In May 2007, the Observer Advisory Committee requested NMFS analyze the 2004-2006 Alaska groundfish fisheries for the percent of observed catch. NMFS calculated the total catch, observed catch, and percent observed by year, FMP area, processing sector, gear type, trip target fishery, and vessel length. NMFS obtained total catch data from the NMFS Alaska Region catch accounting system and rounded to the nearest metric ton. NMFS obtained observer data from the NMFS observer database, and included both sampled and unsampled hauls when an observer was onboard the vessel. Sampled and unsampled hauls were included in this analysis because this data request attempts to determine the percent observed catch whenever an observer is onboard a vessel. NMFS screened these data for confidentiality so that more than two processors or vessels reported for a given target fishery. These data were last updated on March 26, 2008.


Note: This table does not include data from shoreside processors using paper weekly production reports (WPR) because the data are at the processor level.
The vessel length associated with the catcher vessels delivering to the shoreside processor is not available. This includes 239 mt of total groundfish catch in the BSAI, consisting of two processors in 2004 and one processor in 2005 in the BSAI.

1. Values where total and observed columns are blank (-) indicate confidential data.
2. Confidential data have been defined as $<3$ vessels and processors for that given year, area, sector, gear type, target fishery, and vessel length.
3. These data do not include CDQ catch.
4. Total catch data are from the catch accounting system, and the observer data are from the observer database in March 2008.
5. In some cases, observed data are higher than the total catch data for a given area, sector, gear type, target fishery, and vessel length

There are several reasons that this occurs:
a. In 2004-2006, four CPs >=125 ft. had haul data considered to be invalid by the Observer Program.

These data were replaced with weekly production reports in the catch accounting system, but the observer data are still used as the observed total. b. For catcher/processors and motherships $>=60$ and $<125$, there can be a mismatch between the trip target that is assigned from the observed data and the trip target that is assigned based on WPR data. This occurs when a vessel targets more than one target species during a week
c. For the shoreside sector, the total catch is based on fish tickets, which could be different from the observer data.
d. The two databases include separate sources of information. The catch accounting system partially uses at-sea weekly production reports, landing reports, and observer data. Production reports are focused on different goals from the observer data (production vs. total catch),
uses a different method to determine catch and targets, and in the cases of $30 \%$ observer coverage include dis-coordinated
time frames of estimates, especially at the target level (i.e. observer data may not cover the entire week that a production report is based on).
6. Gear type: HAL=hook-and-line; JIG=jig (not included in this table); NPT=non-pelagic trawl, POT=pot; PTR=pelagic trawl
7. Year= target fishery year
8. Harvest sector: $\mathrm{S}=$ shoreside; $\mathrm{CP} / \mathrm{M}=$ catcher processor or mothership
9. Trip target code: A (Atka mackerel), B (Pollock, bottom), C (Pacific cod), D (Deep water flatfish),

E (Alaska plaice), F (Other flatfish), H (Shallow water flatfish), I (Halibut), K (Rockfish), L (Flathead sole),
O (Other species), P (Pollock, midwater), R (Rock sole), S (Sablefish), T (Greenland turbot), W (Arrowtooth flounder), X (Rex sole), Y (Yellowfin sole)
10. Vessel length: $<60=$ vessels less than 60 ft length overall (LOA); $>=60$ and $<125=$ vessels greater than or
equal to 60 ft and less than 125 ft LOA ; >=125=vessels greater than or equal to 125 ft LOA
11. Weight is rounded to the nearest mt .
12. Percent $=(\mathrm{mt}$ of observed catch/mt of total groundfish catch in catch accounting system)*100
13. Not included in the BSAI are trip target fisheries per gear type: $\mathrm{HAL}=\mathrm{B} / \mathrm{P}, \mathrm{I}, \mathrm{K}, \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{T}, \mathrm{W}$ ( 57 mt shoreside, $2,934 \mathrm{mt} \mathrm{CP/M}$ );

NPT= B, E, K, O, P, S, T, W, R (1,618 mt shoreside, 6,446 mt CP/M); POT= K, O, T, W (33 mt shoreside, 7 mt CP/M); PTR= A, C, R
(2,372 mt shoreside, $186 \mathrm{mt} \mathrm{CP/M}$ ).
14. For CPs and motherships groundfish catch estimates, the catch accounting system uses weekly production reports for
vessels $>=60$ and $<125$ and observer data for vessels $>=125$, except for pot gear uses weekly production reports for vessels $>=60$.
15. This is NMFS' approach to the Observer Advisory Committee data request, as of March 26, 2008

Bering Sea total catch (mt), observed catch, and percent observed catch by area, harvest sector, gear type, trip target fishery, and vessel length.

|  |  |  |  |  | 2004 |  |  | 2005 |  |  | 2006 |  |  | 2007 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Area | Sector | Gear | Trip target | Length | Total | Observed | Percent | Total | Observed | Percent | Total | Observed | Percent | Total | Observed | Percent |
| BS | CP/M | HAL | C | <60 | -- | -- | 0\% | -- | -- | 0\% | 0 | 0 | 0\% | -- | -- | 0\% |
|  |  |  |  | $>=60$ and <125 | 22,079 | 13,187 | 60\% | 24,520 | 15,558 | 63\% | 21,674 | 14,345 | 66\% | 19,188 | 13,328 | 69\% |
|  |  |  |  | $>=125$ | 92,520 | 91,441 | 99\% | 99,148 | 99,754 | 101\% | 78,550 | 78,132 | 99\% | 61,898 | 61,228 | 99\% |
|  |  |  | S | $>=60$ and <125 | 0 | 0 | 0\% | -- | -- | 0\% | -- | -- | 68\% | -- | -- | 114\% |
|  |  |  |  | $>=125$ | -- | -- | 100\% | 11 | 11 | 100\% | 56 | 56 | 100\% | 139 | 139 | 100\% |
|  |  |  | T | $>=60$ and <125 | 718 | 654 | 91\% | 663 | 401 | 61\% | 520 | 550 | 106\% | -- | -- | 113\% |
|  |  |  |  | $>=125$ | 777 | 770 | 99\% | 1,251 | 1,249 | 100\% | 953 | 953 | 100\% | 1,105 | 1,103 | 100\% |
|  |  | NPT | A | $>=60$ and $<125$ | 984 | 780 | 79\% | 1,072 | 823 | 77\% | 1,099 | 530 | 48\% | 1,202 | 750 | 62\% |
|  |  |  |  | $>=125$ | 1,226 | 1,226 | 100\% | 998 | 998 | 100\% | 1,047 | 1,046 | 100\% | 2,017 | 2,017 | 100\% |
|  |  |  | C | $>=60$ and $<125$ | 21,754 | 8,340 | 38\% | 14,015 | 7,790 | 56\% | 16,033 | 7,922 | 49\% | 15,647 | 7,612 | 49\% |
|  |  |  |  | $>=125$ | 29,598 | 29,596 | 100\% | 19,344 | 18,359 | 95\% | 20,873 | 20,872 | 100\% | 23,059 | 23,058 | 100\% |
|  |  |  | F | $>=60$ and <125 | 1,119 | 81 | 7\% | 770 | 30 | 4\% | 240 | 5 | 2\% | 2,684 | 1,048 | 39\% |
|  |  |  |  | $>=125$ | 1,546 | 1,546 | 100\% | 1,193 | 1,484 | 124\% | 254 | 254 | 100\% | 382 | 382 | 100\% |
|  |  |  | K | $>=60$ and <125 | 0 | 23 | 0\% | 0 | 0 | 0\% | -- | -- | 2\% | 0 | 0 | 0\% |
|  |  |  |  | $>=125$ | 107 | 107 | 100\% | -- | -- | 100\% | 0 | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0 | 0\% |
|  |  |  | L | $>=60$ and $<125$ | 8,763 | 4,108 | 47\% | 8,002 | 2,964 | 37\% | 7,348 | 3,806 | 52\% | 7,844 | 3,282 | 42\% |
|  |  |  |  | $>=125$ | 19,792 | 19,791 | 100\% | 14,489 | 14,489 | 100\% | 12,951 | 12,950 | 100\% | 13,532 | 13,532 | 100\% |
|  |  |  | R | $>=60$ and $<125$ | 6,495 | 5,798 | 89\% | 4,613 | 6,249 | 135\% | 5,979 | 7,172 | 120\% | 3,396 | 4,353 | 128\% |
|  |  |  |  | $>=125$ | 40,029 | 40,028 | 100\% | 34,258 | 34,258 | 100\% | 39,612 | 39,611 | 100\% | 33,637 | 33,637 | 100\% |
|  |  |  | W | $>=60$ and $<125$ | 700 | 610 | 87\% | 591 | 635 | 107\% | 285 | 293 | 103\% | 62 | 259 | 420\% |
|  |  |  |  | $>=125$ | 2,650 | 2,650 | 100\% | 5,013 | 5,010 | 100\% | 3,592 | 3,591 | 100\% | 1,181 | 1,181 | 100\% |
|  |  |  | Y | $>=60$ and $<125$ | 10,238 | 5,797 | 57\% | 12,039 | 5,593 | 46\% | 10,627 | 1,585 | 15\% | 12,609 | 6,130 | 49\% |
|  |  |  |  | $>=125$ | 80,729 | 80,728 | 100\% | 101,629 | 101,629 | 100\% | 102,088 | 102,087 | 100\% | 122,912 | 122,911 | 100\% |
|  |  | POT | C | <60 | 0 | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0 | 0\% | -- | -- | 0\% |
|  |  |  |  | $>=60$ and $<125$ | -- | -- | 39\% | -- | -- | 0\% | 31 | 0 | 0\% | -- | -- | 45\% |
|  |  |  |  | $>=125$ | -- | -- | 61\% | -- | -- | 73\% | 3,120 | 2,581 | 83\% | -- | -- | 54\% |
|  |  |  | S | $>=125$ | -- | -- | 0\% | 0 | 0 | 0\% | -- | -- | 99\% | 0 | 0 | 0\% |
|  |  | PTR | B,P | $>=125$ | 656,361 | 656,358 | 100\% | 654,476 | 654,432 | 100\% | 666,357 | 667,315 | 100\% | 618,557 | 618,553 | 100\% |
|  | S | HAL | C | <60 | -- | -- | 0\% | 1,097 | 0 | 0\% | 605 | 0 | 0\% | 382 | 0 | 0\% |
|  |  |  |  | $>=60$ and $<125$ | -- | -- | 65\% | 5 | 0 | 0\% | -- | -- | 0\% | -- | -- | 0\% |
|  |  |  | S | <60 | 166 | 0 | 0\% | 86 | 0 | 0\% | 165 | 0 | 0\% | 55 | 0 | 0\% |
|  |  |  |  | $>=60$ and $<125$ | -- | -- | 0\% | 8 | 0 | 0\% | 1 | 4 | 348\% | -- | -- | 0\% |
|  |  | NPT | C | <60 | -- | -- | 0\% | -- | -- | 0\% | 0 | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0 | 0\% |
|  |  |  |  | $>=60$ and $<125$ | 30,278 | 11,084 | 37\% | 26,657 | 10,704 | 40\% | 26,032 | 10,172 | 39\% | 24,564 | 9,313 | 38\% |
|  |  |  |  | $>=125$ | 1,296 | 1,251 | 97\% | 1,332 | 1,615 | 121\% | 1,795 | 1,896 | 106\% | -- | -- | 128\% |
|  |  |  | Y | $>=60$ and <125 | -- | -- | 60\% | 0 | 0 | 0\% | -- | -- | 46\% | -- | -- | 41\% |
|  |  |  |  | $>=125$ | 0 | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0 | 0\% | -- | -- | 132\% | 0 | 0 | 0\% |
|  |  | POT | C | <60 | 2,568 | 0 | 0\% | 2,132 | 0 | 0\% | 3,430 | 0 | 0\% | 3,182 | 0 | 0\% |
|  |  |  |  | $>=60$ and $<125$ | 8,948 | 2,756 | 31\% | 9,231 | 2,604 | 28\% | 9,248 | 3,018 | 33\% | 9,436 | 3,422 | 36\% |
|  |  |  |  | $>=125$ | 3,000 | 1,070 | 36\% | 3,004 | 1,187 | 40\% | 4,038 | 1,480 | 37\% | 2,525 | 1,023 | 41\% |
|  |  |  | S | <60 | 0 | 0 | 0\% | -- | -- | 0\% | -- | -- | 0\% | -- | -- | 0\% |
|  |  |  |  | $>=60$ and <125 | 341 | 154 | 45\% | 360 | 187 | 52\% | 404 | 151 | 37\% | 605 | 255 | 42\% |
|  |  |  |  | $>=125$ | -- | -- | 413\% | 0 | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0 | 0\% |
|  |  | PTR | B,P | $>=60$ and <125 | 284,092 | 105,936 | 37\% | 275,129 | 96,096 | 35\% | 260,499 | 94,361 | 36\% | 244,245 | 84,322 | 35\% |
|  |  |  |  | $>=125$ | 361,212 | 359,786 | 100\% | 381,283 | 379,814 | 100\% | 394,395 | 392,285 | 99\% | 336,251 | 335,208 | 100\% |

Note: This table does not include data from shoreside processors using paper weekly production reports (WPR) because the data are at the processor level.
The vessel length associated with the catcher vessels delivering to the shoreside processor is not available. This includes 239 mt of total
groundfish catch in the BSAI, consisting of two processors in 2004 and one processor in 2005 in the BSAI.

1. Values where total and observed columns are blank (-) indicate confidential data.
2. Confidential data have been defined as $<3$ vessels and processors for that given year, area, sector, gear type, target fishery, and vessel length.
3. These data do not include CDQ catch.
4. Total catch data are from the catch accounting system, and the observer data are from the observer database in March 2008.
5. In some cases, observed data are higher than the total catch data for a given area, sector, gear type, target fishery, and vessel length.

There are several reasons that this occurs:
a. In 2004-2006, four CPs $>=125 \mathrm{ft}$. had haul data considered to be invalid by the Observer Program.

These data were replaced with weekly production reports in the catch accounting system, but the observer data are still used as the observed total.
b. For catcher/processors and motherships $>=60$ and $<125$, there can be a mismatch between the trip target that is assigned from the observed data and the trip target that is assigned based on WPR data. This occurs when a vessel targets more than one target species during a week.
c. For the shoreside sector, the total catch is based on fish tickets, which could be different from the observer data.
d. The two databases include separate sources of information. The catch accounting system partially uses weekly production reports, landing reports, and observer data. Production reports are focused on different goals from the observer data (production vs. total catch), uses a different method to determine catch and targets, and in the cases of $30 \%$ observer coverage include dis-coordinated time frames of estimates, especially at the target level (i.e. observer data may not cover the entire week that a production report is based on).
6. Gear type: HAL=hook-and-line; JIG=jig (not included in this table); NPT=non-pelagic trawl, POT=pot; PTR=pelagic trawl
7. Year= target fishery year
8. Harvest sector: $\mathrm{S}=$ shoreside; $\mathrm{CP} / \mathrm{M}=$ catcher processor or mothership
9. Trip target code: A (Atka mackerel), B (Pollock, bottom), C (Pacific cod), D (Deep water flatfish),

E (Alaska plaice), F (Other flatfish), H (Shallow water flatfish), I (Halibut), K (Rockfish), L (Flathead sole),
O (Other species), P (Pollock, midwater), R (Rock sole), S (Sablefish), T (Greenland turbot), W (Arrowtooth flounder), X (Rex sole), Y (Yellowfin sole)
10. Vessel length: $<60=$ vessels less than 60 ft length overall (LOA); $>=60$ and $<125=$ vessels greater than or
equal to 60 ft and less than 125 ft LOA; >=125=vessels greater than or equal to 125 ft LOA
11. Weight is rounded to the nearest mt .
12. Percent $=\left(\mathrm{mt}\right.$ of observed catch/mt of total groundfish catch in catch accounting system) ${ }^{*} 100$
13. Not included in the BSAI are trip target fisheries per gear type: HAL=B/P, I, K, O, T, W ( 57 mt shoreside, 2,934 mt CP/M);

NPT= B, E, K, O, P, S, T, W, R (1,618 mt shoreside, 6,446 mt CP/M); POT= K, O, T, W (33 mt shoreside, $7 \mathrm{mt} \mathrm{CP/M}$ ); PTR= A, C, R
(2,372 mt shoreside, $186 \mathrm{mt} \mathrm{CP/M}$ ).
14. For CPs and motherships groundfish catch estimates, the catch accounting system uses weekly production reports for
vessels $>=60$ and $<125$ and observer data for vessels $>=125$, except for pot gear uses weekly production reports for vessels $>=60$.
15. This is NMFS' approach to the Observer Advisory Committee data request, as of March 26, 2008.

Central Gulf of Alaska total catch (mt), observed catch, and percent observed catch by area, harvest sector, gear type, trip target fishery, and vessel length.

|  |  |  |  |  | 2004 |  |  | 2005 |  |  | 2006 |  |  | 2007 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Area | Sector | Gear | Trip target | Length | Total | Observed | Percent | Total | Observed | Percent | Total | Observed | Percent | Total | Observed | Percent |
| CGOA | CP | HAL | C | <60 | -- | -- | 0\% | -- | -- | 0\% | 0 | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0 | 0\% |
|  |  |  |  | $>=60$ and $<125$ | 0 | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0 | 0\% | -- | -- | 100\% | -- | -- | 17\% |
|  |  |  |  | $>=125$ | -- | -- | 100\% | -- | -- | 100\% | 1,195 | 1,195 | 100\% | -- | -- | 100\% |
|  |  |  | S | <60 | -- | -- | 0\% | -- | -- | 0\% | -- | -- | 0\% | -- | -- | 0\% |
|  |  |  |  | $>=60$ and $<125$ | 458 | 325 | 71\% | 397 | 465 | 117\% | 385 | 282 | 73\% | 477 | 381 | 80\% |
|  |  |  |  | $>=125$ | 247 | 247 | 100\% | 287 | 281 | 98\% | 184 | 184 | 100\% | 189 | 188 | 99\% |
|  |  | NPT | C | $>=60$ and <125 | -- | -- | 0\% | 565 | 411 | 73\% | -- | -- | 0\% | 0 | 166 | 0\% |
|  |  |  |  | $>=125$ | -- | -- | 100\% | 0 | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0 | 0\% |
|  |  |  | K | $>=60$ and <125 | -- | -- | 17\% | 0 | 0 | 0\% | -- | -- | 0\% | 0 | 4 | 0\% |
|  |  |  |  | $>=125$ | 6,654 | 6,655 | 100\% | 7,973 | 7,353 | 92\% | 7,716 | 7,716 | 100\% | 4,656 | 4,656 | 100\% |
|  |  |  |  | $>=60$ and $<125$ | -- | -- | 104\% | -- | -- | 77\% | -- | -- | 70\% | -- | -- | 104\% |
|  |  |  | L W | $>=60$ and $<125$ | 0 | 0 | 0\% | 2,735 | 2,150 | 79\% | 3,878 | 1,500 | 39\% | 518 | 0 | 0\% |
|  |  |  |  | $>=125$ | -- | -- | 100\% | -- | -- | 100\% | 3,785 | 3,785 | 100\% | 4,498 | 4,498 | 100\% |
|  |  |  | X | $>=60$ and $<125$ | 2,674 | 0 | 0\% | 2,776 | 1,133 | 41\% | 6,883 | 1,691 | 25\% | -- | -- | 36\% |
|  |  |  |  | $>=125$ | -- | -- | 100\% | -- | -- | 100\% | 0 | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0 | 0\% |
|  |  | POT | C | $>=60$ and $<125$ | 0 | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0 | 0\% | -- | -- | 0\% |
|  |  | PTR | K | $>=125$ | 0 | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0 | 0\% | -- | -- | 100\% |
|  | S | HAL | C | <60 | 5,144 | 0 | 0\% | 4,289 | 0 | 0\% | 6,185 | 0 | 0\% | 6,617 | 0 | 0\% |
|  |  |  |  | $>=60$ and $<125$ | 748 | 99 | 13\% | 519 | 226 | 43\% | 802 | 179 | 22\% | 512 | 116 | 23\% |
|  |  |  |  | $>=125$ | 0 | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0 | 0\% |
|  |  |  | S | <60 | 2,772 | 0 | 0\% | 2,531 | 0 | 0\% | 2,390 | 0 | 0\% | 2,137 | 0 | 0\% |
|  |  |  |  | $>=60$ and $<125$ | 1,512 | 525 | 35\% | 1,544 | 510 | 33\% | 1,980 | 499 | 25\% | 1,578 | 440 | 28\% |
|  |  | NPT | C | <60 | -- | -- | 0\% | -- | -- | 0\% | -- | -- | 0\% | -- | -- | 0\% |
|  |  |  |  | $>=60$ and $<125$ | 12,443 | 3,716 | 30\% | 7,376 | 2,185 | 30\% | 4,861 | 1,152 | 24\% | 8,377 | 2,216 | 26\% |
|  |  |  | W | <60 | 0 | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0 | 0\% | -- | -- | 0\% |
|  |  |  |  | $>=60$ and $<125$ | 7,517 | 1,476 | 20\% | 8,519 | 2,212 | 26\% | 12,543 | 2,993 | 24\% | 12,818 | 2,574 | 20\% |
|  |  |  | H | <60 | 0 | 0 | 0\% | 11 | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0 | 0\% | 547 | 0 | 0\% |
|  |  |  |  | $>=60$ and $<125$ | 3,339 | 1,127 | 34\% | 6,835 | 1,300 | 19\% | 10,432 | 1,393 | 13\% | 13,382 | 3,441 | 26\% |
|  |  |  | K | <60 | 120 | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0 | 0\% | 134 | 0 | 0\% |
|  |  |  |  | $>=60$ and $<125$ | 12,292 | 3,864 | 31\% | 9,477 | 2,989 | 32\% | 7,197 | 1,913 | 27\% | 5,758 | 3,522 | 61\% |
|  |  | POT | C | <60 | 2,426 | 0 | 0\% | 3,233 | 0 | 0\% | 3,778 | 0 | 0\% | 4,296 | 0 | 0\% |
|  |  |  |  | $>=60$ and $<125$ | 2,475 | 687 | 28\% | 4,920 | 1,298 | 26\% | 4,369 | 981 | 22\% | 4,090 | 969 | 24\% |
|  |  |  |  | $>=125$ | 0 | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0 | 0\% | -- | -- | 0\% | 0 | 0 | 0\% |
|  |  | PTR | K | $>=60$ and $<125$ | 66 | 217 | 327\% | 535 | 636 | 119\% | 1,999 | 1,211 | 61\% | 2,990 | 4,029 | 135\% |
|  |  |  | B, P | <60 | -- | -- | 0\% | 1,677 | 0 | 0\% | -- | -- | 0\% | -- | -- | 0\% |
|  |  |  |  | $>=60$ and $<125$ | 36,431 | 13,520 | 37\% | 47,273 | 14,845 | 31\% | 44,371 | 14,187 | 32\% | 33,530 | 11,150 | 33\% |

Note: This table does not include data from shoreside processors using paper weekly production reports because the data is at the processor level. The vessel length associated with the catcher vessels delivering to the shoreside processor is not available. This includes $5,717 \mathrm{mt}$ of total
groundfish catch in the GOA, consisting of 19 processors in 2004, 11 processors in 2005, and 8 processors in 2006 in the GOA.

1. Values where total and observed columns are blank (-) indicate confidential data.
2. Confidential data have been defined as $<3$ vessels and processors for that given year, area, sector, gear type, target fishery, and vessel length.
3. Total catch data are from the catch accounting system, and the observer data are from the observer database in March 2008.
4. Gear type: HAL=hook-and-line; JIG=jig (not included in this table); NPT=non-pelagic trawl, POT=pot; PTR=pelagic trawl

Year= target fishery year
Harvest sector: $\mathrm{S}=$ shoreside; $\mathrm{CP} / \mathrm{M}=$ catcher processor or mothership
5. Trip target code: A (Atka mackerel), B (Pollock, bottom), C (Pacific cod), D (Deep water flatfish), E (Alaska plaice),

F (Other flatfish), H (Shallow water flatfish), I (Halibut), K (Rockfish), L (Flathead sole), O (Other species),
P (Pollock, midwater), R (Rock sole), S (Sablefish), T (Greenland turbot), W (Arrowtooth flounder), X (Rex sole), Y (Yellowfin sole)
6. Vessel length: $<60=$ vessels less than 60 ft length overall (LOA); $>=60$ and $<125=$ vessels greater than or
equal to 60 ft and less than 125 ft LOA ; >=125=vessels greater than or equal to 125 ft LOA
7. Weight is rounded to the nearest mt .
8. Percent= ( mt of observed catch/mt of total groundfish catch in catch accounting system)*100
9. Not included in the GOA are trip target fisheries per gear type: HAL=B/P, D, K, O, W (2,406 mt shoreside, $404 \mathrm{mt} \mathrm{CP/M}$ );

NPT=B,D,H,K,L,O,P,S (21,367 mt shoreside, $1,633 \mathrm{mt}$ CP/M); POT=B,O,P (18 mt shoreside); PTR=C,H,L,O,W,S (2,220 mt shoreside, 566 mt CP/M)
10. For CPs and motherships groundfish catch estimates, the catch accounting system uses weekly production
reports for vessels $>=60$ and $<125$ and observer data for vessels $>=125$ except for pot gear uses weekly production reports for vessels $>=60$.
11. In some cases, the observed data are higher than the total catch for a given area, sector, gear type,
target fishery, vessel length. There are several reasons that this occurs:
a. In 2004-2006, four CPs $>=125 \mathrm{ft}$. had haul data considered to be invalid by the Observer Program.

These data were replaced with weekly production reports in the catch accounting system, but are still used as the observed total.
b. For catcher/processors and motherships $>=60$ and $<125$, there can be a mismatch between the trip target
that is assigned from the observed data and the trip target that is assigned based on weekly production report data.
This occurs when a vessel targets more than one target species during a week.
c. For the shoreside sector, the total catch is based on fish tickets, which could be different from the observer data.
d. The two databases include separate sources of information. The catch accounting system
partially uses weekly production reports, landing reports, and observer data. Production reports are focused
on different goals from the observer data (production vs. total catch), uses a different method to
determine catch and targets, and in the cases of $30 \%$ observer coverage include dis-coordinated
time frames of estimates, especially at the target level (i.e. observer data may not cover the entire week that a production report is based on).
12. A high level of variability in the percent observed catch for a given target fishery may be explained by the level of coverage that vessels
had prior to entering a different FMP area. Observer coverage is by quarter and by fishery category not by FMP area.
A 30\% vessel may have enough observer coverage in one FMP area to meet the requirements for their fishing in another FMP area.
A high level of variability in percent observed catch also may be attributed to a variable number of vessels that participate in certain GOA fisheries each year.
13. This is NMFS' approach to the Observer Advisory Committee data request, as of March 26, 2008.

Eastern and Western Gulf of Alaska total catch (mt), observed catch, and percent observed catch by area, harvest sector, gear type, trip target fishery, and vessel length.

|  |  |  |  |  | 2004 |  |  | 2005 |  |  | 2006 |  |  | 2007 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Area | Sector | Gear | Trip target | Length | Total | Observed | Percent | Total | Observed | Percent | Total | Observed | Percent | Total | Observed | Percent |
| EGOA | CP | HAL | S | <60 | -- | -- | 0\% | -- | -- | 0\% | -- | -- | 0\% | -- | -- | 0\% |
|  |  |  |  | $>=60$ and $<125$ | 183 | 201 | 110\% | 262 | 216 | 82\% | 139 | 152 | 109\% | 66 | 106 | 162\% |
|  |  |  |  | $>=125$ | -- -- |  | 100\% | -- | -- | 92\% | -- | -- | 77\% | -- | -- | 156\% |
|  |  | NPT | K | $>=60$ and <125 | 0 | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0 | 0\% | -- | -- | 101\% |
|  |  |  |  | $>=125$ | -- | -- | 100\% | -- | -- | 100\% | -- | -- | 100\% | -- | -- | 100\% |
|  |  | POT | C | $>=60$ and $<125$ | 0 | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 2 | 0\% |
|  |  | PTR | K | >=125 | -- | -- | 100\% | -- | -- | 100\% | -- | -- | 103\% | -- | -- | 100\% |
|  | S | HAL | C | $\begin{aligned} & <60 \\ & >=60 \text { and }<125 \end{aligned}$ | 2 | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0 | 0\% | 13 | 0 | 0\% | 43 | 0 | 0\% |
|  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0\% | -- | -- | 0\% | -- | -- | 0\% | 0 | 0 | 0\% |
|  |  |  | S | <60 | 3,498 | 0 | 0\% | 3,140 | 0 | 0\% | 3,285 | 0 | 0\% | 1,096 | 0 | 0\% |
|  |  |  |  | $>=60$ and $<125$ | 1,727 | 990 | 57\% | 1,848 | 956 | 52\% | 1,785 | 910 | 51\% | 1,050 | 878 | 84\% |
|  |  | PTR | K | $>=60$ and $<125$ | 0 | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0 | 0\% | -- | -- | 36\% | -- | -- | 66\% |
|  |  |  | B,P | $>=60$ and $<125$ | 260 | 204 | 79\% | 1,940 | 532 | 27\% | -- | -- | 38\% | -- | -- | 580\% |
| WGOA | CP/M | HAL | C | <60 | 0 | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0 | 1\% | 0 | 0 | 0\% | -- | -- | 0\% |
|  |  |  |  | $>=60$ and $<125$ | 2,394 | 509 | 21\% | -- | -- | 7\% | 2,199 | 1,587 | 72\% | 2,895 | 1,989 | 69\% |
|  |  |  |  | $>=125$ | 925 | 925 | 100\% | 292 | 292 | 100\% | 956 | 956 | 100\% | 442 | 444 | 100\% |
|  |  |  | S | $>=60$ and $<125$ | 572 | 211 | 37\% | 618 | 254 | 41\% | 540 | 288 | 53\% | 758 | 447 | 59\% |
|  |  |  |  | $>=125$ | 359 | 359 | 100\% | 415 | 411 | 99\% | 344 | 341 | 99\% | 191 | 172 | 90\% |
|  |  | NPT | C | $\begin{aligned} & >=60 \text { and }<125 \\ & >=125 \end{aligned}$ | $635$ | 0 | $\begin{gathered} \hline 0 \% \\ 100 \% \end{gathered}$ | 0 | $\begin{gathered} \hline- \\ 0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 625 \% \\ 0 \% \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | -- | $\overline{--}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 0\% } \\ & \text { 0\% } \end{aligned}$ | 0 | -- | 39\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0\% |
|  |  |  | H | $>=60$ and $<125$ | -- | -- | 0\% | -- | -- | 21\% | -- | -- | 57\% | -- | -- | 0\% |
|  |  |  | K | $>=60$ and $<125$ | -- | -- | 117\% | -- | -- | 0\% | -- | -- | 189\% | 0 | 0 | 0\% |
|  |  |  |  | $>=125$ | 5,291 | 5,298 | 100\% | 3,459 | 3,351 | 97\% | 6,625 | 6,623 | 100\% | 8,274 | 8,272 | 100\% |
|  |  |  | L | $>=60$ and $<125$ | 1,047 | 114 | 11\% | 1,803 | 24 | 1\% | -- | -- | 35\% | 1,040 | 352 | 34\% |
|  |  |  |  | $>=125$ | -- | -- | 100\% | -- | -- | 100\% | 0 | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0 | 0\% |
|  |  |  | W | $>=60$ and <125 | -- | -- | 1989\% | -- | -- | 2134\% | -- | -- | 71\% | -- | -- | 94\% |
|  |  |  |  | $>=125$ | 901 | 901 | 100\% | 1,220 | 1,220 | 100\% | 953 | 953 | 100\% | 1,771 | 1,771 | 100\% |
|  |  |  | X | $>=60$ and $<125$ | -- | -- | 5\% | -- | -- | 12\% | -- | -- | 21\% | -- | -- | 56\% |
|  |  |  |  | $>=125$ | -- | -- | 100\% | 0 | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0 | 0\% | -- | -- | 100\% |
|  |  | POT | C | $\mid<60$ | 0 | -- | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \% \\ & 0 \% \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 0 | 0 | $\begin{gathered} \hline 0 \% \\ 34 \% \end{gathered}$ | 0 | - | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 0 \% \\ & 0 \% \end{aligned}$ | -- | -- | $\begin{gathered} \hline 0 \% \\ 18 \% \end{gathered}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | -- |  |
|  | S | HAL | C | $\mid<60$ | 4 | 0 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 0 \% \\ & 0 \% \end{aligned}$ | $242$ | O | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 0 \% \\ & 0 \% \end{aligned}$ | 780 | 00 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 0 \% \\ & 0 \% \end{aligned}$ | 327 <br> -- | 0 | 0\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0\% |
|  |  |  | S | <60 | 837 | 0 | 0\% | 728 | 0 | 0\% | 1,043 | 0 | 0\% | 982 | 0 | 0\% |
|  |  |  |  | $>=60$ and $<125$ | 529 | 41 | 8\% | 380 | 122 | 32\% | 461 | 141 | 31\% | 471 | 56 | 12\% |
|  |  |  |  | $>=125$ | 0 | 0 | 0\% | -- | -- | 0\% | 0 | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0 | 0\% |
|  |  | NPT | C | $\begin{aligned} & <60 \\ & >=60 \text { and }<125 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1,464 \\ 183 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 0 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 0 \% \\ & 0 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 3,554 \\ 783 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 0 \\ 392 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 0 \% \\ 50 \% \end{gathered}$ | $5,114$ | - | $\begin{gathered} \hline 0 \% \\ 25 \% \end{gathered}$ | -- | -- | $\begin{gathered} \hline 0 \% \\ 77 \% \end{gathered}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | POT | C | $\begin{aligned} & <60 \\ & >=60 \text { and }<125 \\ & >=125 \end{aligned}$ | 4,823 | 0 | 0\% | 1,962 | ${ }_{0}$ | 0\%22\% | 1,913 | 0 | $\begin{gathered} \hline 0 \% \\ 18 \% \\ 0 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2,441 \\ & 2,205 \end{aligned}$ | 0 | $\begin{gathered} \hline 0 \% \\ 17 \% \\ 0 \% \end{gathered}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  | 5,016 | 1,138 | 23\% | 4,428 |  |  | 3,882 | 683 |  |  | 378 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | -- | -- | 64\% | -- | -- | 0\% | -- | -- |  |  | -- |  |
|  |  | PTR | B,P | $\begin{aligned} & <60 \\ & >=60 \text { and }<125 \end{aligned}$ | $7,611$ | 2,938 | $\begin{gathered} \hline 0 \% \\ 39 \% \end{gathered}$ | 10,988 | $5,613$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 0 \% \\ 51 \% \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 13,391 \\ & 11,604 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ 4,858 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 0 \% \\ 42 \% \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 13,029 \\ 5,258 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ 1,662 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 0 \% \\ 32 \% \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

This table does not include data from shoreside processors using paper weekly production reports because the data is at the processor level. The vessel length associated with the catcher vessels delivering to the shoreside processor is not available. This includes $5,717 \mathrm{mt}$ of total
groundfish catch in the GOA, consisting of 19 processors in 2004, 11 processors in 2005, and 8 processors in 2006 in the GOA.

1. Values where total and observed columns are blank (-) indicate confidential data.
2. Confidential data have been defined as $<3$ vessels and processors for that given year, area, sector, gear type, target fishery, and vessel length.
3. Total catch data are from the catch accounting system, and the observer data are from the observer database in March 2008.
4. Gear type: HAL=hook-and-line; JIG=jig (not included in this table); NPT=non-pelagic trawl, POT=pot; PTR=pelagic trawl

Year= target fishery year
Harvest sector: S=shoreside; CP/M=catcher processor or mothership
5. Trip target code: A (Atka mackerel), B (Pollock, bottom), C (Pacific cod), D (Deep water flatfish), E (Alaska plaice),

F (Other flatfish), H (Shallow water flatfish), I (Halibut), K (Rockfish), L (Flathead sole), O (Other species),
P (Pollock, midwater), R (Rock sole), S (Sablefish), T (Greenland turbot), W (Arrowtooth flounder), X (Rex sole), Y (Yellowfin sole)
6. Vessel length: $<60=$ vessels less than 60 ft length overall (LOA); $>=60$ and $<125=$ vessels greater than or
equal to 60 ft and less than 125 ft LOA; >=125=vessels greater than or equal to 125 ft LOA
7. Weight is rounded to the nearest mt .
8. Percent $=(\mathrm{mt}$ of observed catch $/ \mathrm{mt}$ of total groundfish catch in catch accounting system)*100
9. Not included in the GOA are trip target fisheries per gear type: HAL=B/P, D, K, O, W ( $2,406 \mathrm{mt}$ shoreside, $404 \mathrm{mt} \mathrm{CP} / \mathrm{M}$ );

NPT=B,D,H,K,L,O,P,S (21,367 mt shoreside, 1,633 mt CP/M); POT=B,O,P (18 mt shoreside); PTR=C,H,L,O,W,S (2,220 mt shoreside,566 mt CP/M)
10. For CPs and motherships groundfish catch estimates, the catch accounting system uses weekly production
reports for vessels $>=60$ and $<125$ and observer data for vessels $>=125$ except for pot gear uses weekly production reports for vessels $>=60$.
11. In some cases, the observed data are higher than the total catch for a given area, sector, gear type,
target fishery, vessel length. There are several reasons that this occurs:
a. In 2004-2006, four CPs $>=125 \mathrm{ft}$. had haul data considered to be invalid by the Observer Program.

These data were replaced with weekly production reports in the catch accounting system, but are still used as the observed total.
b. For catcher/processors and motherships $>=60$ and $<125$, there can be a mismatch between the trip target that is assigned from the observed data and the trip target that is assigned based on weekly production report data. This occurs when a vessel targets more than one target species during a week.
c. For the shoreside sector, the total catch is based on fish tickets, which could be different from the observer data.
d. The two databases include separate sources of information. The catch accounting system partially uses weekly production reports, landing reports,
and observer data. Production reports are focused on different goals from the observer data (production vs. total catch), uses a
different method to determine catch and targets, and in the cases of $30 \%$ observer coverage include dis-coordinated
time frames of estimates, especially at the target level (i.e. observer data may not cover the entire week that a production report is based on).
12. A high level of variability in the percent observed catch for a given target fishery may be explained by the level of coverage that vessels had prior to entering a different FMP area. Observer coverage is by quarter and by fishery category, not by FMP area.
A 30\% vessel may have enough observer coverage in one FMP area to meet the requirements for their fishing in another FMP area.
A high level of variability in percent observed catch also may be attributed to a variable number of vessels that participate in certain GOA fisheries each year.
13. This is NMFS' approach to the OAC data request, as of March 26, 2008.

Total catch (mt), observed catch, and percent observed catch by area and year.

| Year Area | Total | Observed | Percent |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2004 AI | 98,169 | 93,188 | $95 \%$ |
| BS | $1,695,228$ | $1,450,413$ | $86 \%$ |
| CGOA | 108,707 | 37,744 | $35 \%$ |
| EGOA | 7,610 | 2,911 | $38 \%$ |
| WGOA | 50,853 | 14,414 | $28 \%$ |
| TOTAL | $1,960,567$ | $1,598,670$ | $82 \%$ |
| 2005 AI | 94,209 | 89,516 | $95 \%$ |
| BS | $1,702,671$ | $1,467,153$ | $86 \%$ |
| CGOA | 120,030 | 41,586 | $35 \%$ |
| EGOA | 8,709 | 3,072 | $35 \%$ |
| WGOA | 53,142 | 13,195 | $25 \%$ |
| TOTAL | $1,978,762$ | $1,614,522$ | $82 \%$ |
| 2006 AI | 95,288 | 91,461 | $96 \%$ |
| BS | $1,696,337$ | $1,470,680$ | $87 \%$ |
| CGOA | 131,271 | 42,349 | $32 \%$ |
| EGOA | 8,772 | 3,293 | $38 \%$ |
| WGOA | 51,944 | 17,253 | $33 \%$ |
| TOTAL | $1,983,612$ | $1,625,037$ | $82 \%$ |
| 2007 AI | 107,090 | 101,060 | $94 \%$ |
| BS | $1,569,110$ | $1,352,914$ | $86 \%$ |
| CGOA | 118,871 | 44,113 | $37 \%$ |
| EGOA | 4,274 | 3,225 | $75 \%$ |
| WGOA | 46,968 | 16,882 | $36 \%$ |
| TOTAL | $1,846,314$ | $1,518,194$ | $82 \%$ |
| This | 8,30 | 1 | $j 19$ |

Note: This table does not include jig gear. This table includes all targets.

