C-7(a) BSAI Crab - emergency exemption from regional landing requirements North Pacific Fishery Management Council February 8, 2009

The Council adopts the Advisory Panel's recommendations with the following additions and deletions. Additions are underlined and deletions are shown in strikethrough.

The <u>Council requests staff update AP recommends releasing</u> the document for initial review <u>in April</u> with the following <u>purpose and need statement and alternatives</u> changes noted:

In developing the crab rationalization program, the Council included several measures to protect regional and community interests. Among those provisions, the Council developed regional designations on individual processing quota and a portion of the individual fishing quota that require the associated catch to be delivered and processed in the designated region. In the first three years of the program, all the crab IFQ was harvested and delivered. However, icing conditions in the Northern Region did create safety concerns, and delayed and in some cases prevented harvesters from entering harbors to deliver to shore-based and floating processors located in the regions, as required by the regional share designations. Periodically, including at times in the first three years of the program, harbors in the Northern Region as defined in the program, are closed by the advance of the Bering Sea ice pack. These ice conditions have disrupted the crab fishery, contributing to safety risks and preventing harvesters from entering harbors to deliver to shorebased and floating processors located in the region, as required by the regional share designations. In addition, other unforeseeable events, events such as an earthquake or tsunami, or man-made disaster, could prevent deliveries or limit the available processing capacity in a region necessary for compliance with the regional designations on Class A IFQ and IPQ. A well-defined exemption from regional landing and processing requirements of Class A IFQ and IPQ that includes requirements for those receiving the exemption to take efforts to avoid the need for and limit the extent of the exemption could mitigate safety risks and economic hardships that arise out of unforeseeable events that prevent compliance with those regional landing requirements. Such an exemption should also provide a mechanism for reasonable compensation to the parties communities and IPQ holders harmed by the granting of the exemption to ensure that the benefits protections intended by the regional designations continue to be realized despite the exemption.

Alternatives

The Council has adopted the following alternatives for analysis:

Alternative 1 – Status quo (no exemptions from regional landing requirements)

Alternative 2 – Contractually Defined Exemption

Method of defining the exemption and compensation:

The exemption shall be generally defined in regulation. To receive an exemption, however,

Option 1: an IFQ holder the holder of matched IPQ, and the entity holding (or formerly holding) the right of first refusal for the IPQ, or

Option 2: an IFQ holder the holder of matched IPQ, and an entity identified by the community benefiting from (or formerly benefiting from) the right of first refusal for the IPQ, or in the event that the subject IPQ was never subject to a ROFR, any entity qualified to act as the regional representative with respect to any IPQ in that region and fishery may act as the regional representative for the subject IPQ.

Option 3: an IFQ holder the holder of matched IPQ, and a regional entity agreed to by the communities benefiting from rights of first refusal (or formerly benefiting from rights of first refusal) in the designated region of the IFQ and IPQ, shall have entered a contract defining conditions under which an exemption will be granted and the terms of any compensation.

Administration of the exemption

The exemption shall be administered through submission of an affidavit by the holder of the IFQ for which the exemption is applied. An affidavit attesting to the satisfaction of requisite conditions for the exemption (as agreed in the contract) shall constitute conclusive evidence of qualification for the exemption.

Definition of the exemption

The following provision shall be included in the civil contract among the IFQ holder, the holder of matched IPQ and the entity representing community interests:

"Qualifying circumstance: An unavoidable circumstance that unreasonably delays or prevents the delivery or processing of crab in a region as required by regionally designated IFQ and matched IPQ will qualify for the exemption from regional landing requirements. To qualify for the exemption a circumstance must: a) be unavoidable, b) be unique to the IFQ and/or IPQ holder, c) be unforeseen or reasonably unforeseeable, and d) have actually occurred."

Additional specificity of the exemption and its term may be included in any contract between the IFQ holder, the holder of matched IPQ and the entity representing region/community interests.

A contract executed by the three parties identified above shall provide conclusive evidence that a qualifying circumstance has been adequately described in satisfaction of this requirement.

Mitigation requirements

Requirement to attempt to mitigate:

The following provision shall be included in the civil contract among the IFQ holder, the holder of matched IPQ and the entity representing community interests:

Provision 1: "To receive an exemption the IFQ holder and the holder of matched IPQ shall have exerted all reasonable efforts to avoid the need for the exemption, which may include attempting to arrange delivery to other processing facilities in the designated region unaffected by the unavoidable circumstance, attempting to arrange for the use of IFQ (and IPQ, if needed), and CDQ not requiring delivery in the affected region, and delaying fishing."

<u>Option</u> <u>Provision 2:</u> An IFQ holder will not be granted an exemption, if the IFQ holder holds any unused Class B IFQ, C share IFQ, or Class A IFQ that may be delivered outside of the affected region

Compensation

Compensation shall be as agreed in the contract among the IFQ holder, the holder of matched IPQ, and the entity representing regional/community interests.

Contract finalization dates:

- Option 1: Fishery openings
- Option 2: January 1
- Option 3: Open, and can be finalized at any time.