
t	= temperature or fin thickness
U	= overall heat transfer coefficient
v	= velocity
v	= specific volume
Wa	= humidity ratio of air
w <sub>w</sub>	= humidity ratio of saturated air
XDRY	= length fraction of the tube with flow quality within the range 0.85 - 1.00
x	= refrigerant flow quality
xp	= thickness of pipe wall
у	= distance from the wall or tube pitch per Figure 4
δ	<pre>= average thickness of condensate film</pre>
μ	= absolute viscosity
ρ	= density
φ	= fin efficiency
4	strip area
Ψs	total fin area

xii

### 1. INTRODUCTION

This report describes a computer model of a refrigerant-to-air heat exchanger of the type used as an evaporator in almost all residential air-conditioning applications. A typical design is shown in Figure 1. The refrigerant flows inside tubes arranged in a certain circuit while the air passes outside the finned tubes. The air flows through the heat exchanger, evaporates the refrigerant, and cools down in the process. If the temperature of the air drops below the dew point temperature, water vapor contained in the air stream condenses on the heat exchanger surface.



Figure 1. A schematic of a single-slab evaporator.

During flow through the heat exchanger the temperature of the air gradually decreases while the temperature of the refrigerant remains approximately constant as long as the refrigerant is in the two-phase state. Once refrigerant is fully evaporated, its temperature increases and the temperature difference between the refrigerant and air decreases. Air-conditioning systems are designed to operate at a small refrigerant superheat at the evaporator outlet. Capacity of the evaporator may be significantly reduced if the outlet superheat is a result of mixing of highly superheated vapor and twophase flows leaving different circuits of the coil [1].

A number of design features impact the performance of an evaporator coil. Flat, wavy, lanced or louvered fins may be used on the air side. Tubes with a smooth inside surface are most popular but enhanced surfaces are also available. Different materials may be used; fins are normally made from aluminum while tubes are manufactured from aluminum or copper. Other significant design features are staggering pattern of tubes, tube diameter, number of tube depth rows, fin pitch and thickness, design of refrigerant circuitry, and coil configuration. The last two design aspects affect refrigerant and air distributions.

Manufacturing technique may also impact the heat exchanger performance. For example, contact resistance between a tube and fin may depend on the tool quality; outside surface treatment may promote better drainage of condensate.

The above description indicates that detailed modeling of an evaporator coil may be quite involved. Indeed, the complexity of all the processes

associated with heat transfer between the air and refrigerant in a crossflow, finned tube heat exchanger requires simplifying assumptions to be made during formulation of the model. However, a more detailed, fundamentally justified model has better chance to correctly predict performance of the modeled hardware.

A simple and popular way to determine coil capacity depends on utilizing performance catalogs of major manufacturers [2,3], or performance correlations developed by fitting the catalog data. Capacity data are presented in the form of charts for specific designs specified by the tube pattern, tube diameter, tube and fin materials and the shape, spacing and thickness of fins. These charts are usually developed for 45°F refrigerant saturation temperature, and 80°F dry bulb and 67°F wet bulb temperature of air. The convenience and quickness of using coil catalogs comes at the price of a few disadvantages; refrigerant circuity can be accounted for only in a rudimentary fashion, and extrapolation from the conditions specified in the catalog to other operating conditions may be questionable.

Computer based models which provide performance predictions through evaluation of heat transfer relationships are more versatile. Among models in the public domain, the evaporator model contained in the general heat pump model [4] was developed rather for system studies. It uses effectiveness vs. N<sub>tu</sub> correlations and the assumption that the heat exchanger consists of equivalent parallel refrigerant circuits.

Another evaporator model, included in the heat pump model [5], is based on a tube-by-tube approach. Heat transfer in this model is evaluated for each tube independently based on the refrigerant temperature in the tube and the average air temperature for all tubes in a given row. The selection of tubes for performance evaluation is opposite to the refrigerant flow, i.e. from the outlet to the inlet. This backward scheme results in faster convergence of the vapor compression cycle simulation at the price of unrealistic imposition of the same refrigerant parameters at the outlet of each circuit. The refrigerant distribution in the model is estimated based on the circuitry layout and is maintained unchanged during coil simulation. The model assumes a uniform distribution of air and assigns the same air mass flow rate for each tube.

This report describes further development of the evaporator model presented in [5]. The new model, EVSIM, is also based on a tube-by-tube approach but has several new features. It can simulate performance of an evaporator coil with non-uniform, traverse to the tubes, one-dimensional air distribution. Refrigerant distribution is simulated based on the pressure drops in each circuit. A forward iteration scheme, from the coil inlet to outlet, is used which allows for more realistic modeling; refrigerant superheat at different circuit outlets may be different depending on the heat gained and mass flow in each circuit.

#### 2. MODELING APPROACH

#### 2.1 Tube-by-Tube Method

The model described in this report, EVSIM, is based on a tube-by-tube approach. Evaluation of performance for a single finned tube is the basic part of the model. Performance of each tube is analyzed separately one at a time. Each tube is associated with refrigerant parameters and specific air mass flow rate, inlet temperature and humidity.

Another important part of the model is the logic which assigns tubes for calculation in proper order, maintains inventory of air and refrigerant parameters for each tube, and maintains the convergence scheme.

Simulation starts with the refrigerant inlet tube of a given circuit and progresses consecutively to the following tubes until the outlet is reached. If the circuit splits, the model proceeds with calculations of one branch of the circuit and, when the exit is reached, returns to the split point to finish calculations on the remaining branches. Once calculations for the circuit are completed, the remaining circuits are calculated starting with the inlet tubes.

The selection scheme for tube calculations assures that refrigerant parameters are always known at the entrance of the tube; they are equal to the outlet parameters for the proceeding tube. At the outset of simulation the temperature and humidity ratio of air is known only for tubes in the first depth row and is estimated for other rows. These estimates are updated with new calculated values as calculations progress.

## 2.2 Air Distribution

The model can account for non-uniform air distribution between coil tubes. Each tube itself is assumed to have uniform air distribution over its length. Air distribution data have to be provided to the model in the form of values of air velocity at the coil face at discrete points on the center plane, perpendicular to coil tubes (specification of air velocity at the coil face is explained in Appendix A). From these data, the model derives velocity distribution for the face of the coil and determines the air mass flow rate associated with each tube in the first depth row.

Air mass flow rates associated with tubes past the first row are calculated based on mass flow rates associated with the preceding tubes. It is assumed that the general direction of the air flow through the slab is perpendicular to slab face and that air splits equally at each tube [1]. Thus a given tube is exposed to the air stream which consists of 50% of the air streams associated with the two closest neighbors in the proceeding row.

Air temperature and humidity at each tube past the first row is calculated by evaluating the mixing balances for air by standard psychometric equations. If the mixing process results in saturated air, the amount of condensed vapor is calculated and is assumed to collect on fins and drain. The mixing process is calculated by subroutine MIXAIR. The air distribution is assigned by subroutine DISTR2.

#### 2.3 Refrigerant Distribution

Refrigerant distribution is governed in a coil by pressure drop. In this report, separate consideration has been given to single-slab and two-slab evaporators.

#### 2.3.1 Single-Slab Evaporator

EVSIM can simulate one-slab evaporators employing one expansion device. In such a heat exchanger refrigerant pressure at each inlet tube is the same. Refrigerant mass flow rate through each circuit adjusts itself so pressure at each outlet tube is also the same.

At the outset of simulation the model estimates refrigerant distribution based on the circuitry layout. A uniform resistance to flow at each tube is assumed. This assumption is crude since pressure drop will depend on the refrigerant mass flux and heat transfer rate. In the course of simulation, once pressure drops are calculated for each tube, the refrigerant distribution is updated using the available pressure drop data.

Figure 2 presents an example of refrigerant circuitry on one plane. Each vertical line represents a tube. Numbers in Figure 2 denote location of each tube as explained in Appendix A.

The refrigerant distribution in a coil is determined by sequential analysis of each split point and associated branches. The algorithm for evaluation of refrigerant distribution was derived considering that pressure drop for the evaporative flow, as evaluated by the Pierre's correlation [6], can be



Each vertical line represents a tube. A number next to the line represents location of the tube, as explained in Appendix A.

Figure 2. An Example of Refrigerant Circuitry.

simplistically presented in the following form:

 $\Delta P \propto f \cdot G^2$ 

```
where : \Delta P = pressure drop
f = friction factor
G = mass flux
```

Considering that the friction factor in the Pierre's correlation is a function of the Reynolds number to the -0.25 power, we can rearrange equation (1) to obtain the following relations for each branch of circuitry:

(1)

$$R_i \cdot G_i^{1 \cdot 75} = \Delta P_i \tag{2}$$

 $\Delta P_i$  = pressure drop in a given circuitry branch

Equation (2) allows calculation of the flow resistance for each branch using refrigerant pressure drop,  $\Delta P_i$ , and mass flux,  $G_i$ , calculated in the previous iteration loop. Once flow resistances,  $R_i$ , are known, equation (2) allows estimation of the ratio of mass fluxes at any two branches, considering the fact that pressure drop through all branches associated with a given split point should be the same.

Since the sum of refrigerant mass flow rates through the branches equals the mass flow rate at the split point, the following equation holds:

$$F_1 + F_2 + \ldots + F_n = \sum_{i=1}^n F_i = 1.$$

where:  $F_i$  = fraction of refrigerant mass flow rate at the split point flowing through a given branch n = number of branches leaving the split point (3)

Equation (3) and mass flux ratios generated by equation (2) constitute a set of n equations with n unknowns. This set can be solved to express the fraction of refrigerant mass flow rate at the split point flowing through any i<sup>th</sup> of its n branches:

$$F_{i} = \frac{1}{\sum_{j=1}^{n} (R_{i}/R_{j})^{0.571}}$$
(4)

The above equation is used to update the refrigerant distribution. As result of distribution updates, the model iterates refrigerant pressure to within 0.05 psi at different circuitry outlets.

#### 2.3.2 Two-Slab Evaporator

The model can simulate two types of two-slab evaporators:

Joint La

- 1. those equipped with a single expansion device feeding both slabs,
- 2. those equipped with two expansion devices, one for each slab.

These two cases require somewhat different approaches to simulate refrigerant distribution. In the first case, as for a one-slab coil, refrigerant distribution is governed by the refrigerant pressure drop in the

10

added to a re-

Hell and H

coil circuits. Refrigerant distribution in this case is determined by EVSIM as for a one-slab assembly.

If each coil of a two-slab assembly is fed independently by an individual expansion device, refrigerant distribution is determined in two stages. Firstly, the split of refrigerant between two slabs is determined solely by the relative restrictiveness of the expansion valves used. This is due to the fact that expansion devices used in air-conditioning applications usually choke and exhibit very weak dependence on the evaporator pressure. Secondly, refrigerant flow rate, already determined for each slab, adjusts itself at individual circuits based on their relative restrictiveness. It should be noted that, unlike for a one expansion device assembly for which refrigerant pressures at all inlet and outlet tubes are respectively equal, a two expansion device assembly will have the same outlet pressure while the inlet pressure may be different between the two slabs involved (this will result in different refrigerant temperature profiles which affects the heat transfer rate). Since the model is set up to arrive at a specified outlet pressure with the inlet pressure unknown, an iterative procedure is employed for the two expansion device coil.

3. MODELING OF HEAT, MASS AND MOMENTUM TRANSFER PROCESSES

3.1 Heat Transfer for a Tube in a Cross-Flow Arrangement

If a single, separate tube of an air-to-refrigerant heat exchanger is considered, the heat transfer problem reduces to one of a pure cross-flow. For this type of heat transfer closed expressions can be derived based on cross-flow heat transfer theory. According to the general heat transfer equation:

 $Q = U \cdot A \cdot \Delta T_m$ 

(5)

where A = heat transfer surface area U = overall heat transfer coefficient  $\Delta T_m$  = mean temperature difference between the heat exchanging fluids Q = heat transfer rate

In case of a pure cross-flow arrangements, one of two mean temperature differences applies for  $\Delta T_m$ , [7]:



$\Delta T_m =$	$\frac{\mathbf{T}_2 - \mathbf{T}_1}{\mathbf{T}_1 - \mathbf{T}_2}$	when temperature of both fluids change	(7)
ln	$t_2 - t_1$		
$\frac{1}{t_2}$	$\frac{T_1 - T_2}{t_2 - t_1} + \ln \frac{T_2 - t_1}{T_1 - t_1}$		

where

T = temperature of one fluidt = temperature of another fluid $<math>\Delta T_m = mean temperature difference$ subscripts 1 and 2 refer to tube inlet and outletconditions

nak an ar sha sha s**ala** 100 sa sa sa sa sa sa sa

s and obtained and a share so the parameters of the

and the disc

a adata a a a a a a

The enthalpy change of the fluid will be according to the equation:

$$Q = m \cdot (i_{2} - i_{1})$$
or
$$Q = m \cdot C_{p} \cdot (T_{2} - T_{1})$$
(8)
(9)

Equations (5) through (9) allow derivation of formulas for calculation of the heat transfer rate in a tube with two-phase refrigerant or superheated vapor flow. Tubes in which both flow patterns exist can be identified and the fractions of tube length associated with specific flow patterns can be calculated. For refrigerant pressure drop calculations, the model uses different pressure drop correlations for the two-phase portion and the superheated vapor portion of the flow. Heat transfer calculations employ different inside tube heat transfer correlations for annular flow (defined by the flow quality not greater than 0.85), dispersed flow (quality in the range of 0.85 - 1.00), and single-phase, superheated vapor flow (quality greater than 1.00). The derived correlations are presented below and are followed by explanation of the symbols.

### Annular Flow

$$Q = m_{a} \cdot C_{p,a}(t_{i} - T_{i})(1 - exp(-\frac{U \cdot A_{o}}{m_{a} \cdot C_{p,a}}))$$
(10)

If the calculated heat transfer rate, Q, results in a refrigerant quality at the tube exit greater than 0.85, the heat transfer rate within the annular flow regime is equal to:

$$Q = m_r (i_{r,85} - i_i)$$
(11)

The fraction of the tube with flow quality up to 0.85, ANNUL, can be calculated by the equation:

ANNUL = 
$$\frac{m_{r}(i_{r,85} - i_{r,i})}{m_{a} \cdot C_{p,a}(t_{i} - T_{i})(1 - \exp(-\frac{U \cdot A_{o}}{m_{a} \cdot C_{p,a}}))}$$
(12)

## **Dispersed Flow**

$$Q = m_{a} \cdot C_{p,a} (1 - ANNUL) (t_{i} - T_{i}) (1 - exp(-\frac{U \cdot A_{o}}{m_{a} \cdot C_{p,a}}))$$
(13)

If the calculated heat transfer rate results in the refrigerant enthalpy exceeding that of the saturated vapor,  $i_{r,v}$ , the heat transfer rate within the dispersed flow is equal to:

$$Q = m_r \cdot (i_{r,v} - i_{r,i})$$
<sup>(14)</sup>

The fraction of the tube with dispersed flow, XDRY, can be calculated by the equation:

$$XDRY = \frac{m_{r} \cdot (i_{r,v} - i_{r,i})}{m_{a} \cdot C_{p,a}(1 - ANNUL)(t_{i} - T_{i})(1 - exp(-\frac{U \cdot A_{o}}{m_{a} \cdot C_{p,a}}))}$$
(15)

<u>Single-phase Flow</u> (superheated vapor)

terra ha

dia da

$$Q = m_r \cdot C_{p,r}(t_i - T_i)(1 - \exp(-\frac{(1 - \text{ANNUL} - \text{XDRY})m_a \cdot C_{p,a}}{m_r \cdot C_{p,r}} (1 - \exp(-\frac{U \cdot A_o}{m_a \cdot C_{p,a}})))$$
(16)

14

44044 4.6

1.1417

444.001

6.4.5

In equations (10) through (16) the following nomenclature was used:

3.2 Overall Heat Transfer Coefficient for a Finned Tube

3.2.1 Dry Tube

The overall heat transfer coefficient, U, for a dry finned tube can be derived by summing up the individual resistances between the refrigerant and the air, [7]:

$$U = \left[\frac{A_{o}}{A_{p,i} h_{i}} + \frac{A_{o} x_{p}}{A_{p,m} k_{p}} + \frac{A_{o}}{A_{p,o} h_{tf}} + \frac{1}{h_{c,o} \left[1 - (A_{f}/A_{o})(1 - \phi)\right]}\right]^{-1}$$
(17)

where

 $A_{f} = \text{fin surface area}$   $A_{o} = \text{total exterior surface area exposed to air}$   $A_{p,i} = \text{pipe inside surface area}$   $A_{p,m} = \text{pipe mean surface area}$   $A_{p,o} = \text{pipe outside surface area}$   $A_{p,o} = \text{convection heat transfer coefficient at the exterior surface}$   $h_{i} = \text{inside tube heat transfer coefficient}$   $h_{tf} = \text{thermal conductance of the pipe-to-fin contact}$   $k_{p} = \text{thermal conductivity of pipe material}$   $x_{p} = \text{thickness of pipe wall}$   $\phi = \frac{T_{f,m} - T_{a}}{T_{f,b} - T_{a}}, \text{ fin efficiency}$   $T_{a} = \text{air temperature}$   $T_{f,b} = \text{fin base temperature}$   $T_{f,m} = \text{mean fin temperature}$ 

The first term and the fourth term of equation (17) refer to the inside and outside convection resistances, respectively. The second term represents the conductive heat transfer resistance through the tube wall. The third term accounts for the contact resistance between the outside tube surface and the fin collar.

3.2.2 Wet Tube

Wet tube analysis is applicable to an evaporator when its surface temperature is below the dew point of the air. As a result, moisture is removed from the air stream and by condensation on the evaporator external surface. At evaporator temperature above the freezing point (simulation range of EVSIM) the condensate flows down the fins under the influence of gravity.

The heat transfer rate between the air stream and the water surface is described by the following equation:

$$dQ = [h_{c,o}(T_a - T_w) + h_{D,o}(w_a - w_w)i_{fg,w}]dA_o$$
(19)

The first term accounts for sensible heat transfer and the second term accounts for latent heat transfer. For air at atmospheric pressure the Lewis number,

$$Le = \frac{h_{c,o}}{h_{D,o} \cdot C_{p,a}},$$
(20)

is close to 1 [7]. Assuming that the fin efficiency approximates the ratio of the moisture content differences,

16

. . . .

dia duo

... wated a water of the set

$$\phi = \frac{w_a - w_{f,m}}{w_a - w_w}, \qquad (21)$$

equation (19) assumes the following form for a tube with flat fins:

$$dQ = h_{c,o} \left(1 + \frac{i_{fg,w}(w_a - w_w)}{C_{p,a}(T_a - T_w)}\right) \left(1 - \frac{A_f}{A_o}(1 - \phi)\right) (T_a - T_w) dA_o$$
(22)

Symbols used in equations (19), (20), (21), and (22) denote:

$$\begin{array}{l} A_{f} = \mbox{fin} \mbox{surface area} \\ A_{o} = \mbox{total external area} \\ C_{p,a} = \mbox{specific heat of air} \\ h_{c,o} = \mbox{air-side forced convection heat transfer coefficient} \\ h_{D,o} = \mbox{air-side mass transfer coefficient} \\ i_{fg,w} = \mbox{latent heat of condensation for water} \\ T_{a} = \mbox{temperature of air} \\ T_{w} = \mbox{temperature of liquid water at the fin base} \\ Q = \mbox{heat transfer rate} \\ w_{a} = \mbox{humidity ratio of air} \\ w_{w} = \mbox{humidity ratio of saturated air at } T_{w} \mbox{temperature} \end{array}$$

The one-dimensional heat conduction across the condensate film can be expressed by the equation:

$$dQ = h_{u} \cdot \Delta T_{u} \cdot dA_{n}$$

(23)

where  $h_w = \frac{k_w}{\delta}$ , heat transfer coefficient for the condensate film  $k_w =$  thermal conductivity of water  $\delta =$  thickness of condensate film  $\Delta T_w =$  temperature difference across the condensate film

Using equations (19) and (23) and referring to equation (17), the following relation for the overall heat transfer coefficient for a wet finned tube can be derived:

$$U = \left[ \frac{A_{o}}{h_{i}A_{p,i}} + \frac{A_{o}x_{p}}{A_{p,m}k_{p}} + \frac{1}{h_{L}} + \frac{A_{o}}{A_{p,o}h_{tf}} + \frac{1}{h_{c,o}(1 + \frac{1}{f_{g,w}(w_{a}-w_{w})}{C_{p,a}(T_{a}-T_{w})})(1 - \frac{A_{f}}{A_{o}}(1-\phi)} \right]^{-1} (24)$$

where symbols used are defined as in equations (17) and (21).

The presented approach accounts for the impact of moisture condensation on the heat transfer in a few aspects:

- the layer of condensate offers additional heat flow resistance (term 3 of equation (24)),
- (2) the air-side heat transfer resistance decreases due to effect of condensation (term 4 of equation (24)),
- (3) the air-side heat transfer coefficient, h<sub>c,o</sub>, increases since it is sensitive to external surface geometry and the air flow Reynolds number (see equation (42)),
- (4) fin efficiency decreases as h increases (see equation (36)).

Equation (24) requires evaluation of thickness of the condensate layer. The analysis presented below allows evaluation of a mean thickness of a condensate film on a flat, vertical fin. Obviously, in a real application, condensation of moisture is not uniform over the fin surface, and condensate thickness varies even for flat fins. Local variations in the condensate thickness are even more severe for wavy and stripped fins. At the lack of a better

analytical approach, an average thickness of the water layer is evaluated and used in EVSIM in heat transfer calculations.

In order to evaluate water layer thickness, consider the mass transfer equation:

$$\mathbf{m}_{\mathbf{a},\mathbf{d}} \cdot \mathbf{d}\mathbf{w}_{\mathbf{a}} = -\mathbf{h}_{\mathbf{D},\mathbf{o}}(\mathbf{w}_{\mathbf{a}} - \mathbf{w}_{\mathbf{w}})\mathbf{d}\mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{o}}$$
(25)

For the Lewis number equal to 1 equation (25) assumes the following form:

$$\mathbf{m}_{\mathbf{a},\mathbf{d}} \cdot \mathbf{d}\mathbf{w}_{\mathbf{a}} = -\frac{\mathbf{h}_{\mathbf{c},\mathbf{o}}}{C_{\mathbf{p},\mathbf{a}}} (\mathbf{w}_{\mathbf{a}} - \mathbf{w}_{\mathbf{w}}) \cdot \mathbf{d}\mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{o}}$$
(26)

The change in the air humidity ratio can be calculated by integrating equation (26), which yields:

$$w_{a,e} = w_{a,i} - (w_{a,i} - w_w)(1 - \exp \frac{-h_{c,o} \cdot A_o}{C_{p,a} \cdot m_{a,d}})$$
(27)

Condensation of moisture may sometimes occur only on a part of the outside surface associated with a tube. This may happen for example for a tube in which refrigerant is in a superheated vapor state having the inlet and outlet temperature below and above the air dew point. Another probable case is when the refrigerant temperature is slightly below the air dew point. In such a case condensation will occur on the tube surface and on that part of the finned area which is below the dew point. The fin surface, further from the tube, having a temperature above the dew point temperature will not produce condensation. A rigorous modeling of condensation on a part of the outside surface requires identification of surface areas above and below the dew point. This task is extremely difficult. The effect of many variables affecting the temperature profiles of the surface is unknown. Notably, the effect of the tube staggering pattern and temperature of the neighboring tubes on the fin temperature profile is complex. For the above reasons, this part of simulation is performed using a few simplifying assumptions as it was felt that the phenomena are to complex to model rigorously in a general evaporator simulation program.

Moisture removal from the air stream is calculated separately for a tube and associated fins. Fins associated with a given tube are considered to be circular and of equal area, as shown in Figure 3. A linear temperature profile of a tube surface between inlet and outlet is assumed, and portions of a tube with and without condensation are determined accordingly. Also a linear temperature profile is assumed for a fin.

Mean temperature for a fin surface,  $T_{f,m}$ , can be expressed by the equation:

$$T_{f,m} = \frac{1}{A_f} \int T \cdot dA_f$$
(28)

Applying a linear temperature profile over the fin and integrating we obtain:

$$T_{f,m} = T_{o} + (T_{t} - T_{o}) \left( \frac{D_{t}^{3}}{3} - \frac{D_{t}^{2} \cdot D_{o}}{2} + \frac{D_{o}^{3}}{6} \right) / (4A_{f}(D_{t} - D_{o}))$$
(29)



Figure 3. Approximation method for treating a rectangular-plate fin in terms of a circular-plate fin of equal area.

where  $D_o =$  tube outside diameter  $D_t =$  fin tip diameter (see Figure 3)  $T_o =$  tube temperature at  $D_o$  $T_t =$  fin temperature at  $D_t$ 

Since the mean fin temperature can also be related to fin efficiency:

$$T_{f,m} = T_a - \phi(T_a - T_o)$$
(30)

the fin tip temperature,  $T_t$ , and the fin diameter within which condensation occurs,  $D_c$ , can be determined. Assuming that the humidity ratio of saturated

air varies linearly with temperature, the humidity ratio of the saturated air corresponding to the mean temperature of the fin surface at temperature below the dew point can be calculated by the following equation:

$$w_{w} = w_{o} + (w_{c} - w_{o}) \left( \frac{D_{c}^{3}}{3} - \frac{D_{c}^{2} \cdot D_{o}}{2} + \frac{D_{o}^{3}}{6} \right) / (4A_{f,c}(D_{c} - D_{o}))$$
(31)

It should be noted that EVSIM approximates rectangular-plate fins by circular plate fins just for moisture removal calculations only. The condensate flow on fins and the air-side heat transfer calculations recognize the plate finned-tube arrangement.

The rate of moisture removal per unit area, R, can now be calculated:

$$\mathbf{R} = \mathbf{m}_{\mathbf{a},\mathbf{d}} \left( \mathbf{w}_{\mathbf{a},\mathbf{i}} - \mathbf{w}_{\mathbf{a},\mathbf{e}} \right) / \mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{o}} \tag{32}$$

where  $m_{a,d} = mass$  flow rate of dry air  $w_{a,e} = humidity$  ratio of air at tube row exit  $w_{a,i} = humidity$  ratio of air at tube row inlet

Assuming no air drag on the liquid layer, its local velocity is expressed by the closed solution of the Navier-Stokes equation for a viscous flow on a vertical wall:

$$V_z = \frac{\rho g}{\mu} \left[ 0.5 \cdot y^2 - y \cdot \delta \right]$$
(33)

where  $V_z = local liquid layer velocity$  $\rho = liquid density$ 

constant and a second

Junation of A

- D

1.1

en in 🛊 🖡

a for it an it a sublicition
g = gravitational acceleration  
y = distance from the wall  
$$\delta$$
 = liquid layer thickness  
 $\mu$  = liquid absolute viscosity

Applying the continuity equation to the liquid film of a unit width:

$$\mathbf{m}(\mathbf{z}) = \rho \int_{0}^{\delta} \mathbf{V}_{\mathbf{z}} \, \mathrm{d}\mathbf{y} \tag{34}$$

and assuming uniform condensation rate on the fin (i.e.,  $m(z) = R' \cdot z/h$ , where: m(z) = mass flow rate of condensate at elevation z, R' = watercondensation rate by a fin of height h and unit width, z=0 at the top and z=h at the bottom of the slab), the average condensate layer thickness can be obtained by integrating a local layer thickness over the fin height and dividing the obtained expression by the height. The resulting expression is:

$$\delta_{f} = 1.082 \left( \frac{\mu_{w} \cdot R'}{g \cdot \rho_{w}^{2}} \right)^{1/3}$$
(35)

where g = gravitational acceleration R' = condensation rate per unit width of a fin  $\mu_w =$  water dynamic viscosity  $\rho_w =$  water density

### 3.2.3 Fin Efficiency

The addition of fins to the tubes greatly increases the outer heat transfer area but at the expense of decreasing the mean temperature difference between the surface and the air stream. The parameter called fin efficiency,  $\phi$ , is used to rate the thermal effectiveness of a fin. Fin efficiency for a circular flat fin on a singular tube was discussed by Gardner in his classic paper [8]. Gardner solved the differential equation for describing the temperature distribution and presented fin efficiency curves.

In the case of residential air-source evaporators, fins are not circular but continuous, rectangular plates serving all tubes in the slab. The shape of fin serving a particular tube depends on the tube pitch and transverse tube spacing in the assembly. The method proposed by Schmidt [9] and described in [10] is sensitive to the pattern of tube staggering and is employed here.

The fin efficiency,  $\phi$ , is calculated in terms of the fin root radius,  $r_o$ , and two parameters, M and  $\theta$ :

$$M = \left(\frac{2 \cdot h}{k_{f} \cdot t}\right)^{0.5}$$
(36)

$$\theta = (R/r_o - 1)(1 + 0.35 \cdot \ln(R/r_o))$$
(37)

$$\phi = \frac{\tanh (\mathbf{M} \cdot \mathbf{r}_{o} \cdot \theta)}{\mathbf{M} \cdot \mathbf{r}_{o} \cdot \theta}$$
(38)

where  $h = \begin{cases} h_{c,o} \left[ 1 + \frac{i_{fg,w}(w_a - w_w)}{C_{p,a}(T_a - T_w)} \right] & \text{if } w_a > w_w \\ h_{c,o} & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$  $R = \text{equivalent fin tip radius} \\ r_o = \text{outside radius of tube} \\ t = \text{fin thickness} \end{cases}$ 

sten 155

ALE-SEE ALE

pulsa la

14日第一支

The staggered tube configuration results in a hexangular fin as shown in Figure 4. The two dimensions shown in the figure can be defined interchangeably. They must be defined in such a way to have Y always greater than



Figure 4. Definition of dimensions for calculating fin efficiency by Schmidt's method.

or equal to y. Following the notation shown in the figure, the ratio of the equivalent fin tip radius and the fin root radius is calculated by the following equations:

 $\psi = y/r_{o} \tag{39}$ 

$$\beta = Y/y \tag{40}$$

 $R/r = 1.27 \cdot \psi(\beta - 0.3)^{0.5}$ (41)

Numerous assumptions are associated with the calculation of the fin efficiency. The fin is assumed to be thin and the air-side heat transfer coefficient to be constant over the fin surface. Several practical aspects of the heat exchanger operation are not taken into account due to inability to model and/or perception that their impact on the fin efficiency value is insignificant. For example, heat transfer between neighboring fins is not taken into account. Similarly, the effect of the discontinuities in the fin material (lanced fins) on the fin efficiency is ignored in the model; it will vary from one lance design to another and is generally unknown. More study is needed to include the above effects.

# 3.3 Forced Convection Heat Transfer at the Air-Side of a Dry Plate Finned-Tube

EVSIM is concerned with modeling of evaporators having continuous fins on a staggered array of circular tubes. Three consecutive sections describe airside heat transfer correlations applicable to three different fin designs. Calculation of the air-side heat transfer coefficient is handled by subroutine AIRHT3.

#### 3.3.1 Flat Fins

The correlation of Gray and Webb [11] was selected to calculate the airside heat transfer coefficient for flat fins. This correlation was developed using laboratory data on 16 heat exchangers applying a multiple regression technique. The rms error was 7.3%.

The correlation provides an average value for the j-factor for a heat exchanger with four or more tube depth rows (no change in the j-factor after 4-rows

26

ad - 11-6 - 1-8

is assumed). It has the following form:

$$j_{4} = 0.14 \cdot Re^{-0.32} \left(\frac{S_{t}}{S_{1}}\right)^{-0.502} \left(\frac{s}{D_{0}}\right)^{0.0312}$$
(42)  
where  $j_{4} = \frac{h_{c,o} \cdot Pr^{2/3}}{G_{c} \cdot C_{p,a}}$ , j-factor for four or greater number (43)  
 $h_{c,o} =$ outside surface forced convection heat transfer coefficient  
 $Pr = Prandtl$  number  
 $G_{c} =$ air mass flux based in the minimum flow area  
 $C_{p,a} =$ specific heat of air at constant pressure  
 $\frac{D_{0} \cdot G_{c}}{\mu}$ , Reynolds number  
 $D_{0} =$ outside diameter of tube  
 $\mu =$ dynamic viscosity  
 $S_{t} =$ tube spacing normal to air flow  
 $S_{1} =$ tube spacing in air flow direction (depth row pitch)  
 $s =$ spacing between adjacent fins

To calculate an average value for the j-factor for heat exchangers with less than four depth rows,  $j_N$  (where N<4), Gray and Webb [11] provided the following equation:

$$\mathbf{j}_{\mathbf{N}} = \mathbf{j}_{\mathbf{A}} \cdot 0.991 [2.24 \cdot \mathrm{Re}^{-0.092} (\mathrm{N}/\mathrm{4})^{-0.031}]^{0.607(4-\mathrm{N})}$$
(44)

where  $j_4$  = value obtained by equation (42)

Tube-by-tube simulation requires the availability of the air-side heat transfer coefficient for a tube in a given row. Assuming that each row weights equally on the average air-side heat transfer coefficient of the coil, the heat transfer coefficient value for the depth row N,  $j_{N,R}$ , can be approximated by the formula:

$$\mathbf{j}_{\mathbf{N},\mathbf{R}} = \mathbf{N} \cdot \mathbf{j}_{\mathbf{N}} - (\mathbf{N} - 1) \cdot \mathbf{j}_{\mathbf{N}-1}$$

where 
$$j_N$$
,  $j_{N-1}$  = average j-factors for heat exchangers with N and  
N-1 depth rows, respectively, obtained by equation  
(43) or (44)

# 3.3.2 Wavy Fins

Comprehensive data on performance of flat and wavy fins were published by Beecher and Fagan [12]. Webb and Trauger [13] used their results as a base for their correlation which are applied in EVSIM to provide the value of the enhancement of the air-side heat transfer coefficient for a wavy fin over a flat fin. This enhancement value is then used as a multiplier to the heat transfer coefficient calculated for a flat fin by equations presented in Section 3.3.1

Beecher and Fagan reported fins performance in terms of the Nusselt number based on the arithmetic mean temperature difference between the air and refrigerant, Nu<sub>ar</sub>. Correlations provided by Webb and Trauger express this Nusselt number with the Graetz number, Gz, and non-dimensionalized geometric parameters. For <u>wavy fins</u> the correlations are:

for  $Gz \leq 25$ 

$$Nu_{ar} = 0.5 \cdot Gz^{0.86} \left( \frac{S_t}{D_c} \right)^{0.11} \left( \frac{s}{D_c} \right)^{-0.09} \left( \frac{S_d}{S_1} \right)^{0.12} \left( \frac{2S_p}{S_1} \right)^{-0.34}$$
(46)

for Gz > 25

$$Nu_{ar} = 0.83 \cdot Gz^{0.76} \left(\frac{S_t}{D_c}\right)^{0.13} \left(\frac{s}{D_c}\right)^{-0.16} \left(\frac{S_d}{S_1}\right)^{0.25} \left(\frac{2S_p}{S_1}\right)^{-0.43}$$
(47)

alar da

(45)

These equations predict 88% of the base data within  $\pm 5$ %, and 99% of the data within 10%.

ę

Correlations for <u>flat fins</u> have the following form:

for  $Gz \leq 25$ 

$$Nu_{ar} = 0.4 \cdot Gz^{0.73} \left( \frac{s}{D_c} \right)^{-0.23} N^{0.23}$$
(48)

for Gz > 25

$$Nu_{ar} = 0.53 \cdot Gz^{0.62} \left( \frac{s}{D_c} \right)^{-0.23} N^{0.31}$$
(49)

Flat fin correlations predict 98% of the source data [12] within 5%.

To convert Nu<sub>ar</sub> to the Nusselt number based on the logarithmic mean temperature difference, Nu, the following equation is used [12]:

$$Nu = 0.25 \cdot Gz \cdot \ln \frac{1 + 2 \cdot Nu_{ar}/Gz}{1 - 2 \cdot Nu_{ar}/Gz}$$
(50)

The symbols used in equations (46) through (50) are defined as follows:

$$Nu = \frac{h \cdot D_{H}}{k} , \text{ Nusselt number}$$
(51)

$$Gz = Re \cdot Pr \frac{D_H}{N \cdot S_1}$$
, Graetz number (52)

$$D_{\rm H} = \frac{2 \cdot s(1 - \beta)}{\gamma \cdot (1 - \beta) + 2 \cdot s \cdot \beta / D_{\rm c}} , \quad \text{hydraulic diameter}$$
(53)

$$Re = \frac{\rho \cdot V_c \cdot D_H}{\mu} , \text{ Reynolds number}$$
(54)

$$\beta = \frac{\pi \cdot D_c}{4 \cdot S_t \cdot S_1}$$
(55)

$$\gamma = [1 + 4(S_d/2 \cdot S_p)^2]^{0.5}$$
(56)

$$V_c = V_{max} / (1 - \beta) \tag{57}$$

where 
$$N =$$
 number of tube depth rows  
 $V_{max} =$  velocity based on minimum flow area  
 $S_d =$  fin pattern depth, tip to valley (see Figure 5)  
 $S_p =$  fin pattern length, tip to tip  
 $s =$  spacing between adjacent fins  
 $\rho =$  density  
 $k =$  thermal conductivity  
 $\mu =$  dynamic viscosity

The variables characterizing the wave pattern were set within subroutine AIRHT3 as follows:  $S_p = 0.5 \cdot S_1$ ,  $S_d = s$  $D_c = D_o + 2 \cdot t$  where t = fin thickness.

## 3.3.3 Lanced Fins

Lanced fins are those enhanced fins which have arrays of small strips raised from the base plate. Nakayama and Xu [14] proposed a heat transfer correlation for such fins. Their formula is in the form of a heat transfer correlation for a flat fin and a multiplier which provides correction for heat transfer enhancement due to the raised strips.

4 1.4

(ki da

and a production of the second s

a. 11

- d. - 0.

1. Augusta



Figure 5. Geometry of a wavy fin.

To obtain a common simulation base for different heat transfer surfaces, EVSIM uses this multiplier in conjunction with the equations presented for a flat fin in Section 3.3.1.

The lanced fin enhancement multiplier is a function of the geometry parameters shown in Figure 6. The proposed correlation has the following form [14]:

$$F_{j} = 1 + 1093 \left(\frac{t}{s}\right)^{1.24} \phi_{s}^{0.944} Re^{-0.58} + 1.097 \left(\frac{t}{s}\right)^{2.09} \phi_{s}^{2.26} Re^{0.88}$$
(58)

where 
$$\phi_s = \frac{\text{strip area}}{\text{total fin area}} = \frac{(2n_s - 1)l_s \cdot S_s}{St \cdot S_1 - 0.25 \cdot \pi \cdot D_o^2}$$
 (59)

 $\phi_{\rm s}$  was set to 0.275 in subroutine AIRHT3. The applicable range of  $\phi_{\rm s}$  is 0.2 - 0.35.

$$Re = \frac{\rho \cdot V_{max} \cdot D_{H}}{\mu} , Reynolds number$$
 (60)

 $D_{\rm H}$  = hydraulic diameter of the minimum free flow area  $n_{\rm s}$  = number of strips in the enhanced zone  $l_{\rm s}$  = width of a strip  $S_{\rm s}$  = length of a strip t = fin thickness s = spacing between adjacent fins  $V_{\rm max}$  = velocity in the minimum flow area

4.1.1

a i d

Paraul

3. 障人名

ः अभव न क



Figure 6. Geometry of a lanced fin.

## 3.4 Forced Convection Heat Transfer Inside an Externally

# Heated Tube

Tubes with smooth inner surface are predominantly used in residential heat exchangers, however, tubes with enhanced surfaces are also available. A significant amount of research has been performed over the years on heat transfer for smooth surfaces. Since a smooth surface is clearly defined, laboratory data of different researchers can be pooled together for the correlation development. As a result, a number of correlations for the heat transfer coefficient inside a smooth tube are available. The situation is different for tubes with enhanced surfaces. Much less research have been done on enhanced tubes than on smooth tubes, and there is an infinite number of ways the inner surface may be enhanced. There is not adequate information in the open literature to allow calculation of the enhanced tube heat transfer coefficient in a generalized way. Consequently, while the internal tube heat transfer coefficient for smooth tubes is calculated in EVSIM by a well founded correlation, the heat transfer coefficient for enhanced tubes is evaluated by multiplying the heat transfer values for smooth tubes by the fixed correction factors. The following discussion on flow patterns refers to smooth tubes and may not necessarily be accurate in all aspects for tubes with enhanced surfaces.

Refrigerant enters the evaporator from an expansion device at a quality of about 20% and forms annular flow almost instantly. The quality increases as the flow proceeds and the liquid layer at the wall gets thinner. At higher qualities, reported by different researchers to be in the range from 65% to 95%, the refrigerant vapor has enough kinetic energy to gradually destroy the liquid layer. Consequently, the annular flow is followed by the mist flow and single-phase superheated vapor flow.

#### 3.4.1 Single-Phase Flow

<u>Smooth tubes</u>. The single-phase forced convection heat transfer coefficient,  $h_{sp}$ , for a smooth, heated tube is calculated by a commonly used equation [15]:

$$h_{en} = 0.023 \cdot Re^{0.8} \cdot Pr^{0.4} \cdot k/D_{i}$$

(60)

where Re = Reynolds number
Pr = Prandtl number
k = thermal conductivity of refrigerant vapor
D<sub>i</sub> = tube inner diameter

Enhanced tubes. The heat transfer coefficient for enhanced inner surfaces is calculated within EVSIM by multiplying  $h_{st}$  obtained from equations (60) by a correction factor equal to 2.0. The value of the selected correction factor is an average of the heat transfer enhancement reported by Khanpara et al. [16] for micro-fin tubes with R-22.

3.4.2 Two-Phase Flow with Evaporation

Refrigerant flow with evaporation is subdivided in the model in two flow patterns; annular flow and mist flow. The quality value of 0.85 was selected in the model as the border point between these two flow patterns.

<u>Smooth tubes, annular flow.</u> A correlation developed by Gungor and Winterton [17] is used in EVSIM to calculate the evaporative heat transfer coefficient for the annular flow regime in smooth tubes. This correlation was developed with the aid of a large data bank which included 4300 points by 28 authors covering 7 fluids. The form of the correlation is consistent with Chen's [18] approach in that it recognizes two distinct mechanisms for the heat transfer; nucleate boiling and forced convection. The two-phase evaporation heat transfer coefficient,  $h_{an}$ , is expressed as a weighted average of the convective single-phase heat transfer coefficient,  $h_1$ , and the pool boiling heat transfer coefficient,  $h_{pool}$ , responsible in the correlation for the nucleate boiling contribution to the heat transfer:

 $h_{an} = E \cdot h_1 + S \cdot h_{pool}$ (61)

$$h_{1} = 0.023 \cdot Re_{1}^{0.8} \cdot Pr_{1}^{0.4} \cdot k_{1} / D_{1}$$
(62)

$$h_{p \circ o 1} = 55 \cdot P_r^{0.12} (-\log_{10} P_r)^{-0.55} \cdot M^{-0.5} \cdot q^{0.67} \quad (W/m^2 \cdot K)$$
(63)

$$\mathbf{E} = \mathbf{1} + 24000 \cdot \mathbf{B} \mathbf{0}^{1.16} + 1.37 \cdot \mathbf{X}^{-0.86}$$
(64)

$$S = (1 + 1.15 \cdot 10^{-6} \cdot E^2 \cdot Re^{1.17})^{-1}$$
(65)

In the case of a horizontal tube and the Froude number, Fr, smaller than 0.05, E and S should be multiplied by  $E_2$  and  $S_2$ , respectively:

$$E_2 = Fr^{(0.1-2 \cdot Fr)}$$
(66)

$$S_2 = Fr^{0.5}$$
(67)

The symbols used in equations (61) through (67) have the following meaning:

$$Re_{1} = \frac{G(1 - x)D_{i}}{\mu_{1}}, \quad \text{liquid Reynolds number}$$
(68)  

$$Fr = \frac{G^{2}}{\rho_{1}^{2} \cdot D_{i} \cdot g}, \quad \text{Froude number}$$
(69)  

$$D_{i} = \text{tube inside diameter} \\G = \text{refrigerant mass flux} \\g = \text{acceleration of gravity} \\M = \text{molecular weight} \\P_{r} = \text{reduced pressure} \\Pr_{1} = \text{liquid Prandtl number} \\q = \text{heat flux} \quad (W/m^{2}) \\x = \text{flow quality} \\\mu_{1} = \text{liquid thermal conductivity} \\\rho_{1} = \text{liquid density} \end{cases}$$

al 164 - 16

( ) do

 $\{k,a=i,c\}$ 

- 第二年

 $|a^{2}| \in$ 

÷.

સૌથી તે હ

$$Bo = \frac{Q}{G \cdot i_{fg}}, \text{ boiling number}$$

<u>Smooth tubes. mist flow.</u> The heat transfer coefficient for the mist flow,  $h_m$  (flow quality range 0.85 - 1.00), is calculated in EVSIM by weighting by quality the heat transfer coefficient values obtained by equation (60) and equation (61):

$$h_{m} = (1.0 - x)h_{an} + (x - 0.85)h_{sp}$$
(70)

where x = average fractional flow quality for the mist flow in a tube h<sub>an</sub> = heat transfer coefficient obtained by equation (61) for flow quality 0.85 h<sub>sp</sub> = heat transfer coefficient obtained by equation (60) for saturated vapor flow

Enhanced tubes. The heat transfer coefficient for an enhanced inner surface is calculated by applying a multiplier of 1.45 to the heat transfer coefficient calculated for a smooth tube within the two-phase region. The selected multiplier value is an average of the enhancement range (1.2 to 1.7) reported by Khanpara et al. [16] for a micro-fin tube with R-22.

3.5 Thermal Conductance of the Pipe-to-Fin Contact The thermal conductance of the pipe-to-fin contact is calculated by the correlation provided by Sheffield et. al. [19].

$$h_{tf} = \exp\left[6.902 + 2.889 \cdot \left[\frac{I \cdot FPI \cdot d}{D_o}\right]^{0.75} \left[t \cdot FPI\right]^{1.25}\right]$$
(71)

This correlation is restricted to mechanically expanded copper tubes with aluminum fins. Other applicability limits which has to be satisfied are:

 $D_o \le 0.625$  (in) FPI \le 18  $0.003 \le I \le 0.0079$  (in)

3.6 Refrigerant Pressure Drop in a Tube

The total pressure drop for flow in a tube consists of the pressure drops due to friction, momentum change and gravity. The gravitational pressure drop is neglected in EVSIM.

Comments made in the beginning of chapter 3.4 about availability of heat transfer performance data for enhanced surfaces are applicable also for pressure drop. Consequently, pressure drop for enhanced surfaces is calculated applying correlations for smooth tubes and predetermined correction factors.

3.6.1 Single-Phase Flow

<u>Smooth tubes</u>. Frictional pressure drop can be calculated by the Fanning equation with the Fanning friction factor as per equations (72) and (73):

38

ताल त व

$$\frac{dP}{dL} = \frac{2 \cdot f \cdot G2}{D_1 \cdot \rho}$$
(72)

 $f = 0.046 \cdot Re^{-0.2}$ (73)

Pressure drop due to momentum change can be calculated by the following equation:

 $\frac{dP}{dL} = -G^2 \frac{dv}{dL}$ (74)

where	Ρ	= pressure
	L	= coordinate along the tube axis
	G	= refrigerant mass flux
	D,	= tube inner diameter
	v	<pre>= refrigerant specific volume</pre>

Enhanced tubes. Frictional pressure drop is calculated by equations (72) and (73) applying multiplier of 1.5 to the obtained result. This multiplier provides a half of the enhancement provided in EVSIM for the respective inside tube heat transfer coefficient.

3.6.2 Two-Phase Pressure Drop with Evaporation

<u>Smooth tubes.</u> The pressure drop for two-phase flow with evaporation can be calculated by the correlation proposed by Pierre [6], based on his experiments with refrigerants R-12 and R-22. Pierre's correlation combines the frictional and momentum change effects in one equation:

$$\Delta P = (f \frac{L}{D_i} + \frac{\Delta x}{x})G^2 \cdot v_m$$
(75)

where f = friction factor calculated by equation (76)  $x_m = mean quality$   $\Delta x = quality change$  $v_m = v_L + x_m (v_V - v_L)$ , mean specific volume

$$f = 0.0185 \left(\frac{K_f}{Re}\right)^{0.25}$$
 (76)

where 
$$K_f = \frac{J \cdot i_{fg} \cdot \Delta x \cdot g_c}{L \cdot g}$$
 (77)

$$Re = \frac{G \cdot D_i}{\mu_L}$$
(78)

 $J = 778.17 \quad (lb_f \cdot ft/Btu), \text{ mechanical equivalent of heat}$  $g = 32.2 \quad (ft/s^2), \text{ gravitational acceleration}$  $g_c = 32.2 \quad (ft \cdot lb/(lb_f \cdot s^2), \text{ dimensional constant}$ 

The formula for the friction factor contains the Reynolds number and the term,  $K_f$ , referred to by Pierre as a boiling number, making the friction factors sensitive to vapor generation rate at the liquid-vapor interface. Pierre's correlation was verified in [20] providing overall better agreement with experimental data for pressure drop of refrigerants R-12 and R-22 than the other most popular correlation, that of Martinelli and Nelson [21].

40

مرا<u>م ا</u>مراد

ad obtained a

<u>Enhanced tubes.</u> Pressure drop for tubes with enhanced surfaced is calculated applying a multiplication factor 1.225 to the pressure drop value obtained from equation (75). The factor 1.225 represents a half of enhancement provided in EVSIM for the two-phase heat transfer coefficient for these surfaces.

## 4. MODEL VERIFICATION

The verification of the model consisted of comparing the coil capacity prediction with test data at different air distribution. Laboratory test data of an evaporator coil at four different air velocity profiles presented in [1] were used for model verification. The velocity profiles projected on the coil face were used as input to the model along with other operating conditions reported for these tests. Test conditions and laboratory and simulation results are shown in Table 1. The air velocity profiles are presented in Figure 7.

Figure 7 illustrates that the measured air velocity profiles differ from a parabolic profile associated with fully developed flow in a straight duct. The author believes that the odd profiles are due to the duct configuration, short entrance length and the presence of the coil in the duct. Various air velocity profiles were obtained by changing the coil angle with respect to the duct. It should be noted that the velocity profiles at different coil angles were the result not of the coil position alone but rather of the combined effects of change of the distance between coil surface and the duct entrance and the duct bend past the coil.

Total capacity results are also shown in Figure 8. The change of capacity is presented as a function of the coil angle. The figure displays the model sensitivity to the air distribution. Predictions of total capacities are within 8.2 percent of the test results. The model predicted degradation trend for 65° and 45° angles except at 25° where the prediction of capacity is within 1 percent of the test result. A number of reasons could cause the

Conditions					Results					
Air			Refrigerant		Test		Simulation		Discrepancy*	
T <sub>DB</sub> (*F)	T <sub>WB</sub> (*F)	CFM	T <sub>SAT</sub> (°F)	T <sub>sup</sub> (°F)	Q <sub>T</sub> (Btu/h)	Q <sub>L</sub> (Btu/h)	Q <sub>ī</sub> (Btu/h)	Q <sub>L</sub> (Btu/h)	Q <sub>T</sub> (\$)	Q <sub>L</sub> (*)
80.0	67.0	564	44.8	8.6	18480	5305	17373	5022	-6.0	- 5.3
79.8	66.7	566	44.9	7.7	17764	5093	16309	4678	-8.2	- 8.1
80.1	66.8	567	44.7	10.5	16580	4774	15417	5692	-7.0	19.2
79.3	66.5	559	44.9	5.4	14895	4138	14855	4654	-0.3	12.5
	T <sub>DB</sub> (*F) 80.0 79.8 80.1 79.3	Air           T <sub>DB</sub> T <sub>WB</sub> (*F)         (*F)           80.0         67.0           79.8         66.7           80.1         66.8           79.3         66.5	Cond           Air           T <sub>DB</sub> T <sub>WB</sub> CFM           (°F)         (°F)         CFM           80.0         67.0         564           79.8         66.7         566           80.1         66.8         567           79.3         66.5         559	Conditions           Air         Refrig           TDB         TWB         CFM         TSAT           (*F)         (*F)         (*F)         (*F)           80.0         67.0         564         44.8           79.8         66.7         566         44.9           80.1         66.8         567         44.7           79.3         66.5         559         44.9	Conditions           Air         Refrigerant           TDB         TWB         CFM         TSAT         TSUP           (*F)         (*F)         (*F)         (*F)         (*F)           80.0         67.0         564         44.8         8.6           79.8         66.7         566         44.9         7.7           80.1         66.8         567         44.7         10.5           79.3         66.5         559         44.9         5.4	Conditions           Air         Refrigerant         Tex           T_DB         T_WB         CFM         T_SAT         T_SUP         QT           (*F)         (*F)         CFM         T_SAT         T_SUP         QT         (Btu/h)           80.0         67.0         564         44.8         8.6         18480           79.8         66.7         566         44.9         7.7         17764           80.1         66.8         567         44.7         10.5         16580           79.3         66.5         559         44.9         5.4         14895	ConditionsAirRefrigerantTest $T_{DB}$ $T_{WB}$ CFM $T_{SAT}$ $T_{SUP}$ (*F) $Q_T$ (Btu/h) $Q_L$ (Btu/h)80.067.056444.88.618480530579.866.756644.97.717764509380.166.856744.710.516580477479.366.555944.95.4148954138	ConditionsResuAirRefrigerantTestSimula $T_{DB}$ $T_{WB}$ CFM $T_{SAT}$ $T_{SUP}$ (*F) $Q_T$ (Btu/h) $Q_L$ (Btu/h) $Q_T$ (Btu/h)80.067.056444.88.61848053051737379.866.756644.97.71776450931630980.166.856744.710.51658047741541779.366.555944.95.414895413814855	ConditionsResultsAirRefrigerantTestSimulation $T_{DB}$ (°F) $T_{WB}$ (°F)CFM $T_{SAT}$ (°F) $T_{SUP}$ (°F) $Q_T$ (Btu/h) $Q_L$ (Btu/h) $Q_T$ (Btu/h) $Q_L$ (Btu/h)80.067.056444.88.618480530517373502279.866.756644.97.717764509316309467880.166.856744.710.516580477415417569279.366.555944.95.4148954138148554654	ResultsAirRefrigerantTestSimulationDiscrete $T_{DB}$ $T_{HB}$ CFM $T_{SAT}$ $T_{SUP}$ $Q_T$ $Q_L$ $Q_T$ $Q_T$ $Q_L$ 80.067.056444.88.6184805305173735022-6.079.866.756644.97.7177645093163094678-8.280.166.856744.710.5165804774154175692-7.079.366.555944.95.4148954138148554654-0.3

Table 1. Results of Laboratory Tests and Simulation Runs.

\*simulation result - test result --- • 100

test result

 $Q_{T}$  = total capacity

- $T_{DB}$  = dry bulb temperature of incoming air  $T_{WB}$  = wet bulb temperature of incoming air  $T_{SAT}$  = refrigerant saturation temperature at coil outlet  $T_{SUP}$  = superheat of refrigerant at coil outlet

 $Q_L$  = latent capacity





Figure 7. Air velocity profiles.



Figure 8. Simulation and test results.

break in the prediction trend between 25° and other angles. One possible reason may be the fact that, due to lack of space needed for the Pitot tube used for velocity measurement, the air velocity profiles for the first three angles were obtained from measurements past the coil while the 25° velocity profile was measured before the coil face. It is also possible that the velocity profiles derived from the air velocity measurements at the center plane represented at different degree the average air flow over the length of each tube at different coil angles.

Prediction of the latent capacity is not as good as of the total capacity. The highest discrepancy between test and simulation results is 19.2%. Lack of a closer agreement is not a complete surprise since the mass transfer and the condensate flow are very difficult to simulate and are represented simplistically in EVSIM. This simulation aspect requires further study to allow for simulation improvement.

#### 5. CONCLUDING REMARKS

The evaporator model presented in this report, EVSIM, possesses two useful features:

- ability to account for air distribution

- ability to simulate refrigerant distribution in complicated circuits These two capabilities are in a way compatible since refrigerant distribution in a coil depends not only on circuitry specification; change of air distribution affects heat transfer impacting refrigerant quality and pressure drop thus changing distribution of refrigerant. As a result of it's complexity, the model is more likely to provide satisfactory capacity predictions than would simpler models, particulary in cases where air distribution is not uniform over a coil face or refrigerant circuitry is complex. The model should be very helpful as a coil design tool, decreasing/eliminating the need for development tests, since detailed information on performance of each tube and refrigerant superheat at each circuit outlet can be obtained from the model.

Practical aspects of using the model include preparation of a coil data file and computer requirements. The detailed performance information which EVSIM can provide comes at the price of the need for a detailed input data file of the heat exchanger. In addition to general design input like tube diameter, fin spacing and thickness, tube staggering pattern, CFM, etc., specification of refrigerant circuitry and air distribution is needed.

The model is written in FORTRAN 77. Due to detailed algorithms and tube-bytube performance evaluation scheme, mini and main frame computers are best

suited for simulation studies using EVSIM; however the model has been installed on an IBM AT compatible computer converging within 2-6 minutes for a single slab coil, and up to 14 minutes for a two slab coil.

The model is capable of simulating the performance of evaporators with flat, wavy or lanced fins on the air side, and tubes having smooth or enhanced inner surfaces. Capacity predictions for flat fin and smooth tube coils are expected to be most reliable since these basic, plain surfaces are well defined and their respective heat transfer correlations are based on extensive data bases. Capacity prediction for evaporators with enhanced surfaces may not be as accurate since each enhanced surface is different with its own heat transfer characteristics; general correlations may not well represent their performance. This is particulary true for inner tube surfaces for which no general correlations are available and simple enhancement multipliers are used to account for performance change with respect to a smooth tube. Other area in which new algorithms could be applied, if available, to enhance the model are condensation of water vapor and prediction of the coil latent capacity.

- 6. REFERENCES
- Chwalowski, M., Didion, D.A., and Domanski, P.A., Verification of Evaporator Computer Models and Analysis of Performance of an Evaporator Coil, <u>ASHRAE Transactions</u>, Vol. 95, Part 1, January, 1989.
- 2. McQuay, O.E.M. Division, O.E.M. Engineering Manual, Minneapolis, MN.
- 3. Bohn, Heat Transfer Division, O.E.M. Coil Manual, Danville, Il.
- Fisher, S.K. and Rice, C.K., A Steady-State Computer Design Model for Air-to-Air Heat Pumps, Oak Ridge National Laboratory, ORNL/CON-8-, Oak Ridge, TN, December 1981.
- Domanski, P. and Didion, D., Computer Modeling of the Vapor Compression Cycle With Constant Flow Area Expansion Device, NBS Building Science Series 155, Washington, DC, May 1983.
- 6. Pierre, B., Flow Resistance with Boiling Refrigerants, <u>ASHRAE Journal</u>, September 1964.
- 7. Threlkeld, J.L., Thermal Environmental Engineering, Prentice-Hall, Inc., New Jersey, 1970.
- Gardner, K.A., Efficiency of Extended Surface, <u>ASME Transactions</u>, Vol. 67, p. 621, 1945.
- Schmidt, T.E., La Production Calorifique des Surfaces Munies D'ailettes, Annexe Du Bulletin De L'Institut International Du Froid, Annexe G-5, 1945-46.
- 10. McQuiston, F.C. and Parker, J.D., Heating, Ventilating, and Air Conditioning, J. Wiley & Sons, 1982.
- 11. Gray, D.L. and Webb, R.L., Heat Transfer and Friction Correlations for Plate Finned-Tube Heat Exchangers Having Plain Fins, Proc. of Eighth Int. Heat Transfer Conference, San Francisco, 1986.
- 12. Beecher, D.T. and Fagan, T.J., Effects of Fin Pattern of the Air-Side Heat Transfer Coefficient in Plate Finned-Tube Heat Exchangers, <u>ASHRAE</u> <u>Transactions</u>, Vol. 93, Part II, p. 1961, 1987.
- 13. Trauger, P. and Webb, R.L., private communication on work to be published, August 1988.
- 14. Nakayama, W. and Xu, L.P., Enhanced Fins for Air-Cooled Heat Exchangers-Heat Transfer and Friction Factor Correlations, Proceedings of ASME-JSME Thermal Engineering Joint Conference, p. 495, March 1983, ASME, New York, 1983.
- 15. American Society of Heating, Refrigerating and Air Conditioning Engineers, Inc. ASHRAE Handbook, Fundamentals Volume, New York, 1985.

- 16. Khanpara, J.C., Pate, M.B. and Bergles, A.E., Local Evaporation Heat Transfer in a Smooth Tube and a Micro-fin Tube Using Refrigerants 22 and 113, presented at the Winter Annual Meeting of ASME, Boston, Ma., December 13-18, 1987.
- 17. Gungor, K.E. and Winterton, R.H.S., A general correlation for flow boiling in tubes and annuli, Int. Journal of Heat and Mass Transfer, Vol. 29, No. 3, pp. 351-358, 1986.
- Chen, J.C., A Correlation for Boiling Heat Transfer to Saturated Fluids in Convective Flow, Ind. Eng. Chem. Proc. Des. Dev., 5, pp. 322-329, 1966.
- 19. Sheffield, J.W., Wood, R.A. and Sauer, Jr., H.J., Experimental Investigation of Thermal Conductance of Finned Tube Contacts, Experimental Thermal and Fluid Science, 0894-1777, 1989.
- 20. Anderson, S.W., Rich, D.G. and Geary, D.F., Evaporation of Refrigerant 22 in a Horizontal 3/4-In. OD Tube, <u>ASHRAE Transactions</u>, Vol. 72, p. 28, 1966.
- Martinelli, R.C. and Nelson, D.B., Prediction of Pressure Drop During Forced Circulation Boiling of Water, <u>ASME Transactions</u>, Vol. 70, No. 6, 1948.
- 22. American Society of Heating, Refrigerating, and Air-Conditioning Engineers, ASHRAE Handbook, Equipment Volume, Atlanta, GA, 1988.

441.175

al la kont

#### APPENDIX A. PROGRAM USER'S GUIDE

The simulation program of an air-source evaporator, EVSIM, predicts performance of a given evaporator at imposed air conditions and CFM, and refrigerant parameters at the heat exchanger inlet and outlet. The model is based on a tube-by-tube approach and account for non-uniform distributions of air and refrigerant.

The program is written in Fortran 77 and makes use of standard Fortran mathematical functions. It consists of a main module, evaporator simulation subroutine, EVPHX2, and 30 subprograms. Capability of the model and relations used for fluid mechanics, heat transfer and mass transfer calculations are described in the main text of this report. Equations used in moist air, water, and refrigerant property routines are described in [5].

#### A1. Input Data

Input data are read by the program from data files and a terminal (batch file) depending on data category.

A1.1 Data Read from Data Files.

To run the program, a user must establish two data files on the system, DATAREF and DTEV, for refrigerant constants and evaporator data.

<u>Refrigerant property constants.</u> Constants for evaluation of thermodynamic and transport properties for Refrigerant 12 and Refrigerant 22 are shown in Table A1 and Table A2, respectively. Constants of the selected refrigerant have to be in a disk file named DATAREF from which they are read by subroutine

DATAIN. Comments statements inserted into subroutine DATAIN help to identify specific constants.

<u>Evaporator Data.</u> Evaporator data are read by subroutine RDATA3 from a file named DTEV. Coding of evaporator data in DTEV is described in Table A3 which includes Fortran symbols and their short explanation. The convention by which DTEV has to be prepared and some of the variable names are explained in detail below.

EVSIM can simulate one-slab and two-slab evaporator assemblies (coils). The input format shown in Table A3 is complete for a one-slab coil; a data file for a two-slab assembly will have lines 3 through 25 repeated as lines 26 through 48 with input data for slab # 2. An example of a data file for the two-slab assembly of Figure A1 is shown in Table A4.

For two-slab coils, the model can handle coils in which each slab is fed by an individual expansion device, or both slabs are fed by a single, common expansion device. These arrangements are coded in the data file in line 2. In the present version, EVSIM is unable to handle a slab fed by more than one expansion device.

The last input datum at line 7, SFLOW, is the expansion device scaling factor. For capillary tubes, it may be determined with the aid of Fig. 39 of [22]. This scaling factor was included into a data file to allow EVSIM to calculate the refrigerant split between two slabs in two-slab assemblies if separate expansion devices are used for each slab. For evaporator

52

1.1.1

assemblies employing just one expansion device (both single and two-slab coils) the value of SFLOW has no bearing on calculations; any real number may be inputed to satisfy the format of the READ statement. For evaporators with two expansion devices, the ratio of SFLOW(1) and SFLOW(2) has to be equal to the ratio of refrigerant mass flow rates through expansion devices associated with respective slabs. The ratio of flow factors, as presented in Fig. 39 of [22], satisfies this condition; hence flow factors are prescribed as input to the evaporator data file. In the most probable case, the two expansion devices are identical; in such situation two identical real numbers, say 1., satisfy the format of the READ statement and modeling requirements of EVSIM.

Line 3 contains general dimensions of a slab. The symbols used are explained graphically in Figure A2. Note that BSPACE denotes the distance between the edge of the open channel for air flow to the center of tube #1. Numbering of tubes should be done as shown in Figure A1 and Figure A2 for two-slab and one-slab coils, respectively. Numbering should start at the leftmost tube in the first tube row (facing the incoming air). Once the last tube of a given row is given a number, a consecutive number is given to the leftmost tube in the next depth row. It is not important at which coil side tube numbers are assigned so long as it is consistent with the later assignment of the air velocity profile for the coil.

Line 5 contains tube information. ISUR is an identifier for smooth or enhanced inner surface. Only for smooth surface are the heat transfer and pressure drop calculations performed using well establish correlations.

Because of the variety of enhanced surface and lack of confirmed correlations, the heat transfer and pressure drop for enhanced surfaces are evaluated by applying correction factors to smooth tube calculations (refer to section 3.4 and 3.6).

Line 6 groups air side fin data. Heat transfer for plain, wavy and lanced fins is calculated by separate, dedicated correlations. Wave pattern for wavy fins and strip set for lanced fins are assigned within subroutine AIRHT3 (see section 3.3).

Lines 8 through 20 describe refrigerant circuitry. Description of refrigerant circuitry depends on specification for every tube the tube which supplies it with refrigerant. It is done in the numerical order starting from the tube numbered as 1. in line 8, with ten tubes per line. Taking as an example the circuitry shown in Figure A1, since the first field of line 8 is designated for tube #1, tube # 2 shall be placed in this field since tube #2 feeds tube #1. If a given tube receives refrigerant from an inlet manifold, the input shall be zero. EVSIM can handle coils with up to 130 tubes per slab. Enter 999 in the data field if the tube does not exist.

Line 22 through 25 are for air velocity measurement data input. The data consist of location of the measurement and the air velocity at this location. The measurements should be taken in the central plane, perpendicular to coil tubes. Based on these measurements, EVSIM develops the air velocity profile in the central plane and uses it to evaluate the air mass flow rate for individual tubes in the assembly. Figure A3 shows how EVSIM

54

4+1-1+

utilizes the air velocity data. A velocity profile is created by straight line interpolation between the discrete data points. Note that the air velocity at the sides of the slab is assigned equal to velocities of respective leftmost and rightmost data points (VX(2,1) and VX(2,6) in Figure A3). The maximum data input is 16 points. The minimum data input is one point. The latter case results in prescription of a uniform velocity profile over the slab face.

It should be noted that air velocity data serve to establish the velocity profile only and are not used as input of air volumetric flow through the coil. The velocity profile is integrated to obtain a CFM value which is then compared by the program with CFMTOT to prorate local velocities so CFM and CFMTOT are equal.

A1.2 Data Read from a Terminal

Data read from a terminal (batch file) include:

 air parameters: dry bulb temperature relative humidity
 refrigerant parameters: inlet quality outlet saturation temperature outlet superheat
 program output controlling parameters.

This input is solicited by the program during program execution in the following format:

1.	Request: Response:	DATE: alphanumeric response up to 16 characters
2.	Request:	IPR = 0FOR MAIN RESULTS OUTPUT ON THE DEFAULT DEVICEIPR = 1FOR MAIN RESULTS OUTPUT TO FILE "RESULT"IDIA = 0FOR NO ADDITIONAL, DETAILED RESULTS OUTPUTIDIA = 1FOR ADDITIONAL, DETAILED RESULTS OUTPUTTOGETHER WITH MAIN RESULTSIDIA = 2FOR ADDITIONAL, DETAILED RESULTS OUTPUT TO FILES"DIAG" AND "DIAGO"

IPR, IDIA = Response: two integer numbers separated by a comma

- 4. Request: X1 = REFRIGERANT INLET QUALITY, (DECIMAL FRACTION)
   TSAT2= REFRIGERANT SAT. TEMP. AT COIL OUTLET, (F)
   TSUP2= REFRIGERANT SUPERHEAT AT THE COIL OUTLET, (F)
   X1, TSAT2, TSUP2 =
   Response: three real numbers separated by commas

#### <u>A2. Output Data</u>

EVSIM concludes a run when it converges on the imposed refrigerant saturation temperature and superheat at the evaporator outlet with the following convergence parameters:

saturation temperature:  $\pm$  0.05 °F superheat:  $\pm$  2.0 °F.

Once the model converges within 2.0 °F of superheat, the intermediate results from the last two iteration loops are used to interpolate the performance results to the superheat value specified in the input data. If the model is unable to converge, it still performs interpolation and provides a warning message.

A short results output and a detailed results output are available from EVSIM, as indicated in the previous section. The short results version contains a short summary of input data and coil performance information from the last iteration loop which include state information on refrigerant leaving the individual outlet tubes. The last part of the output is the coil performance at the requested superheat at the coil exit. An example of the

56

all have a second second

1.10.1044100

short results output is given in Appendix E.

A more detailed results output (IDIA=2) contains the intermediate results for individual iteration loops (file DIAG) and counters indicating the number of iteration loops required to converge in a given run (IDIAO). The option of a detailed output may be used if more specific information about the coil performance is sought; this output may be redesigned to suit an individual need. Table A1. Property Constants for Refrigerant 12 in the Input Format to Program EVSIM

**REFRIGERANT** 12 6.9330000E+02,5.9690000E+02,2.8700000E-02 4.5967000E+02,1.8505300E-01,2.7182818E+00 9.1835883E+01,-7.9131381E+03,-1.2471522E+01 1.0892245E-02,0.,0. 120.,312. 3.4840000E+01,1.8691368E+01,2.1983963E+01 5.3341175E+01,-3.1509939E+00,1. 5.000000E-01,3.3333333E-01,2. 8.8734000E-02,6.5093890E-03,0. -3.4097270E+00,1.5943480E-03,-5.6762767E+01 6.0239450E-02,-1.8796180E-05,1.3113990E+00 -5.4873700E-04,0.,0. 0.,3.4688340E-09,-2.5439070E-05 0.,0.,0. 0.,5.4750000E+00 8.0945000E-03,3.3266200E-04,-2.4138960E-07 6.7236300E-11,0.,0. 3.9556551E+01,-1.6537940E-02 0.75800014,-0.44230204E-02,0.22659166E-04 -0.80936502E-07,0.48639626E-09,-0.38992915E-11 0.16672029E+01,-0.37690766E-01,0.48997637E-03 -0.32598077E-05,0.10701433E-07,-0.14068541E-10 0.0262,5.8E-05,0. 0.,0.,0. -0.10065153E01,0.37970707E-01,-0.53504633E-03 0.36446277E-05,-0.12031578E-07,0.15478212E-10 0.49000002E-01,-0.11950213E-03,0.36320252E-07 0.52080296E-09,-0.31689972E-11,-0.31688932E-13 0.33482605E00,-0.10634881E-01,0.14902322E-03 -0.10213785E-05,0.34027736E-08,-0.44299642E-11 0.0043,1.7E-05,0. 0.,0.,0. -0.12814194E00,0.48691398E-02,-0.68452384E-04 0.46711199E-06,-0.15483721E-08,0.20037774E-11 0.21699998E00,0.14159610E-03,0.64704511E-06 0.55390004E-08,-0.13778530E-10,-0.17912061E-12 -0.15409625E01,0.64801723E-01,-0.91255037E-03 0.62140834E-05,-0.20422284E-07,0.26255064E-10

14 J. 4 . . . . .

 $(A,A,B) = \{a_1, a_2, a_3, b_1, a_3, b_1$ 

the second se
Table A2. Property Constants For Refrigerant 22 in the Input Format to Program EVSIM

**REFRIGERANT 22** 6,6450000E+02,7.2191000E+02,3.0525000E-02 4.5967000E+02,1.8505300E-01,2.7182818E+00 6.7598246E+01,-8.8538843E+03,-7.8610310E+00 5.0448235E-03.4.4574700E-01.6.8610000E+02 140.,240. 3.2760000E+01.5.4634409E+01.3.6748920E+01 -2.2292560E+01,2.0473289E+01,3.3333333E-01 6.6666667E-01.1.1.3333333E+00 1.2409800E-01,2.0000000E-03,0. -4.3535470E+00.2.4072520E-03.-4.4066868E+01 -1.7464000E-02,7.6278900E-05,1.4837630E+00 2.3101420E-03, -3.6057230E-06,0. -3.7240440E-05,5.3554650E-08,-1.8450510E-04 1.3633870E+08,-1.6726120E+05,0. 5.4820000E+02,4.2000000E+00 2.8128360E-02,2.2554080E-04,-6.5096070E-08 0.,0.,2.5734100E+02 6.2400900E+01,-4.5333500E-02 0.64600E+00,-0.29194E-02,0.12164E-04 -0.74985E-07,0.83951E-09,-0.37512E-11 0.69684E01,-0.24319E00,0.35924E-02 -0.26187E-04,0.93884E-07,-0.13301E-09 0.26600E-01,0.63804E-04,0.10761E-06 -0.32061E-08,0.43463E-10,-0.13175E-12 -0.66330E00,0.25757E-01,-0.37913E-03 0.27734E-05,-0.10053E-07,0.14474E-10 0.63000E-01,-0.15820E-03,-0.12289E-06 0.17453E-08,-0.52685E-11,-0.10857E-13 -0.46705E00.0.19421E-01.-0.28507E-03 0.20429E-05,-0.71963E-08,0.99460E-11 0.48000E-02,0.19881E-04,0.24815E-08 0.28518E-09,-0.62001E-11,0.31001E-13 0.51539E00,-0.18601E-01,0.26762E-03 -0.18936E-05,0.65891E-08,-0.90041E-11 0.27100E+00,0.24054E-03,0.38936E-07 0.23481E-07,-0.97345E-10,0.44953E-12 0.49002E00.-0.83123E-02.0.13105E-03 -0.96884E-06,0.36462E-08,-0.52089E-11

Table A3. Input Data Code for an Evaporator Assembly

The input data described below constitute a complete data set for a single slab evaporator. All input data are in Fortran free field input format with data values on the same line separated by commas.

<u>Line 1:</u>	COILID	
	COILID	= alphanumeric coil information, maximum 70 characters
<u>Line 2:</u>	NSLABS, II	EXP, CFMTOT
	NSLABS	= number of heat exchanger slabs in the coil assembly.
	TEVD	possible values: 1 or 2
	ILAI	<pre>= number of expansion devices in the assembly, possible values: 1 if NSLABS = 1</pre>
	CFMTOT	1  or  2  II NSLABS = 2 $= total volumetrie flow of size it at the statement of the statement of$
		( $ft^3/min$ )
<u>Line 3:</u>	SLABID	
	SLABID	= alphanumeric slab information, maximum 70 characters
<u>Line 4:</u>	BSIDE(1),	BSPACE(1), WIDTH(1) (see Figure A2)
	BSIDE(1)	= height of the coil, (in)
	BSPACE(1)	= distance between the edge of the coil and location of
	WIDTH(1)	tube # 1, (in)
	<i>"</i>	to the duct air, (in)
<u>Line 5:</u>	TPCH(1), D	PCH(1), $DI(1)$ , $DO(1)$ , $TMK(1)$ , $TSUR(1)$ , $(acc. Figure (2))$
	TPCH(1)	= tube pitch in each depth row. (in)
	DPCH(1)	= distance between neighboring tube depth rows, see Fig. A1, (in)
	DI(1)	= tube inside diameter, for grooved tubes use the minimum diameter, (in)
	DO(1)	= tube outside diameter, (in)
	TKM(1)	= thermal conductivity of a tube material, $(Btu/(ft \cdot h \cdot F))$
	ISUR(1)	= 1 for a smooth inner surface
		= 2 for an enhanced inner surface
Line 6:	FPCH(1), F	IK(1), $FMK(1)$ , $IFIN(1)$
	FPCH(1)	= center to center distance between fins. (in)
:	FTK(1)	= fin thickness, (in)
•	FMK(1)	= fin material thermal conductivity, ((Btu/ft•h•F))
	IFIN(1)	= 1 for flat fins
		= 2 for wavy fins
		= 5 for lanced fins

60

84 ] - +

Þ

1

16

i.

(4.5)

Line 7: NTUB(1,1), NTUB(1,2), NTUB(1,3), NTUB(1,4), NTUB(1,5), SFLOW(1) NTUB(1,1) = number of tubes in the first depth row (facing the incoming air) NTUB(1,2) = number of tubes in the second depth rowNTUB(1,3) = number of tubes in the third depth rowNTUB(1,4) = number of tubes in the forth depth rowNTUB(1,5) = number of tubes in the fifth depth row= expansion device flow factor SFLOW(1) <u>Line 8:</u> IFROM(1, I), I = 1,10 IFROM(1,1) = number of the tube from which tube 1 receives refrig. IFROM(1,2) = number of the tube from which tube 2 receives refrig. IFROM(1,3)IFROM(1,9)IFROM(1,10) = number of the tube from which tube 10 receives refrig. <u>Line 9:</u> IFROM(1,1), I = 11,20 IFROM(1,11) = number of the tube from which tube 11 receives refrig. IFROM(1,12) = number of the tube from which tube 12 receives refrig. IFROM(1,13)IFROM(1, 19)IFROM(1,20) = number of the tube from which tube 20 receives refrig. <u>Line 10:</u> IFROM(1,1), I = 21,30 <u>Line 11:</u> IFROM(1,I), I = 31,40 <u>Line 12:</u> IFROM(1, I), I = 41,50 <u>Line 13:</u> IFROM(1,I), I = 51,60 <u>Line 14:</u> IFROM(1,I), I = 61,70 <u>Line 15:</u> IFROM(1, I), I = 71,80 <u>Line 16:</u> IFROM(1, I), I = 81,90 <u>Line 17:</u> IFROM(1, I), I = 91,100 <u>Line 18:</u> IFROM(1, I), I = 101,110 <u>Line 19:</u> IFROM(1, I), I = 111,120 <u>Line 20:</u> IFROM(1, I), I = 121,130 Line 21: NTEST(1) = number of air velocity measurement points, NTEST(1) possible values: minimum 2, maximum 16

Line 22: X(1,N), N=1,8 X(1,1)= location of the first air velocity measurement point (distance between the edge of the slab closest to tube #1 and the velocity measuring probe, see Figure A3), (in) X(1,2)= location of the second air velocity measurement point X(1,3)= location of the third air velocity measurement point, if non-existent, input 0.0, (in) X(1,8)= location of the eight air velocity measurement point, if non-existent, input 0.0, (in) Line 22: X(1,N), N=9,16 X(1,9) = location of the ninth air velocity measurement point, if non-existent, input 0.0, (in) X(1,10)X(1, 16)= location of the sixteenth air velocity measurement point, if non-existent, input 0.0, (in) Line 23: VX(1,N), N=1,8 = air velocity at the first measurement point, VX(1,1) (ft/s) = air velocity at the second measurement point, (ft/s) VX(1,2)VX(1,3) = air velocity at the third measurement point, if non-existent, input 0.0, (ft/s) VX(1,8) = air velocity at the eight measurement point, if non-existent, input 0.0, (ft/s) <u>Line 25:</u> VX(N), N=9,16 VX(1,9) = air velocity at the ninth measurement point, (ft/s)VX(1,10)VX(1,16) = air velocity at the eight measurement point, (ft/s) if non-existent, input 0.0, (ft/s)

Line 25 completes data file for a one slab evaporator (slant coil). If two slab evaporator is considered (V-shape, A-shape coil), the data file has to contain additional 23 lines of data in which the second slab is described. In this case, lines 3 through 48 are dedicated to slab # 1, and identical lines 26 through 48 describe slab # 2. Subroutine RDATA3, which reads the evaporator data file, assigns index '2' instead of '1' when reading lines 26 through 48 (e.g., reads VX(2,16) instead VX(1,16)). Table A4 provides an example of a data file for an A-shape coil.

62

addition of the second second process of

1 a i :

lí.

- La contact

the network of the state of the

Table A4. Example of a Data File for an A-shape Coil Below is the coding of the coil shown in Figure A1. \*\*\*DTEV\*\*\* A-SHAPE COIL, 3 DEPTH ROWS, 16 TUBES PER ROW. 2,2,1120.0 \*\*\*DATA FOR SLAB # 1\*\*\* 16.0625,0.8125,17.875 1.00,0.875,0.363,0.394,223.,1 0.0789,0.008,128.,2 16,16,16,0,0,1. 2,3,19,5,6,22,23,7,8,25 10,27,12,30,14,15,33,17,18,4 37,21,39,0,9,11,28,45,13,31 32,48,34,35,36,20,38,41,40,24 42,25,26,43,44,29,46,47,999,999 999,999,999,999,999,999,999,999,999,999,999 999,999,999,999,999,999,999,999,999,999 999,999,999,999,999,999,999,999,999,999 999,999,999,999,999,999,999,999,999,999 999,999,999,999,999,999,999,999,999,999,999 999,999,999,999,999,999,999,999,999,999 999,999,999,999,999,999,999,999,999,999,999 999,999,999,999,999,999,999,999,999,999,999 6 1.0,4.,7.,10.,12.2,14.8,0.0,0.0 0.,0.,0.,0.,0.,0.,0.,0. 3.3,4.8,6.1,4.5,4.2,4.4,0.,0. 0.,0.,0.,0.,0.,0.,0.,0. \*\*\*DATA FOR SLAB # 2\*\*\* 16.0625,0.8125,17.875 1.00,0.875,0.363,0.394,223,1 0.0789,0.008,128.,2 16,16,16,0,0,1. 2,3,19,5,6,22,23,7,8,25 10,27,12,30,14,15,33,17,18,4 37,21,39,0,9,11,28,45,13,31 32,48,34,35,36,20,38,41,40,24 42,25,26,43,44,29,46,47,999,999 999,999,999,999,999,999,999,999,999,999,999 999,999,999,999,999,999,999,999,999,999,999 999,999,999,999,999,999,999,999,999,999,999 999,999,999,999,999,999,999,999,999,999,999 999,999,999,999,999,999,999,999,999,999,999 999.999.999.999.999.999.999.999.999.999.999 999,999,999,999,999,999,999,999,999,999,999 999,999,999,999,999,999,999,999,999,999,999 1.0,4.,7.,10.,12.2,14.8,0.0,0.0 0.,0.,0.,0.,0.,0.,0.,0.,0. 4.1,4.9,4.3,2.9,3.9,3.3,0.,0. 0..0..0..0..0..0..0..0..0.













81 J.J.

## APPENDIX B. Listing of the Program, EVSIM

The list of functions and subroutines used in EVSIM is given in Table B1. Figures B1 and B2 present flow charts of the main program and the evaporator simulation subroutine, respectively. The following pages contain listing of the main program, and subroutines and functions in the alphabetical order. Table B1. Functions and Subroutines used in EVSIM

NAME	PURPOSE
AIRPR	Calculate properties of wet air
AIRHT3	Calculate air side heat transfer coefficient for flat, wavy
	or lanced fin
BALFL1	Adjust refrigerant flow distribution in an evaporator based
	on pressure drop in different circuits
CPCV	Calculate specific heat at constant volume and at constant
	pressure, specific heat ratio, and sonic velocity of refrigerant
	vapor from temperature and pressure
DATAIN	Read file DATAREF with refrigerant constants
DISTR2	Determine air distribution for each tube of the coil
DYNADP	Calculate dynamic pressure drop for a flow in a tube
EVGUNG	Calculate forced-convection evaporative heat transfer coefficient
EVPDP2	Calculate frictional evaporation pressure drop in a tube
EVPHX2	Simulate performance of an evaporator coil
FINCON	Calculate the thermal conductance for a fin-to-fin contact
FINEF2	Calculate fin efficiency
FRACT	Calculate refrigerant distribution in a split point based
	on pressure drop in the downstream circuits
HYDDIA	Calculate hydraulic diameter for air flow through a slab
ITRPR2	Calculate refrigerant vapor thermodynamic properties from given
	pressure and specific volume or enthalpy or entropy
MIXAIR	Calculate properties of the air stream resulted from
	the mixing process of two wet air streams
OVLWET	Calculate overall neat transfer coefficient for a wet
מיישי וו ווו	finned tube
PRWEIZ	and anthalay
0010713	And encharpy Read file DTEW with evenerator coil data and prepare
KDAIAD	these data for FUPHY?
CATD.	Calculate refrigerant saturation pressure from given temperature
CATTDD	Calculate refrigerant dynamic viscosity and thermal conductivity
SAIIK	for liquid and vanor and liquid specific heat at saturation
ፍልጥጥ	Calculate refrigerant saturation temperature from given pressure
SATUR	Calculate specific volume of saturated liquid refrigerant from
DAIVI	given temperature
SPHDP	Calculate pressure drop for a single-phase flow in a smooth tube
SPHDP1	Calculate pressure drop for a single-phase flow in a smooth tube
SPHTC	Calculate force-convection single-phase heat transfer coefficient
0	in a smooth tube
TRACE3	Estimate refrigerant distribution in an evaporator coil based on
	circuitry configuration
VPSV	Calculate specific volume of vapor from given temperature and
	pressure
VPVHS	Calculate refrigerant vapor thermodynamic properties from given
	temperature and pressure
WATPR	Calculate water and frost properties
	• •

\_ \_ \_ \_ \_

- 141-00

lef francisco e se a la contra

1 1



Figure B1. Logic of the main program of EVSIM.

69



- refrig. enthalpy obtained from present iteration

- $H_{T}^{*}$  refrig. enthalpy obtained from previous iteration
  - refrig. enthalpy at coil exit obtained from
  - refrig. enthalpy at coil exit obtained from previous iteration loop

Figure B2. Logic of the evaporator simulation subroutine, EVPHX2.

6 I. F

s a stelae

70

- i

```
H1 = HF1 + X1 + (H1 - HF1)
C++++ CALC. REQUIRED EXIT ENTHALPY, H2REQ
      PSAT=SATP(TSAT2)
       T2REQ=TSAT2+TSUP2
      CALL VPVHS(2, T2REQ, PSAT, VG2, H2REQ, SG2, HFG)
       CALL CPCV(T2REQ, PSAT, CP, CV, GA, SO)
      PSLOPE=1.
C***
                                                 ************
                               ..........
C++++ START ITERATIONS
       IF(IDIA.NE.0) WRITE(IDIA0,*)'*DATA PREPARATION COMPLETED'
C++++
       IF(IDIA.NE.0) WRITE(IDIA0,*)'****ITERATION STARTS****'
      DO 110 N=1,10
      DO 107 IIO=1, IEXP
      DO 105 M=1,5
      IF(IDIA.NE.0) WRITE(IDIA0,+)'N= ',N,'
                                                     M=',M,'
                                                                  SLAB # ',IIO
C+++++
          CALL EVPHX2(KSLABS, IIO, RMASS, T1, P1, H1, TAIR, 14.7, RH,
                        T2, P2, H2, X2, S2, QL)
     k
*****************
      TG2=SATT(P2)
       IF(IDIA.NE.0) WRITE(IDIA,*)'*TG2 =',TG2
      PD=P2-PSAT
       IF(ABS(TG2-TSAT2).LT.0.05)GOTO 106
       IF (M. EQ. 1) THEN
          P1N=P1-PD+PSLOPE
       ELSE
          PSLOPE=(P1A-P1)/(PDA-PD)
          P1N=P1-PD+PSLOPE
       END IF
      P1A=P1
      P1=P1N
       T1=SATT(P1)
  105 PDA=PD
  WRITE(IPR,+)' LOOP 105 DID NOT CONVERGE, IIO,PD =',IIO,PD
106 IF(IEXP.EQ.2)THEN
          IF(IIO.EQ.1)THEN
             H22=H2
             P22=P2
             QL1=QL
          ELSE
             H2=H22+SFLOW(1)+H2+SFLOW(2)
P2=P22+SFLOW(1)+P2+SFLOW(2)
              QL=QL+QL1
          END IF
       END IF
  107 CONTINUE
       H2DIF=H2-H2REQ
       IF(IDIA.NE.0) WRITE(IDIA, +)'++++++H2DIF=',H2DIF
       RMS(N)=RMASS
      HDS(N)=H2DIF
QLS(N)=QL
       P2S(N)=P2
       IF(N.GT.2)THEN
          IF(ABS(HDS(N-1)).LT.5.*CP.AND.ABS(H2DIF).LT.2.*CP)GOTO 150
       END IF
      IF (N. EQ. 1.) THEN
RMASSN=RMASS+(H2-H1)/(H2REQ-H1)
       ELSE
          RMASSN=RMASSA-H2DIFA+(RMASS-RMASSA)/(H2DIF-H2DIFA)
      END IF
      IF (RMASSN.GT.RMASS)RMASSN=AMIN1(RMASSN,1.5+RMASS)
IF (RMASSN.LT.RMASS)RMASSN=AMAX1(RMASSN,0.7+RMASS)
       P1=PSAT+(P1-P2)+(RMASSN/RMASS)++2
       RMASSA=RMASS
       RMASS=RMASSN
  110 H2DIFA=H2DIF
       IF(IDIA.NE.0) WRITE(IDIA,+)'+++++++
                                                                 *************
WRITE(IPR, *)'LOOP 110 DID NOT CONVERGE'
C++++ CALC. & PRINT RESULTS FOR THE LAST ITERATION LOOP
  150 QT=RMASS+(H2-H1)
      DTIT=2.
      PP2=P2
```

CALL PHWET2(PP2,H2,DTIT,0.001,T2,V2,S2,X2,TG2) TSUP=T2-TG2 WRITE(IPR, 900) WRITE(IPR, \*) WRITE(IPR, \*) WRITE(IPR, \*) SIMULATION RESULTS' WRITE(IPR, 900) 900 FORMAT( \*\*\*\* \*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\* 1'\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\* WRITE(IPR, \*)'\*RESULTS FROM THE LAST ITERATION LOOP:' WRITE(IPR, +) WRITE(IPR, 905)QT 905 FORMAT (' TOTAL CAPACITY: ',1F7.0,' BTU/H') WRITE( ÌPR, 907)QL 907 FORMAT(' LATENT CAPACITY:',1F7.0,' BTU/H') WRITE(IPR,910)RMASS 910 FORMAT(' REFRIGERANT MASS FLOW RATE:', 1F6.1,' LB/H') WRITE(IPR, +)' REFRIGERANT PARAMETERS AT THE COIL OUTLET: ' WRITE(IPR,915)TG2 915 FORMAT (9X, 'SATURATION TEMPERATURE: ', 1F6.1, ' F') WRITE(IPR, 920)TSUP 920 FORMAT (22X, 'SUPERHEAT: ', 1F6.1, ' F') WRITE(IPR, 925)P2 925 FORMAT (23X, 'PRESSURE: ', 1F6.1,' PSIA') WRITE(IPR,930)H2 930 FORMAT (23X, 'ENTHALPY:', 1F6.1, ' BTU/LB') C++++ PREPARE AND PRINT REFRIGERANT INFO FOR INDIVIDUAL OUTLET TUBES WRITE(IPR,\*) WRITE(IPR,\*)' INFORMATION ON REFRIGERANT LEAVING OUTLET TUBES' WRITE(IPR,\*) WRITE(IPR,940) FORMAT(/, CLAR #' 3Y 'TURE #' 6Y 'P' 8X 'T' 6X.'TSUP'.6X.'X',7 940 FORMAT(' SLAB #',3X,'TUBE #',6X,'P',8X,'T',6X,'TSUP',6X,'X',7X, 1 'RMS',/,' (-)',6X,'(-)',5X,'(PSIA)',5X,'(F)',6X,'(F)',5X, 2 '(-)',4X,'(LB/H)') DO 160 IIO-1 NSLABS DO 160 L=1,NOUT(IIO) I=IOUT(IIO,L) HOUT=HR(110,1) POUT=PRM(110,2,1) CALL PHWET2(POUT, HOUT, DTIT, 0.001, T2, V2, S2, X2, TG2) RMSI=RMASS\*FLOW(IIO, I) TSUP=T2-TG2 160 WRITE(IPR,944)IIO, I, POUT, T2, TSUP, X2, RMSI 944 FORMAT(15,1X,19,1X,1F10.2,1F8.1,1F9.1,1F9.3,1F9.2) C\*\*\*\* CALC. AND PRINT RESULTS FOR REQUESTED SUPERHEAT IF(H2DIF.NE.Ø.)THEN HDH=HDS(N) RMSH=RMS(N) P2H=P2S(N) QLH=QLS(N) HDL=HDS(N-1) RMSL=RMS(N-1) P2L=P2S(N-1) QLL=QLS(N-1) DO 120 I=1,N-2 IF(HDH.NE.HDL)GOTO 122 HDL=HDS(N-1-I) RMSL=RMS(N-1-1) P2L=P2S(N-1-I) QLL=QLS(N-1-I) 120 122 H2L=H2RÈQ+HDL H2H=H2REQ+HDH HSLOPE=(H2REQ-H2L)/(H2H-H2L) RMASS=RMSL+(RMSH-RMSL)+HSLOPE P2=P2L+(P2H-P2L)+HSLOPE QL=QLL+(QLH-QLL)+HSLOPE H2=H2REQ END IF QT=RMASS+(H2-H1) DTIT=2. PP2=P2 CALL PHWET2(PP2, H2, DTIT, 0.001, T2, V2, S2, X2, TG2) TSUP=T2-TG2

entent in the state of the process

en la la companya de la companya de

state in the first of the state of the state

C****	PRINT RESULTS
	WRITE(IPR,+)
	WRITE(IPR,900)
	WRITE(IPR, 902)TSUP2
902	FORMAT(' *RESULTS FOR THE REQUESTED REFRIGERANT SUPERHEAT: ', F5.1)
	WRITE(IPR, *)' (INTERPOLATED FROM RESULTS OF LAST TWO ITERATIONS)'
	WRITE(IPR.*)
	WRITE(IPR.905)QT
	WRITE(IPR,907)QL
	WRITE(IPR,910)RMASS
	WRITE(IPR, *)' REFRIGERANT PARAMETERS AT THE COIL OUTLET:
	WRITE(IPR,915)TG2
	WRITE(IPR,920)TSUP
	WRITE(IPR,925)P2
	WRITE(IPR,930)H2
	WRITE(IPR,900)
	STOP
	END

\$

```
SUBROUTINE AIRPR(I,T,PATM,RH,W,CP,R,AM,AK)
 С
 C++++ PURPOSE:
Ċ
C
          TO CALCULATE AIR PROPERTIES
          JANUARY 17, 1989
С
C++++ INPUT DATA:
С
         I
               = 1
                      IF RELATIVE HUMIDITY IS GIVEN
                                                           (-)
С
               = 2
                      IF HUMIDITY RATIO IS GIVEN
                                                      (-)
         T - AIR TEMPERATURE
PATH - AIR PRESSURE (
С
                                      (--)
С
                                  (PSÌA)
         RH - RELATIVE HUMIDITY IN FRACTION (IF I=1)
С
               - HUMIDITY RATIO (IF I=2) (LBM H20/LBM DRY AIR)
С
         W
С
    ** OUTPUT DATA:
C+
С
         AK
               - AIR THERMAL CONDUCTIVITY (BTU/H+F+FT)
С
               - AIR DYNAMIC VISCOSITY
         AM
                                             (LBM/FT+H)
               - AIR SPEC. HEAT AT CONSTANT PRESSURE
С
         CP
                                                             (BTU/LBM+F)
Č
               - GAS CONSTANT OF AIR (LBF+FT/LBM+R)
         R
c
c
              - RELATIVE HUMIDITY IN FRACTION (FOR I=2)
- HUMIDITY RATIO (FOR I=1) (LBM H20/LBM
         RH
                                                                 (-)
         W
                                              (LBM H20/LBM DRY AIR)
С
С
      DOUBLE PRECISION TR,Z,PSAT,P,WD,RHD,PW,CPD
      TR=T+460.
      Z=1000./TR
IF(TR.GE.492.)GOT010
      PSAT=DEXP(0.03940+Z++3-0.2755+Z+Z-10.431+Z+19.509)
      GOT030
   10 IF(TR.GE.672.)GOT020
      PSAT=DEXP(0.17829+Z+Z+Z-1.6896+Z+Z-5.0988+Z+13.4353)
      GOTO30
   20 PSAT=DEXP(0.71692*Z**4-4.01506*Z**3+7.5568*Z*Z-14.2131*Z+16.8255)
   30 IF(I.EQ.2)THEN
          P=W+PATM/(0.6198D0+W)
          RHD=P/PSAT
          RH-RHD
         WD-W
      ELSE
         RHD=RH
          IF(RH.GE.0.00001)GOTO40
         ₩-0.
         WD-0.D0
         GOT050
  40
         PW=RHD+PSAT
         WD=0.6198+PW/(PATM-PW)
         ₩-₩D
  50
         CONTINUE
      END IF
      CPD=0.2478786-0.4204563E-04+TR+0.5767857E-07+TR++2
    & -0.1493056E-10*TR**3
CP=(CPD+0.444*WD)/(1.+WD)
R=(53.34+85.76*WD)/(1.+WD)
AM=5.5029E-03+8.7157E-05*TR-2.9464E-08*TR**2
    & +6.250E-12+TR++3
     AK=-2.853E-04+3.268E-05+TR-8.253E-09+TR+TR
    & +1.239E-12+TR++3
     RETURN
     END
```

ուն հերումը՝ խորուլի ուղիներին, յուս սիսվանում ու նին երկերին է վելել վել ունենն ու խանների շատությանան։

```
FUNCTION AIRHT3 (IROW, DO, TPCH, DPCH, FPCH, FTK, WIDTH, DH, NDEP, IFIN,
                         AMASS, AVIS, ACP, AK)
C
C**** PURPOSE: TO CALCULATE DRY AIR-SIDE HEAT TRANSFER COEFFICIENT
                 FOR A TUBE WITH FLAT, WAVY, AND LANCED PLATE FINS.
C
                 SEPTEMBER 22 .1988.
C
С
C++++ NOTE: THIS FUNCTION CALCULATES H.T.C FOR A TUBE DEPENDING
             ON THE DEPTH LOCATION OF THE TUBE IN THE SLAB.
C
             GEOMETRIES ARE ASSUMED FOR WAVY & STRIP FINS.
С
С
  *** INPUT DATA:
C+:
С
               - AIR SPEC. HEAT AT CONSTANT PRESSURE
         ACP
                                                            (BTU/LBM+F)
                - AIR THERMAL CONDUCTIVITY
С
         AK
                                               (BTU/H+FT+F)
         AMASS - AIR MASS FLOW RATE ASSOCIATED WITH THE TUBE (LBM/H)
С
С
         AVIS - AIR DYNAMIC VISCOSITY
                                            (LBM/FT+H)
        DH - HYDRAULIC DIAMETER OF THE SLAB (FT)
DO - TUBE OUTSIDE DIAMETER (FT)
DPCH - TUBE DEPTH PITCH (FT)
Ċ
С
Ċ
         FPCH - FIN PITCH
                               (FT)
č
               - FIN THICKNESS
                                    (FT)
         FTK
               - FIN DESIGN DESCRIPTOR
С
         IFIN
                                            (-)
               = 1 FOR A FLAT FIN
= 2 FOR A WAVY FIN
С
Č
С
                = 3 FOR AN ENHANCED FIN (LANCED, STRIPPED)
               - DEPTH ROW LOCATION OF THE TUBE
- NUMBER OF DEPTH ROWS IN THE SLAB
С
         IROW
С
         NDEP
С
         TPCH - TUBE PITCH IN THE ROW
                                             (FT)
         WIDTH - HEAT EXCHANGER WIDTH (TUBE LENGHT)
C
                                                         (FT)
С
   ** OUTPUT DATA:
C+
       AIRHT3 - DRY AIR-SIDE HEAT TRANSFER COEFFICIENT (BTU/H+F+FT++2)
С
С
      COMMON/PRINT/IPR
      REAL JM, JN, J4, JTUBE, NUF, NUW
      DATA PI/3.1415927/, IERR/0/
С
REFERENCE: GRAY, D.L. AND WEBB, R.L., HEAT TRANSFER AND FRICTION
C++++
C
                   CORRELATIONS FOR PLATE FINNED-TUBE HEAT EXCHANGERS
                   HAVING PLAIN FINS, PROC. OF EIGHTH INT. H.T.
C
С
                   CONFERENCE, SAN FRANCISCO, 1986.
       FN=WIDTH/FPCH
      AREAC=(TPCH-DO) + (WIDTH-FN+FTK)
      GC=AMASS/AREAC
      RED-GC+DO/AVIS
       IF(RED.LT.500..OR.RED.GT.24700.)THEN
         WRITE(10,+)'AIRHT3, RED LIMIT 500-24700, RED =', RED
      END IF
SPACE=FPCH-FTK
      TR=REAL(IROW)
      TR=AMINI(TR,4.)
      J4=0.14*RED**(-.32B)*(TPCH/DPCH)**(-.502)*(SPACE/DO)**0.0312
JN=J4*0.991*(2.24*RED**(-.092)*(TR/4.)**(-.031))**(.607*(4.-TR))
       IF(TR.EQ.1.)THEN
          JTUBE=JN
      ELSE
          JM=(2.24+RED++(-.092)+((TR-1.)/4.)++(-.031))++(.607+(5.-TR))
          JM=J4+0.991+JM
          JTUBE=TR+JN-(TR-1.)+JM
      END IF
      PR=AVIS+ACP/AK
      AIRHT3=JTUBE+GC+ACP/PR++.66667
       IF(IFIN.EQ.3)THEN
      LOUVERED/LANCED FINS **********
C****
C**** REFERENCE: NAKAYAMA, W. AND XU, L.P., ENHANCED FINS FOR AIR-
                   COOLED HEAT EXCHANGERS - HEAT TRANSFER AND FRICTION
FACTOR CORRELATIONS, ASME-JSME THERMAL ENGINEERING
С
С
                   JOINT CONFERENCE, PROCEEDINGS, PP. 495-510, ASME. NY.
С
                   MARCH 1983.
С
          REH-GC+DH/AVIS
          NOTE: CORRELATION IS GOOD FOR FIS IN THE RANGE 0.2 - 0.35
C++++
```

```
77
```

```
FIS=0.275
             IF(IERR.EQ.0)THEN
                 IERR=1
                GAP=SPACE+3048.
                 IF(GAP.LT.0.15.OR.GAP.GT.0.2)WRITE(10,+)'AIRHT3,
            GAP LIMIT IS 0.15 - 0.2 MM , GAP = ', GAP
       1
                FP=FPCH+3048.
                IF(FP.LT.1.8.OR.FP.GT.2.5)WRITE(10,+)'AIRHT3,
            FIN PITCH LIMIT IS 1.8 - 2.5 MM, FP =', FP
IF(REH.LT.250..OR.REH.GT.3000.)WRITE(10,+)'AIRHT3,
       1
            REH LIMIT IS 250 - 3000, REH =', REH
       1
            END IF
            FJ=1.+1093.*(FTK/SPACE)**1.24*FIS**0.944*REH**(-0.58)+
            1.097*(FTK/SPACE)**2.09*FIS**2.26*REH**0.88
       1
            AIRHT3=AIRHT3+FJ
        END IF
        IF(IFIN.EQ.2)THEN
***********
C**** REFERENCE: TRAUGER, P. AND WEBB, R.L., A CORRELATION FOR THE
C AIR SIDE HEAT TRANSFER COEFFICIENT FOR A WAVY FIN,
                      TO BE PUBLISHED, 1988.
THIS CORRELATION WAS DEVELOPED FOR 3 DEPTH ROW H-X.
С
С
C++++ ASSUMPTIONS: 1. TWO FIN WAVES PER DEPTH PITCH
                        2. WAVE DEPTH EQUALS THE SPACE BETWEEN FINS
C
           DC=DO+2.+FTK
           SP2=DPCH/2.
           SD=SPACE
           BETA=0.25+PI+DC+DC/(TPCH+DPCH)
           GAMMA=SQRT(1.+4.*(SD/SP2)**2)
           DHW=2.*SPACE*(1.-BETA)/(GAMMA*(1.-BETA)+2.*SPACE*BETA/DC)
DHF=2.*SPACE*(1.-BETA)/(1.-BETA+2.*SPACE*BETA/DC)
REDF=AMASS*DHF/(AVIS*AREAC*(1.-BETA))
REDW=AMASS*DHW/(AVIS*AREAC*(1.-BETA))
           DEP=REAL(NDEP)
           GZW=REDW+PR+DHW/(DPCH+DEP)
GZF=REDF+PR+DHF/(DPCH+DEP)
           IF (GZW. LT. 25.) THEN
NUW=0.5+GZW++.86+(TPCH/DC)++.11+(SPACE/DC)++(-.09)
               NUW=NUW+(SD/DPCH)++.12+(SP2/DPCH)++(-.34)
               NUF=0.4+GZF++0.73+(SPACE/DC)++(-0.23)+3.++0.23
           ELSE
               NUW=0.83+GZW++0.76+(TPCH/DC)++.13+(SPACE/DC)++(-.16)
               NUW=NUW+(SD/DPCH)++.25+(SP2/DPCH)++(-.43)
NUF=0.53+GZF++0.62+(SPACE/DC)++(-0.23)+3.++0.31
           END IF
           CF=NUF/GZF
          CW-NUW/GZW
           IF(CF.GE..5.OR.CW.GE..5)THEN
              WRITE(10,+)'AIRHT3, IFIN=2, TOO LOW AIR FLOW RATE'
WRITE(10,+)'FLAT FIN H.T.C ASSUMED'
          ELSE
              NUF=.25+GZF+ALOG((1.+2.+NUF/GZF)/(1.-2.+NUF/GZF))
NUW=.25+GZW+ALOG((1.+2.+NUW/GZW)/(1.-2.+NUW/GZW))
               FJ=NUW/NUF
              AIRHT3=AIRHT3+FJ
          END IF
      END IF
      RETURN
      END
```

whet is a second of the test second of the test of the second sec

showing sea

A Line F

1 . . . .

section leaf section (in a particular p

SUBROUTINE BALFL1(KSLABS, III) С C++++ PURPOSE: TO ADJUST REFRIGERANT FLOW DISTRIBUTION IN AN EVAPORATOR Ċ BASED ON PRESSURE DROP AT DIFFERENT CIRCUITS. THE PROGRAM CAN HANDLE SINGLE SLAB AND TWO SLAB (A & V) COILS. С С Ĉ 10-14-1988 С C++++ INPUT DATA: С IFROM(IIO.J) - NUMBER OF THE TUBE FROM WHICH TUBE J RECEIVES REFRIGERANT. IF THE TUBE IS CONNECTED TO THE INLET MAINFOLD, IFROM IS SET TO 0. = 1 FOR THE FIRST SLAB = 2 FOR THE SECOND SLAB (-) III ( KSLABS - NUMBER OF SLABS IN THE EVAPORATOR ASSEMBLY TO BE CONSIDERED (KSLABS=2 OVERRIDES SPECIFICATION OF III) (-)  $\overline{\{-\}}$ - NUMBER OF SPLIT POINTS (-) - NUMBER OF THE TUBE CONNECTED TO THE OUTLET IMER(IIO) IOUT(IIO.L) MANIFOLD, FOUND AS L SUCH TUBE (-) KFEED(IIO,J,N) - NUMBER OF THE TUBE RECEIVING REFRIGERANT NUMBER OF THE TUBE RECEIVING REFRIGERANT FROM TUBE J, FOUND AS N SUCH TUBE. NOTE THAT TUBE J CAN FEED UP TO 3 TUBES (N CAN BE 1,2 AND 3). KFEED IS SET TO -1 IF J TUBE FEEDS THE DISCHARGE MANIFOLD. KFEED IS SET TO Ø IF A TUBE IS NOT FED. (-) KSTART(IIO,N) - NUMBER OF THE TUBE CONNECTED TO THE INLET MANIFOLD, FOUND AS N SUCH TUBE (-) - NUMBER OF TUBES CONNECTED TO THE INLET KST(110) MANIFOLD (-) MERGE(IIO,K,1) - NUMBER OF THE TUBE WHICH FEEDS A SPLIT POINT, MERGE(110,K,1) - NUMBER OF TUBES FED BY THE TUBE K (-) MERGE(110,K,2) - NUMBER OF TUBES FED BY THE TUBE K (-) NDEP(110) - NUMBER OF TUBES CONNECTED TO THE SLAB NOUT(110) - NUMBER OF TUBES CONNECTED TO THE OUTLET MANIFOLD (-NTPS(IIO) - NUMBER OF TUBES IN THE SLAB (-) - REFRIG. PRESSURE AT INLET OF TUBE I (PSIA) - REFRIG. PRESSURE AT OUTLET OF TUBE I (PS PRM(IIO,1,I) PRM(IIO,2,I) (PŠIA) C++ ++ OUTPUT DATA: С FLOW(IIO, J) - FRACTION OF COIL TOTAL REFRIG. MASS FLOW С PASSING THROUGH TUBE J (-) С C++++ SUBPROGRAMS CALLED BY BALFL1: FRACT C COMMON/HPHX/NSLABS,NDEP(2),NROW(2),DI(2),DO(2),DT(2),RPCH(2), & DPCH(2),WIDTH(2),FPCH(2),FTK(2),FMK(2),TMK(2),CFM1,BSIDE(2), & NTUB(2,5),IFROM(2,130),NTPS(2),BSPACE(2), & ACFM(2),IFIN(2),ISUR(2),SFLOW(2) COMMON/MERG/MERGE(2,20,2),IMER(2),IOUT(2,20),NOUT(2), & IDEPTH(2,130),FLOW(2,130),KFEED(2,130,3),KSTART(2,130),KST(2) COMMON/MASS/PRM(2,2,130) DIMENSION LEFT(20),PC(2,130),RN(20),F(20),ITUBE(20),ISEE(20) С C++++ FIND REFRIGERANT FLOW DISTRIBUTION С II1=III DO 120 IDO-1,KSLABS IF(KSLABS.EQ.1)THEN 110-111 ELSE IIO-IDO END IF DO 65 I=1, IMER(IIO) 65 LEFT(I)-MERGE(110,1,2) DO 70 1=1,NTPS(IIO) 70 PC(IIO,I)=0. DO 120 IL=1,NOUT(IIO) I=IOUT(IIO,IL) POUT=PRM(II0,2,I) DO 100 IT=1.NTPS(IIO)

```
IP=IFROM(IIO,I)
        IF(IP.EQ.0)THEN
          PC(IIO, I)=POUT
          GOTO 120
       END IF
       IF(KFEED(IIO, IP, 2).EQ.0)THEN
          I=IF
         GOTO 100
       END IF
       PC(IIO, I)=POUT
       DO 75 IM-1, IMER(IIO)
    75 IF(IP.EQ.MERGE(110, 1M, 1))GOTO 77
    77 LEFT(IM)=LEFT(IM)-1
       IF(LEFT(IM).GT.0)GOTO 120
       POUT=0.
       PUP=PRM(IIO,2,IP)
NSPLIT=MERGE(IIO,IM,2)
       DO 90 I1=1,NSPLIT
       N=KFEED(IIO, IP, I1)
   POUT=POUT+PC(IIO,N)*FLOW(IIO,N)/FLOW(IIO,IP)
90 RN(I1)=(PUP-PC(IIO,N))/FLOW(IIO,N)**1.75
       CALL FRACT (NSPLIT, RN, F)
       DO 92 I1=1,NSPLIT
       N=KFEED(IIO, IP, I1)
    92 FLOW(IIO,N)=F(I1)
       I=IP
  100 CONTINUE
  120 CONTINUE
       IF(KSLABS.EQ.1)THEN
          NSTART=KST(110)
       ELSE
          NSTART=KST(1)+KST(2)
       END IF
       DO 130 I=1,NSTART
       IF(KSLABS.EQ.1)THEN
          II0=II1
          N=KSTART(IIO,I)
       ELSE
          IF(I.LE.KST(1))THEN
              II0=1
             N=KSTART(1,I)
          ELSE
              I I 0=2
             N1=KST(1)
             N=KSTART(2, I-N1)
          END IF
       END IF
  130 RN(I)=(PRM(IIO,1,N)-PC(IIO,N))/FLOW(IIO,N)**1.75
       CALL FRACT (NSTART, RN, F)
      DO 132 I=1,NSTART
       IF(KSLABS.EQ.1)THEN
          N=KSTART(IIO,I)
       ELSE
          IF(I.LE.KST(1))THEN
             II0=1
             N=KSTART(1,I)
          ELSE
             110-2
             N1=KST(1)
             N=KSTART(2, I-N1)
          END IF
      END IF
  132 FLOW(IIO,N)=F(I)
С
C++++ ASSIGN REFRIGERANT DISTRIBUTION, FLOW(IIO,I)
      ISTORE=0
      IIO=II1
      DO 170 IDO=1,KSLABS
      IF(KSLABS.EQ.2)IIO=IDO
      DO 136 I=1, IMER(IIO)
ITUBE(I)=0
  136 ISEE(I)=0
      DO 160 IS=1,KST(IIO)
```

80

1.8

and the second second

look (i gao

5 . 4.4: H ~

en in ken om anderen besenderen.

I=KSTART(IIO, IS) IL=1 IL=1 DO 150 IO=1,NOUT(IIO) DO 145 IT=1,NTPS(IIO) IN1=KFEED(IIO,I,IL) IF(IN1.EQ.-1)THEN IF(ISTORE.GT.0)THEN I=ITUBE(ISTORE) IL=ISEE(ISTORE) ISTORE=ISTORE-1 GOTO 150 GOTO 150 END IF GOTO 160 END IF IF(IL.GT.1)GOTO 137 DO 135 I1=2,3 IN2=KFEED(II0,I,I1) IF(IN2.EQ.0)GOTO 137 ISTORE=ISTORE+1 ITUBE(ISTORE)=I 135 ISEE(ISTORE)=I1 137 IN2=KFEED(IIO,I,2) IF(IN2.GT.0)THEN FLOW(IIO, IN1)=FLOW(IIO, IN1)+FLOW(IIO, I) ELSE FLOW(IIO, IN1)=FLOW(IIO, I) END IF I=IN1 IL=1 145 CONTINUE 150 CONTINUE 160 CONTINUE 170 CONTINUE IF(KSLABS.EQ.1)THEN DO 180 I=1,NTPS(IIO) FLOW(IIO,I)=FLOW(IIO,I)\*SFLOW(IIO) 180 END IF RETURN END

- ----

-

```
SUBROUTINE CPCV(TS,P,CP,CV,GAMMA,SONIC)
 С
          PURPOSE:
 C++++
 С
             TO CALCULATE FOR REFRIGERANT VAPOR
            SPECIFIC HEAT AT CONSTANT PRESSURE,
SPECIFIC HEAT AT CONSTANT VOLUME,
SPECIFIC HEAT RATIO AND SONIC VELOCITY.
 С
 С
 С
 C++++ JANUARY 9, 1989
 С
 C++++ INPUT DATA:
 С
            TS
                    - REFRIG. VAPOR TEMPERATURE
                                                              (F)
 С
            Ρ
                    - REFRIG. VAPOR PRESSURE
                                                          (PSÌA)
 С
            REFRIG. CONSTANTS - REFER TO THE MAIN PROGRAM.
 С
 C++++ OUTPUT DATA:
 С
                   - SPEC. HEAT AT CONSTANT PRESSURE
            CP
                                                                      (BTU/LBM+F)
 С
            CV
                   - SPEC. HEAT AT CONSTANT VOLUME
                                                                   (BTU/LBM+F)
C
            GAMMA - SPEC. HEAT RATIO
                                              (-)
(FT/SEC)
            SONIC - SONIC VELOCITY
С
С
     ** SUBPROGRAMS CALLED BY CPCV:
C+
С
           SATT, VPSV
С
        DOUBLE PRECISION Z, Z2, Z3, V2, V3, V4, V5, V6, AKTTC, AXTTC, FDPDV,
       1 FDPDT, DPDT, FCCV, CVD, CPD, GAMMAD
        COMMON/PRINT/IPR
COMMON/CONST/TC, PC, VC, TFR, AJ, EEP
        COMMON/STATE/A1, B1, C1, A2, B2, C2, A3, B3, C3, A4, B4, C4, A5, B5, C5,
       #A6, B6, C6, ALPHA, AK
        COMMON/SPHTV/AC, BC, CC, DC, EC, FC, X, Y
        SAVE TSLAST, PLAST, CPLAST, CVLAST, GLAST, SLAST
        DATA TSLAST, PLAST/-1.,-1./
С
        T=TS+TFR
        IF(T.LE.0.)GOTO 999
IF(P.LT.0.)GOTO 999
IF(ABS(TS-TSLAST).GT. 1.0E-4)GOTO 5
IF(ABS(P-PLAST).GT. 1.0E-4)GOTO 5
        CP=CPLAST
       CV=CVLAST
       GAMMA=GLAST
       SONIC=SLAST
           RETURN
     5 TG=SATT(P)
       IF(TS.LT.TG)TS=TG
V=VPSV(P,TS)
       V1=V-B1
       V2=V1+V1
       V3=V1+V2
       V4=V1+V3
       V5=V1+V4
       V6=V1+V5
       AKTTC=AK+T/TC
       AXTTC=DEXP(-AKTTC)
       Z=ALPHA+V
       Z2=2.+Z
       Z3=3.+Z
IF(Z.GT.150.D0)Z=150.D0
       IF(Z2.GT.150.D0)Z=150.D0
       IF(Z3.GT.150.D0)Z3=150.D0
       FDPDV=0.
       FDPDT=0
      IF(ABS(A6).LE.1.E-20)GOTO4
IF(ABS(C1).GE.1.E-20)GOTO3
FDPDV=-ALPHA+DEXP(-Z)*(A6+B6*T)
      FDPDT=B6+DEXP(-Z)
      GOTO4
   3 FDPDV=-(ALPHA*(DEXP(-Z3)+2.*C1*DEXP(-Z2))/(DEXP(-Z2)+2.*C1*
     &DEXP(-Z)+C1+C1))+(A6+B6+T+C6+AXTTC)

      ADEAr (-2)+C1+C1) * (AdHD0+1+C0+AX11C)

      FDPDT=(B6-AK+C6+AXTTC/TC)*DEXP(-Z2)/(DEXP(-Z)+C1)

      4 DPDV=-A1+T/V2-2.*(A2+B2+T+C2+AXTTC)/V3-3.*(A3+
&B3+T+C3+AXTTC)/V4+4.*(A4+B4+T+C4+AXTTC)/V5-5.*(A5+B5)
```

state interview where the

(c) If the second se

LIN I

ii i a

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

-

.

SUBROUTINE DATAIN С 0000 0000 PURPOSE: TO READ REFRIGERANT CONSTANTS 1/5/87 COMMON/PRINT/IPR COMMON/CONST/TC,PC,VC,TFR,AJ,EEP COMMON/CONST/TC,PC,VC,TFR,AJ,EEP COMMON/TGPG/AG,BG,CG,DG,EG,FG,AA,BB COMMON/DENSF/AL,BL,CL,DL,EL,BPL,CPL,DPL,EPL COMMON/STATE/A1,B1,C1,A2,B2,C2,A3,B3,C3,A4,B4,C4,A5,B5,C5, OPEN (UNIT=7, FILE='DATAREF', STATUS='OLD') C++++ INPUT REFRIGERANT DATA \*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\* INPUT REFRIGERANT DATA READ(7,800)T1,T2,T3,T4,T5 WRITE(IPR,802)T1,T2,T3,T4,T5 READ(7,\*)TC,PC,VC READ(7,\*)TFR,AJ,EEP READ(7,\*)AG,BG,CG READ(7,\*)DG,EG,FG RFAD(7,\*)AA,BB READ(7,\*)AA,BB READ(7,\*)AL,BL,CL READ(7,\*)DL,EL,BPL READ(7, +)CPL, DPL, EPL READ(7,+)A1,B1,C1 READ( (7,+)A2,B2,C2 READ(7, +)A3, B3, C3 READ(7, +)A4, B4, C4 READ(7,+)A5,B5,C5 READ(7,+)A6,B6,C6 READ(7, \*)A6,B6,C6 READ(7, \*)ALPHA,AK READ(7, \*)AC,BC,CC READ(7, \*)DC,EC,FC READ(7, \*)X,Y DO10I=1,5 READ(7, \*)X,Y  $\begin{array}{c} \text{READ}(7,*) (A(I,J), J=1,3) \\ \text{READ}(7,*) (A(I,J), J=4,6) \\ \text{READ}(7,*) (A(I,J), J=7,9) \\ \text{READ}(7,*) (A(I,J), J=10,12) \\ \end{array}$ 10 CONTÌNÚE C\*\*\*\* **REWIND 7** CLOSE (UNIT=7, STATUS='KEEP') С 800 FORMAT(5A4) 802 FORMAT(1X, 5A4) С RETURN END

olitik olasi ala asha ing as

r + H.1

ender internet of whether it is a structure

```
SUBROUTINE DISTR2
С
    ** PURPOSE:
C++
           TO DETERMINE AIR FLOW DISTRIBUTION IN A TUBE-FINNED COIL
С
Ĉ
           JUNE 15.1988
С
    ** INPUT DATA:
C**
С
          110
                             = 1 FOR THE FIRST SLAB
                                                               (-)
                                  FOR THE SECOND SLAB
Ĉ
                                                                 (-)
                             = 2
                             - NUMBER OF TUBE DEPTH ROWS
С
           NDEP(IIO)
                                                                     (-)
Ĉ
          NTUB(IIO,N)
                             - NUMBER OF TUBES IN ROW N IN THE SLAB
                                                                                   (-)
                             - NUMBER OF TUBES IN THE SLAB
Ĉ
          NTPS(IIO)
                                                                       (-)
С
C+
    ++ OUTPUT DATA:
                             - RATIO OF AIR MASS FLOW FOR A GIVEN TUBE TO
С
          AMR(IIO,I)
          THE TOTAL MASS FLOW RATE FOR THE GIVEN SLAB (-)
GET(IIO,I,1) - FRACTION OF THE AIR FLOW OF TUBE IGET(IIO,I,1)
PASSING THROUGH TUBE 'I'.
Ċ
Č
C
С
           GET(IIO, I, 2) - FRACTION OF THE AIR FLOW OF TUBE IGET(IIO, I, 2)
          PASSING THROUGH TUBE 'I'. IF IGET(IIO,I,2)=0,
GET(IIO,I,2) IS SET TO 0. (-)
IGET(IIO,I,1) - NUMBER OF A TUBE FROM WHICH TUBE 'I' IS
Ċ
č
Č
C
                                GETING AIR
          IGET(IIO,I,2) - NUMBER OF A SECOND TUBE FROM WHICH TUBE 'I'
IS GETING AIR. IF THE SECOND TUBE
DOES NOT EXIST, IGET(IIO,I,2)=0.
č
С
С
Ċ
        COMMON/PRINTD/IDIA, IDIA0, NNN, MMM
        COMMON/PRINT/IPR
COMMON/HPHX/NSLABS, NDEP(2), NROW(2), DI(2), DO(2), DT(2), TPCH(2),
COMMON/HPHX/NSLABS, NDEP(2), NROW(2), DI(2), DO(2), DT(2), TPCH(2), CFM1, BSIDE(2),
      & DPCH(2), WIDTH(2), FPCH(2), FTK(2), FMK(2), TMK(2), CFM1, BSIDE(2),

& NTUB(2,5), IFROM(2,130), NTPS(2), BSPACE(2),

& ACFM(2), IFIN(2), ISUR(2), SFLOW(2)

COMMON/ATEST/X(2,18), VX(2,18), NTEST(2)
        COMMON/AIRD/IGET(2,130,2),GET(2,130,2),AMR(2,130)
С
C++++ CHECK AGRREMENT BETWEEN LOCAL AND TOTAL CFM MEASUREMENTS
С
        APPLY CORRECTION TO THE LOCAL MEASUREMENT VALUES
        CFM2=0.
        DO 10 IIO=1,NSLABS
        DO 10 I=2, NTEST(IIO)
    10 CFM2=0.5+(VX(IIO,I-1)+VX(IIO,I))+(X(IIO,I)-X(IIO,I-1))+WIDTH(IIO)
             +CFM2
       1
        CFM2=CFM2+60
        CFMR=CFM2/CFM1
        PER=ABS(CFMR-1.)+100.
        IF(IDIA.NE.0)THEN
            WRITE(IDIA0, +)'CFM1,CFM2=',CFM1,CFM2
           WRITE(IDIA0,+)
WRITE(IDIA0,+)'LOCAL MEASUREMENTS OF AIR DISTRIBUTION'
IF(CFMR.GT.1.)THEN
                WRITE(IDIA0, +)'OVERESTIMATE CFM BY ', PER, ' %'
            ELSE
               WRITE(IDIA0, *)'UNDERESTIMATE CFM BY ', PER, '%'
            END IF
            WRITE(IDIA0, *) 'CORRECTION TO LOCAL VELOCITY VALUES IS APPLIED'
            IF(IDIA.NE.0) WRITE(IDIA0,+)
        END IF
        TBSIDE=BSIDE(1)
        IF(NSLABS.EQ.2)TBSIDE=TBSIDE+BSIDE(2)
        VMEAN-CFM1/(WIDTH(1)+TBSIDE+60.)
IF(IDIA.NE.0) WRITE(IDIA0,+)'VMEAN-',VMEAN
        DO 16 IIO=1, NSLABS
        DO 16 I=1,NTEST(IIO)
    16 VX(IIO, I)=VX(IIO, I)/CFMR
        DO 18 IIO-1, NSLABS
        ACFM(IIO)=0
        DO 18 I=2,NTEST(IIO)
    18 ACFM(IIO)=0.5+(VX(IIO,I-1)+VX(IIO,I))+(X(IIO,I)-X(IIO,I-1))+
             WIDTH(110)+60.0+ACFM(110)
C++++ DETERMINE AIR DISTRIBUTION FOR EACH TUBE IN THE FIRST ROW
        OF EACH SLAB
C
```

DO 70 IIO=1,NSLABS DO 30 ITUBE=1,NTUB(IIO,1) FIND XLL & XRR С IF(ITUBE.EQ.1)THEN XLL=0. XRR=BSPACE(IIO)+0.5+TPCH(IIO) ELSE XLL=BSPACE(IIO)+(REAL(ITUBE)-1.5)+TPCH(IIO) XRR=XLL+TPCH(IIO) IF(ITUBE.EQ.NTUB(IIO,1))XRR-BSIDE(IIO) END IF V==0 XR=XRR DO 20 I=1,NTEST(IIO) 20 IF(X(IIO, I).GE.XR)GOTO 22 WRITE(+,+)'BAD INPUT COIL DATA, MESSAGE FROM DISTR2, LOOP 20' 22 CONTINUE C\*\*\*\* CALC. AVERAGE VELOCITY FOR A TUBE AND "AMR" DO 25 L=1,5 X1=X(IIO,I) X1=X(110,1) X2=X(110,1-1) V1=VX(110,1) V2=VX(110,1-1) C WRITE(\*,225)X2,X1,V2,V1 C 225 FORMAT('X2,X1,V2,V1=',4F10.4) C 225 FORMAT([XL,X2,X1,V2,V1=],4F10.4] XL=AMAX1(XLL,X2) C WRITE(\*,226)XL,XR C 226 FORMAT('XL,XR=',2F8.4) V=V+(V1+(V2-V1)/(X2-X1)\*(0.5\*(XL+XR)-X1))\*(XR-XL)/(XRR-XLL) IF(XLL.EQ.XL)GOTO 27 XR=XL 25 I=I-1 WRITE(\*,\*)'ERROR IN DISTR2, LOOP 25, IIO, ITUBE=', IIO, ITUBE 27 CFMI=V\*WIDTH(IIO)\*(XRR-XLL)\*60. AMR(IIO, ITUBE)=CFMI/ACFM(IIO) WRITE(+,+)'ITUBE,V,AMR=',ITUBE,V,AMR(IIO,ITUBE) 30 CONTINUE С С C++++ DETERMINE IGET(110,130,2) & GET(110,130,2) VALUES C FOR DEPTH ROWS 2,3,4 AND 5 С NDEPP=NDEP(IIO) NTPSS=NTPS(IIO) C++++ FIND THE MAX. NUMBER OF TUBES IN ONE DEPTH ROW N1=NTUB(IIO,1) NMAX=N1 DO 32 ICT=2, NDEPP NO=NTUB(IIO, ICT) 32 NMAX-MAX0(N0,N1,NMAX) NROW(IIO)=NMAX DO 33 I=1,NTPSS IGET(110,1,2)=0 33 GET(110,1,2)=0. N0=N1 NL=N1 С DO 50 ICT=2,NDEPP N=NTUB(IIO,ICT) NF=NL+1 NL=NL+N IF(N.EQ.NØ)THEN C++++ CASE 1, N.EQ.NØ DO 34 I=NF,NL IGET(IIO,I,1)=I-N0 GET(IIO,I,1)=1. ELSE IF (N.GT.N0) THEN 34 C++++ CASE 2 N.GT.NØ IGET(IIO,NF,1)=NF-NØ GET(IIO,NF,1)=0.66666 IGET(IIO,NF+1,1)=NF-N0 GET(IIO,NF+1,1)=0.33333 IGET(IIO,NF+1,2)=NF-N0+1 GET(IIO,NF+1,2)=0.5 IGET(IIO,NL,1)=NF-1

86

ા કે કે તે તે આ વિકેરી કે સાળવી કે આ ગોઓના તે કે તેવે કે કે તેવે કે કે કે વિકેર કે કે વિકેર કે વિકેરી વજાવી વજા

```
GET(IIO,NL,1)=0.66666
IGET(IIO,NL-1,1)=NF-1
GET(IIO,NL-1,2)=NF-2
GET(IIO,NL-1,2)=0.5
DO 38 I=NF+2,NL-2
IGET(IIO,I,1)=I-N0-1
IGET(IIO,I,2)=I-N0
GET(IIO,I,2)=0.5
ELSE
C**** CASE 3, N.LT.N0
IGET(IIO,NF,1)=NF-N0+1
GET(IIO,NF,2)=0.5
IGET(IIO,NF,2)=NF-N0+1
GET(IIO,NL,1)=NF-2
GET(IIO,NL,1)=NF-2
GET(IIO,NL,2)=NF-1
GET(IIO,NL,2)=NF-1
GET(IIO,NL,2)=NF-1
GET(IIO,NL,2)=NF-1
GET(IIO,NL,2)=NF-1
GET(IIO,NL,2)=NF-1
GET(IIO,I,1)=0.5
IGET(IIO,I,1)=I-N0
IGET(IIO,I,2)=I-N0+1
GET(IIO,I,2)=I-N0+1
GET(IIO,I,2)=0.5
END IF
N0=N
50 CONTINUE
DO 60 I=N1+1,NTPSS
J=IGET(IIO,I,2)
60 IF(J.NE.0)AMR(IIO,J)*GET(IIO,I,1)
J=IGET(IIO,I,2)
60 IF(J.NE.0)AMR(IIO,J)*GET(IIO,I,1)
J=GET(IIO,I,2)
60 IF(J.NE.0)AMR(IIO,I)=AMR(IIO,I)+AMR(IIO,J)*GET(IIO,I,2)
70 CONTINUE
RETURN
END
```

-

```
FUNCTION DYNADP(P1,H1,P2,H2,RMS,D)
С
C++++ PURPOSE:
          TO CALCULATE DYNAMIC PRESSURE DROP
С
С
          FOR FLOW IN A TUBE
С
       INPUT DATA:
C*
    * *
С
         D
                  - TUBE DIAMETER (FT)
- REFRIG. ENTHALPY AT TUBE INLET
                  - TUBE DIAMETER
С
         H1
                                                            (BTU/LBM)
Ċ
C
                  - REFRIG. ENTHALPY AT TUBE OUTLET
- REFRIG. PRESSURE AT TUBE INLET
         H2
                                                             (BTU/LBM)
         P1
                                                            (PSIA)
С
         P2
                  - REFRIG. PRESSURE AT TUBE OUTLET
                                                             (PSIÅ)
С
                  - REFRIG. MASS FLOW RATE
         RMS
                                                  (LBM/H)
С
C++++ OUTPUT DATA:
         DYNADP - DYNAMIC PRESSURE DROP
С
                                                 (PSI)
С
C++++ SUBPROGRAMS CALLED BY DYNADP:
         CPCV, ITRPR2, SATPR, SATT, SATVF, VPVHS
С
С
       D0100I=1,2
       P=P1
H=H1
       IF(I.EQ.1)GOTO 5
       P=P2
       H=H2
    5 TG=SATT(P)
      CALL VPVHS(1,TG,P,VG,HG,SG,HF)
      IF(H.LT.HF)GOTO 10
IF(H.GT.HG)GOTO 20
      X=1./(HG-HF)
      X=X+(H-HF)
      VF=SATVF(TG)
      V=VF+X+(VG-VF)
      GOTO 30
   10 CPF=SATPR(5,TG)
T=TG+(H-HF)/CPF
      V=SATVF(T)
      GOTO 30
  20 TT=TG+10.
      CALL CPCV(TT,P,CP,CV,GA,SO)
      T=TG+(H-HG)/CP
      PR2=H
      CALL ITRPR2(T,2.,P,2,0.001,PR2,V,H,S)
  30 V2=V
      IF(I.EQ.1)V1=V
 100 CONTINUE
      G=0.7853982+D+D
G=RMS/G
      G=G+G/(32.2+144.+3600.+3600.)
DYNADP=G+(V2-V1)
      RETURN
      END
```

```
FUNCTION EVGUNG(RMS, X1, X2, TGI, HFG, VLIQ, VVAP, D, AL)
С
C+++PURPOSE:
С
            TO COMPUTE EVAP. HEAT TRANSFER COEFF. FOR R22 FLOW IN A SMOOTH
С
            TUBE.
                        JAN 13, 1989
        INPUT DATA:
C****
                       - TUBE DIAMETER (FT)
- REFERENCE
                      - TUBE LENGTH
С
            AL.
                       - TUBE DIAMETER (FT)
- REFRIG. HEAT OF EVAPORATION
- REFRIG. MASS FLOW RATE (LE
C
C
            Ď
            HFG
                                                                         (BTU/LBM)
С
                                                                (LBM/H)
            RMS
                       - REFRIG. SATURATION TEMPERATURE (F)

- SPEC. VOLUME OF SAT. LIQUID (LBM/FT**3)

- SPEC. VOLUME OF SAT. VAPOR (LBM/FT**3)

- REFRIG. QUALITY AT TUBE INLET (-)

DEFINIC QUALITY AT TUBE ONLY FT
č
c
            TG1
                                                                         (LBM/FT++3)
            VLIQ
С
            VVAP
С
            X1
С
                       - REFRIG. QUALITY AT TUBE OUTLET
            X2
                                                                              (-)
C++++ OUTPUT DATA:
            EVGUNG - EVAPORATION GUNG TRANSFER COEFFICIENT
С
                                                                                        (BTU/H*F*FT**2)
C
C++++ SUBPROGRAMS CALLED BY EVGUNG: SATP, SATPR
С
C**** REFERENCE:
            GUNGOR, K.E. AND WINTERTON, R.H.S., A GENERAL CORRELATION FOR FLOW BOILING IN TUBES AND ANNULI, INT.J.HEAT MASS TRANSFER,
С
С
C
            VOL 29, NO. 3, PP. 352-358, 1986.
С
         DOUBLE PRECISION PRED, G, QFLUX, BO, FRL, XAV, PR, RELIQ, HTCLIQ, XTT, E,
        1 S, HPOOL, EVHTC
         PRED=SATP(TGI)/721.9
         G=RMS/(0.7853982+D+D)
QFLUX=RMS+HFG+(X2-X1)/(AL+D)
         QFLUX=RMS=HFG=(X2=X1)/(At
BO=QFLUX/(G+HFG)
FRL=(G+VLIQ)++2/(32.2+D)
XAV=0.5+(X1+X2)
VISLIQ=SATPR(1,TGI)
VISVAP=SATPR(2,TGI)
CONLIQ=SATPR(3,TGI)
CPLIQ=SATPR(5,TGI)
DP=VISLID+CPLIQ(CONLID)
         PR=VISLIQ+CPLIQ/CONLIQ
         RELIQ=G+(1.-XAV)+D/VISLIQ
         HTCLIQ=0.023D0+RELIQ++0.8+PR++0.4+CONLIQ/D
         XTT=(1.D0/XAV-1.D0)**.9*(VLIQ/VVAP)**.5*(VISLIQ/VISVAP)**.1
E=1.D0+24000.D0*B0**1.16+1.37D0/XTT**0.86
IF(FRL.LT.0.05D0)E=E*FRL**(0.1D0-2.D0*FRL)
         S=1.D0/(1.D0+1.15D-6+E+E+RELIQ++1.17)
IF(FRL.LT.0.05D0)S=S+DSQRT(FRL)
         HPOOL=55.D0+PRED++.12+(-DLOG10(PRED))++(-.55)
         HPOOL=HPOOL+86.46D0++(-.5)+(3.1525D0+QFLUX)++0.67/5.6745D0
         EVHTC=E+HTCLIQ+S+HPOOL
         EVGUNG=EVHTC
         RETURN
         END
```

FUNCTION EVPDP2(RMS, TGI, X1, X2, HFG, VLIQ, VVAP, VISL, VISV, AL, D) С C\*\*\*\* PURPOSE: С TO COMPUTE FRICTIONAL EVAPORATION PRESSURE DROP Ĉ FOR FLOW IN A TUBE , OCT-19-87 С C++++ INPUT DATA: С AL - TUBE LENGTH (FT) C D - TUBE INSIDE DIAMETER (FT) 0000000000 HFG - REFRIG. HEAT OF CONDENSATION - REFRIG. MASS FLOW RATE (LBM (BTU/LBM) RMS (LBM/H) TGI - SAT. TEMPERATURE OF REFRIG. (F) - REFRIG. QUALITY AT TUBE INLET - REFRIG. QUALITY AT TUBE OUTLET X1 (-) X2 (-) - LIQUID DYNAMIC VISCOSITY VISL (LBM/H+FT) VISV - VAPOR DYNAMIC VISCOSITY (LBM/H+FT) VLIQ - SPEC. VOLUME OF LIQUID REFRIG. VVAP - SPEC. VOLUME OF VAPOR REFRIG. (FT++3/LBM) (FT++3/LBM) Ċ C++++ OUTPUT DATA: C EVPDP - FR EVPDP - FRICTIONAL EVAPORATION PRESSURE DROP (PSI) С C++++ NOTE: PIERRE CORRELATION USED UNLESS CALCULATED PRESSURE DROP IS С SMALLER THAN SINGLE PHASE PRESSURE DROP FOR X+RMS VAPOR. С DATA AC/1.6654E-11/ G=RMS/(0.78539816+D+D) RE=G+D/VISL AKF=778.+HFG+(X2-X1)/AL RATIO=RE/AKF RATIO-AMAX1(1.,RATIO) F=0.0185/RATIO++.25 XM=0.5+(X1+X2) V2PH=VLIQ+XM+ (VVAP-VLIQ) EVPDP2=AC+F+V2PH+G+G+AL/D RE=G\*XM\*D/VISV F1=0.046/RE++0.2 RATE=2. \*XM\*+2+F1+VVAP/(F+V2PH) IF(RATE.GT.1.)EVPDP2=EVPDP2+RATE RETURN END

L is

of Marca Con-

(1) (1) (4)

Second product in the second pr

11 ... 1

1.1.1.1.1.1

iat ( i i i ]

SUBROUTINE EVPHX2(KSLABS, II1, RMASS, T1, P1, H1, ATIN, & APIN, ARHIN, T2, P2, H2, X2, S2, QL) С NOV. 22 1988 С С C\*\*\*\* EVAPORATOR SIMULATION С C++++ THIS PROGRAM COMPUTES IN THE FORWARD TUBE-BY-TUBE SCHEME C++++ PERFORMANCE OF CROSS-FLOW AIR HEATED EVAPORATOR (ONE OR TWO C++++ SLABS ASSEMBLIES) WITH UP TO 130 FINNED TUBES (PER SLAB) C++++ PLACED IN UP TO 5 DEPTH ROWS. C++++ THE MODEL ACCOUNTS FOR AIR AND REFRIGERANT DISTRIBUTION. C++++ INPUT DATA: ACFM(IIO) - AIR MASS FLOW RATE THROUGH SLAB C (LB/H)- AIR INLET PRESSURE APIN С (PSIA) ARARIO(110,1) - RATIO OF AIR MASS FLOW RATE FOR A GIVEN С TUBE TO THE TOTAL MASS FLOW RATE FOR A GI A GIVEN SLAB (-) ARHIN - AIR INLET RELATÌVÉ HUMIDITY (-) - AIR INLET TEMPERATURE (F) ATIN D1(110) - INNER DIAMETER OF TUBES (FT) - OUTER DIAMETER OF TUBES DO(110) (FT) (FT) (FT) - TUBE DEPTH PITCH DPCH(110) - FIN TIP DIAMETER DT(IÌO) FLOW(IIO,M) - FRACTION OF COIL TOTAL REFRIG. MASS FLOW PASSING THROUGH TUBE M (-)- FIN MATERIAL THERMAL CONDUCTIVITY FMK(IIO) (BTU/FT+H+F) (FT) FPCH(110) - FIN PITCH - FIN THICKNESS (FT) - FRACTION OF THE AIR FLOW RATE OF TUBE FTK(110) GET(110,1,1) IGET(110,1,1) PASSING THROUGH TUBE 'I' - FRACTION OF THE AIR FLOW RATE OF TUBE IGET(IIO,I,2) PASSING THROUGH TUBE '1 GET(110,1,2) .... IF IGET(IIO,I,2)=0, GET(IIO,I,2) IS SET TO 0 - REFRIG. ENTHALPY AT EVAPORATOR INLET (BTU/I - DEPTH ROW OF A TUBE M (BTU/LB) H1 IDEPTH(110,M) - NUMBER OF TUBE TUBE M RECEIVES REFRIG. FROM IFROM(110,M) (-) . WHEN COIL WORKS AS EVAPORATOR ( IGET(IIO,I,1) - NUMBER OF A TUBE FROM WHICH TUBE IS GETTING AIR (-) IGET(IIO, I, 2) - NUMBER OF A SECOND TUBE FROM WHICH TUBE 'I' IS GETTING AIR. IF THE SECOND TUBE DOES NOT EXISTS, IGET(IIO,I,2)=0. (-) 110 - SLAB INDICATOR FOR THE INPUTED DATA = 1 OR 2 = 1 OR 2, SPECIFIES THE SLAB TO BE CONSIDERED 111 IF KSLABS=1. IF KSLABS=2, ANY INTEGER VALUE MAY INPUTED FOR II1 (-) - NUMBER OF EVAPORATOR SLABS TO BE SIMULATED (-) - NUMBER OF TUBE ROW DEPTHS (-) - MAX. NUMBER OF TUBES PER DEPTH ROW IN SLAB (-) KSLABS NDEP(110) NROW(110) - NUMBER OF TUBES IN THE SLAB (-) - NUMBER OF TUBES IN ROW I OF THE SLAB - REFRIG. PRESSURE AT EVAPORATOR INLET NTPS NTUB(IIO,I) (PŚIA) **P1** RMASS - REFRIG. MASS FLOW RATE THROUGH BOTH SLABS (IF NSLABS=2) OR THE SLAB (IF NSLABS=1) (LB/H) - TUBE ROW PITCH **TPCH(110)** (FT) - TUBE MATERIAL THERMAL CONDUCTIVITY TMK(110) (BTU/FT+H+F) - REFRIG. TEMPERATURE AT EVAPORATOR INLET (F) T1 - COIL WIDTH WIDTH(110) (FT) С \*\* OUTPUT DATA: C+ - REFRIG. ENTHALPY AT THE OUTLET OF TUBE I 00000000 HR(IIO,I) (BTU/LBM) REFRIG. ENTHALPY AT EVAPORATOR OUTLET (BTU/LB) H2 (PSIÀ) (PSIA) PRM(IIO, 1, I)- REFRIG. PRESSURE AT I TUBE INLET - REFRIG. PRESSURE AT I TUBE OUTLET PRM(110,2,1) - REFRIGERANT PRESSURE AT EVAPORATOR OUTLET (PSIA - REFRIG. ENTROPY AT EVAPORATOR OUTLET (BTU/LB+F) (PSIA) P2 **S**2 - REFRIG. TEMPERATURE AT EVAPORATOR OUTLET - REFRIG. QUALITY AT EVAPORATOR OUTLET (-**T2** (F) С X2

```
С
                                      SAT. TEMP. OF I TUBE OUTLET
                                                                                (FT++3/LB)
 С
 C++++ SUBPROGRAMS CALLED BY EVPHX2:
            AIRHT3, AIRPR, CPCV, DYNADP, FINCON, EVGUNG, EVPDP, FINEF2, ITRPR,
 С
 С
            OVLWET, SATPR, SATT, SPHDP1, SPHTC, UPWAO, VPVHS, VPSV, WATPR
 Ċ
         DOUBLE PRECISION DPRES
         COMMON/PRINTD/IDIA, IDIAGO, NNN, MMM
         COMMON/PRINT/IPR
       COMMON/PRINI/IPR

COMMON/CONST/TC, PC, VC, TFR, AJ, EEP

COMMON/HPHX/NSLABS, NDEP(2), NROW(2), DI(2), DO(2), DT(2), TPCH(2),

& DPCH(2), WIDTH(2), FPCH(2), FTK(2), FMK(2), TMK(2), CFM1, BSIDE(2),

& NTUB(2,5), IFROM(2,130), NTPS(2), BSPACE(2),

& ACFM(2), IFIN(2), ISUR(2), SFLOW(2)

COMMON/MERG/MERGE(2,20,2), IMER(2), IOUT(2,20), NOUT(2),

& IDEPTH(2,130), FLOW(2,130), KFEED(2,130,3), KSTART(2,130), KST(2)

COMMON/MESC/DPM(2,2,130)
         COMMON/MASS/PRM(2,2,130)
         COMMON/AIRD/IGET(2,130,2),GET(2,130,2),ARATIO(2,130)
         COMMON/OUTPR/HR(2,130)
         DIMENSION TAIR(2,2,6), AIRN(5), H2IAIR(25,2), XRM(2,130),
       & OMEGA(2,2,6), DTHFG(2,130), IMC(20), IEND(10), MY(130), VGM(130),
       &TRM(2,130)
        DIMENSION TPIP(2.5), TWAT(2.5), HICE(2.5), HFGWT(2.5), TKICE(2.5),
       1 KFEED0(10)
        DIMENSION TAIRE(2,130,2), WAIRE(2,130,2), FSTORE(2,130),
       1 HICEX(2,5,25), HFGWTX(2,5,25), TKICEX(2,5,25), FLOW14(2,130),
       2 DPM(25), WAIREX(2, 130), TAEX(2, 130)
        SAVE TGIP, TAIRE, DTHFG, WAIRE, TAIR, OMEGA, HICE, HFGWT, TKICE, TWAT,
       1 TPIP
        DATA OMEGA/24+0./,PI/3.1415927/
С
        IF(IDIA.NE.0) WRITE(IDIA,900)T1,P1,H1,ATIN,ARHIN,RMASS
С
C++++ ESTABLISH PARAMETERS FOR IIO LOOPS
        IF(KSLABS.EQ.1)THEN
             II2=II1
             KSL=II1
        ELSE
             II2=1
            KSL=2
        END IF
C**** CALCULATE INLET PARAMETERS FOR REFRIGERANT AND AIR
        TG1=SATT(P1)
        TG1P=TG1
        CALL VPVHS(1,TG1,P1,VG1,HG1,SG1,HF1)
X1=(H1-HF1)/(HG1-HF1)
CALL AIRPR(1,ATIN,APIN,ARHIN,WAIRIN,CPAIR,RAIR,
       &AMAIR, AKAIR)
        VAIR=RAIR+(TFR+ATIN)/144./APIN
C++++ PREPARE OTHER VARIABLES
        IDP=0
        HD=5000.
        H2IAIR(1,2)=999.
        DO 1 IIO=II2,KSL
     DO 1 I=1,NTPS(IIO)
1 FSTORE(IIO,I)=0.
        DO 12 110-112,KSL
        IF(NNN.EQ.1. AND .MMM.EQ.1)THEN
AAMAS=ACFM(IIO)+60./VAIR
            DTAIR=0.85+RMASS+(HG1-H1)/(CPAIR+AAMAS+NDEP(IIO))
            DO 6 I=1,NTPS(IIO)
DTHFG(IIO,I)=0.
            ICT=IDEPTH(IIO,I)
            TAIRE(110,1,1)=ATIN-FLOAT(ICT)+DTAIR
WAIRE(110,1,1)=WAIRIN
     6
            TAIR(IIO,1,1)=ATIN
OMEGA(IIO,1,1)=WAIRIN
OMEGA(IIO,2,1)=WAIRIN
            DO 8 I=1, NDEP(IIO)
            J=I+1
            OMEGA(IIO, 1, J)=OMEGA(IIO, 1, I)
            HICE(110,1)=1.E+30
            HFGWT(110,1)=0.
```

92

a ciada

TKICE(IIO,I)=0. TWAT(110,1)=0. TPIP(110,1)=0. 8 TAIR(IIO,1,J)=TAIR(IIO,1,I)-DTAIR ELSE DTAIR=TG1-TG1P DO 10 I=NTUB(IIO,1)+1,NTPS(IIO) TAIRE(110,1,1)=TAIRE(110,1,1)+DTAIR 10 END IF 12 CONTINUE C+++ C++++ START MAIN LOOP C\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\* \* DO 150 IAIR=1,25 IF(IDIA.NE.0) WRITE(IDIAG0, +)'IAIR= ',IAIR DO 110 IIO=II2,KSL ILN=0 IF(ISUR(IIO).EQ.1)THEN HTCF1=1.00 HTCF2=1.00 DPF1=1.00 DPF2=1.00 ELSE HTCF1=2.00 HTCF2=1.45 DPF1=0.5+HTCF1 DPF2=0.5+HTCF2 END IF HYDD=HYDDIA(110) IIFIN=IFIN(IIO) NNROW=NROW(IIO) DDI=DI(IIO) DDO=DO(IIO) DDT=DT(IIO) TTPCH=TPCH(IIO) DDPCH=DPCH(110) WWIDTH-WIDTH(110) FFPCH=FPCH(IIO) FFTK=FTK(IIO) FFMK=FMK(IIO) TTMK=TMK(IIO) API=PI+DDI+WWIDTH APO=PI+DDO+WWIDTH APM=0.5\*(API+APO) APO=APO\*(FFPCH-FFTK)/FFPCH AF=1.570796\*(DDT+DDO)\*(DDT-DDO) AF=AF+WWIDTH/FFPCH AO=APO+AF AFLOW=WWIDTH+TTPCH+NNROW AFLOW=AFLOW+(TTPCH-DDO)/TTPCH AFLOW=AFLOW/FFPCH AFLOW=39.75+AFLOW WFLW=AO/TTPCH HP=2.\*TTMK/(DDO-DDI) HPF=FINCON(DDO,FFPCH,FFTK) AAMAS=ACFM(IIO)+60./VAIR AFIN=3.14159\*(DDT-DDO)\*(DDT+DDO)/4. SEGFIN=DDT\*\*3/24.-DDT\*DDT\*DD0/16.+DD0\*\*3/48. SEGFIN=SEGFIN+2./(AFIN+(DDT-DDO)) DO 4 I=1,NTPS(IIO) VGM(I)=0.0 4 MY(I)=0 DO 13 I=1,IMER(IIO) J=MERGE(IIO,I,1) 13 MY(J)=1DO 15 I=1,5 15 AIRN(I)=0. C++++ ASSIGN REFRIGERANT AND AIR PARAMETERS AT THE INLET DO 110 NUMB=1,KST(IIO) I=KSTART(IIO,NUMB) VGI=VG1 TRI=T1 PRI=P1

```
HRI=H1
        XRI=X1
        GOTO 32
 C**** CALCULATE PERFORMANCE OF NEXT TUBE
     18 CONTINUE
        TR1=TRM(IIO,JJ)
        PRI=PRM(IIO,2,JJ)
HRI=HR(IIO,JJ)
        XRI=XRM(IIO,JJ)
        VGI=VGM(JJ)
    32 PRM(IIO,1,1)=PRI
        HRIÌ=HRİ
        XRII=XRI
        TRII=TRI
        TRE=TRI
        PRE=PRI
        HRE=HRI
        XRE=XRI
        RMS=RMASS+FLOW(IIO,I)
        TGI=SATT(PRI)
        CALL VPVHS(1, TGI, PRI, VGI, HGI, SI, HFI)
        VVAP=VG1
        VLIQ=SATVF(TGI)
        HFG=HGI-HF)
        ICT=IDEPTH(IIO,I)
        IF(ICT.EQ.1)THEN
          ÀMS=ARATIO(110,1) + AAMAS
          OMEGI=WAIRIN
          TAI=ATIN
        ELSE
          J1=IGET(IIO,I,1)
          J2=IGET(IIO, I, 2)
          IF(J2.EQ.0)THEN
             ÀMS=AAMAS+ARATIO(IIO,I)
             TAI=TAIRE(IIO, J1, 1)
            OMEGI=WAIRE(IIO, J1, 1)
          ELSE
             AW1=AAMAS+ARATIO(IIO,J1)+GET(IIO,I,1)
             AW2=AAMAS+ARATIO(110, J2)+GET(110, 1, 2)
            TA1=TAIRE(IIO,J1,1)
TA2=TAIRE(IIO,J2,1)
            W1=WAIRE(110, J1, 1)
            W2=WAIRE(110, J2, 1)
            CALL MIXAIR(14.7, AW1, TA1, W1, AW2, TA2, W2, AMS, TAI, OMEGI, WMASS)
          END IF
       END IF
TAE=TAIRE(IIO,I,1)
       OMEGE=WAIRE(IIO,I,1)
OMEGE=AMIN1(OMEGE,OMEGI)
OMEAVE=0.5+(OMEGI+OMEGE)
       TAAV=0.5+(TÀI+TAE)
       CALL AIRPR(2, TAAV, APIN, RHA, OMEAVE, CPA, RA, AMA, AKA)
FFFTK=FFTK+2. +TKICE(IIO, ICT)
       HCOO=AIRHT3(ICT, DDO, TTPCH, DDPCH, FFPCH, FFFTK, WWIDTH, HYDD, NDEP(IIO),
                      IIFIN, AMS, AMA, CPA, AKA)
      k
       HCO=HCOO+(1.+HFGWT(IIO,ICT))
       FFEE=FINEF2(TTPCH, DDPCH, DDO, FFTK, FFMK, HCO)
       UD1=HCO+(1.-AF+(1.-FFEE)/AO)
UD2=HICE(IIO,ICT)
       ANNUL=0.
       XDRY=0.
СХ
         IF (NNN. EQ. 2. OR . MMM. EQ. 3) THEN
       WRITE(IPR, 879) I, TRI, TAI, TAE, PRI, XRI, HRI, RMS, AMS,
СХ
CX 1 DTHFG(IIO,JJ),Q,CPA,TAAV,HCO
CX879 FORMAT(1I3,4F7.2,1F6.3,2F8.3,1F7.2,2F8.2,1F8.1,3F8.3)
CX
       END IF
       DP1=0.
       DP2=0.
       AL1=0.
       IF(TAI.LT.TRI)THEN
           TSATT=SATT(PRI)
           IF(XRI.LT.1.)TAI=TRI+0.05
       END IF
```

94

## a subject to contract the state of the state of

114 4

en anti com

a consider

```
C
C
        IF(I.EQ.15)THEN
            WRITE(*,*)'I=',I
WRITE(*,*)'PRI,TRI,XRI,HRI,TGI,HGI='
č
С
            WRITE(+,+)PRI,TRI,XRI,HRI,TGI,HGI
С
        END IF
       IF(XRI.EQ.1.)GOTO 64
       IF(XRI.GE.0.85)GOTO 54
C++++ TUBE SELECTION FOR COMPUTING DONE
C**** PERFORM HEAT TRANSFER & REFRIG. PRESSURE DROP CALCULATIONS
С
C**** CASE 1
C++++ INLET QUALITY LESS THAN 0.85
       ANNUL=1.0
       HREE=-999
       DO 50 IHI=1,7
       HI=HTCF2+EVGUNG(RMS, XRI, XRE, TRI, HFG, VLIQ, VVAP, DDI, WWIDTH)
       CALL OVLWET (AO, API, APM, APO, HI, HD, HP, HPF, UD2, UD1, UAO, UPO, UWO)
       DPRES=UAO/(CPA+AMS)
Q=CPA+AMS+(TAI-TRI)+(1.-DEXP(-DPRES))
       HRE=HRI+Q/RMS
       IF(ABS(HRE-HREE).LT.0.001)GOTO 52
       HRFE=HRE
   50 XRE=(HRE-HFI)/HFG
       WRITE(IPR,*)'50 DID NOT CONVERGE, IAIR,I,TAI,AMS=',IAIR,I,TAI,AMS
WRITE(IPR,*)'RMS,TRI,HRI,XRI=',RMS,TRI,HRI,XRI
WRITE(IPR,*)'HRE,XRE=',HRE,XRE
   52 IF(XRE.LE.0.85)GOTO 70
C
C++++ OUTLET QUALITY ABOVE 0.85 IN A TUBE OF INLET QUALITY BELOW 0.85
C++++ FIND FRACTION OF A TUBE WITH QUALITY UP TO 0.85
       ¥85=0.85
       HI=HTCF2+EVGUNG(RMS,XRI,X85,TRI,HFG,VLIQ,VVAP,DDI,WWIDTH)
       CALL OVLWET (AO, API, APM, APO, HI, HD, HP, HPF, UD2, UD1, UAO, UPO, UWO)
DPRES=UAO/(CPA+AMS)
Q=CPA+AMS+(TAI-TRI)+(1.-DEXP(-DPRES))
       HRE=HRI+Q/RMS
       H85=HF1+0.85+HFG
       ANNUL=(H85-HRI)+RMS/Q
       HRI=H85
       XRI=0.85
C
C++++ CASE 2
C++++ INLET QUALITY AT OR ABOVE 0.85
C**** CALC. INSIDE TUBE H.T.C AT QUALITIES 0.85 AND 1.0
   54 XA=0.85
       XB=0.86
       HCHH=-999.
       DO 58 IHI=1,10
       HIA=HTCF2+EVGUNG(RMS,XA,XB,TGI,HFG,VLIQ,VVAP,DDI,WWIDTH)
       CALL OVLWET(AO, API, APM, APO, HIA, HD, HP, HPF, UD2, UD1, UAO, UPO, UWO)
DPRES=UAO/(CPA+AMS)
Q=CPA+AMS+(TAI-TRI)+(1.-DEXP(-DPRES))
       HCH=Q/RMS
       IF(ABS(HCH-HCHH).LT.0.001)GOTO 59
       HCHH=HCH
       XD=HCH/HFG
       XA=0.85-XD/2.
   58 XB=0.85+XD/2.
       WRITE(IPR, +)'58 DID NOT CONVERGE, IAIR, I, XD=', IAIR, I, XD
   59 CONTINUE
       VISV=SATPR(2,TRI)
       CONV=SATPR(4,TRI)
       CALL CPCV(TRI, PRI, CPR, CVR, GAR, SOR)
       HIB=HTCF1+SPHTC(CPR,VISV,CONV,RMS,DDI)
C
C++++ CALC. HEAT TRANSFER FOR A TUBE WITH QUALITY RANGE 0.85 - 1.00
       XRAV≖XRI
       HREE --- 999.0
       DO 60 IHI=1,12
       HI=HIA-(HIA-HIB)*(XRAV-0.85)/0.15
       CALL OVLWET (AO, API, APM, APO, HI, HD, HP, HPF, UD2, UD1, UAO, UPO, UWO)
DPRES=UAO/(CPA+AMS)
       Q=(1.-ANNUL)+CPA+AMS+(TAI-TRI)+(1.-DEXP(-DPRES))
```

```
HRE=HRI+Q/RMS
         XRE=(HRE-HFI)/HFG
         IF(XRE.GT.1.0)THEN
             XRE=1.0
             XDRY=(1.-ANNUL)*(HGI-HRI)/(HRE-HRI)
         ELSE
             XDRY=1.-ANNUL
         END IF
         IF(ABS(HRE-HREE).LT.0.001)GOTO 63
         HREE=HRE
     60 XRAV=0.5+(XRI+XRE)
         WRITE(IPR, *)'60 DID NOT CONVERGE, IAIR, I XDRY=', IAIR, I, XDRY
WRITE(IPR, *)'XRI, XRE, HRI, HRE=', XRI, XRE, HRI, HRE
     63 IF(XRE.LT.1.)GOTO 70
         HRI=HGI
 С
 C**** CASE 3
 C++++ INLET QUALITY EQUAL 1.; SATURATED OR SUPERHEATED VAPOR
     64 TGAV=SATT(PRI)
        VISVS=SATPR(2, TGAV)
CONVS=SATPR(4, TGAV)
        HREE=-999.
        DO 66 IHI=1,7
        TRAV=0.5+(TRI+TRE)
CALL CPCV(TRAV, PRI, CPR, CVR, GAR, SOR)
        TT=SQRT((TRAV+460.)/(TGAV+460.))
        VISV=TT+VISVS
        CONV=TT+CONVS
        HI=HTCF1+SPHTC(CPR,VISV,CONV,RMS,DDI)
        CALL OVLWET (AO, API, APM, APO, HI, HD, HP, HPF, UD2, UD1, UAO, UPOW, UWOW)
        DPRES=UAO/(CPA+AMS)
        DPRES=(1.-ANNUL-XDRY)+CPA+AMS+(1.-DEXP(-DPRES))/(CPR+RMS)
        Q=CPR+RMS+(TAI-TRI)+(1.-DEXP(-DPRES))
        HRE=HRI+Q/RMS
        TRE=TRI+(HRE-HRI)/CPR
        IF(ABS(HREE-HRE).LT.0.001)GOTO 68
    66 HREE=HRE
        WRITE(IPR,*)'52 DID NOT CONVERGE, IAIR,I,HRE=',IAIR,I,HRE
 C
C**** HEAT TRANSFER CALCULATIONS FOR TUBE 'I' COMPLETED
 С
C++++ CALCULATE PRESSURE DROP
C++++ CASE 3,
                     QUALITY EQUAL 1.
    68 VSP=VPSV(PRI, TRAV)
        AL1=(1.-ANNUL-XDRY)+WWIDTH+12.+DDI
       DP1=DPF1+SPHDP1(RMS,AL1,DDI,VSP,VISV)
IF(XDRY.EQ.0.)GOTO 72
    70 AL2=WWIDTH-AL1+12. +DDI
       VLIQ=SATVF(TGI)
VISL=SATPR(1,TGI)
       VISV=SATPR(2,TGI)
    DP2=DPF2+EVPDP2(RMS,TGI,XRII,XRE,HFG,VLIQ,VGI,VISL,VISV,AL2,DDI)
72 DP3=DYNADP(PRI,HRII,PRE,HRE,RMS,DDI)
       PRE=PRI-DP1-DP2-DP3
C**** ENTHALPY & PRESSURE AT TUBE I INLET ARE KNOWN
C**** FIND TEMP., QUALITY & SPEC. VOLUME
PRM(IIO,2,I)=PRE
       TGE=SATT(PRE)
       CALL VPVHS(1,TGE,PGE,VGE,HGE,SGE,HFE)
       VGM(I)=VGE
       IF(HRE.LT.HGE)THEN
          XRM(110,1)=(HRE-HFE)/(HGE-HFE)
          TRE=TGE
       ELSE
         PROP2=HRE
         CALL ITRPR2(TRE,2., PRE,2,0.001, PROP2, VRE, HRE, S2)
         XRM(IIO,I)=1.0
       END IF
       TRM(IIO,I)=TRE
       XSUP=(1.-ANNUL-XDRY)
       HR(IIÒ,I)=HRE
С
```

```
OMECH1=0.
```

96

110

-414 e o - 1

 $\sim 1$ 

1 ( ) ( )

[1] O. [10] A. H. BREN, A. BORNER, M. BREN, A. B. BREN, M. BREN

I had a block of the set of the s
```
OMECH2=0.
C+++
       FIND AIR STATE PAST TUBE
С
C++++ REFRIGERANT IN ANNUAL OR DISPERSED FLOW,
                                                              XSUP < 1.
       IF (XSUP.LT.0.9999) THEN
           IF (XSUP.GT.0.0001) THEN
               Q=(HGI−HRII) + RŃS
           ELSE
               Q=(HRE-HRII) + RMS
           END IF
           TAE=TAI-Q/(CPA+AMS)
           TAEE=TAE+DTHFG(IIO,I)
           TTAIR=0.5+(TAI+TAEE)
           VELA=AAMAS*(460.+TTAIR)/(AFLOW*(FFPCH-FFTK-2.*TKICE(110.ICT)))
           TWATAI=TRI+Q/UWO
           IF (XSUP.GT.0.00001) THEN
               TWATAE=TWATAI
               TPIPAE=TRI+Q/UPO
           ELSE
               TWATAE=TRE+Q/UWO
               TPIPAE=(TRI+TRE)+0.5+Q/UPO
           END IF
           CALL AIRPR(1,TWATAI,APIN,1.,OMEGWI,CPW,RW,AMW,AKW)
CALL AIRPR(1,TWATAE,APIN,1.,OMEGWE,CPW,RW,AMW,AKW)
TWATA=0.5*(TWATAI+TWATAE)
OMEGW=0.5*(OMEGWI+OMEGWE)
           IF (OMEGI.GT.OMEGW) THEN
               DPRES=HCOO+APO/(CPA+AMS)
               OMECHP=(OMEGI-OMEGW) + (1.-DEXP(-DPRES))
               TFM=TTAIR-FFEE+(TTAIR-TWATA)
TEND=TWATA+(TFM-TWATA)/SEGFIN
               IF (TEND.GT. TAEE) TEND=TAEE
               CALL AIRPR(1, TEND, APIN, 1., OMEGIS, CPW, RW, AMW, AKW)
DDTS=DDO+(DDT-DDO) + (OMEGI-OMEGW)/(OMEGIS-OMEGW)
               DDTS=AMIN1(DDTS,DDT)
               DDTS=AMAX1 (DDTS, DDO)
               IF (DDTS. EQ. DDO) THEN
                   OMECHF=0.
               ELSE
                   AFIN=3.14159*(DDTS-DDO)*(DDTS+DDO)/4.
                   AFS=AFIN+2.+WWIDTH/FFPCH
                   SEG=DDTS++3/24.-DDTS+DDTS+DD0/16.+DD0++3/48.
SEG=SEG+2./(AFIN+(DDTS-DD0))
                   OMEGIS=AMIN1 (OMEGIS.OMEGI)
                   OMEGFM-OMEGW+(OMEGIS-OMEGW)+SEG
DPRES=HCOO+AFS/(CPA+AMS)
                   OMECHF=(OMEGI-OMEGFM) + (1.-DEXP(-DPRES))
               END IF
               OMECH1=(1.-XSUP) + (OMECHP+OMECHF)
           END IF
       END IF
С
C++++ REFRIGERANT IS SUPERHEATED IN "XSUP" FRACTION OF A TUBE
       IF( XSUP.GT.0.0001)THEN
           IF(XSUP.LT.0.9999)THEN
               Q=(HRE-HGI)+RMS
           ELSE
               Q=(HRE-HRII)+RMS
           END IF
           TAE=TAI-Q/(CPA+AMS+XSUP)
           TAEE=TAE+DTHFG(IIO,I)
           IF(XSUP.LT.0.9999)THEN
               TWATAI=TGI+Q/UWOW
               TPIPAE=TPIPAE+(1.-XSUP)+((TGI+TRE)+.5+Q/UPOW)+XSUP
           ELSE
               TWATAI=TRI+Q/UWOW
               TPIPAE=(TRII+TRE) + .5+Q/UPOW
           END IF
           TWATAE=TRE+Q/UWOW
           TWATA=0.5+(TWATAI+TWATAE)
CALL AIRPR(1,TWATAI,APIN,1.,OMEGWI,CPW,RW,AMW,AKW)
CALL AIRPR(1,TWATAE,APIN,1.,OMEGWE,CPW,RW,AMW,AKW)
           IF (OMEGI.GT.OMEGWI) THEN
```

```
97
```

```
XCON=(OMEGI-OMEGWI)/(OMEGWE-OMEGWI)
              XCON=AMAX1(XCON, 0.)
              XCON=AMIN1(XCON,1.)
              OMECON=AMIN1 (OMEGI, OMEGWE)
              OMEGW=0.5+(OMEGWI+OMECON)
              TWATA=TWATAI+0.5+XCON+(TWATAI-TWATAE)
              DPRES=HCOO+APO/(CPA+AMS)
              OMECHP=(OMEGI-OMEGW) + (1.-DEXP(-DPRES))
              TFM=TTAIR-FFEE+(TTAIR-TWATA)
              TEND=TWATA+(TFM-TWATA)/SEGFIN
              IF (TEND.GT. TAEE) TEND=TAEE
              CALL AIRPR(1, TEND, APIN, 1., OMEGIS, CPW, RW, AMW, AKW)
              DDTS=DDO+(DDT-DDO)+(OMEGI-OMEGW)/(OMEGIS-OMEGW)
              DDTS=AMIN1(DDTS,DDT)
              DDTS=AMAX1(DDTS,DDO)
              IF (DDTS . EQ. DDO) THEN
                 OMECHF=0.
              ELSE
                 AFIN=3.14159*(DDTS-DDO)*(DDTS+DDO)/4.
                 AFS=AFIN+2.+WWIDTH/FFPCH
                 SEG=DDTS++3/24.-DDTS+DDTS+DDO/16.+DDO++3/48.
                 SEG=SEG+2./(AFIN+(DDTS-DDO))
                 OMEGIS=AMIN1 (OMEGIS, OMEGI
                OMEGFM=OMEGW+(OMEGIS-OMEGW)+SEG
                DPRES=HCOO+AFS/(CPA+AMS)
                OMECHF=(OMEGI-OMEGFM) + (1.-DEXP(-DPRES))
             END IF
             OMECH2=XCON+XSUP+(OMECHP+OMECHF)
          END IF
       END IF
С
   92 OMEGE=OMEGI-(OMECH1+OMECH2)
       TWATA=TWATAE
       TPIPA=TPIPAE
      CALL WATPR(TWATA, TPIPA, VELA, OMEGI, WATRO, WATK,
     &WATM, WATHFG, WATCP)
       IF(IAIR.LT.6)THEN
          DTHFG(IIO, I)=WATHFG*(OMEGI-OMEGE)/CPA
       ELSE
          DTHFG(IIO,I)=0.5*(DTHFG(IIO,I)+WATHFG*(OMEGI-OMEGE)/CPA)
      END IF
       TAE=TAI-(HRE-HRII) * RMS/(CPA*AMS)
      TAE=TAE+DTHFG(IIO,I)
С
      TAE=AMIN1(TAE, TAI-0.1)
      IF(AIRN(ICT).EQ.0)GOTO 96
AA1=1./(AIRN(ICT)+1.)
      AA2=AA1+AIRN(ICT)
      TAIR(110,2,1CT+1)=AA1+TAE+AA2+TAIR(110,2,1CT+1)
      OMEGA(IIO,2,ICT+1)=AA1+OMEGE+AA2+OMEGA(IIO,2,ICT+1)
      TWAT(110, ICT)=AA1+TWATA+AA2+TWAT(110, ICT)
      TPIP(IIO, ICT)=AA1+TPIPA+AA2+TPIP(IIO, ICT)
      AIRN(ICT)=AIRN(ICT)+1.
      GOTO 100
   96 TAIR(110,2, ICT+1)=TAE
      OMEGA(IIO,2,ICT+1)=OMEGE
      TWAT(IIO,ICT)=TWATA
TPIP(IIO,ICT)=TPIPA
      AIRN(ICT)=1.
  100 TAIRE(110,1,2)=TAE
WAIRE(110,1,2)=OMEGE
C**** SELECT A NEW TUBE FOR CALCULATION
      IF(MY(I).EQ.1)THEN
        DO 102 N=2,3
        NN=KFEED(IIO,I,N)
        IF(NN.EQ.0)GOTO 104
        ILN=ILN+1
        KFEED0(ILN)=NN
  102
      END IF
  104 JJ=I
      I=KFEED(IIO,JJ,1)
      IF(I.NE.-1)GOTO 18
      IF(ILN.NE.0)THEN
        I=KFEED0(ILN)
```

1....

collider of the term of the

1.04

a de la

1.1 & 41 - 55.

to lada

entre de la company entre de la company entre de la company entre de la company entre de la company entre de la

ILN=ILN-1 JJ=IFROM(IIO,I) GOTO 18 END IF 110 CONTINUE C\*\*\*\* ALL TUBES COMPUTED. C++++ CALCULATE REFRIGERANT STATE IN THE OUTLET MANIFOLD (H2 & P2). H2=0. P2=0.0 SUMFLO=0 DO 112 IIO=II2,KSL DO 112 N=1,NOUT(IIO) I=IOUT(IIO,N) 112 SUMFLO=SUMFLO+FLOW(IIO,I) DO 114 IIO=II2,KSL DO 114 N=1,NOUT(IIO) I=IOUT(IIO,N) H2=FLOW(IIO,I)+HR(IIO,I)/SUMFLO+H2 P2=FLOW(IIO,I)+PRM(IIO,2,I)/SUMFLO+P2 XOUT=XRM(IIO,I) CX HOUT=HR(110,1) CX POUT=PRM(110,2,1) СХ СХ TOUT=TRM(IIO.I) TSAT=SATT(POUT) CX СХ TSUP=TOUT-TSAT WRITE(IPR, 864) I, XOUT, POUT, HOUT, TOUT, TSAT, TSUP СХ CX864 FORMAT(113,1F6.3,5F9.3) 114 CONTINUE H2IAIR(IAIR,1)=H2 DPMAX=0.0 DO 118 IIO=II2,KSL DO 118 N=1,NOUT(IIO) I=IOUT(IIO,N) DP=ABS(P2-PRM(II0,2,I))IF(IDIA.NE.0)WRITE(IDIA,786)I, IIO, DP, FLOW(IIO, I) 786 FORMAT(' I, IIO, DP, FLOW(IIO, I)=',214,2F7.3) 118 DPMAX=AMAX1(DPMAX,DP) DPM(IAIR)=DPMAX C\*\*\*\* CHECK IF CONVERGENCE WAS OBTAINED. IF(IAIR.EQ.1)H2S=0.0 H2PH2=H2S-H2 IF(IDIA.NE.0) WRITE(IDIA,793)H2,H2PH2,P2,DPMAX 793 FORMAT(' H2,H2PH2,P2,DPMAX =',2F13.7,2F10.6) H2S=H2 H2IAIR(IAIR,2)=H2PH2 C1 IF(IAIR.GT.4)THEN IF(IAIR.LT.15)THEN IF(DPM(IAIR-1).GT.0.1.OR.DPMAX.GT.0.05)GOTO 1182 END IF IF(ABS(H2IAIR(IAIR-1,2)).LT.0.3.AND.ABS(H2PH2).LT.0.15)GOTO 152 END IF С \* C++++ CONVERGENCE WAS NOT OBTAINED. C++++ PREPARE REFRIGERANT DISTRIBUTION FOR THE NEXT LOOP. 1182 IF(DPMAX.LT.0.05.AND.IAIR.GT.4)THEN IDP=IDP+1 DO 119 IIO=II2,KSL DO 119 I=1,NTPS(IIO) FSTORE(II0,I)=(FSTORE(II0,I)\*REAL(IDP-1)+FLOW(II0,I))/REAL(IDP) 119 END IF IIO=II1 IF(IAIR.LT.15)CALL BALFL1(KSLABS, IIO) DO 1210 110-112,KSL IF(IAIR.EQ.14)THEN DO 1992 I=1,NTPS(IIO) FLOW14(IIO,I)=FLOW(IIO,I) 1992 END IF IF(IAIR.EQ.15)THEN IF(IDIA.NE.0)WRITE(IDIA, +)'IDP=', IDP IF (IDP.GT.2) THEN DO 120 I=1,NTPS(IIO)

```
120
                FLOW(IIO, I)=FSTORE(IIO, I)
            ELSE
               DO 1205 I=1,NTPS(IIO)
  1205
               FLOW(110, I)=0.5*(FLOW(110, I)+FLOW14(110, I))
            END IF
        END IF
  1210 CONTINUE
 C**** PREPARE AIR SIDE DATA FOR NEW LOOP
 C++++ PREPARE AIR TEMPERATURE AND HUMIDITY RATIO FOR EACH TUBE.
        DO 1236 IIO=II2,KSL
        IF(IAIR.LT.15)THEN
            DO 121 I=1,NTPS(IIO)
           TAIRE(IIO,I,1)=TAIRE(IIO,I,2)
WAIRE(IIO,I,1)=WAIRE(IIO,I,2)
   121
            IF(IAIR.EQ.12)THEN
               DO 122 I=1,NTPS(IIO)
               TAEX(110,1)=0.25+TAIRE(110,1,1)
   122
               WAIREX(110,1)=0.25+WAIRE(110,1,1)
           END IF
           IF(IAIR.GE.13)THEN
DO 1222 I=1,NTPS(IIO)
               TAEX(110,1)=TAEX(110,1)+0.25+TAIRE(110,1,1)
 1222
               WAIREX(110,1)=WAIREX(110,1)+0.25+WAIRE(110,1,1)
           END IF
       ELSE
           IF(IAIR.EQ.15)THEN
               DO 123 I=1,NTPS(IIO)
              TAIRE(110,1,1)=0.25+TAIRE(110,1,1)+TAEX(110,1)
WAIRE(110,1,1)=0.25+WAIRE(110,1,1)+WAIREX(110,1)
  123
           ELSE
              DO 1232 I=1,NTPS(IIO)
              TAIRE(110,1,1)=0.25+TAIRE(110,1,1)+0.75+TAIRE(110,1,2)
 1232
              WAIRE(110,1,1)=0.25*WAIRE(110,1,1)+0.75*WAIRE(110,1,2)
           END IF
       END IF
 1236 CONTINUE
C++++ PREPARE CONDESATE/FROST DATA; AVERAGE FOR EACH DEPTH ROW.
       DO 149 IIO-II2,KSL
       DO 124 I=1,NDEP(IIO)
       J = I + 1
       TAIR(IIO,1,J)=TAIR(IIO,2,J)
  OMEGA(IIO,1,J)=OMEGA(IIO,2,J)
OMEGA(IIO,2,J)=0.
124 TAIR(IIO,2,J)=0.
       DO 140 I=1,NDEP(IIO)
       TWATER=TWAT(IIO,I)
       TTAIR=TAIR(110,1,1)
       WWAIR=OMEGA(IIO.1.1)
      CALL AIRPR(1, TWATER, APIN, 1., WWATER, CCPA, RRA,
     &AAMA, AAKA)
       TPIPE=TPIP(IIO,I)
      VELA=AAMAS+(460.+TTAIR)/AFLOW
VELA=VELA/(FFPCH-FFTK-2.+TKICE(IIO,I))
CALL WATPR(TWATER,TPIPE,VELA,WWAIR,WATRO,WATK,
     &WATM, WATHEG, WATCP)
      IF (OMEGA(IIO, 1, I).GT. WWATER) GOTO 125
      HICE(IIO, I)=1.E+30
      HFGWT(IIO,I)=0.
TKICE(IIO,I)=0.
      GOTO 140
 125 IF (OMEGA(IIO, 1, I+1). LT. OMEGA(IIO, 1, I)) GOTO 126
      OMEGA(IIO,1,I+1)=OMEGA(IIO,1,I)
      HICE(110,1)=1.E+30
      HFGWT(110,1)=0.
TKICE(110,1)=0.
      GOTO 140
 126 WMAS=AAMAS+(OMEGA(IIO,1,I)-OMEGA(IIO,1,I+1))
      HFGWT(IIO,I)=WATHFG+(OMEGA(IIO,1,I)-WWATER)
CALL AIRPR(2,TTAIR,APIN,RRRH,WWAIR,CCPA,RRA,AAMA,AAKA)
      HFGWT(IIO, I)=HFGWT(IIO, I)/(CCPA+(TAIR(IIO, 1, I)-TWATER))
      IF(TWATER.GT.32.)GOTO 128
      TKICE(IIO, I)=0.125+WMAS/(AO+NNROW+WATRO)
      TKMAX=0.5+(FFPCH-FFTK)
```

```
100
```

a de la

```
IF(TKICE(IIO,I).GE.TKMAX)TKICE(IIO,I)=0.9+TKMAX
         GOTO 132
   128 WWW-WMAS/WFLW
         WWW=WATM+WWW/(WATRO+WATRO)
         TKICE(IIO,I)=1.449E-03+WWW++0.333
   132 HICE(110,1)=WATK/TKICE(110,1)
         IF(HICE(IIO, I).LT.0.)HICE(IIO, I)=0.
         IF(TKICE(IIO,I).LT.0.)TKICE(IIO,I)=0.
IF(HFGWT(IIO,I).LT.0.)HFGWT(IIO,I)=0.
   140 CONTINUE
         DO 142 ICT=1,NDEP(IIO)
         HICEX(IIO, ICT, IAIR)=HICE(IIO, ICT)
   HFGWTX(IIO,ICT,IAIR)=HFGWT(IIO,ICT)
142 TKICEX(IIO,ICT,IAIR)=TKICE(IIO,ICT)
IF(IAIR.GT.9)THEN
            DO 144 ICT=1,NDEP(IIO)
            HICE(110, ICT)=0.
            HFGWT(110,1CT)=0.
TKICE(110,1CT)=0.
   144
            DO 146 ICT=1 NDEP(110)
            DO 146 N=1.4
            HICE(IIO, ICT)=HICE(IIO, ICT)+0.25+HICEX(IIO, ICT, IAIR-4+N)
            HFGWT(110,1CT)=HFGWT(110,1CT)+0.25+HFGWTX(110,1CT,1AIR-4+N)
TKICE(110,1CT)=TKICE(110,1CT)+0.25+TKICEX(110,1CT,1AIR-4+N)
   146
         END IF
   149 CONTINUE
   150 CONTINUE
C++++
                            *********************************
C++++ END OF MAIN LOOP
C+++++++
         IF(IAIR.GT.25)IAIR=25
         DO 529 J=1, IAIR
   529 IF(IDIA.NE.0)WRITE(IDIA,530)H2IAIR(J,1),H2IAIR(J,2)
   530 FORMAT(' H2, H2PH2=', 2(1PE11.3))
         1=5
         DO 151 IEV=6, IAIR
   151 IF(ABS(H2IAIR(1,2)).GT.ABS(H2IAIR(IEV,2)))I=IEV
         H2PH2=0.5+H2IAIR(1,2)
         H2=H2IAIR(I,1)+H2PH2
         IF(IDIA.NE.0)WRITE(IDIA,901)H2PH2
   152 CONTINUE
         DTT=4.
         TOL=0.001
         CALL PHWET2(P2, H2, DTT, TOL, T2, V2, S2, X2, TG2)
         TSUP2=T2-TG2
         TSUP2=AMAX1(TSUP2,0.)
         QT=RMASS+(H2-H1)+SUMFLO
         CFMIND=0.
         QL=0.
         DO 160 IIO-II2,KSL
         CFMIND=CFMIND+ACFM(IIO)
   160 QL=ACFM(IIO)+60.+(OMEGA(IIO,1,1)-OMEGA(IIO,1,NDEP(IIO)+1))
1 /(1.+OMEGA(IIO,1,1)) + QL
         QL=QL+WATHFG/VAIR
         QS=QT-QL
         IF(IDIA.NE.0)WRITE(IDIA,880)QT,QS,QL
   880 FORMAT(' QT,QS,QL=',3(1PE11.3))
IF(IDIA.NE.0)WRITE(IDIA,902)T1,P1,H1,X1,T2,P2,H2,X2,TSUP2
CFMTON=CFMIND/(QT/12000.)
         IF (IDIA.NE.0) WRITE (IDIA, *) 'CFMIND, CFM/TON=', CFMIND, CFMTON
         DO 310 IIO=II2,KSL
DO 310 N=1,NOUT(IIO)
CX
СХ
CX
         K=IOUT(IIO,N)
CX K=IOUT(IIO,N)

CX PDIF=P2-PRM(IIO,2,K)

CX310 WRITE(IPR,611)P2,K,PRM(IIO,2,K),PDIF

CX611 FORMAT(F8.3,I5,2X,F8.3,F6.3)

900 FORMAT(/2X,'INPUT DATA TO EVPHX2:'//2X,'T',

&10X,'P',10X,'H',10X,'TAIR',7X,'RH',9X,'RMASS'/6(1PE11.3))

901 FORMAT('EVPHX2 DOES NOT CONVERGE, MAX. ERROR=',F6.2,' BTU/LB')

902 FORMAT(/2X,'EVAPORATOR ITERATION:'//2X,

&'T',10X,'P',10X,'H',10X,'X',10X,'TSUP',/4(1PE11.3)/5(1PE11.3))

999 FFTURN
  999 RETURN
         END
```

```
FUNCTION FINCON(DO, FPCH, FTK)
 С
 C++++ PURPOSE: TO CALC. THE THERMAL CONDUCTANCE FOR FIN-TUBE CONTACT
С
                    MARCH 9, 1989
С
C++++ INPUT: DO
                       - OUTSIDE DIAMETER OF TUBE (FT)
С
                 FPCH - FIN PITCH (FT)
                 FTK - FIN THICKNESS (FT)
С
С
C++++ OUTPUT: FINCON - FIN-TUBE CONTACT THERMAL CONDUCTANCE
                              (BTU/(H*FT}*F)
C
C++++ REFERENCE: SHEFFIELD, J.W., WOOD, R.A. AND SAUER, H.J.,
C EXPERIMENTAL INVESTIGATION OF THERMAL CONDUCTANCE
С
                      OF FINNED TUBE CONTACTS, EXPERIMENTAL THERMAL AND FLUID
                      SCIENCE, 0894-1777, 1988.
С
C (SEE ALSO ASHRAE TRANSACTION, PAPER NO. 3103, 1987)
C**** NOTE: THIS CORRELATION IS APPLICABLE TO MECHANICALLY EXPANDED COPPER
C TUBES WITH WITH ALUMINIUM FINS, DIAMETERS 1/4 TO 5/8 INCH.
C THE INDENTATION DIAMATER OF THE MICROHARDNESS TEST, D, AND TUBE
0000000
                EXPANSION INTERFERENCE, I, ARE GIVEN TYPICAL VALUES
               IN CALCULATIONS.
               NOTE DIMENSIONS USED IN THE CORRELATION:
                                    - D (MICRO METERS)
                                    - ALL OTHER LENGTH DIMENSIONS IN INCHES
        REAL I
       DATA D/44.2/,1/0.0065/
С
       DOI=DO+12.
        FPI=1./(12.+FPCH)
        FTKI=12.+FTK
       FINCON=6.902+2.889*(I*FPI*D/DOI)**0.75*(FTKI*FPI)**1.25
       FINCON=EXP(FINCON)
       RETURN
       END
```

allog as a state of a state of a

I the first transformed and the second s

- it - i - j -

di televisi keresi p

```
FUNCTION FINEF2(TPCH, DPCH, DR, T, AK, H)
С
C**** PURPOSE:
Ċ
          CALCULATE FIN EFFICIENCY USING SCHMIDT'S METHOD
č
          10-19-88
С
C**** REFERENCE: HEATING, VENTILATING, AND AIR CONDITIONING,
                      MCQUISTON, F.C. AND PARKER, J.D.,
С
С
                      J. WILEY & SONS, INC., 2-ND EDITION, P.478, 1982.
C++++ INPUT DATA:
C AK -
                      - FIN MATERIAL THERMAL CONDUCTIVITY (BTU/FT+H+F)
С
          DPCH
                      - DISTANCE BETWEEN TUBES IN NEIGHBOURING DEPTH ROWS
                         (FT)
000
                      - FIN ROOT DIAMETER (FT)
- FIN SURFACE HEAT TRNSFER COEFF. (BTU/H*F*FT**2)
- FIN THICKNESS (FT)
- DISTANCE BETWEEN TUBES IN THE SAME DEPTH ROW (FT)
          DR
          н
Č
C
          Т
          TPCH
С
   *** OUTPUT DATA: FINEF2 - FIN EFFICIENCY (-)
C+
С
        REAL L.M
С
C++++ CALC. RATIO = REQ/R
        X=0.5+TPCH
        X=0.5+SQRT(X+X+DPCH+DPCH)
L=AMAX1(X,Y)
M=AMIN1(X,Y)
        R=0.5+DR
        PSI-M/R
        BETA=L/M
RATIO=1.27*PSI*SQRT(BETA-0.3)
C**** CALC. FIN EFFICIENCY
FI=(RATIO-1.)*(1.+0.35*ALOG(RATIO))
M=SQRT(2.*H/(AK*T))
        X=M+R+FI
        FINEF2=TANH(X)/X
        RETURN
        END
```

```
SUBROUTINE FRACT(NSPLIT, RN, F)
С
C++++ PURPOSE:
С
         TO CALCULATE REFRIGERANT DISTRIBUTION AT A SPLIT POINT
¢
         WITH ONE TUBE SPLITING INTO UP TO 20 TUBES.
С
         10-14-88
C**** INPUT:
         NSPLIT - NUMBER OF TUBES RECEIVING REFRIG. IN THE SPLIT POINT
С
č
         RN(I) - FLOW RESISTANCE (OR # OF TUBES) IN A GIVEN CIRCUIT
C++++ OUTPUT:
č
c
         F(I)
                 - FRACTION OF REFRIGERANT MASS FLOW RATE THROUGH A SPLIT
                   FLOWING IN TUBE I
С
       DIMENSION RN(20), F(20), FL1(20)
С
       IF(NSPLIT.GT.20)THEN
          WRITE(*,*)' ERROR IN CALLING FRACT, NSPLIT = ', NSPLIT
          RETURN
       END IF
С
      DO 10 I=1,20
   10 F(I)=0.0
      R1=RN(1)
      DO 20 I=2,NSPLIT
A=R1/RN(I)
       IF(A.EQ.1.)THEN
        FL1(I)=0.5
      ELSE
        FL1(I)=1./(1.+A++0.571)
      END IF
   20 F(1)=F(1)+(1.-FL1(I))/FL1(I)
F(1)=1./(1.+F(1))
DO 30 I=2,NSPLIT
30 F(I)=F(1)*(1.-FL1(I))/FL1(I)
      RETURN
      END
```

the collider of the state of the state

The first states and a state of the

~	FUNCTION HYDDIA(IIO)
C C**** C C	PURPOSE: TO CALC. HYDRAULIC DIAMETER FOR SLAB # 110 JULY 15, 1988
C**** C C C	DEFINITION: HYDRAQULIC DIAMETER = 4*L*(CRIT. FLOW AREA)/(TOTAL WETTED AREA) WHERE: L = NDEP(IIO)*DPCH(IIO)
c	COMMON/HPHX/NSLABS,NDEP(2),NROW(2),DI(2),DO(2),DT(2),TPCH(2), & DPCH(2),WIDTH(2),FPCH(2),FTK(2),FMK(2),TMK(2),CFM1,BSIDE(2), & NTUB(2,5),IFROM(2,130),NTPS(2),BSPACE(2), & ACFM(2),IFIN(2),ISUR(2),SFLOW(2) DATA PI/3.141592654/
Ū	NDEPX=NDEP(II0) DOX=D0(II0) TPCHX=TPCH(II0) DPCHX=DPCH(II0) W=WIDTH(II0) FTKX=FTK(II0) NTPSX=NTPS(II0)
C 10	CALC. MAX. AND MIN. <b>#</b> OF TUBES IN DEPTH ROWS NMAX=NTUB(IIO,1) NMIN=NMAX DO 10 I=2,5 N=NTUB(IIO,I) NMAX=MAX0(NMAX,N) NMIAX=MAX0(NMAX,N)
C	CALC. HEIGHT OF THE COIL HGT=REAL(NMAX-1)*TPCHX+0.75*TPCHX IF(NMAX.EQ.NMIN)HGT=HGT+0.5*TPCHX
С	FLOW AREA BLOCKED BY FINS FN=W/FPCH(IIO) ABFINS=FN+FTKX+HGT
С	FLOW AREA BLOCKED BY TUBES (ADJUSTED FOR AREA BLOCKED BY FINS) ABTUBS=DOX+(W-FN+FTKX)+REAL(NMAX)
С	MIN. FLOW AREA
С	TOTAL HEAT TRANSFER AREA ON THE AIR SIDE, ATOTAL AFINS=REAL(NDEPX)+DPCHX+HGT-0.25+PI+DOX+DOX+REAL(NTPSX) AFINS=2.+AFINS+FN ATUBES=PI+DOX+(W-FN+FTKX)+REAL(NTPSX)
с	HYDRAULIC DIAMETER HYDDIA=4+AC+NDEP(IIO)+DPCH(IIO)/ATOTAL RETURN END

•

•

```
SUBROUTINE ITRPR2(T,DT,P,I,AER,PROP2,V,H,S)
  С
  C**** PURPOSE:
  С
           TO ITERATE REFRIGERANT VAPOR PROPERTIES FROM GIVEN PRESSURE
  С
           AND ONE OF THE FOLLOWING PROPERTIES:
  С
           SPECIFIC VOLUME, ENTHALPY, ENTROPY
  С
  C++++ INPUT DATA:
  С
                  - CONVERGENCE PARAMETER NOT TO BE EXEEDED
           AER
  С
                    (IN UNITS OF RESPECTIVE PROPERTY)
  С
           DT
                  - TEMPERATURE INITIAL ITERATION STÉP
                                                                (F)
  c
c
                         IF SPECIFIC VOLUME IS PROP2
IF ENTHALPY IS PROP2 (-)
           I
                  = 1
                                                            (-)
                  = 2
                                                    (-)
  C
C
                         IF ENTROPY IS PROP2
                  = 3
                                                   (-)
           P - REFRIG. VAPOR PRESSURE (PSIA)
PROP2 - VALUE OF OTHER THEN PRESSURE KNOWN PROPERTY:
  С
 С
                    SPECIFIC VOLUME (I=1)
                                               (FT**3/LBM)
                    ENTHALPY (I=2)
ENTROPY (I=3)
 С
С
С
                                        (BTÚ/LBM)
                                       (BTU/LBM+F)
                  - APPROXIMÀTE REFRÌG. VAPOR TEMPERATURE
           Т
                                                                   (F)
 С
        OUTPUT DATA:
 C+
 С
                 - VAPOR ENTHALPY
          н
                 - VAPOR ENTHALPY (BTU/LBM)
- VAPOR TEMPERATURE (F)
 С
          T
                                      RE (F)
(BTU/LBM+F)
 C
          S
                 - VAPOR ENTROPY
 С
                 - VAPOR SPEC. VOLUME
          v
                                            (FT++3/LBM)
 С
 C**
     ** SUBPROGRAMS CALLED BY ITRPR2:
 С
          SATT, VPVHS
 С
        COMMON/PRINT/IPR
        TG=SATT(P)
        DO 100 IT=1,40
        IF(T.GT.TG)THEN
           CALL VPVHS(2,T,P,V1,H1,S1,HF)
        ELSE
           T=TG
           CALL VPVHS(1,T,P,V1,H1,S1,HF)
        END IF
       IF(I.EQ.1)PROP22=V1
IF(I.EQ.2)PROP22=H1
        IF(I.EQ.3)PROP22=S1
       DIFF=PROP22-PROP2
       IF(ABS(DIFF).LE.AER)GOTO 110
       IF(T.EQ.TG.AND.DIFF.GT.0.)THEN
           WRITE(IPR, 600)DIFF
           GOTO 110
       END IF
       IF(IT.NE.1)GOTO 10
       T1=T
       DTT=SIGN(DT,DIFF)
       T=T-DTT
       DIFF1=DIFF
       GOTO 100
    10 DDT=(T-T1)/(DIFF-DIFF1)
IF(ABS(DIFF1).LE.ABS(DIFF))GOTO 20
       DIFF1=DIFF
       T1=T
   20 T=T1-DDT+DIFF1
  100 CONTINUE
       WRITE(IPR, +)'ITRPR2 DID NOT CONVERGE, I, P, PROP2= ', I, P, PROP2
  110 V=V1
       H=H1
       S=S1
С
  600 FORMAT(' ERROR IN CALLING ITRPR2, DIFF=', 1PE11.3)
С
       RETURN
       END
```

```
SUBROUTINE MIXAIR(P, AW1, T1, W1, AW2, T2, W2, AW3, T3, W3, WMASS)
С
C**
        PURPOSE:
           TO CALC. PROPERTIES OF THE AIR STREAM RESULTED FROM
С
           THE MIXING PROCESS OF TWO WET AIR STREAMS
С
С
           MAR/4/1987
С
C**** INPUT:
                  - MASS FLOW RATE OF THE STREAM #1
- MASS FLOW RATE OF THE STREAM #2
- AIR TOTAL PRESSURE (PSI)
                                                                     (LB OF WET AIR/H)
(LB OF WET AIR/H)
С
           AW1
Ċ
           AW2
C
           P
                   - TEMPERATURE OF THE STREAM #1 (
- TEMPERATURE OF THE STREAM #2 (
- HUMIDITY RATIO OF THE STREAM #1
- HUMIDITY RATIO OF THE STREAM #2
С
           T1
                                                                \langle F \rangle
С
           T2
                                                                    (LB H20/LB DRY AIR)
(LB H20/LB DRY AIR)
С
           W1
С
           ₩2
С
C++++ OUTPUT:
          AW3 - MASS FLOW RATE OF THE MIXED STREAM (LB OF WET AIR/H)
T3 - TEMPERATURE OF THE MIXED AIR STREAM (F)
W3 - HUMIDITY RATIO OF THE MIXED STREAM (LB H20/LB DRY AIR)
WMASS - CONDENSATION RATE AT MIXING (LB H20/H)
С
С
С
С
С
C++++ NOTE: APPLICATIONS RANGE OF THIS SUBROUTINE IS 32<T(F)<80.
С
        H(TF,W)=0.240+TF+W+(1061.0+0.444+TF)
        PSAT(Z)=EXP(0.17829+Z++3-1.6896+Z++2-5.0988+Z+13.4353)
С
        WMASS=0.0
        AD1=AW1/(1.+W1)
AD2=AW2/(1.+W2)
        AD3=AD1+AD2
        H1=H(T1,W1)
H2=H(T2,W2)
        HTOTAL=AD1+H1+AD2+H2
        H3=HTOTAL/AD3
        W3=(W1+AD1+W2+AD2)/AD3
T3=(H3-1061.0+W3)/(0.240+W3+0.444)
       С
C++++ MIXED STREAM IS SATURATED, CONDENSATION DURING MIXING OCCURS
        T3=T3+1.0
        DO 50 N=1,10
        Z3=1000.0/(T3+459.67)
PSAT3=PSAT(Z3)
        W3=0.62198*PSAT3/(P-PSAT3)
        H3=H(T3,W3)
        WMASS=AD1+W1+AD2+W2-AD3+W3
        HWATER=-32.01+1.002+T3
        DH-HTOTAL-AD3+H3-WMASS+HWATER
        FR=DH/HTOTAL
        IF(ABS(DH/HTOTAL).LT.0.00001)
                                                       GOTO 60
        IF(N.EQ.1)THEN
           DT=SIGN(0.2,DH)
        ELSE
           DT=-DH+(T31-T3)/(DH1-DH)
        END IF
DH1=DH
        T31=T3
    50 T3=T3+DT
        WRITE(+,+)'MIXAIR, LOOP 50 DID NOT CONVERGE'
    60 AW3=AD3+(1.+W3)
        RETURN
        END
```

С	SUBROU 1	JTINE OVLWET(AO,API,APM,APO,HI,HD,HP,HPF,HL,HO, UAO,UPO,UWO)
Č****	PLIRPOS	SF ·
č	TO	COMPUTE OVERALL HEAT TRANSFER COFFEIGLENT
č	FOR	A WET FINNED THRE (MAR & 1080)
č	. •	(MAK 3, 1903)
Č++++	INPUT	DATA
č	AO	- TOTAL OUTSIDE SURFACE AREA (ET++2)
Č	API	- TUBE INSIDE SURFACE AREA (FT++2)
Ċ	APM	- SURFACE AREA BASED ON TUBE MEAN DIAMETER (ET++2)
С	APO	- TUBE OUTSIDE AREA (ET++2)
Ċ	HD	- TUBE INSIDE SURFACE DEPOSIT HEAT TRANSFER COLER
Ċ		(BTU/H+F+FT++2)
Ċ	HI	- INSIDE TUBE HEAT TRANSFER COFFEIGLENT
С		(BTU/H+F+FT++2)
С	HP	- TUBE WALL HEAT TRANSFER COFFEICIENT (RTU/HAEAETAAD)
С	HPF	- THERMAL CONDUCTANCE OF THE PIPE-FIN CONTACT
С		(BTU/H+F+FT++2)
С	ю	- AIR-SIDE HEAT TRANSFER COFFE FOR WET FINNED TURE
С		(BTU/H+F+FT++2)
С		
C++++	OUTPUT	DATA:
С	UAO	- OVERALL HEAT TRANSFER COFFE FOR WET FINNED TURE
С		(BTU/H+F+FT++2)
С	UPO	- HEAT CONDUCTANCE FROM REFRIGERANT TO THRE SUPEACE
С		(BTU/H+F)
С	UWO	- HEAT CONDUCTANCE FROM REFRIGERANT TO WATER (FROST)
С		SURFACE (BTU/H+F)
С		
	U=A0/(	API+HI)+AQ/(API+HD)+AQ/(APM+HP)+AQ/(APO+HPF)
	UPO-AO	/U
	U=U+1.	/HL
	UWO=AO	/U
	U=U+1.	ИО
	UAO-AO	/U
	RETURN	
	END	

```
SUBROUTINE PHWET2(P,H,DT,TOL,T,V,S,X,TG)
С
C++++ PURPOSE:
č
            TO FIND REFRIGERANT PARAMETERS
С
            FROM KNOWN PRESSURE & ENTHALPY.
Ċ
C
            11/5/86
DT - ITERATION STEP OF TEMPERATURE
H - REFRIG. ENTHALPY (BTU/LBM)
P - REFRIG. PRESSURE (PSIA)
                                                                    (F)
            TOL - CONVERGENCE TOLERANCE OF ENTHALPY
                                                                          (BTU/LBM)
C++++ OUTPUT:

    REFRIG.ENTHALPY (BTU/LBM)
    REFRIG.ENTROPY (BTU/LBM*F)
    REFRIG.TEMPERATURE (F)
    REFRIG.SAT.TEMPERATURE (F)
    REFRIG.SPEC VOLUME (FT**3/LBM)
    REFRIG.QUALITY (-)

0000
С
С
С
C+
       * SUBPROGRAMS CALLED BY PHWET2:
č
            CPCV, ITRPR2, SATT, SATVF, VPVHS
          TG=SATT(P)
         CALL VPVHS(1,TG,P,VG,HG,SG,HF)
IF(H.LT.HF)THEN
            TT=TG
            DO 10 K=1.5
            TAV-0.5*(TG+TT)
T=TG-(HF-H)/SATPR(5,TAV)
IF(ABS(T-TT).LT.0.05)GOTO 11
             TT=T
            CONTINUE
     10
     11
            V=SATVF(T)
             S=SG-(HF-H)/(0.5+(TG+T)+459.67)-(HG-HF)/(459.67+TG)
            X=0.0
          ELSE
             IF(H.LE.HG)THEN
                  T=TG
                  X=(H-HF)/(HG-HF)
V=X+VG+(1.-X)+SATVF(TG)
S=SG-(HG-H)/(460.+TG)
            ELSE
                  CALL CPCV(TG,P,CP,CV,GA,SO)
                  T=TG+(H-HG)/CP
PROP=H
                  CALL ITRPR2(T,DT,P,2,TOL,PROP,V,H,S)
                  X=1.0001
            END IF
         END IF
          RETURN
```

```
END
```

-

```
SUBROUTINE RDATA3
   C
  C++++ PURPOSE:
  С
                 TO READ FROM FILE 8 AND PREPARE THE EVAPORATOR DATA.
  Ĉ
                  JUNE 15, 1988
  C++++ NOTE:
                 AT THE EXIT OF RDATA3, ALL COMMON STATEMENT VALUES ARE DEFINED
WITH EXCEPTION TO /HPHX/ACFM(2) AND /PRINT/IPR
  С
  С
  С
             COMMON/PRINT/IPR
             COMMON/RESTR/IEXP
             COMMON/HPHX/NSLABS, NDEP(2), NROW(2), DI(2), DO(2), DT(2), TPCH(2)
           COMMON/HPHX/NSLABS,NDEP(2),NKUW(2),D1(2),D0(2),DT(2),TPCH(2),

& DPCH(2),WIDTH(2),FPCH(2),FTK(2),FMK(2),TMK(2),CFMTOT,BSIDE(2),

& NTUB(2,5),IFROM(2,130),NTPS(2),BSPACE(2),

& ACFM(2),IFIN(2),ISUR(2),SFLOW(2)

COMMON/ATEST/X(2,18),VX(2,18),NTEST(2)

DIMENSION ATITLE(20)

OPEN (INITER FILE(20)
             OPEN (UNIT=8, FILE='DTEV', STATUS='OLD')
  С
  C++++ INPUT EVAPORATOR COIL DATA
             READ(8,800)ATITLE
             WRITE(IPR, 800)ATITLE
     800 FORMAT( 20A4)
             READ(8, +)NSLABS, IEXP, CFMTOT
 C
 C**** READ & REDUCE DATA FOR EACH SLAB SEPERATELY
            READ & REDUCE DATA FOR EACH SLAB SEPERATELT

DO 100 N=1,NSLABS

READ(8,800)ATITLE

READ(8,*)BSIDE(N),BSPACE(N),WIDTH(N)

READ(8,*)TPCH(N),DPCH(N),DI(N),DO(N),TMK(N),ISUR(N)

READ(8,*)FPCH(N),FTK(N),FMK(N),IFIN(N)

READ(8,*)(NTUB(N,I),I=1,5),SFLOW(N)

DO 12 L=1 13
            DO 12 I=1,13
            K=10+1
            M-K-9
M=K-9

12 READ(8,*)(IFROM(N,J),J=M,K)

C**** READ AIR DISTRIBUTION DATA

READ(8,*)NTEST(N)

READ(8,*)(X(N,I),I=1,8)

READ(8,*)(X(N,I),I=9,16)

READ(8,*)(VX(N,I),I=9,16)

C**** ASSIGN AIR VELOCITY AT THE I
C**** ASSIGN AIR VELOCITY AT THE DUCT WALL (IF NOT SPECIFIED BY DTEV)
IF(X(N,1).NE.0.)THEN
                 DO 14 IT=1,NTEST(N)
                 I=NTEST(N)+1-IT
X(N,I+1)=X(N,I)
      14
                 VX(N, I+1)=VX(N, I)
                 X(N,1)=0.0
VX(N,1)=VX(N,2)
                 NTEST(N)=NTEST(N)+1
           END IF
           IT=NTEST(N)
           IF(X(N, IT).LT.BSIDE(N))THEN
NTEST(N)=NTEST(N)+1
I=NTEST(N)
                 X(N, I) = BSIDE(N)
                 VX(N, I) = VX(N, I-1)
           END IF
C++++ PREPARE DATA FOR CALCULATIONS
           BSIDE(N)=BSIDE(N)/12.
BSPACE(N)=BSPACE(N)/12.
           WIDTH(N)=WIDTH(N)/12.
          TPCH(N)=TPCH(N)/12.
DPCH(N)=DPCH(N)/12.
DI(N)=DI(N)/12.
DO(N)=DO(N)/12.
           FPCH(N)=FPCH(N)/12.
          FTK(N)=FTK(N)/12.

DT(N)=SQRT(4.+TPCH(N)+DPCH(N)/3.14159)

DO 20 I=1,NTEST(N)
     20 X(N,I)=X(N,I)/12
```

s diator a la collectión de la collectión

 $\| f (\theta, \theta) - f (\theta, \theta) - \phi(\theta, \theta) - \phi(\theta, \theta, \theta) + \phi(\theta, \theta) - \phi(\theta, \theta)$ 

end to be the second second as a single second second second

C++++	FIND # OF TUBES IN THE SLAB, NTPS(N)
С	FIND # OF DEPTH ROWS, NDEP(N)
	NTPS(N)=0
	DO 50 I=1,5
	IF(NTUB(N,I).NE.0)NDEP(N)=I
50	NTPS(N)=NTPS(N)+NTUB(N, I)
C****	FIND MAX. NUMBER OF TUBES IN A DEPTH ROW, NROW(N)
	NMAX=0
	DO 60 ICT=1,NDEP(N)
	NX=NTUB(N, ICT)
60	NMAX-MAXO(NX, NMAX)
	NROW(N)=NMAX
100	CONTINÚE
	REWIND 8
	CLOSE (UNIT=8, STATUS='KEEP')
	RETURN
	END

.

•

```
FUNCTION SATP(TG)
С
C++++ PURPOSE:
č
c
            TO COMPUTE REFRIGERANT SATURATION PRESSURE
            FOR GIVEN TEMPERATURE
C
C++++ INPUT DATA:
           TG - REFRIG. TEMPERATURE (F)
REFRIG. CONSTANTS - REFER TO THE MAIN PROGRAM.
С
С
С
C++++ OUTPUT DATA:
           SATP - REFRIG. SATURATION PRESSURE
С
                                                                  (PSIA)
С
        COMMON/PRINT/IPR
COMMON/TGPG/AG,BG,CG,DG,EG,FG,AA,BB
COMMON/CONST/TC,PC,VC,TFR,AJ,EEP
SAVE TGLAST,STPLST
DATA TGLAST/-111./
С
         T=TG+TFR
        IF(T.LE.0.)GOTO 999
IF(T.GT.TC)GOTO 999
IF(ABS(TG-TGLAST).GT. 1.0E-4)GOTO 5
        SATP=STPLST
              RETURN
     5 C=ALOG(ABS(FG-T))
SATP=EEP++(AG+BG/T+CG+ALOG(T)+DG+T+EG+C+((FG-T)/T))
        TGLAST=TG
              RETURN
  999 WRITE(IPR,100)
100 FORMAT(5X,'ERROR IN CALLING -SATP-')
RETURN
        END
```

1.4

s determinante de la tra

The first second second second second

Induces a second discontraction of the second s

```
FUNCTION SATPR(I,TG)
С
C**** PURPOSE:
            TO CALCULATE REFRIGERANT PROPERTIES AT SATURATION
С
С
            JANUARY 13, 1989
С
C++++ INPUT DATA:
č
c
            I = 1,2,3,4 OR 5
TG - REFRIG. TEMPERATURE (F)
            Ι
            REFRIG. CONSTANTS - REFER TO THE MAIN PROGRAM.
С
С
C++++ OUTPUT DATA:
            SATPR(1,TG) - SAT. LIQUID DYNAMIC VISCOSITY (LBM/H+FT)
SATPR(2,TG) - SAT. VAPOR DYNAMIC VISCOSITY (LBM/H+FT)
SATPR(3,TG) - SAT. LIQUID THERMAL CONDUCTIVITY (BTU/H+FT+F)
SATPR(4,TG) - SAT. VAPOR THERMAL CONDUCTIVITY (BTU/H+FT+F)
SATPR(5,TG) - SPEC. HEAT OF SAT. LIQUID (BTU/LBM+F)
С
Č
Ċ
C
C
C
C
         DOUBLE PRECISION DPRES, T, AA
         COMMON/COEFPR/A(5,12)
DIMENSION PRLAST(5),TGLAST(5)
DATA TGLAST/5+-1111./
С
          IF(ABS(TG-TGLAST(I)).GT.1.0E-5)GOTO 5
         SATPR=PRLAST(I)
             RETURN
      5 T=TG
         DPRES=0.D0
         K---1
         M=1
         IF(TG.GT.100.)M-M+6
         N=M+5
         DO10J=M,N
         K=K+1
          AA=A(I,J)
     10 DPRES-DPRES+AA+T++K
         SATPR=DPRES
         PRLAST(1)=SATPR
TGLAST(1)=TG
              RETÙRŃ
```

END

FUNCTION SATT(PG) С C++++ PURPOSE: TO COMPUTE SATURATION TEMPERATURE FOR GIVEN PRESSURE С С C++++ INPUT DATA: С - REFRIG. PRESSURE PG (PSIA) Ċ REFRIG. CONSTANTS - REFER TO THE MAIN PROGRAM. С C++++ OUTPUT DATA: SATT - REFRIG. SATURATION TEMPERATURE С (F) С COMMON/PRINT/IPR COMMON/TGPG/AG, BG, CG, DG, EG, FG, AA, BB COMMON/CONST/TC,PC,VC,TFR,AJ,EEP SAVE PGLAST,SATLST DATA PGLAST/0./ С IF(PG.LE.0.)GOTO 999 IF(ABS(PG-PGLAST).GT.1.0E-3)GOTO 5 SATT=SATLST RETURN 5 PLOG=ALOG(PG) TR=0.43429448+AA+PLOG+BB D010ITR=1,30 TRO=TR C=ALOG(ABS(FG-TRO)) F=AG+BG/TRO+CG+ALOG(TRO)+DG+TRO+EG+((FG-TRO)+C/TRO)-PLOG FT=-BG/TRO++2+CG/TRO+DG IF(ABS(EG).GE.1.E-20)FT=FT-EG\*(1./TRO+FG\*C/TRO\*\*2) TR=TRO-F/FT IF(ABS(TR-TRO).LE.0.05)GOTO 20 10 CONTINUE 999 WRITE(IPR,100)PG 100 FORMAT(5X,'ERROR IN CALLING SATT, PG=',1PE11.3) RETURN 20 SATT=TR-TFR SATLST=SATT PGLAST=PG RETURN END

```
FUNCTION SATVF(TF)
С
C**** PURPOSE:
С
            TO COMPUTE SPECIFIC VOLUME OF SATURATED LIQUID REFRIGERANT
С
C **** INPUT DATA:

C TF - SATURATED LIQUID TEMPERATURE (F)

C REFRIG. CONSTANTS - REFER TO THE MAIN PROGRAM.
С
C++++ OUTPUT DATA:
            SATVF - SPECIFIC VOLUME OF SAT. LIQUID REFRIGERANT (FT++3/LBM)
C
С
         COMMON/PRINT/IPR
COMMON/DENSF/AL,BL,CL,DL,EL,BPL,CPL,DPL,EPL
COMMON/CONST/TC,PC,VC,TFR,AJ,EEP
SAVE TFLAST,VFLAST
DATA TFLAST/-1111./
С
         T=TF+TFR
         IF(T.GT.TC)GOTO 999
IF(T.LE.0.)GOTO 999
IF(ABS(TF-TFLAST).GT. 1.0E-3)GOTO 5
SATVF=VLAST
             RETURN
      5 T=1.-T/TC
SATVF=1./(AL+BL+T**BPL+CL*T**CPL+DL*T**DPL+EL*T**EPL)
TFLAST=TF
         VLAST=SATVF
              RETURN
 999 WRITE(IPR,100)TF
100 FORMAT(5X,'ERROR IN CALLING SATVF, TF = ',1PE10.3)
RETURN
         END
```

-

```
FUNCTION SPHDP(I,T,P,RMAS,AL,D)
 С
            PURPOSE:
 C****
 Ĉ
                TO COMPUTE FRICTIONAL SINGLE PHASE PRESSURE DROP IN A TUBE
С
C++++ INPUT DATA:
                         DATA:

- TUBE LENGTH (FT)

- TUBE INNER DIAMETER (FT)

= 1 FOR SATURATED LIQUID (-)

= 2 FOR SATURATED VAPOR (-)

= 3 FOR SUPERHEATED VAPOR (-)

- REFRIG. PRESSURE AT INLET (PSIA

- REFRIG. MASS FLOW RATE (LBM/H)

- REFRIG. TEMPERATURE AT INLET (F
AL
                D
                T
                                                                                       (-)
(PSIA)
                Ρ
                RMAS
                т
                                                                                             (F)
C++++ OUTPUT DATA:
                SPHDP - PRESSURE DROP OVER TUBE LENGTH
С
                                                                                                 (PSIA)
С
C++++ SUBPROGRAMS CALLED BY SPHDP:
С
                SATP, SATPR, SATT, SATVF, VPSV
č
           IF(I.EQ.2)GOTO 1
IF(I.EQ.3)GOTO 2
VSP=SATVF(T)
AMU=SATPR(1,T)
           GOTO 3
       1 P=SATP(T)
2 VSP=VPSV(P,T)
           TG=SATT(P)
      AA=((T+460.)/(TG+460.))**0.5
AMU=AA*SATPR(2,TG)
3 AC=2./(32.174*144.*3600.**2)
G=0.7853981*D*D
G=RMAS/G
BE=C+D(AMA)
          RE=G+D/AMU
F=0.046/RE++0.2
IF(RE.LT.2000.)F=16./RE
SPHDP=AC+F+VSP+AL+G+G/D
           RETURN
```

```
END
```

1.0.1.1.5

Home and an and the first state of the second 
s I brancis (a. p. )

```
FUNCTION SPHDP1(AM,AL,D,VSP,AMU)

C

C**** PURPOSE:

C TO COMPUTE FRICTIONAL PRESSURE DROP

C FOR SINGLE PHASE FLOW IN A TUBE

C

C**** INPUT DATA:

C AL - TUBE LENGTH (FT)

C AM - FLUID MASS FLOW RATE (LBM/H)

C AMU - FLUID DYNAMIC VISCOSITY (LBM/H*FT)

C D - TUBE DIAMETER (FT)

C VSP - FLUID SPECIFIC VOLUME (FT**3/LBM)

C

ACC=3.3309E-11

G=0.78539816*D*D

G=AM/G

RE=G*D/AMU

F=0.046/RE**0.2

SPHDP1=ACC*F*VSP*AL*G*G/D

RETURN

END
```

- - -

.

- ---- --

.

\_

```
FUNCTION SPHTC(CP, AM, AK, RMASS, D)
С
C++++ PURPOSE:
C TO COM
C FOR FL
            TO COMPUTE SINGLE PHASE HEAT TRANSFER COEFFICIENT
FOR FLOW INSIDE TUBE
Ċ
C++++ INPUT DATA:
           NPUT DATA:

AM - FLUID DYNAMIC VISCOSITY (LBM/FT+H)

AK - FLUID THERMAL CONDUCTIVITY (BTU/H+F+FT)

CP - FLUID SPECIFIC HEAT AT CONST. PRESSURE (BTU/LBM+F)

D - TUBE DIAMETER (FT)

RMASS - FLUID MASS FLOW RATE (LBM/H)
0000
Ċ
С
C++++ OUTPUT DATA:
С
           SPHTC - SINGLE PHASE HEAT TRANSFER COEFF.
                                                                              (BTU/H+F+FT++2)
С
         G=RMASS/(0.7853982*D*D)
         RE=D+G/AM
         IF(RE.GE.2000.)GOT010
         SPHTC=4.36+AK/D
        GOTO20
    10 PR=(AM+CP/AK)++0.4
        RE=RE++0.8
        SPHTC=0.023+AK+PR+RE/D
    20 RETURN
        END
```

Albert I of I for I for I and 
the state of the second s

SUBROUTINE TRACE3

C

C++++ THIS PROGRAM DETERMINES REFRIGERANT DISTRIBUTION AMONG TUBES IN A CROSS FLOW EVAPORATOR BASED ON CIRCUITRY CONFIGURATION. С C++++ THE EVAPORATOR ASSEMBLY MAY CONSIST OF ONE OR TWO COILS (SLABS). C++++ 11-18-1988 C++++ INPUT DATA: - NUMBER OF THE TUBE FROM WHICH TUBE J RECEIVES IFROM(IIO, J) С С REFRIGERANT. IF THE TUBE IS CONNECTED TO THE INLET MAINFOLD, IFROM IS SET TO 0. 1 FOR THE FIRST SLAB (-) С 110 (-) (-С = 2 FOR THE SECOND SLAB С -) - NUMBER OF SLABS IN THE EVAPORATOR ASSEMBLY - NUMBER OF TUBES IN THE ROW N (-)С NSLABS (-) NTUB(IIO,N) С С C+ ++ OUTPUT DATA: С - FRACTION OF COIL TOTAL REFRIG. MASS FLOW FLOW(IIO,J) PASSING THROUGH TUBE J (-) С - DEPTH ROW OF THE TUBE J - NUMBER OF SPLIT POINTS 000 IDEPTH(IIO,J) IMER(IÌO) - NUMBER OF THE TUBE CONNECTED TO THE OUTLET IOUT (110, L) MANIFOLD, FOUND AS L SUCH TUBE (-) KFEED(IIO,J,N) - NUMBER OF THE TUBE RECEIVING REFRIGERANT 000 FROM TUBE J, FOUND AS N SUCH A TUBE KSTART(IIO) KST(IIO) KROM TUBE J, FOUND AS N SUCH A TUBE NOTE THAT TUBE J CAN FEED UP TO 3 TUBES (N CAN BE 1,2 AND 3). KFEED IS SET TO -1 IF J TUBE FEEDS THE DISCHARGE MANIFOLD. KFEED IS SET TO 0 IF A TUBE IS NOT FED. (-) NUMBER OF THE TUBE CONNECTED TO THE INLET MANIFOLD, FOUND AS N SUCH TUBE (-) NUMBER OF TUBES CONNECTED TO THE INLET MANIFOLD (-) 000000 С č c MANIFOLD (-) MERGE(IIO,K,1) - NUMBER OF THE TUBE WHICH FEEDS A SPLIT POINT, MANIFOLD FOUND AS K SUCH TUBE (-) MERGE(IIO,K,2) - NUMBER OF TUBES FED BY THE TUBE K (-) NDEP(IIO) - NUMBER OF TUBE DEPTH ROWS IN THE SLAB NOUT(IIO) - NUMBER OF TUBES CONNECTED TO THE OUTLET С С С (-) CCCC MANIFOLD (-) - NUMBER OF TUBES IN THE SLAB NTPS(IIO) (–) - FRACTION OF TOTAL REFRIGERANT MASS FLOW RATE FOR THE COIL FLOWING THROUGH SLAB IIO SFLOW(IIO) Ĉ С C++++ SUBPROGRAMS CALLED BY TRACE3: FRACT С COMMON/HPHX/NSLABS, NDEP(2), NROW(2), DI(2), DO(2), DT(2), TPCH(2), COMMON/HPHX/NSLABS, NDEP(2), NROW(2), DI(2), DO(2), DT(2), TPCH(2), COMMON/HPHX/NSLABS, NDEP(2), NROW(2), DI(2), D & NOB(2,3), IFROM(2,130), NTFS(2), BSFACE(2), & ACFM(2), IFIN(2), ISUR(2), SFLOW(2) COMMON/MERG/MERGE(2,20,2), IMER(2), IOUT(2,20), NOUT(2), & IDEPTH(2,130), FLOW(2,130), KFEED(2,130,3), KSTART(2,130), KST(2) DIMENSION IDID(130), LEFT(20), TC(130), RN(20), F(20), ITUBE(20), & ISEE(20) С DO 200 IIO-1, NSLABS C\*\*\*\* FIND NUMBER TUBES IN THE SLAB NTPS(IIO)=0 DO 1 I=1,5 IF(NTUB(IIO,I).NE.0)NDEP(IIO)=I 1 NTPS(IIO)=NTPS(IIO)+NTUB(IIO,I) С DO 3 I=1,NTPS(IIO) FLOW(110,1)=0. DO 3 J=1,3 3 KFEED(IIO,I,J)=0 DO 4 I=1,20 4 MERGE(110,1,1)=0 C++++ FIND TUBES CONNECTED TO THE OUTLET MANIFOLD C++++ FIND TUBES WHICH FEED SPLIT POINTS IS=0 IM-0

```
DO 10 J=1,NTPS(IIO)
       NM=0
DO 6 I=1,NTPS(IIO)
IF(IFROM(IIO,I).NE.J)GOTO 6
       NM-NM+1
     6 CONTINUE
       IF(NM.EQ.0)GOTO 8
IF(NM.EQ.1)GOTO 10
       IM-IM+1
       MERGE(IIO, IM, 1)=J
       MERGE(IIO, IM, 2)=NM
       GOTO 10
     8 IS=IS+1
       IOUT(IIO, IS)=J
    10 CONTINUE
       NOUT(IIO)=IS
       IMER(IIO)=IM
С
C++++ FIND DEPTH ROW FOR EACH TUBE
       NNDEP=NDEP(IIO)
       ILAST=0
       DO 22 J=1,NNDEP
       IFIRST=ILAST+1
       ILAST=ILAST+NTUB(IIO, J)
       DO 22 I=IFIRST, ILAST
       IDEPTH(IIO,I)=J
   22 CONTINUE
С
C++++ FIND REFRIG. FLOW PATH FROM THE INLET TO THE OUTLET (KFEED ARRAY)
       DO 50 I=1,NTPS(IIO)
       DO 48 IK=1, IMER(110)
       IF(I.NE.MERGE(IIO, IK, 1))GOTO 48
IDID(I)=MERGE(IIO, IK, 2)
    GOTO 50
48 CONTINUE
       IDID(I)=1
   50 CONTINUE
С
       DO 60 IS=1,NOUT(IIO)
       I=IOUT(IIO,IS)
KFEED(IIO,I,1)=-1
   54 J=I
        I=IFROM(IIO,J)
        IF(I.EQ.0)GOTO 60
       N=IDID(I)
       KFEED(IIO,I,N)=J
        IDID(I)=IDID(I)-1
        IF(IDID(I).EQ.0)GOTO 54
    60 CONTINUE
С
C++++ FIND THE INLET EVAPORATOR TUBES, KSTART(IIO,N)
        KS=0
       DO 63 I=1,NTPS(IIO)
IO=IFROM(IIO,I)
        IF(IO.EQ.0)THEN
           KS=KS+1
           KSTART(IIO,KS)=I
        END IF
    63 CONTINUE
       KST(IIO)=KS
С
C++++ FIND REFRIGERANT FLOW DISTRIBUTION
C++++ FIND FLOW RESISTANCE FOR EACH TUBE
        DO 65 I=1, IMER(IIO)
   b0 65 I=1, IMER(II0)
65 LEFT(I)=MERGE(II0,I,2)
D0 70 I=1,NTPS(II0)
70 TC(I)=0.
D0 120 IL=1,NOUT(II0)
I=IOUT(II0,IL)
        RC=1.
        DO 100 IT=1,NTPS(IIO)
IP=IFROM(IIO,I)
        IF(IP.EQ.0)THEN
```

. .

o I para i porta l'istra

a para a construction.

16

1.0

```
TC(I)=RC
            GOTO 120
         END IF
         IF(KFEED(IIO, IP, 2). EQ. 0)THEN
            RC=RC+1
            I=IP
            GOTO 100
         END IF
         TC(I)=RC
    DO 75 IM=1, IMER(IIO)
75 IF(IP.EQ.MERGE(IIO,IM,1))GOTO 77
    77 LEFT(IM)=LEFT(IM)-1
IF(LEFT(IM).GT.0)GOTO 120
NSPLIT=MERGE(IIO,IM,2)
    DO 90 I1=1,NSPLIT
N=KFEED(IIO,IP,I1)
90 RN(I1)=TC(N)
         CALL FRACT (NSPLIT, RN, F)
         DO 92 I1=1,NSPLIT
    N=KFEED(IIO, IP, I1)
92 FLOW(IIO, N)=F(I1)
RC=TC(N)+FLOW(IIO, N)++1.75
         I=IP
   100 CONTINUE
   120 CONTINUE
  NSTART=KST(IIO)
DO 130 I=1,NSTART
N=KSTART(IIO,I)
130 RN(I)=TC(N)
CALL FRACT(NSTART,RN,F)
DO 132 I=1 NSTART
         DO 132 I=1,NSTART
         N=KSTART(IIO,I)
   132 FLOW(IIO,N)=F(1)
С
C**** ASSIGN REFRIGERANT DISTRIBUTION, FLOW(IIO,I)
         ISTORE=0
         DO 136 I=1, IMER(IIO)
   ITUBE(I)=0
136 ISEE(I)=0
        DO 160 IS=1.NSTART
I=KSTART(IIO,IS)
         IL=1
        DO 150 IO=1.NOUT(IIO)
DO 145 IT=1.NTPS(IIO)
IN1=KFEED(IIO,I.IL)
         IF(IN1.EQ.-1)THEN
            IF(ISTORE.GT.0)THEN
I=ITUBE(ISTORE)
IL=ISEE(ISTORE)
               ISTORE=ISTORE-1
              GOTO 150
            END IF
            GOTO 160
         END IF
         IF(IL.GT.1)GOTO 137
        IN2=KFEED(II0,I,II)
IF(IN2.EQ.0)GOTO 137
ISTORE=ISTORE+1
   ITUBE(ISTORE)=I
135 ISEE(ISTORE)=I1
   137 IN2=KFEED(IIO,I,2)
         IF(IN2.GT.0)THEN
            FLOW(IIO, IN1)=FLOW(IIO, IN1)+FLOW(IIO, I)
         ELSE
            FLOW(IIO, IN1)=FLOW(IIO, I)
         END IF
         I=IN1
         IL=1
   145 CONTINUE
   150 CONTINUE
   160 CONTINUE
         DO 170 I=1,NTPS(IIO)
```

---- ----- - - - -

170 FLOW(IIO,I)=FLOW(IIO,I)\*SFLOW(IIO) 200 CONTINUE RETURN END

18418

1.4

an Brack solution

la contro l

a diala da interna di Esta

la ∳ ≤

and the state of t

```
FUNCTION VPSV(P,TG)
С
C++++ PURPOSE:
Ċ
          TO COMPUTE REFRIGERANT VAPOR SPECIFIC VOLUME
C++++ JANUARY 11, 1989
С
C++++ INPUT DATA:
               - REFRIG. VAPOR PRESSURE (PSIA)
- REFRIG. VAPOR TEMPERATURE (F)
RIG. CONSTANTS
С
          P
С
          TG
Ċ
          REFRIG. CONSTANTS - REFER TO THE MAIN PROGRAM.
С
C++++ OUTPUT DATA:
          VPSV - SPECIFIC VOLUME OF REFRIG. VAPOR (FT++3/LBM)
С
С
C++++ SUBPROGRAMS CALLED BY VPSV:
С
          SATT
Ċ
      DOUBLE PRECISION F, FV, V, VN, Z, EMAV, T, AKTTC, ES0, ES1, ES2, ES3,
1 ES4, ES5, ES6, ES7, ES32, ES43, ES54, ES65, V2, V3, V4, V5, V6
COMMON/PRINT/IPR
       COMMON/STATE/A1, B1, C1, A2, B2, C2, A3, B3, C3, A4, B4, C4, A5, B5, C5,
      &A6,B6,C6,ALPHA,AK
        COMMON/CONST/TC.PC.VC.TFR.AJ.EEP
        SAVE PLAST, TGLAST, VLAST
        DATA PLAST, TGLAST/-1.,-111./
С
        T=TG+TFR
       IF(T.LT.0.)GOTO999
IF(P.LE.0.)GOTO999
IF(ABS(P-PLAST).GT. 1.0E-4)GOTO 5
IF(ABS(TG-TGLAST).GT. 1.0E-4)GOTO 5
        VPŠV=VLAST
             RETURN
    5 TSAT=SATT(P)
IF(TG.LT.(TSAT-0.05))GOT0999
AKTTC=AK+T/TC
        ESO=DEXP(-AKTTC)
        ES1=P
        ES2=A1+T
        ES3=A2+B2+T+C2+ES0
        ES4=A3+B3+T+C3+ES0
        ES5=A4+B4+T+C4+ES0
        ES6=A5+B5+T+C5+ES0
        ES7=A6+B6+T+C6+ES0
        ES32=2.+ES3
        ES43=3.+ES4
        ES54=4.+ES5
        ES65=5.+ES6
        VN=A1+T/P
        DO 10 ITR=1,30
        V=VN
        V2=V+V
        V3=V+V2
        V4=V+V3
        V5=V+V4
        V6=V+V5
        Z=ALPHA+(V+B1)
        IF(Z.GT. 150.D0)Z=150.D0
       EMAV=DEXP(-Z)
F=ES1-ES2/V-ES3/V2-ES4/V3-ES5/V4-ES6/V5-ES7+EMAV
        FV=ES2/V2+ES32/V3+ES43/V4+ES54/V5+ES65/V6+ES7+ALPHA+EMAV
        VN=V-F/FV
        IF(DABS((VN-V)/V).LE.1.D-06)GOT020
    10 CONTINUE
        VPSV=VN+B1
   WRITE(IPR,50)
50 FORMAT(5X, 'VPSV DOES NOT CONVERGE')
             RETURN
  999 VPSV=0.
       WRITE(IPR, 100)TG, TSAT, P
  100 FORMAT(5X, 'ERROR IN CALLING --VPSV-', & /' TG, TSAT, P=', 3(1PE11.3))
```

\_ . \_ \_

RETURN 20 VPSV=VN+B1 VLAST=VPSV PLAST=P TGLAST=TG RETURN END

~

.

Allaho a no al donato de la

For the second se

 $1 - 1 \times 1 \times 1$ 

-

and the last of the second 
```
SUBROUTINE VPVHS(I,TSG,P,V,H,S,HF)
С
C++++ PURPOSE:
         TO COMPUTE REFRIGERANT PARAMETERS
С
С
          AT AND ABOVE SATURATION
C++++ JANUARY 11, 1989
С
C++++ INPUT DATA:
              = 1 FOR SATURATED REFRIGERANT (-
= 2 FOR SUPERHEATED REFRIG. VAPOR
                                                      (-)
С
          Ι
                                                            (-)
С
              - REFRIG. PRESSURE (REQUIRED FOR I=2 ONLY)
- REFRIG. TEMPERATURE (F)
C
C
         P
                                                                      (PSIA)
         TSG - REFRIG. TEMPERATURE
         REFRIG. CONSTANTS - REFER TO THE MAIN PROGRAM.
С
Ĉ
C++++ OUTPUT DATA:
            - ENTHALPY OF VAPOR (BTU/LBM)
- ENTHALPY OF SAT. LIQUID (FOR I=1 ONLY)
- SATURATION PRESSURE (FOR I=1 ONLY) (F
С
         H
         HF
                                                                   (BTU/LBM)
С
                                                             (PSIÀ)
С
         P
              - ENTROPY OF VAPOR (BTU/LBM+F)
- SPECIFIC VOLUME OF VAPOR (FT
Ċ
          S
С
                                                 (FT++3/LBM)
          v
С
    ** SUBPROGRAMS CALLED BY VPVHS:
C++
С
         SATP, SATT, SATVF, VPSV
C
       DOUBLE PRECISION Z,T,C,T2,T3,T4,VR,VR2,VR3,VR4,AKE,AKEXP,
      1 H1, H2, H3, H4, HFGD, HD, CD, EMAV, S1, S2, S3, S4, HO
       COMMON/PRINT/IPR
       COMMON/TGPG/AG, BG, CG, DG, EG, FG, AA, BB
       COMMON/STATE/A1, B1, C1, A2, B2, C2, A3, B3, C3, A4, B4, C4, A5, B5, C5,
      &A6,B6,C6,ALPHA,AK
       COMMON/SPHTV/AC,BC,CC,DC,EC,FC,X,Y
COMMON/CONST/TC,PC,VC,TFR,AJ,EEP
SAVE TSGLST,PLAST,VLAST,HLAST,SLAST,HFLAST
       DATA TSGLST, PLAST/2+-111./
С
       T=TSG+TFR
       IF(T.LE.0.D0)GOTO 999
       IF(I.EQ.2)THEN
           IF(P.LT.0.)GOTO 999
       END IF
       IF(ABS(TSG-TSGLST).GT. 1.0E-4)GOTO 5
        IF(I.EQ.2)THEN
           IF (ABS (P-PLAST).GT. 1.0E-4)GOTO 5
       END IF
       IF(I.EQ.1)P=PLAST
       V=VLAST
       H=HLAST
       S=SLAST
       HF=HFLAST
           RETURN
     5 IF(I.EQ.1)GOT010
       TSAT=SATT(P)
        IF(TSG.LT.TSAT)GOTO 999
       V=VPSV(P,TSG)
       GOT020
    10 P=SATP(TSG)
       V=VPSV(P,TSG)
C=DLOG(DABS(FG-T))/T
       VF=SATVF(TSG)
       HFGD=(V-VF)*P*AJ*(-BG/T+CG+DG*T-EG*(1.+FG*C))
    20 T2=T+T
       T3=T+T2
       T4=T+T3
       VR=V-B1
       VR2=2.D0+VR+VR
       VR3=3.D0+VR+VR2/2.D0
       VR4=4.D0+VR+VR3/3.D0
       AKE=AK+T/TC
        AKEXP =DEXP(-AKE)
       Z=ALPHA+V
        IF(Z.GT.150.D0)Z=150.D0
        EMAV=DEXP(-Z)
```

```
125
```

H1=AC+T+BC+(T2/2)+CC+(T3/3.)+DC+(T4/4.)-FC/T H2=AJ+P+V H3=A2/VR+A3/VR2+A4/VR3+A5/VR4 H4=C2/VR+C3/VR2+C4/VR3+C5/VR4 S1=AC+DLOG(T)+BC+T+CC+T2/2.+DC+T3/3.-FC/(2.+T2) S2=AJ+A1+DLOG(VR) S3=B2/VR+B3/VR2+B4/VR3+B5/VR4 S4=H4 IF(ABS(A6).LE.1.E-20)GOT030 HOÈEMAÙ IF(ABS(C1).GT.1.E-20)H0=H0-C1+DLOG(1.D0+EMAV/C1) HO-HO/ALPHA H3=H3+A6+H0 H4=H4-C6+H0 S3=S3+B6+H0 S4=S4-C6+H0 30 HD=H1+H2+AJ+H3+AJ+AKEXP+(1.+AKE)+H4+X S=S1+S2-AJ+S3+AJ+AKEXP+AK/TC+S4+Y HFG=HFGD HHHD IF(I.EQ.1)HF=H-HFG TSGLST=TSG PLAST=P VLAST=V HLAST=H SLAST=S HFLAST=HF RETURN 999 WRITE(IPR,100)TSG,P 100 FORMAT(5X,'ERROR IN CALLING -VPVHS-',2(1PE11.3)) RETURN END

solution of the second se

 $\{p_{i,k}\}$ 

I letterese (ken er el

```
SUBROUTINE WATPR(TW, TP, VA, WA, WATRO, WATK, WATM, WATHFG, WATCP)
C
C
C****PURPOSE:
C TO COM
C
C**** INPUT D
C TW
C TP
C WA
C VA
                      TO COMPUTE WATER AND FROST PROPERTIES
           ** INPUT DATA:
                                            - WATER (FROST) TEMPERATURE (F)
- TUBE TEMPERATURE (F)
- AIR HUMIDITY RATIO (LBM H2O/LBM DRY AIR)
- AIR VELOCITY (FT/SEC)
VA
           ** OUTPUT DATA:
                        UTPUT DATA:

WATRO - DENSITY OF WATER (FROST) (LBM/FT**3)

WATK - THERMAL CONDUCTIVITY OF WATER (FROST) (BTU/H*

WATM - DYNAMIC VISCOSITY OF WATER (FROST) (LBM/H*FT)

WATHFG - WATER HEAT OF CONDENSATION OR

FROST HEAT OF SUBLIMATION (BTU/LBM)

WATCP - SPECIFIC HEAT OF WATER (FROST) (BTU/LBM*F)
                                                                                                                                                                           (BTU/H+F+FT)
                   DIMENSION ARO(5), AK(5), AM(5), AHFG(5)
                 DIMENSION ARO(5), AK((
ARO(1)=0.11647E03
ARO(2)=-0.40054E00
ARO(3)=0.10815E-02
ARO(4)=-0.12387E-05
ARO(5)=0.49002E-09
AK(1)=-0.27694E00
AK(2)=0.45215E-03
AK(3)=0.49008E-05
AK(4)=-0.88613E-08
AK(5)=0.41387E-11
AM(1)=0.79424E03
AK(2)=-0.47589E01
AM(2)=-0.47589E01
AM(3)=0.10622E-01
AM(4)=-0.10416E-04
AM(5)=0.37690E-08
AHFG(1)=0.31514E04
AHFG(2)=-0.13714E02
AHFG(5)=0.19695E-07
TWR=TW+460.
TPR=TP+460.
WATK=0.WATK=0.
 С
                   WATK=0.
WATM=0.
                   WATHFG=0.
                   IF(TW.LE.32.)GOTO 100
D010I=1,5
                    J=I-1
                    WATRO-WATRO+ARO(I) + TWR++J
          WATK=WATK+AK(I)*TWR**J
WATM=WATM+AM(I)*TWR**J
10 WATHFG=WATHFG+AHFG(I)*TWR**J
                    WATCP=1
       GOTO 200

100 B1=-11.9521+0.02422*TPR+35.5498*WA

&-9.1742E-07*VA+3.1138E-09*VA*TPR-0.03838

B2=(13.1606-0.02133*TPR-81.955*WA)/(32.018-TP)

WATRO=10.*EXP(B1+B2*(TW-TP))

WATK=0.012138+3.8909E-03*WATRO+5.1409E-06*WATRO**3

WATK=0.55
                   WATM=1.E25
WATHFG=1219
                    WATCP=0.46
       200 RETURN
                    END
```

APPENDIX C. Example of Run of the Program, EVSIM Below is a printout from execution of EVSIM. The simulated coil is shown in Figure A1. The coil data file is presented in Table A4. The working fluid is Refrigerant 22. EVSIM (VER. 1.1): SIMULATION OF AN EVAPORATOR COIL March 14, 1989 COIL INFORMATION: \*\*\*DTEV\*\*\* A-SHAPE COIL, 3 DEPTH ROWS, 16 TUBES PER ROW. NUMBERS OF SLABS IN THE ASSEMBLY: 2 NUMBER OF EXPANSION DEVICES: 2 TOTAL CFM: 1120 AIR CONDITION: AIR DRY BULB TEMPERATURE: 80.00 F AIR RELATIVE HUMIDITY: 0.51 **REFRIGERANT 22 REFRIGERANT CONDITIONS:** REFRIG. QUALITY AT INLET: 0.20 REFRIG. SAT. TEMPERATURE AT EXIT: 45.00 F REEDTO OTTOP NBS-114A (REV. 2-00) 2. Performing Organ. Report No. 3. Publication Date 1. PUBLICATION OR REPORT NO. U.S. DEPT. OF COMM. BIBLIOGRAPHIC DATA NISTIR 89-4133 AUGUST 1989 SHEET (See instructions) 4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE EVSIM - An Evaporator Simulation Model Accounting for Refrigerant and One Dimensional Air Distribution 5. AUTHOR(S) Piotr A. Domanski 6. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION (If joint or other than NBS, see instructions) 7. Contract/Grant No. NATIONAL BUREAU OF STANDARDS DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE 8. Type of Report & Period Covered WASHINGTON; D.C. 20234 9. SPONSORING ORGANIZATION NAME AND COMPLETE ADDRESS (Street, City, State, ZIP) U.S. Department of Energy 1000 Independence Ave., SW Washington, DC 20585 **10. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES** Document describes a computer program; SF-185, FIPS Software Summary, is attached. 11. ABSTRACT (A 200-word or less factual summary of most significant information. If document includes a significant bibliography or literature survey, mention it here) The report describes a computer model, EVSIM, of a refrigerant-to-air heat exchanger of the type used in residential air conditioning as an evaporator. The model provides performance predictions of a one-slab or two-slab evaporator for a given refrigerant enthalpy at the coil inlet, saturation temperature and superheat at the coil outlet, and at imposed one dimensional air mass flow distribution over the coil face. The model accounts for air distribution and for complex refrigerant circuitry designs by simulating refrigerant distribution. Performance of the coil is calculated employing a tube-by-tube scheme. Performance of each tube is evaluated individually based on individual air and refrigerant mass flow rates and their respective thermodynamic states assigned for each tube. The modelling effort emphasis was on the local thermodynamic phenomena which were described by fundamental heat transfer equations and equations of state relationships among material properties. This report includes a User's Guide and a listing written in FORTRAN 77. Due to the detailed algorithms and tube-by-tube performance evaluation scheme, mini and main frame computers are best suited for simulation studies using EVSIM. Nevertheless, the model converges on an IBM AT compatible machine within 2-6 minutes when simulating a single

12. KEY WORDS (Six to twelve entries; alphabetical order; capitalize only proper names; and separate key words by semicolons)

slab evaporator.